

WE CAN GIVE REAL INSURANCE SERVICE
 Prompt Adjustments of Claims
ROSE J. WILKES
 Fire, Automobile and Liability
 Public Stenography Notary Public
 Reliance Life "Perfect Protection"
 Hotel Tillamook Phone Main 6

DON'T LET THE RAINY DAYS STOP YOUR MOVING
THE CITY TRANSFER COMPANY
 IS PREPARED TO SEND EXPERIENCED PACKERS TO YOUR HOME WITH CANVAS TO COVER ALL YOUR GOODS
CALL US DAY OR NIGHT
 Our Motto: "Quick Service and Reasonable Rates."



REVERE Cords not only look the part but act as good as they look. This applies just as much to the new 30 x 3 1/2 "R" Tread Clincher built especially for light cars as the standard Revere Cord in all sizes.

With Revere Tubes these tires will give you many thousands of miles of splendid service.

GOODYEAR RUBBER COMPANY
 Distributors
 Portland Oregon

COLISEUM

SUNDAY JULY 13
 LAURETTE TAYLOR in
HAPPINESS
 A delightfully pleasing story with a little pathos and plenty of fun.
 "JUBILO Jr." Two reel comedy.

MONDAY JULY 14
 GLORIA SWANSON in
ZAZA
 You'll rank "Zaza" as one of the best pictures of the year. Pictures of local children, posed as movie stars.

TUESDAY JULY 15
 WESLEY BARRY in
GEORGE WASHINGTON JR.
 A snappy, jazzy social comedy. Second night of children posed as movie stars.

WEDNESDAY JULY 16
 THEODORE ROBERTS in
TO THE LADIES
 A real Yankee comedy-drama, with Roberts at his best. "WHOSE HUSBAND ARE YOU?" Two Reel Comedy.

THURSDAY-FRIDAY JULY 17-18
THE CHECHAHCOS
 (Pronounced Chee-Chaw-Koz.) You will gasp with amazement at the scenes of crashing glaciers. With this picture we will have a personal appearance of GLADYS JOHNSTON the leading lady and MR. LEWIS assistant director.

SATURDAY JULY 19
 MARY CARR, TYRONE POWER, EDMUND BREESE, EFFIE SHANNON and FLORENCE BILLINGS in
DAMAGED HEARTS
 A big mystery action romance picture of the Florida Everglades. Story by Basil King.
 "JAZZ WEEKLY" Two Reel Comedy.

PHELPS REPLIES TO DAVEY
 (By N. W. Phelps)

Mr. Davey says: "Did the under- signed or any instructed Catholic ever deny that we show 'reverence' to the pictures and representatives of Christ." Notice that word "reverence." What does that word mean? Webster says: "Profound respect, mingled with FEAR and 'affection'—veneration." Veneration, Webster says: "Act of expressing reverent feeling—WORSHIP." The Universal Dictionary says: "Reverence—Veneration." And of "veneration": "To reverence, to WORSHIP."

So Mr. Davey admits what he has tried so hard to deny. Had to, because it is poor business to teach the children of the church one thing and swear another before them in the public press. They are very likely to get wise to such a bold double-cross as that. Mr. Davey's reason WHY they "reverence" has no point here. The point is, they DO IT, and in doing it they are unchristian. "Thou shalt have NO OTHER GODS BEFORE ME" is the way the Bible talks. Mr. Davey says: We worship pictures and images. I heard a Hindoo give the same reason to justify their worship of images as Mr. Davey here gives for his worship—exactly.

In Deut. 4; we are told not to make any graven image of male or female "lest thou * * * be driven to worship them." In Lev. 26 we are told to "make no * * * graven image, neither rear you up a standing image." But Mr. Davey says, instructed Catholics: "reverences" pictures and "representatives" (images) of Christ. No matter what the reason, God says DON'T do it, Mr. Davey says We do it. Mr. Davey must admit the facts. He and his crowd know that if this flimsy childish "reverence" for images should die out of their church? the St. Ann "shin bone" graft would die out. He must admit the truth or hurt his trade, he has chosen the former. Such outstanding Protestant Catholics as Paul worshipped God only. Protestant Catholic people have been doing the same ever since. It was against such things as "St. Ann shin bone worship," reverence for pictures, that we "protested"—WE STILL PROTEST.

