

# THE DEBT IS BEING RAISED

## Over \$300 of Old Willamette's Obligation but Recently Secured

WORK IS BEING CARRIED ON BY COMMITTEE WITH ASSURANCE THAT EVERY DOLLAR WILL BE RAISED—THIS MEANS MUCH TO SALEM.

(From Wednesday's Daily.) It was announced a few days ago that the debt of Willamette University had been reduced to a point below \$9,000. Since that announcement was made there have been further reductions. Within the past few days the soliciting committee for Salem, of which Mayor C. P. Bishop is a member, has secured pledges here for \$300 or \$400, and the work is being carried forward. It may be announced with full assurance that the debt will be raised, and that the last dollar will be pledged within a very short time—before the end of the coming month. This will be great news for the great patronizing church in the Northwest, and great news for Salem. It means the commencement of the long expected boom for the old school. It means the swelling of the endowment fund to \$100,000 at an early date, and to much larger proportions as the years go by. It means a building fund and new buildings for various purposes on the campus. It means 1,000 students before long in the various departments of the University. It means a great deal to Salem; a great help to the building up of this city. There is no other one thing promising more for the solid and continued growth of the Capital City.

### HOP SUIT SETTLED

#### JUDGE BURNETT OVERRULES PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL

(From Wednesday's Daily.) Judge Burnett's department of the circuit court convened yesterday morning for a short session and rendered a decision on two motions. Adjournment was then taken till next Monday morning, at 9 o'clock. The plaintiff in the hop suit of A. F. Backhaus vs. F. W. Buelte, et al., on the 18th inst., through their attorneys, argued a motion for a new trial, and yesterday Judge Burnett overruled the motion and gave judgment for defendants on the verdict as rendered. In the trial the jury returned a verdict for the defendant for the return of hops valued at \$2500, and for \$407. This will probably end the great hop suit, which has attracted so much attention and which will affect the "contracta" made in future. The other motion heard yesterday was made by the defendants in the case of Ung Lung Chung, vs. George Sun, asking for a new trial and for judgment, notwithstanding the verdict of the plaintiff. Judge Burnett overruled both motions and rendered a judgment for plaintiff on the verdict. The verdict was in favor of the plaintiff for \$127.50.

### Dismissed From Court.

In the case of C. B. Brundage, plaintiff, vs. Geo. F. Rodgers, defendant, a suit to recover money, which was filed about two weeks ago in the court of Justice of the Peace E. D. Horgan, a motion was argued yesterday by Geo. G. Bingham, attorney for the defendant, requiring the plaintiff to give bonds for costs of the suit. The court allowed the motion, whereupon Webster Holmes, attorney for the plaintiff, requested that the case be dismissed without prejudice to either party, the court complying with the request and dismissing the case.

### THIEF CAUGHT BY BOYS

#### WAS DISCOVERED IN THE ACT OF BREAKING INTO BUILDING AND CAUGHT.

SPOKANE, Wash., Feb. 24.—George Albright, who was seen by some boys trying to pry open the cellar of P. A. Roundy's house, 6371 Cincinnati, was finally captured after being chased by these youngsters for many blocks, and finally being reinforced by the patrol wagon from the police station. Several of the lads, who range from 12 to 15 years of age, saw the fellow pull out a staple in the cellar door, on Sunday afternoon. They took up the cry of "burglar" and "thief," and Albright took to flight. The youngsters followed rapidly and the crowd began to increase in numbers. One of the lads had secured a Flobert rifle before leaving his home for the chase, and

**Fifty Years the Standard**  
**D. PRICES' CREAM**  
**BAKING POWDER**  
Awarded Highest Honors World's Fair  
Highest tests U.S. Gov't Chemists  
PRIZE BAKING POWDER CO. CHICAGO

several times he tried to bring down the fleeing man, but his aim was poor and the man kept on his way. The fellow ran partly through Dennis & Bradley's Addition, through Ross Park, and across the long bridge leading to the city water works, when finally the patrol wagon came in sight and the man was taken by the officers. None of the names of the little chaps who kept on the trail of the thief were learned by the police.

