

# SERMON ON CHRISTIAN SCIENCE.

## Delivered Last Sunday Before a Large Congregation.

By H. A. Van Winkle, Pastor of the Christian Church, Tillamook, Oregon.

In appearing before this great audience tonight to consider briefly the subject of Christian Science; I want it strictly understood that I am not dealing in personalities. Everyone has a right, in a certain sense to his religious views, but everything posing as a religion and parading before the public as such, should not be received by thinking people. Many of them are false and are allowed to wreck the faith of scores of people simply because of the mask they wear.

The subject of the evening is: "Thy are the hands of Esau, but the voice is the voice of Jacob." Gen. 27: 22.

Isaac had grown old, and feeble and blind. The chances are, to a certain degree, he was helpless. Esau was his father's favorite and, because he was the elder son, to him belonged the "birthright". Isaac was very fond of venison and Esau was a great hunter. One day being very hungry Isaac asked Esau to go into the field and kill a venison and make him a "savory food" such as he (Isaac) loved, and then Esau should receive the "Blessing," for the father being quite old knew that he should soon die. Rebekah, the mother of Esau and Jacob, having overheard the promise made to Esau determined to obtain the blessing for the younger son Jacob, who was her favorite. Therefore she told Jacob to bring in two kids from the flock and she prepared the "Savory food". She then dressed Jacob in Esau's clothes and covered his hands and neck with hair, for "Esau was a hairy man". (See Gen. 27:11) and sent him to his father with the food to carry out the deception.

Appearing before his father, and in answer to a question from the latter, he said: "I am Esau, thy first born." Gen. 27:19. The father felt the hands and neck and smelled the clothes and said: "The hands are Esau's but the voice is Jacob's."

### The Hands of Esau.

The hands of Christian Science appear before the public as those of "Our elder Brother" Jesus Christ, and that the healing that they do is given as proof that they belong to "His own Son." We are cautioned in God's word to be as wise as serpents and as harmless as doves, and it requires all the skill and caution of the human mind to be able to detect the false from the true. I am not opposed to the healing done by Christian Science; God forbid that we should withhold from the sufferer anything that will restore him to health, but I object to deceiving the one that is healed into believing that the cures are the same as those wrought by Christ and his Apostles.

"Bunco" games are practiced in every profession, and very often they do the work of an Angel and astonish the world with their achievements. When Moses cast the rod of God before Pharaoh the Magicians imitated the Miracle so nearly that Pharaoh was not willing to admit the difference.

I stood on the street one night and watched a medicine "quack" sell go bottles of hair-cream at a dollar a bottle. When analysed it proved to be a weak solution of some sort, containing nothing that would aid in the growth of hair. One man who used it afterwards claimed that it drew his scalp up until he could hardly shut his eyes. Others claimed that they could actually see young hair sprouting.

Frederick Anton Mesmer appeared in France in 1778 claiming to have discovered a new fluid which he called "Animal Magnetism," and which could be made to act upon the human body and heal disease. He opened his doors to rich and poor, and treated the latter free. His practice became so great that he was unable to care for it. Rich and poor, old and young, flocked to him and he performed the marvelous cures. Many of the brainiest men and women of France and members of the Court were his patients and hundreds of thousands came to believe his cures to be miraculous. His powers were overtaxed and he invented a magnetic tub around which his patients could gather, and a number could be treated at one time. Inside the tub were rows of bottles filled with water, previously magnetized by Mesmer, slender iron rods extended out from the tub conducting magnetism to the patient.

The treatment was accompanied by strange phenomena; especially among the women: Some would cough, spit, complain of pains, perspire, while others were shaken with convulsions. Greater and greater crowds thronged his rooms. Many cures were performed, the cause of which is now understood. Others have appeared at other times and in other countries accomplishing similar results by various outward means. I mention some of these facts so that you may see how history repeats itself.

Do the hands belong to Esau or are they an impersonation? In other words, are these really miracles or are they something natural parading in miraculous garb?

First I wish to quote to you from "Hudson's Law of Psychic Phenomena," beginning on page 157 speaking of Christian Science. "This system is based upon the assumption that matter has no real existence;

consequently we have on bodies, and hence no disease of the body is possible.

