

ENORMOUS CROWDS PUT BIG PIANO SALE OUT OF BUSINESS

Salem has honored us too warmly. So dense are the crowds that it has been almost impossible to conduct business. Therefore, we say to those who desire to look over our stock of instruments that the Hardman Player Concert will be discontinued until further notice, thus insuring investigators the quiet and privacy that is necessary in selecting instruments.

THE PRICES

We have placed on these pianos are so low that were it not for the unquestionable standing of the makes we have here, one might be inclined to think them too low to be placed on a piano of good grade.

YOUR CHANCE IS HERE NOW

ARE YOU GOING TO ACCEPT IT?

Allen & Gilbert-Ramaker Co.

Oregon's Oldest and Largest Music House, Salem Branch
299 Commercial Street.

FAVOR LIGHTING PLANT

Business Men Almost An Unit for Proposition

Interviews With Those Who Pay the Bills Say Municipal Ownership

Acting upon the suggestion made by Tilmon Ford, and unanimously adopted at the Commercial Club meeting a few evenings since, in favor of the city owning an electric lighting plant a Journal reporter interviewed many citizens on the subject. Of those seen, a great majority seemed to favor having the city own and operate a plant. Many could not be seen, owing to their absence, and several declined to talk. However, it is believed that those interviewed, give a fair consensus of the opinion of Salem business people.

Harritt & Lawrence—Our people could save money by this move. If a little town can make money by this kind of a move, we don't see why a big one like Salem can't.

J. S. Graham—I believe it would bring us better service at less cost.

Hill Bros.—We would like to see such an enterprise go.

J. H. Haas—Would like to see the city own and operate a light plant.

W. H. Burkhardt—I see no reason why we can't improve conditions by such a step.

W. R. Anderson—Just put me down twice in favor of such a move.

Commercial Cream Co.—Think it would be a good thing.

W. Breymann—Think it would be a good thing to own a light plant, if it can be established at a fair price.

J. C. Perry—Think it would be a good thing for the town.

Chase & Skaffe—Think it would be the best thing, if properly managed.

J. Q. Wilson—It is a good thing if the city can pay for it.

F. A. Legg—Am pleased with present service.

T. Holverson—Am very favorable to such a move. Every city of any size should own such public utilities.

M. Bredemier—The profit of a light plant could just as well come to the city, and we could have better light.

Aug. Huckenstein—Think it would be a good thing, and if it pays a corporation it would pay us, and consumers would get lights at actual cost.

Turner & Inman—It would seem to be to the interest of the people to conduct such a business themselves. The present exorbitant prices ought to convince everybody that something should be done.

G. W. Johnson—Think it is all right.

Oregon Shoe Co.—The city should own such a plant.

Wm. Brown—Would be in favor of the city having its own water and light plant, if such could be reasonably procured, and it were possible to see their way through financially, but I realize that such is a very large undertaking.

Atwood & Fisher—The city ought to own such a plant.

C. M. Epply—Certainly the city ought to supply this commodity.

Jos. Baker—Am opposed to public ownership of such utilities.

E. S. Lamport—Not at the present time.

Sam Adolph—Certainly favor anything to get lights.

Dr. S. C. Stone—Am in favor of owning both water and electric light plants.

W. M. Cherrington—Think the city should do it.

D. A. White & Son—You can't advocate it too strongly for us.

J. A. Patterson—Favor owning lighting plant, and street cars, too.

A. Scott—Am in favor of it as a matter of course.

E. Koppe—Think the city should own such plants.

Damon & Fawk—Ought to have it by all means.

Geo. Nelson—I favor it big, too. Have seen it tried and prove a success.

C. T. Pomeroy—Think we ought to do something to get better service.

N. J. Damon—I should smile; quote me twice for it.

C. H. Hinges—Have always favored such a move.

J. Conner—I certainly favor the proposition.

Butte & Wenderoth—It's a wonder the city hasn't done this a long time since. The city would give us better lights, they would cost us less and

the city would soon be able to pay for a plant out of the profits.

D. F. Jerman—It's what we need. The city can serve us cheaper and better than private parties.

Bach & Nadstancik—The city ought to own the light plant and water power and give the people the benefit.