Mr. Davey makes a true Roman Catholic priest out of Peter. Mr. Davey says he left his wife and was followed around the country by "holy women." Why did he not take his wife? What reason is offered to show that the women Peter did take was NOT his wife? None. Peter would not be a true Roman if he took his wife, so Mr. Davey makes him leave his wife and take other women—"holy women." I wonder if we should infer that Mrs. Peter was not holy. Peter was a married man. The Bible says he took with him a woman. There is no reason to be found to think that this woman was any other than his wife. No one of Mr. Davey's "open-minded intelligent public" expected him to make anything else out of Peter than just what is seen around (R. C.) priests' houses everywhere we go—A house, a priest—a harem of women. The only way to keep the (R. C.) laymen swallowing the soddenness of the hierarchy on this point is to make them believe that Peter (and others) went about their priestly toils followed by "holy women."

Look at those "nice" phrases about the "nice" chaste priests who have put all things of sex out and no longer think of these things. Yet, was it not SEVEN popes who were children of popes and other high priestly officers? Surely. How about those other soddenners? If any are able to do this nice thing surely the pope ought to be able and if he is not able how can we expect the others to be able? The Bible says, 1 Tim. 3: "It behooveth therefore a "BISHOP" to be blameless, the husband of ONE WIFE * * * not given to wine * * *

But Mr. Davey and his hierarchy says he must be a man followed by holy women. When you hitch this starchy language to the (R. C.) Confessional in which the priest may, and very often do, ask the females an unprintable list of questions; to the locked door Nunnery over which the priest rules and may at will do his will, and this to the fact that 80 per cent of his dealings is with married women (who of course are all saints?) and it begins to look, not like the angel Mr. Davey pictures, but like Document 190, or a sad eyed, hooded Nun going down the street in a maternity dress, or that pile of bones in Mexico.

This is spoken of as if it were something to lift holiness, and only the holy would do it. Mr. Davey has fooled so many by this little trick that he thinks his "open-minded intelligent public" will be fooled, but I assure him they are not. Christ said: Use not vain repetitions as the "heathen" do. A priest may say divine offices "four" hours every day, but if he spends another four in retreat with a Sister he will go to hell with the rest of the unwashed fornicators.

Mr. Davey knows that he testifies falsely when he says that the author of "Thirty years in Hell" was cast out of the priesthood, or else he testified without knowing the facts. Something like he tried to smear dirt on Crowley by referring to a "jail term," but when we called him on this point and showed that it was all in Crowley's favor, then he says: I do not know what Crowley was in Ireland. Neither does he know, it seems, about Fresenborg. It was a Methodist preacher who induced Fresenborg to leave the (R. C.) priesthood. About the only thing the church of Rome ever kicks a man out for is becoming a Christian. He can be a booze fighter, a blasphemer, a saloonkeeper, a foul mouthed follower of harlots, come to confessional, take holy communion and remain a R. Catholic. But if he quits his meanness, leaves off his habits, becomes a follower of Christ instead of the pope, gives reverence to God only and lets the pictures, images and relics go by, puts aside his dark-age belief in "female gods" and the holy powers of "saints and shin bones," he is at once said to be "kicked out," if he remains long enough for it to happen which is not often.

We are not surprised that Mr. Davey finds document 190 a bitter dose. If we were in his place we would find it so bitter, so revolting, that we would say goodbye to an institution that could produce such base and unhuman conditions as McKinley found in (R. C.) Philippines.