It is not known whether the worthy lady, founder of the school, ever stopped to reduce her foundation principles to the form of a syllogism. It is presumed not, for otherwise their intense, monumental, and aggressive absurdity would have become as apparent to her as it is to others. Let us see how they look in the form of a Syllogism: Matter has no existence. Our bodies are composed of matter, therefore, our bodies have no existence. It follows, of course, that disease cannot exist in a non-existent body. That the above embraces the basis of the system called Christian Science no one who has read the works of its founder will deny. Of course, no serious argument can be adduced against such a self-evident absurdity. Nevertheless there are two facts connected with this system which stand out in bold relief: One is that it numbers its followers by the hundred thousand; and the other is that cures are numerous and of the most marvelous character. The first of these facts demonstrates the truth of the trite saying that any system of belief, if earnestly advocated, will find plenty of followers; the second shows in the most conclusive manner that the faith of the objective mind is not a necessary factor in the cure of disease, by Psychic Process. It seems obvious that no greater demand could be made upon the resources of our credulity than to tell us that all that is visible, or tangible to our objective senses, has no real existence. And yet that is what the patient of Christian Science is invited to believe as a condition precedent to his recovery. Of course, he feels at first that his intelligence is insulted, and he protests against such a palpable absurdity, but he is quieted by soothing words, and is told to get himself into a perfectly passive condition, to say nothing and to think of nothing for the time being. After . . . a half an hour, the patient almost inevitably finds immediate relief, and often feels himself completely restored to health. It is useless for him to say that the theory is necessarily correct because he is healed. Mental suggestion is just as effective in relieving and in some instances curing certain ailments before Mrs. Eddy was born as it is today. It will succeed today independent of her theory as well as it will in connection with it.

Scarcely 14 years have passed since Alexander Dowey of Chicago, was surprising the entire country with his marvelous cures. He had a store room completely filled with crutches which had been discarded by his patients. Very few of these, however, were completely cured. Dowey proved to be a fake and a grafter of the worst sort, but that did not prevent him from succeeding in mind healing.

All sickness and disease come under one of three heads: (The following division of disease is not my own but comes from much better authority on this subject) chemical, mechanical and mental. A combination of two and sometimes three may be found in one patient. Calomel will act on the liver and strychnine on the heart. When a chemical poison is taken into the system it takes a chemical antidote to successfully cope with it. Mental suggestions would amount to nothing in such a case. In case of mechanical disarrangement such as a broken leg, dislocated arm, or hollow tooth there is no need to use mental suggestions (Christian Science) for it will not accomplish anything. When Mrs. Eddy got the tooth ache she never thought of resorting to her theory, but at once went to her dentist. After the nerve was killed and the tooth filled she taught her pupils that there was no such thing as matter or pain and charged them \$300 each for three weeks lessons. The mind is very often the cause of our physical ailments: indigestion, rheumatism, certain forms of skin disease and other affections of a more or less serious nature are often caused by the mind and can, therefore, be relieved by suggestion. This is a fact that is so generally admitted and can be proven in so many ways that we do not feel that it is necessary to dwell longer on this point. Christian Scientists heal alright but they don't know how they do it. They think that that "foolosophy" written by Mrs. Eddy has something to do with it but it hasn't.

I'm going to perform a Christian Science miracle before this audience which, although not so striking as some that are witnessed, yet will involve the same principle. There are people in the audience whose mouths are dry and others with parched lips. I'm going to cure you of that. (Here the speaker produced a lemon and taking his knife cut it in two saying this is a very sour lemon and full of juice—one of the sourest I could find in town. He took a bite from the lemon. There was great laughter at this point and nearly everyone was affected by the suggestion.) Now this proves the effect the mind has over the body at least in this one instance. But why should it be necessary to deny that there is such a thing as a material body simply because the mind has a great influence over it? The hands of Christian Science are limited in their healing power to such

diseases as are controlled completely or in part by the mind, for dear friends, these healers will never give the hand and arm back to the one who has unfortunately lost them, nor restore the one that is "withered" as did Christ. The great mistake of the Christian Science is in believing that in order to accept the fact of mind healing he must also accept Mrs. Eddy's theory regarding that fact. We will suppose that a man drove an auto into a town where the citizens have never seen nor heard of such a thing. He stops in the street and is surrounded by a surprised and superstitious crowd. One ventures to ask, "What makes the thing run?" The driver explains that above his head there is a great sheet of electricity which sends its power through his body from his foot on the lever to his hands on the wheel and that is what causes it to run. If someone in the crowd is courageous enough to question the theory the driver turns on him with this question: "You saw it run didn't you?" "By their fruits ye shall know them." Then the questioner is regarded by the crowd as a skeptic and a disbeliever in Miracles. Someone standing behind the car says, he didn't know electricity smelled like that. Then Mr. Wise in the seat informs him that the "testimony of the five senses" cannot be relied upon. See S. & H. Pg. 70 lines 2, 3.