## LOOKING FOR OREGON HOME

### C. A. Parlier, From Iowa, is Delighted With the Willamette Valley

#### AND WILL TAKE HIS TIME IN GETTING LOCATED—TALKS OF THE HELPLESSNESS OF NEWCOMERS TO THIS STRANGE LAND.

(From Wednesday's Daily.) "Is this Florida?" asked a new-comer from the East in Salem yesterday afternoon, as he enjoyed the beautiful bright sunshine and drank in the balmy ozone in delightful appreciation for his good fortune, as he remembered the freezing weather, the snow and sleet and hail and ice and the blizzards which he so recently escaped. "No; this is not Florida; this is Oregon," he was reminded, "and nothing unusual for this time of year in the Willamette valley in Oregon." The people of Oregon need to go beyond the Rockies and to view the conditions there in the winter season to fully appreciate the gladsome sunshine and the balmy atmosphere, such as was vouchsafed here yesterday. There is only one Willamette valley in the United States, or the world, and the time is coming, as its advantages are fully appreciated, when its population will be millions instead of a few scores of thousands, as now.

C. A. Parlier and wife, of Smithland, Iowa, are in Salem and will remain here for the next thirty days for the purpose of looking over the country, and in the event of discovering something to their liking in the line of real estate, they will invest in a home in the Willamette valley.

Mr. Parlier was seen at his hotel last night, and in speaking of his observations during a month's stay at different points in the valley, said: "I am surprised at the possibilities of this beautiful country. It is far ahead of anything I had expected to see when I left home, and I cannot understand what has kept it back all these years, for it is certainly not developed to the extent that it should be. The climate is delightful and my wife is in love with the whole country and willing to remain here if we can find a place that suits us at a reasonable price. I have been riding over the country since coming to Salem last Wednesday and have seen some pretty places; but to be plain, I must say that land is, generally speaking, held too high. Reports reach us in the East to the effect that good land may be bought here all the way from \$15 to \$30 per acre, and we sell out and come out here to buy a home. Of course, most of us come here entire strangers and do not know which way to turn to look for land, and this is where our trouble comes in. "When we get off at a town, if we have no friends or acquaintances there, we must depend largely upon real estate dealers, who are well acquainted with land, prices, etc., and hence we are at their mercy. Many of these men are honest, but a great many are not. "In some cases they will add \$10 or \$15 to the price set by the owner of the land, thus getting a big rake-off aside from their regular commission. This fact has a tendency to discourage newcomers, and I have known a number to go away disgusted and seek other localities. "This is not a good thing for home-seekers and it is not a good thing for the country. For my part, I have plenty of time and am going to look around until I can satisfy myself; but all newcomers are not situated as I am. "I have no complaints to make, personally. I like the country, and a better class of people I have never found anywhere; and as soon as I find the place that suits, we are ready to become permanent residents of this beautiful and rich country."

"I have no complaints to make, personally. I like the country, and a better class of people I have never found anywhere; and as soon as I find the place that suits, we are ready to become permanent residents of this beautiful and rich country."

"I have no complaints to make, personally. I like the country, and a better class of people I have never found anywhere; and as soon as I find the place that suits, we are ready to become permanent residents of this beautiful and rich country."

"I have no complaints to make, personally. I like the country, and a better class of people I have never found anywhere; and as soon as I find the place that suits, we are ready to become permanent residents of this beautiful and rich country."

### REVERSED THE BOARD

#### SAN FRANCISCO OFFICER REINSTATES FIRST ENGINEER OF STEAMER ELDER.

SAN FRANCISCO, Cal., Feb. 24.—Supervising Inspector of Steam Vessels, John Birmingham, today granted the appeal of Elwood B. Huston, first engineer of the steamer Geo. W. Elder, from the sentence of the Portland local board of inspectors, which revoked his license. Captain Birmingham states that, in his opinion, Huston has been already sufficiently punished, and he reversed the decision of the northern officers to a suspension of the engineer's license for four and a half months from the time when his punishment first began, which is practically a reinstatement of the engineer.