F. E. Shafer—That's the right proposition. We need such a step to help our town and save its people money and give them better lights.

L. M. Haines—I favor this step, and don't want anybody to have a monopoly of any business which we all need.

Paul Hauser—It's what we need—better lights for less cost.

W. A. Cusick—I heartily endorse the idea of municipal ownership of water and light plants. We ought to have public sprinkling wagons running here today.

Irvin & Pottys—We consider it a good proposition and hope the council will take the necessary steps.

F. G. Haas—I think it a good thing. The city might as well derive the benefit as a private corporation and that will help every taxpayer.

Patton Bros.—We are not satisfied with present service or rates. Have been obliged to cut out all our electric lights.

S. W. Thompson—Think the city ought to own a lighting plant.

W. T. Stolz—Anything to get better lights.

H. W. Barr—Am heartily in favor of municipal ownership of a lighting plant.

Col. J. Olmsted—I would be glad to have the city do anything to get us light for we have been practically without for some time.

Roth & Graber—Have been getting poor light and favor any change for the better, especially public ownership.

I. S. Steiner, Grocer—The city ought to do its own lighting. I think it has already fooled away enough money to own a plant.

Steiner & Berger—We do believe the city ought to own and operate its own lighting plant.

Fuller & Douglas—We think it would be a decided improvement over the present system. Every city ought to own its lighting plant.

E. E. Ellis—Think it would be a very good idea for the city to operate a lighting plant, as our service is very poor.

Geo. Hughes—Our firm is opposed to the city owning a lighting plant. We think that the wiser plan is to accomplish one thing at a time. Our city has taken hold of a single railroad project, and we believe the community should formulate a plan for the building of urban electric roads into all the territory tributary to Salem. We think the present plan too narrow for one who looks over the field as a whole. We are in favor of pushing any enterprise that will enlarge the territory of our city.

We have great confidence in the absolute recommendation of such a committee as Tilmon Ford, D. J. Fry, E. T. Barnes.

S. Friedman—I want the city to own a plant for lighting.

F. A. Wiggins—I believe in municipal ownership of public utilities in the present instance consider the matter worth investigating.

A. M. Clough—Think it's the right thing for the city to do.

Ira Hamilton—Certainly favor a public lighting plant.

A. L. Fraser—Favor this plan first, last and all the time.

A. H. Shafer—I favor the city having better lights and having the city own a plant.

Alex. Cornoyer—Anything to get better service.

M. Wiprut—I don't believe in public ownership.

Buren & Hamilton—We unqualifiedly favor public ownership.

Geo. E. Waters—As far as I can judge I don't see where we can get the worst of it by a change.

E. Eckerlen—I favor this move and think the taxpayers can manage a plant with profit and better service.

Stockton & Co.—We think it's a good idea and favor public ownership.

W. F. Boothby—Would like to see the city own a plant if it can be made profitable, and I don't see why it shouldn't as well as in other places.

W. A. Liston—Most certainly favor such a move.

Jos. Meyers & Sons—We are in for it.

Ed Baker—In favor of anything that will improve the service or reduce the price.

Oregon Housefurnishing Co.—Have always favored such things. It would seem strange that a city cannot furnish us this necessity at a fair price.

Frank J. Moore—Think it would be the thing to do.

J. N. Shantz—Would like to see the city own a light plant.

SUCKERS DENOUNCE THINGS

The Illinois Democrats felt called upon to denounce things, as Democrats usually do.

The platform points to the recent revelations of corruption in the post-treasury statement at Washington; to a depleted treasury, shown by the last treasury statement at Washington; to the failure of the attorney-general to prosecute illegal trusts and combinations and the promoters thereof by criminal action; to the refusal of congress to reduce the tariff tax on those articles which enable the illegal trust and combination to plunder the people, and, to the fact that the attorney-general left it to private citizens, at large expense, to uncover the coal trust as proving the truth of the above charge against the Republican party. Woman suffrage is approved. Other declarations in the platform follow.

"In so far as government is concerned and its laws and the enforcement thereof, the right of labor must be protected as vigilantly as our life, liberty or property, for free labor is the basis of all these, and upon its preservation depends the permanency of our free institutions.