### The Voice of Jacob.

Paul tells us to "prove all things and hold fast to that which is good". We are going to remove the hair from the hands and neck "And hold fast" to mind healing where the mind is the cause of the difficulty. Brethren there are at least three things wrong with the voice of Christian Science: (1) It is untruthful, (2) Its theory is inconsistent, and (3) It is unscriptural.

Truth is never hatched out of the egg of falsehood. Mrs. Eddy claims to be the discoverer of Christian Science when facts go to prove that the name is about the sum total of her discovery. It is a proven fact that Mrs. Eddy took most of her theory from Dr. P. P. Quimby, of Portland, Me. He healed her in 1862 and she was his pupil the next four years or until the time of his death. Then she turned against him, saying that he worked through the power of M. A. H. (Malignant Animal Magnetism). The following is what Mr. B. L. Eddy said in a lecture delivered at Roseburg, Oregon, last April: "When she, Mrs. Eddy, fell out with Mrs. Stetson of N. Y., a shining light in her church according to Mrs. Eddy's friends, as the news paper reported at the time; Mrs. Stetson was simply demonstrating M. A. H. Her views on this subject sound like the revival of "witchcraft". She even went so far as to have a young man hauled into court for practicing M. A. H. against her. The case was dismissed. Was she ill, which was often the case. It was M. A. H. Dr. Quimby let behind him some ten volumes of manuscript containing his views on healing. Most of this matter had been written before he had ever seen Mrs. Eddy. (Mrs. Patterson at that time) Again it has been shown that for years Mrs. Eddy carried about with her a volume of Dr. Quimby's manuscript, on which she based her teaching. When Mr. McClure's magazine had shown up the early history of Christian Science Rev. J. W. Wiggins, who had at one time been literary helper to Mrs. Eddy, said: "what Mrs. Eddy has, as documents clearly prove, she got from P. P. Quimby, of Portland Me., whom she eulogizes after death as the great leader and her special teacher," she based her teaching.

### Is it Consistent?

Really I never read such a "Conglomerated" mess of heathen philosophy, mythology, and "mince-pie" dreams covered over with a crust of Christianity in all my life. For more than two weeks I have been spending from six to eight hours a day endeavoring to wind my way along the crooked paths of various authors on the subject of Christian Science and more especially the "Key to the Scripture" (?) itself. That book is an insult to Science, logic and Christianity. The phrase, "Mortal Mind" is used some two hundred times in S. and H. I ran onto the term so often that, notwithstanding the fact that Mrs. Eddy says there is no Devil, the thing began to take on horns and a spiked tail. This thing (Mortal mind) is to blame for all sighs, tears and heartaches, sorrow, sickness and death. I began to search for a definition and found on page 591 in the "Glossary" (Mrs. Eddy's special dictionary in the back of Science and Health) To my utter surprise, and I might say shame, I read the following: "Mortal mind, nothing, claiming to be something, for mind is immortal." Think of nothing claiming to be something will you? Right above this on the same page we find that "matter" is that which "mortal mind" (nothing) sees, feels, hears, tastes and smells only in belief. "Imagine nothing smelling something only in belief. That isn't a joke, its Christian Science. The Glossary also says on page 590 that knowledge is . . . "that which is not divine and is the origin of sin, sickness and death; the opposite of spiritual truth". What did

Solomon tell us to get such a thing as that for? According to this definition ignorance is bliss. This is death, page 584. "An illusion, the lie of life in matter" (She says that all life is from God then how can it lie? With her at the present time it seems to be the lying of matter in the ground) but lets go on with her definition: "matter has no life, hence it has no real existence. . . . any material evidence of death is false". Here's the Devil, page 584. "That which says there is more than that mind—for I am mind." Mrs. Eddy says there is a "divine mind" and a "mortal mind". Her definition will no doubt prove of great service to Christian Scientists in discovering the personnel of Satan Other Authors on Christian Science.