### DIMMICK IS CONVICTED

#### MUST SERVE AT LEAST TWO YEARS FOR EMBEZZLEMENT FROM THE MINT.

SAN FRANCISCO, Cal., Feb. 23.—By a decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, which was handed down today, the conviction of Walter Dimmick, in the United States District Court upon three charges lodged against him was upheld, and he must stand at least two years imprisonment for embezzling \$730 from the United States mint, as charged on two counts. Dimmick must stand trial for the third time on March 9th on the charge of embezzling \$30,000 from the mint while acting as cashier.

## THEY TALKED MATTER OVER

### Members of Greater Salem Commercial Club Met and Discussed

#### THE COMING MID-SUMMER FAIR—GENERAL MANAGER JUDAH TO CREATE TEN SEPARATE DEPARTMENTS TO MANAGE THE AFFAIRS OF THE CARNIVAL.

(From Thursday's Daily.) An adjourned meeting of the Greater Salem Commercial Club was held last night in the police court room at the city hall for the purpose of talking over and arranging matters pertaining to the holding of the Mid-Summer Carnival. G. Stolz was elected temporary chairman in the absence of H. B. Thiel-sen.

Secretary of the Club, N. J. Judah, who was at the preceding meeting, elected general manager of the mid-summer fair, "asked that he be given more time in which to select his committees. He said he had divided the business up into ten departments, with a chairman for each, as follows: Grounds, decorations, lighting, tickets, transportation, publicity, financial, prizes, construction and concessions. Mr. Judah said it was his intention, as manager, to appoint active young business men to manage each department—men who will give their time and talent, and in every way do their utmost to make the fair a success. He will also appoint an assistant manager and an advisory board.

C. H. Hinges moved the appointment of a committee of two to perfect arrangements for the designing and printing of envelopes, letter-heads and other stationery for advertising the carnival. It is the intention of the Club to sell to the highest bidder the right to print this stationery, the printer to dispose of the same to the business men around the city at whatever rate he may be able to secure. The chairman appointed on this committee C. H. Hinges and Hal D. Patton. A number of addresses were made by some of the members which showed that enthusiasm is daily increasing and it was announced that at the next meeting matters will be well in hand so that all may enter at once into the work assigned them. On motion of H. D. Patton adjournment was taken for two weeks.

The scratch of a pin may cause the loss of a limb or even death when blood poisoning results from the injury. All danger of this may be avoided, however, by promptly applying Chamberlain's Pain Balm. It is an antiseptic and a quick healing liniment for cuts, bruises and burns. For sale by Dr. Stone's drug stores.

### WITH HIS COMPLIMENTS

#### GOVERNOR CHAMBERLAIN HAS NAMED SOME DEMOCRATS FOR OFFICES AT HIS DISPOSAL.

(From Thursday's Daily.) Governor Chamberlain yesterday appointed Dr. H. L. Henderson as Health Officer of Astoria to succeed Dr. J. A. Fulton, and Dr. E. E. Straw, of Marshfield, Coos Bay, to succeed Dr. Everett Mignus.

He also appointed Dr. Stephen S. Wise, H. G. Kundert, of Portland, Mrs. Belle M. Wright, of Union, Mrs. Millie R. Trumbull, Oregon City, and Mrs. Sarah A. Evans, of Oswego, as the State Board of Inspectors under the new law for the prohibition or restriction of child labor.

Diphtheria relieved in twenty minutes. Almost miraculous. Dr. Thomas' Electric Oil. At any drug store.

### JUMPED FROM MOVING CAR.

CHICAGO, Feb. 25.—Thomas Vaughn Baker, assistant transportation agent, Department of the Lakes, U. S. A., was killed last night in attempting to alight from a moving suburban train. Mr. Baker was 62 years old and had been connected with the United States Army for 35 years.