Mr. Davey back waters on his slander statement. He indicated plainly that if what Miss Schoffen said on the platform was reduced to writing it would amount to slander of a "whole group" and she could thus be handled. I called his attention to men who were alive who had reduced their statements to writing and they are the same kind of statements as Miss Schoffen's. Crowley makes charge after charge and tells the time and place, and offers to come forward with the names of the men and the witnesses to prove what he says. All this talk about "dead men" is just another little crawfish crawling into shallow water. That talk that Ex Nuns are out "gold getting" is a mighty cheap way to throw sand in the eyes of (C.) laymen and make them believe that "unanswerable" evidence is a lie. The (R. C.) tried stopping the mouth of Miriam Monk by law, the result was that they piled up so damaging evidence on court records that they have not had the heart to try it again.

The "humbucker" Mr. Davey talks about does not live so very far from the (R.) Catholic Truth? Society of Portland, Oregon Making men believe that "image worship" is "God Worship" and that it is right to make "Benidicine" for PROFITS in the name of Christ—that is pure "humbuggery."

Mr. Davey says: Many more Protestants have persecuted Catholics." If I were given to using Davey terms I would say something about "deliberately false," but I refrain knowing that Mr. Davey is either trying to earn his money or is among the blindest of the blind—those who "will not" see. On the 23 of Sep. 1413 Cobham was led before the Primate who said to him: "We must believe what the holy church of Rome teaches without demanding Christ's authority."

"I am willing" said Cobham, "to believe all that God desires but that the pope should have authority to teach what is contrary to Scripture that I can never believe." They took him out and burned him at the stake. This is only one of thousands. This was done under the pope's orders, it was done by the church. Mr. Davey says they were monsters—so they were. Nevertheless either the pope who ordered this was "infallible" or he was not. If he was Mr. Davey has no right as a Roman to call him a monster, if he was not then NONE of them are ever have been or ever will be—this is the truth. Faith is not the result of DISCIPLINE but discipline is the result of Faith. First the faith after the discipline. There can be no change of discipline without a change of faith in some degree. If it is wrong, and it is, to kill a man because he will not believe that the pope has the right to teach what the Bible does not, then there is no reason why a man should not always GO TO THE BIBLE and avoid the pope for his teaching. But to lay off killing men for this involves a change in faith. It must be decided—Is it right to kill men for refusing to believe what the pope teaches, before the discipline on this point could go forward. To admit change in discipline is to deny the church its "infallibility." God and the Bible are infallible—nothing more. We restate that the plea to forget history and take the present is to deny that the church is infallible in anything. What it has done it has done because of what it "believed and taught."

There is one word which so fills the mouth of Mr. Davey and his crowd that it is near impossible for them

to bring forth anything that does not smell bad, the word: liar! liar! liar! It is this Jesuitical crowd who raises Scott Car "I blank in the presence their hands and swear (Authority, J. of God, the blessed Virgin Mary (A number of hollies) do, by the womb of the Virgin, the Matrix of God, and the rod of Jesus Christ, declare and swear that his holiness the pope, is Christ's vice gerent * * * he hath power to depose heretical kings princes, states commonwealths and out his sacred confirmation, and they may be safely destroyed. * * * I do now denounce and disown any allegiance as due to any heretical king, prince or state, named protestant or liberal, or obedience to any of their laws, magistrates or officers. * * * I do furthermore promise and declare that I will, when opportunity presents, make and wage relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics * * * to extirpate them from the face of the whole earth; that I will spare neither age sex or condition, and I will hang, burn, waste, boil, flag, strangle, and bury alive these infamous heretics; rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women" etc. almost without end. It was priest Tamarr who killed Cubans and hid their bones in his cellar in 1898 and chained his own 13 year old daughter in a tunnel to starve. The horror of (R. C.) history in Cuba was uncovered in 1898 and it fills a book, the Philippines fills another book. These were not dark-age monsters if we lament the past why not cry out against the present. Are not the H. O. G. S. sweat shop hell mills for little children running NOW, and the torture dens for Nuns grinding away at motherhood? That list of sodden drunken, immoral, priests named for before the church by Crowley, in Chicago, that was in the lifetime of this generation. As for that little paragraph about Crowley's life I shall forward that to Crowley. As has been said a man may be ANYTHING, no sin barred, he is all right if he will holdup his hands and say, Holy father, Mighty Pope, all ye saints, blessed mother of God. But if he lives clean, tells the hell-bound, lustful swaggering, priestcraft that they are ungodly and unchristian, looks God and man in the face and lives a Christian he is a "shameless character."