Willis F. Gross, C. S. B. member of the board of lectureship of the First Scientist Church of Boston, Mass. says in a little book called the "Gospel of Freedom" page 28, "Error is not a quality or condition of divine mind it is mortal mind." Four lines below on the same page he says: "God is the one and only mind". Mr. Gross evidently holds the Bachelors degree in Christian Science and if he is ever so unfortunate as to take the masters degree he will contradict himself in every second line instead of every fourth. On the same page he says: "How does one get into error? Only through believing it to be real. . . . the way out of error is the reverse." That is not to believe it to be real. He says on the previous page that, "One who has the true idea of this Science sees more clearly than ever before the great necessity there is for recognizing evil. . . . page 27. Error must be evil otherwise it must be good. Accordingly the so-called Scientist is called upon to recognize evil and at the same time deny that there is such a thing. Here's another from the same work page 29. "The belief in the reality of disease and suffering is also error." A few lines below on the same page we come to this: "Christian Science teaches that there are Spiritual laws that heal the sick and prevent disease and suffering." These spiritual laws prevent the very things that he has just got through saying do not exist. On page 31 Mr. Gross says that, "Truth can be gained in no way except by demonstration." Now Bro. Gross how can you demonstrate truth to anyone except through one or more of the five senses, the testimony of which is rejected by your beloved leader? Listen to this, Science and Health page 70. "The testimony of the corporeal (five senses), sense cannot inform us what is real and what is delusive." How in the name of common sense—which someone has said in the sixth sense given us to keep the other five from making fools of themselves—Do the Christian Scientists expect us to learn anything about their own teaching except through our eyes or our ears? Cut off these two channels of information and no one would ever have heard of Mrs. Eddy, or the book called Science and Health. In the back of S. and H. is an apartment called "Fruitage". This consists of a series of testimonials by people who claim to have been healed. But of what service are these testimonials when we are informed before arriving at "Fruitage" that we cannot believe our eyes? Friends, you may think that I am dealing in foolishness, and so I am, but it is the only way I can get down to my subject. However, I wish to ask the Scientist one question: Christian Science cannot prove a single case of healing except through the testimony of one or more of five senses. If such testimony is rejected as proof of sickness, pain, or death, why should it be accepted as proof of healing? Mr. Scientist, there is a chestnut for you to crack.

Christian Science talks about the human body, and with the same breath denies that there is such a thing. That's ostrich philosophy. Until the time of Mrs. Eddy, the ostrich was the only creature in the world that would bore its head in the sand and deny that it had a body. A little study of phrenology and then a comparison of the size of its head to that of its body explains the phenomena. But why is it that so many of our most intelligent people will here their heads into the sands of Christian Science, close their eyes against facts, and float about on the other of silly theory? You know there is such a thing as sickness, sin and death. If you don't you do not act in harmony with your belief. Why do Christian Scientists carry umbrellas when it rains? because they do not believe their theory. Why do they eat when they get hungry? For the same reason. Why do they grunt and send for a doctor when they get sick? The same reason. Mrs. Eddy's theory, that there was no such a thing as death failed to change facts, for she died. Just as dead as those who never heard of her theory. Her theory that she is coming back will never bring her back. Just like the rest of us, she will have to wait for the voice of the Son of God.

On page 71 of Science and Health, we find the following: "Close your eyes, and you may dream that you are a flower—that you touch and smell it. Thus you learn that the flower is a product of the so called mind." That is you learn nothing from the real flower but rather from the dream. "Scientists" can have some wonderful and real experiences if they eat half a mince pie just before retiring. The wisdom of her statement here is summed up in this expression: "Shut your eyes and open your mouth." That's exactly what the little bird does before it gets its feathers; and the mother feeds him anything she pleases, which is possible in all right for the bird but I am going to open at least one eye and take a good look before swallowing anything that Mother Eddy has scratched up. But I must leave the inconsistencies for if I were to enumerate them all we would be here tomorrow evening at this time.

I am almost safe in saying that there are from one to half a dozen contradictions in every hundred lines of Science and Health. There is no logical connection or congruity of thought. The chapters are changed about in every new addition that comes out. The first chapter now was once the last, and the second was once the tenth etc. You may read from the back to the front and learn just as much about the theory; or you may begin in the middle and read both ways. Some pages—not all—you may begin at the bottom and read up—taking of course sentence after sentence—and it will not tax your logic any worse than to read down.

### It Is Unscriptural.

Science and Health claims to contain the "Key to the Scriptures," but instead of unlocking them, it destroys them. If we are not blind like poor old Isaac, and are deceived by the "Hairy hands" and will take time to listen to the voice, we will soon discover that it come more nearly being the key to Satan's pest house. It unlocks the scriptures by denying them. I will have time to draw your attention to but a very few comparisons, but they will prove as much as five hundred that I might bring if I had the time.

