



FURNITURE

For beautifying your home an inspection of our stock will fully demonstrate the fact that rare discrimination has been exercised in selection. We have assembled a collection of fine furniture and interior decorations unequalled in beauty, elegance and distinctiveness--a collection from which the most elaborate schemes of home decoration may be evolved.



Horse Show Special

A handsomely framed picture of Pharaoh's Horses. Sample displayed in window.

Regular \$5.00 value, Special today - - - \$3.00

Go-Carts

Have you a baby in your home? Then you need a go-cart. We've got such a handsome line of carts that you should have no trouble in getting suited. Agents for the celebrated Sturges folding go-cart.



Beautiful Portiers

In all the latest creations. All shades and styles in high class designs and novelty styles. Very exceptional value and a very large variety to choose from. Buy now for the price is right.



VUDOR PORCH SHADES

A porch fitted with Vudor shades affords the privacy of an enclosed room with all the open air freshness of a grape arbor. Vudor porch shades are made of thin flat stripes of birch wood fibre loosely bound by strong seine twine in a lockstitch weave; they are stained in soft, pleasing colors and will not fade or crack off.

CARPET SALE CONTINUED

Owing to the unprecedented success of our carpet sale we have decided to continue same for one week longer. Ladies, do not fail to avail yourselves of this exceptional offer as we are now showing values that you cannot afford to let pass by. The prices will astonish you.

Buren & Hamilton

HOUSE FURNISHERS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
(Continued from Page Eight.)
...by members of the...
...happened to be in...
...Court at the time, and...
...men. These men were...
...five-minute examination by...
...and promptly ex...
...The student body was as...
...These men had commit...
...The same kind of...
...had occurred before a hun...
...and, as I have said be...
...Daily Palo Alto did not...
...in its issue of the follow...
...The Memorial Court has...
...the scene of parades and...
...and if there was any...
...strong in marching through...
...every one expressed his...
...know that it had occurred...
...were very few people in the...
...at so early an hour in the...
...and these were disturbed...
...a period of about ten min...
...the procession went...

called at once, and the two hundred and forty-seven men who were in the parade signed a paper stating that they were equally blameworthy with the twelve men. They stated in a gentlemanly-like manner that they thought that the action of the committee was too severe and asked for a reconsideration of the cases of the twelve men, and further that, if this were impossible, the whole two hundred and forty-two should be treated alike.
No Stanford man who signed that petition is vain enough to attach any heroic significance to the act. They simply wanted to see justice done, and said so in a polite and dignified manner; and as the statement of Chairman Clark intimated that insults had been offered, the petition ended with a sincere apology for anything objectionable that might have occurred, although the signers stated that they did not believe that any insults had been offered.
Six hundred other students who attended the meeting signed another petition asking that the men be reinstated. Instead of meeting these statements in the manly way in which they were offered, the committee posted a notice saying that they had prima facie evidence that two hundred and forty-seven more men had participated in the parade, and that they would be examined and dealt with accordingly.

Another student body meeting was called and, although student sentiment was at the boiling point, one thousand men voted unanimously to send in another apology to the committee, regretting each feature of the parade in detail, namely, the trespassing on Prof. Clark's premises, the marching through the library, and the gathering in the Memorial court. In this apology the action of the committee was not even mentioned, and no demand was made in regard to the re-instatement of the 12 men. This conservative action is the more remarkable, when it is considered that nearly every prominent student in the university had expressed his willingness to withdraw from the university and give up his entire college career rather than see the twelve men in the power of the committee treated with such unmerited injustice and severity. The veteran coach, James F. Lannagan, in this meeting characterized the action of the committee as the worst thing that had ever happened in any American university.
But Chairman and his committee ignored this apology, stating that, although they were glad to receive it, it would have no effect upon their action and intimating that a direct apology without any demands or reservations would be more to the point.

The two hundred and forty-seven thereupon handed in a direct apology, without demands or reservations, over their own individual signatures; previous to this the 12 men had already apologized, but they, also, added their names to the apology of the 247. Now I want to ask you people who have been the victims of Oregonian editorials, "Does this look like anarchy?" And I ask you again, "What should you expect from the committee after you had done all this! After you had apologized three different times for something which you felt called for no apology in the first place? Would you not expect the Committee to meet you half way at least?" So did we.
But Chairman Clark ignored all these apologies absolutely. He gave each man an examination of two minutes. All seniors and juniors who admitted that they were in the whole parade were suspended, all sophomores had ten hours, or credits, added to their requirements for graduation, and all freshmen, five hours. Those who were in only part of the parade, and those who preferred to "crawl" at the last moment by saying that they participated only in a minor way, were not considered by the committee.
Is it any wonder that the best men in the university declared that conditions under this committee