Mr. Davey says. "(R. C.) abstain from flesh" on Friday etc. Of course, not being a Bible reader Mr. Davey did not know that Paul says in 1 Cor. 15, "There is one kind of FLESH of men, another flesh of beasts, another of FISHES, another of birds." How is it then that (R. C.) abstain from flesh. We were on the ocean at dinner, Roman (C.) man and wife on other side of table. They ordered large fine steak cooked as only steamer cooks can cook. They were hungry they waded in. Half done the wife said: "Why John this is Friday." John took the bite from his mouth and said slowly, "Why did you say anything." Mr. Davey admits that there is no Bible for it, then Christ gave us the service we were to use in His memory why take it on ourselves to institute another? These people had a feeling that they would go to the deepest hell if they finished that steak. Who, what, gave them that feeling? Think of Christ being pleased with such childish bondage. Instead of being an honor it is an open insult.

I wonder if that is what Mr. Davey calls proving a point? Does Mr. Davey think his "intelligent" public will swallow an "ever virgin" Mary on that kind of trivium?

In Matt. 1; 18 Joseph found that Mary was with Child, in 19 he is to put her away—divorce her. In 20 the angel of the Lord came to hm. In

24 he took Mary as his wife. In our read: "And knew her not till she brought forth her first born which is to say that HE DID KNOW HER after she had brought forth this first son. Then in Matt. 13; and 56 we read: "Is not this the carpenter's son? Is not his mother called Mary, and his brethren James and Joseph and Simon and Judas? Now turn to Matt. 12;46. "As He was speaking to the multitudes, behold his mother and his brethren stood without, seeking to speak to him." James knew Mary, children are born. Jesus. Notice that Mary the mother of Jesus is said to be the mother of Joseph. Turn then to Matt. 23; Where the mother of Christ is pointed out in the words: "Mary the mother of James and Joseph." Turn now to Matt. 12 and read: "Who is my father and who are my brethren?" For whosoever shall do the will of my Father, that is in heaven, he is my brother and sister and mother. Mr. Davey has no more right to say that these were not his brethren than the flesh than to say that Mary was not his mother. The crowd said his mother and brethren are here with you. Then Christ made it plain that anything like (R. C.) Mary worship was unwholesome and without any authority. Mary had to be just like the rest of folks.

This is a fair sample of the bondage methods used by a fawning priestcraft to wrest truth and twist Scripture. At the Cross Christ called her "woman", how far that is from "Mother of God"? What outrage on God to talk of His mother? If God has a mother, then she is greater than God, and God is not God, his mother is God, and it is pure blasphemy to ask her to go to God since she is God. Davey says: It has been handed down. What does Smith say? (Continued on page 6)

Tender Juicy Mild-flavored

FRYE'S "DELICIOUS" BRAND HAM is "everything the name implies." It is tender, because it is prepared exclusively from the most carefully selected grain-fed porkers—it is juicy because the natural juices are preserved by the exclusive Frye process which is the result of long years of experience and the most modern scientific methods of preparation—it is mild flavored because of the Frye method of curing which results in the appetizing appeal that is winning friends everywhere among discriminating housewives.

Next time you go marketing and Ham or Bacon has a place on your list you will get more than satisfaction if you just remember one name.

that's— DELICIOUS BRAND

Buy Ham and Bacon by this Brand Name—you will find them "EVERYTHING THE NAME IMPLIES"

FRYE'S MEAT GUIDE
 100 tested recipes on the preparation and cooking of Ham, Bacon, Meats.
 Send 2c for postage to Frye & Co., Seattle, and get your free copy.