Every woman in the country ought to know about

### Mother's Friend

Those who do know about it wonder how they ever got along without it. It has robbed childbirth of its terrors for many a young wife. It has preserved her girlish figure and saved her much suffering. It is an external liniment and carries with it therefore, absolutely no danger of upsetting the system as drugs taken internally are apt to do. It is to be rubbed into the abdomen to soften and strengthen the muscles which are to bear the strain. This means much less pain. It also prevents morning sickness and all of the other discomforts of pregnancy. A druggist of Macon, Ga., says: "I have sold a large quantity of Mother's Friend and have never known an instance where it has failed to produce the good results claimed for it."

A prominent lady of Lambert, Ark., writes: "With my first six children I was in labor from 24 to 30 hours. After using Mother's Friend, my seventh was born in 4 hours."

Get Mother's Friend at the drug stores, \$1.00 per bottle.  
THE BRADFORD REGULATOR CO. ATLANTA, GA.  
Write for and free illustrated book, "BEFORE BABY IS BORN."

## SIERRA SUFFERS DEFEAT.

### PANAMA, Feb. 25.—According to cablegrams received here from Salvador, President Sierra, of Honduras, has suffered a serious defeat.

#### OUT IN THE FOURTH.

PHILADELPHIA, Pa., Feb. 25.—Billy Maynard was knocked out by Terry McGovern in the fourth round at the Pennsylvania Art Club tonight.

## HE DEVIATED FROM PURPOSE

### On Account of Overwhelming Petitions and Convincing Representations

#### GOVERNOR CHAMBERLAIN VETOED BILL AMENDING ASTORIA CHARTER—IT APPEARS THAT SEVERAL AMENDMENTS WERE SMUGGLED IN.

For the first time since the bills passed by the Legislature came into his hands, Governor Chamberlain yesterday deviated from his expressed purpose of allowing all bills of a local nature to go through without molestation, especially in the case of charter bills, when he felt pressed and yielded to the promptings of his conscience and sent House Bill No. 281, amending the charter of Astoria, from his presence with his veto affixed. The Governor said yesterday that he would not have tampered with this bill were it not for the great string of petitions by person, mail and telegraph, which has been pouring in upon him for the past few days, and all from the most prominent citizens and officials of Astoria. In all he received no less than twenty-five telegrams from Astoria yesterday every one of which, except two, were beseeching him to veto the bill. Governor Chamberlain explains his action upon this particular bill, as follows: "I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

### FROM PLEASANT POINT

#### VALUABLE PROPERTY CHANGES HANDS—PETTY THIEF ENTERS SCHOOL HOUSE.

E. S. Tolman has purchased the farm consisting of fifty acres, north of Frank Herren, adjoining Mr. Stover; consideration about \$2,200. It is rumored that Frank Herren has also sold his farm, but the report has not been confirmed. A few nights ago some one broke into the school house by knocking in the upper panels of the door. The burglar stole a pocket-knife and a lead pencil belonging to one of the pupils. This is the third time in three months the house has been unlawfully entered. The State House looks better since the Legislature of unhappy memory has adjourned. All honor to the loyal sixteen who stood by the people all the way through—or nearly all the way through. All honor to the three who did stand all the way through—Messrs. Davey, Judd and Simmons. Let the names of these sixteen be placed high on Oregon's roll of honor. The people will remember them. They will remember the others also, but not with pride nor yet with malice. Mrs. E. S. Coates has returned home improved in health.