were unbearable, and advocated a general walkout? This policy, however, was voted down in the last student body meeting by a considerable majority, who brought forth seemingly conclusive arguments that the students could do more good for their university by remaining and fighting the matter out, than by withdrawing, and I wish to say at this point, on behalf of a large number of brave, unselfish fellows who have been accused of backing down, that they are not to be placed in the same category with "crawlers," and that it required more courage on their part to remain under the present conditions than to withdraw from the university.
Another thing which prevented a general exodus was the clever manipulation by the committee in dividing the men into classes; senior, sophomore, etc., suspending some, and fining other in university credits. This procedure, however unfair, made many of the men who were not suspended willing to remain in the university and thanking God for the chance to work on their conditions, since by leaving the university these men would lose not only their whole semester's work, but also the additional hours they were fined.
The Board of Trustees has said that there is no appeal from the action of the committee either to the President or to the trustees. But they have declared that, although the committee has acted within its power, if, after examination, the action of the committee was unjust, the constitution of the university will be amended so as to prevent the committee from acting unjustly again.
I shall draw no conclusions to my arguments. Everything I have stated is absolutely correct, and if the reader cannot see that the action of Chairman Clark is not only unjust, but criminally outrageous, I have nothing farther to say. It is not alone the suspension of the 41 upperclassmen in itself, nor yet the filthy smirch with which Dr. Jordan and his fanatical committee have blackened the fair name of Stanford. The students can stand it, knowing that they have acted rightfully and manfully and that they have done nothing for which they are ashamed. The university can stand it, for it will gradually recover from the mire of public opinion into which the President and his narrow-minded tools have plunged it. As Professor Farrand said when he successfully pleaded with 1000 incensed and righteously indignant students not to leave their university, but rather to submit to what they considered injustice, "Please God, Stanford shall live forever."
The students can stand it, and the university can stand it. But it is not so with the parents of many of the men on whom this committee's malicious axe has fallen. They have read what Dr. Jordan has said in the papers. They do not know his ideas nor the methods he has used to bring about this crisis, and they cannot believe that he would say things which he surely knows are not true. They read from colored press dispatches and from abusive, malignant editorials like those of the Oregonian, that a "monstrous demonstration upholding drunkenness" has occurred at Stanford. They learn that their son has participated in this monstrous, anarchistic parade, and they finally learn that he has been suspended from college.
They do not know the facts, and are not in a position to know them. They do not know that this parade was not seriously connected with any phase of any drinking problem, they do not know that it was entered into in a spirit of harmless levity and that it did no harm to anyone. They do not know that a large number of the participants were total abstainers and that none of the participants were "booze fighters." They do not know that the majority

of the faculty members are on the side of the students, nor that a bill now edict has gone forth from the "throne" forbidding any faculty member to uphold the cause of the students on pain of losing his position. They know none of these things, and it will take a long time to explain them. I have seen letters to men who were in this parade from parents who have saved and stunted and denied themselves, that their sons might have the benefits of a college education; and the un-called for and undeserved picture of their blasted hopes and broken hearts has made my blood boil, and I have wondered what justice it is that allows such things to happen.
This is that is the worst outrage that Dr. Jordan and his underlings have perpetrated. I do not doubt that time will soon remedy their whole disgraceful blunder, that the places of responsibility will soon be filled with professors who are men and not fanatics, and that the good name of Stanford will be quickly purged from the stain that has so unnecessarily and unjustly fallen upon it. Yours sincerely,
JAMES W. MOTT.

REMEDY EASILY PREPARED

Is Especially Valued By the Elderly People Who Suffer

A well known authority states that there are more cases of kidney trouble here now than ever before, while recent reports show that more people succumb each year to some form of kidney disease than any other cause.
When there is sickness, examine the urine. Rheumatism is only a symptom of kidney trouble. It is nothing more or less than excessive uric acid in the blood, which the sluggish, inactive kidneys have failed to sift out, leaving it to decompose and settle about the joints and muscles, causing intense suffering; frequently resulting in deformity; often reaching the heart when death ensues.
Pains across the back, frequent, painful and suppressed urination and other symptoms of weak bladder are not the only signs of kidney trouble; many cases of stomach disease, headache, pain in the heart, inactive liver, etc., are but symptoms; the cause of which can be traced to feeble, clogged kidneys.
The physicians for the insurance companies always carefully examine and report on the condition of the urine. It is a certain sign of sickness or health of the human body.
A test of the urine should be made by every man and woman at least once each year. A simple test is to void a small quantity of urine in a bottle or glass and let it stand overnight; next morning, if there is a reddish brick-dust sediment, or white floccy substance present, either consult some reputable physician or take a good vegetable treatment. The following prescription is recommended highly in these cases, and if desirable the sufferer can mix it at home. Any good prescription pharmacy has the ingredients, which are harmless and inexpensive: Compound Syrup Sarsaparilla, three ounces. Shake well and use in teaspoonful doses after each meal and at bedtime. Where any of the symptoms enumerated above are present, good results are sure to follow immediately the use of this simple prescription.

Gold Dust Flour

Made by THE SYDNEY POWER COMPANY, Sidney, Oregon
Made for family use. Ask your grocer for it. Fresh and short always on hand.

P. B. Wallace AGENT

Your Hot Pipes

WHEN the heater man put hot pipes through the house in place of stoves he thought it was something new. But nature put hot pipes all through our bodies to keep us warm long, long ago.

Scott's Emulsion

sends heat and rich nourishment through the blood all over the body. It does its work through the blood. It gives vigor to the tissues and is a powerful flesh-producer.

All Druggists, 50c. and \$1.00.

TO OWNERS OF HORSES

The undersigned is prepared to break, handle and develop roadsters and trotting horses. For terms of board and care apply to

SAM CASTO,
Fair Grounds, Or.