"We point to the growth of trusts and monopolies as one of the evil results of the ascendancy of the Republican party at Washington.

"By the present tariff law, and in numerous ways, the older trusts have all been strengthened, and new trusts, too numerous to mention, have been created since the government passed into the hands of the Republican party in 1896.

"If the people do not speedily regulate and control these trusts, the trusts will permanently dominate and control the government, and continue indefinitely to levy exactions on the people.

"We submit that the Republican party, itself controlled by the trusts, cannot safely be relied on to curb the trusts, and we demand that the government be taken out of the hands of the friends of monopoly, and restored to the untrammelled representatives of the country."

Reduced Rates to St. Louis Exposition

The Southern Pacific Company will sell round trip tickets at greatly reduced rates to St. Louis and Chicago on account of the St. Louis exposition on the following dates: June 16, 17, 18; July 1, 2, 3; August 8, 9, 10, September 5, 6, 7; October 3, 4, 5.

Going trip must be completed within 10 days from date of sale, and passengers will be permitted to start on any day that will enable them to reach their destination within the ten-day limit. Return limit 90 days, but not later than December 31, 1904.

For full information as to rates and routes call on agent of S. P. Co., at Salem.

June 7th has been authorized as the sale date for Louisiana Purchase Exposition tickets, in addition to sale dates previously announced for June.

This concession has been made to accommodate Oregon people, and will enable them to be at the Exposition on Oregon Day, June 5th.

W. E. COMAN, Gen. Pass. Agt.

Wake Up Wake up your liver! You sleepy fellow! Don't look so dull! Clear up your brain! Just one of Ayer's Pills at bedtime. It's all you need. Mild, gentle. Acts directly on the liver.

J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass.

Bullock-Teter Wedding.

A very pleasant wedding occurred at the home of Mr. and Mrs. R. D. Teter, five miles south of this city, at high noon yesterday, when their only daughter, Miss Fannie M., was married to Mr. J. T. Bullock, of Salem.

After the impressive ceremonies were performed by Rev. W. S. Gordon, a sumptuous wedding dinner was enjoyed. The home was made beautiful with ferns and roses. A large number of relatives and a few friends were present. Many costly gifts were received by the young couple.

They are popular young people, and their many friends wish them a long and prosperous life. They will reside in Salem.

Those present were: Rev. and Mrs. W. S. Gordon, Mr. and Mrs. B. B. Teter, Mr. and Mrs. A. H. Bullock, Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bullock, Mr. and Mrs. A. C. Harold, Mr. and Mrs. W. P. George, Mr. and Mrs. W. W. Zinn.

Misses Bessie Wooley, Evelyn Gordon, Helen Gordon, Pearl Zinn, Grand Teter, Marshall Teter, Masters Roy Nott, Willie George and Lamont Bullock.

Excursion Rates to Yaquina Bay.
On June 1st the Southern Pacific Company will resume sale of excursion tickets to Newport and Yaquina Bay, both season and Saturday-to-Monday tickets will be sold. This popular resort is growing in favor each year, hotel rates are reasonable, and the opportunity for fishing, hunting and sea bathing are unequalled by any other resort on the Pacific coast.

New Dentist.

For the benefit of those employed during the day, I will keep office hours from 7 to 8 evenings, and from 10 to 12 Sundays. Phone Main 1671. Gray building.

5-3442

Special Shirt Waist Sale

We have them from 25c up to fine silks

Silk waists that were \$5 to \$6.50 now \$4.75 and \$3.75. All new waists, see them at \$1.00, at 75c, at 50c, at 25c each.

Millinery At Reduced Prices

Stylish, popular goods. Our prices always the lowest.

ROSTEIN & GREENBAUM

302 Commercial Street.

Tools For the Farm, Workshop, Household

The best in every department and at prices that will make you feel satisfied.

R. M. Wade & Co.

Julian Hawthorne

Nathaniel Hawthorne's son has written a highly dramatic story of the Arctic Sea, telling of the finding of the last resting place of Hendrik Hudson

One of the 10 Stories in the

Metropolitan Magazine

FOR JUNE

THE OUT O'DOORS NUMBER

R. H. Russell, Publisher, New York

A 35-cent Magazine for 15 cents. At all Newsdealers

(76-18)