### AN OLD ESTATE

#### PROCEEDINGS BROUGHT TO PARTITION THE OLD HUGH COSGROVE PROPERTY.

In department No. 2 of the circuit court for this county there was yesterday filed the papers in a suit to partition the north half of the old Hugh Cosgrove donation land claim in the northern part of this county. This part of the original claim was set off to Mary R. Cosgrove, who died many years ago, leaving a number of heirs, many of whom have since died, each leaving heirs until it appears that the total number of heirs was twenty-nine. The plaintiff in the case is Susan G. Cosgrove, who has purchased the interests of about half the heirs. The complaint alleges that the land is so situated that it can be easily divided and set off in separate tracts to the respective owners. Mrs. Emeline Wagner, William Hager and the Jackson heirs are among the Marion county people named as heirs, and it is understood that the suit is an amicable proceeding concurred in by the heirs in order to segregate their several portions of the land. A. J. Yantine, A. Fortis, and Roy and Martin, of Salem, appear as attorneys for the plaintiff.

"I have not heretofore felt called upon to interpose a veto upon any measure of strictly local interest, and am induced to depart from my usual policy on account of the following considerations: The city attorney of Astoria has called upon me in person, representing, as he claims, seven out of nine of the members of the council of Astoria, and presenting to me the petition of numerous citizens, irrespective of party, requesting that a veto be interposed to the proposed amendment. By him I am advised that the council of the city had under consideration the question of amending the present charter, and it was determined to amend sections 28, 49, 59, 74, 108 and 164, and a bill embodying these amendments was prepared under the direction of the council. It was introduced in the House and passed. When it reached the Senate, the bill as introduced was further amended by proposing amendments to sections 6, 11, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24 and 129. This latter amendment was concurred in by the House. "It is claimed that the latter amendments were never under consideration either by the members of the council or the people of Astoria, and numerous protests against the charter as it finally passed have been filed with me by gentlemen of unquestioned standing and reputation in the city of Astoria, whilst only two members of the council and the mayor and one or two other citizens have insisted that the act should become a law. "Under these circumstances, it seems to me that the charter should not receive executive approval. "In addition to this, an examination of the act discloses that it attempts to amend two very important sections of the act of incorporation, viz: sections 6 and 129, but neither of these sections is mentioned in the title of the act. The question as to the validity of the amendments to these sections would arise to much litigation for the reason that the proposed amendment to section 6 affects the tenure of office of all the elective officers, and operates to legislate out of office at least six members of the council. "The taxpayers and people within the limits of incorporated towns ought to have the largest voice in legislation affecting their vital interests. If it had been the desire of the people of Astoria to make any further amendments to their charter than those which were discussed by the city council, such further amendments should have been embodied in the bill as it was originally introduced in the House of Representatives. No great harm can be done by deferring action upon the charter until the next session of the Legislature. In the meantime, the citizens there will have an opportunity to discuss the matter and present at the next session an amendment to the charter which will at least have the approval of the majority of the city council and those whose duty it is to enforce the laws within the corporate limits."

# COME IN

and get a bottle of Polish. We have about 200 bottles left out of the 1000, free to housekeepers. Each bottle contains enough to polish the furniture in an ordinary house. . . . .

Remember our new location is 269 Liberty Street, next to Jos. Meyers & Sons'

## The House Furnishing Co.

STORES AT SALEM AND ALBANY.

## Glover and Grass Seeds

We have the largest stock of seeds of all kinds in the city and sell them for lower prices than any firm in the state for first class seeds

Garden Seeds in Bulk. Sure Hatch Incubators and Brooders

### D. A. WHITE & SON

Feedmen and Seedsmen 91 Court Street, Salem, Or

### REGRETS TO LEAVE

FRANK DE PARCQ, THE GENIAL AND ACCOMMODATING AGENT, HAS BEEN PROMOTED.

(From Thursday's Daily.) "Frank E. De Parcq, for the past year and a half manager of the Western Union Telegraph Company's business in this city, has been appointed head manager in the company's office at Tacoma, Wash., and will leave for his new station Saturday. Though Mr. and Mrs. De Parcq have been residents of Salem but eighteen months, they have made hundreds of warm friends who will regret to lose them, and all join in extending good wishes for unbounded success and prosperity in their new home. Though the position to which Mr. De Parcq has been promoted is better than the one he has held here, he says he regrets very much to leave Salem, as he is in love with the city and its people