### The Creation.

Genesis 1:1 reads: "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth." Here is the key S. and H. page 502. "The infinite has no beginning." That statement has no bearing in the first verse of Genesis. The word "beginning" in said verse, as a child could readily see, refers to the time when God created the heavens and the earth, and not to the time when God was created. "This creation consists of unfolding of Spiritual ideas and their identities, which are embraced in the infinite mind and forever reflected. These ideas range from the infinitesimal to the infinity etc." Now I will leave it to you, friends, if the key isn't harder to unlock than the verse of scripture. Genesis 1:10 "And God called the dry land earth; and the gathering together of the waters He called seas; and God saw that it was good." This verse almost broke Mrs. Eddy's key, and would have done so if Moess had not been confused when he wrote it. We now produce the key and proceed to unlock this verse. S. and H. says: "Here the human concept and divine ideas seemed to be confused by the translator." I wonder why it never occurred to Mrs. Eddy that the confusion was all in her own little brain. Moses' head was unusually clear. We will proceed with the key: "In metaphor, the dry land illustrates the absolute formations instituted by mind, while water symbolizes the elements of mind". There seems to be more confusion here than in the scripture.

### Denial of the Death and Deity of Jesus.

On page 44 of S. and H. we read: "The lonely precincts of the tomb gave Jesus a refuge from his foe, a place in which to solve the great problems of being. . . . His deceits believed Jesus to be dead while he was hidden in the sepulcher, whereas he was alive, demonstrating within the narrow tomb the power of Spirit to overcome mortal, material sense." This is a point blank denial of the death of Jesus, but listen to what He (Jesus) says about it: "Thus it is written that the Christ should suffer and rise again from the dead the third day." Lu. 24:46. Now let us hear from Paul on the question: "For I delivered unto you, first of all that which also I received: That Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures; and that He was buried, and that he hath been raised on the third day according to the scriptures" 1 Cor. 15: 3-4. Paul said that Christ died for our sins and that it was according to the scriptures. Mrs. Eddy gives a key to the scriptures saying that he didn't die. "Jesus, we know, and Paul we know" but who is Mrs. Mary Baker G. Eddy?

Christian Science not only denies the death of Jesus, but also His deity. Some ill-informed members of the Science Church will dispute this statement but that matters not, for it is unmistakably taught in S. and H. Here is what Judge Smith, one of the paid lecturers of the Science Church said, and he holds a degree: "utterances of Jesus imply that the same relation to God in which he stood is the divine birthright of every man. That he was, as stated by Mrs. Eddy in S. and H. a glorified man, that he did not teach that he was different in kind from other men, so according to Judge Smith Christian Science denies the deity of Jesus.

Jesus is spoken of as the son of God" forty times. As having power to forgive sins. And he said he had "all authority in heaven and on earth." But I shall not enter into a discussion to prove His deity for with but few exceptions you will believe that. My purpose is to show you that Christian Science denies that fact. On page 45 S. and H. we find a quotation from Paul completely changed, it reads in S. and H. as follows: "For if, when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the (seeming) death of his son." Now the word "seeming" does not belong in that scripture but Mrs. Eddy had to write it in to keep it from smashing her theory, that there is no such a thing as death. It is a plain case of forgery. On page 75 S. and H. he says Lazarus was not dead, and quotes a phrase from Christ where he says, "Our friend Lazarus has fallen asleep and I must go and wake him." This statement was a figure, for when his disciples understood him to mean that Lazarus was asleep, Christ quickly explained his former statement in the following language: "Then Jesus therefore said unto them plainly (inferring that the other was a figure) Lazarus is dead." Juo. 11:14. I wonder why Mrs. Eddy did not read the verse that explained the former statement? Simply because it would spoil her theory.

Now we see that Christian Science denies the personality of God, and

the deity of Jesus. His death and therefore his resurrection. It denies the Genesis account of the creation and almost every other important fact of the bible. The few cases of healing accomplished by Christian Science will never atone for the in suits buried at Jesus in Mrs. Eddy's book. The betrayal of Judas was only made more stinging by the fact that he sealed it with a kiss. Do you not see by this time it is the voice of Jacob.

### Scriptural Miracles vs. Science Healing.

When Jesus Christ healed he did so by his own power and authority. The leper said: "If thou wilt, thou, canst make me clean". Jesus said, "I will Be thou clean." He didn't say to the leper, "There is no such a thing as disease, you are all right, come back for another treatment tomorrow at 2 p.m." When Peter cut off the right ear of Malchus, when Christ was arrested by the soldiers in the garden, Jesus "touched the ear and healed it." My dear friends, that kind of work is as far removed from Eddyism as the east is from the West, for according to the theory of the latter, Jesus would have healed him by telling him first, that he never had any ear, and that what he thought was an ear, was a delusion of "Mortal mind", that there was no pain and that his ear "so called" was all right. And in the meantime the ear would have taken its own time to get well. Jesus was without means wherewith to pay his tax—for he didn't charge for his healing—and when it was due he told Peter to go and catch a fish and take the money from its mouth and pay their taxes. Christian Science healers get the money all right by the millions, but it is out of peoples pockets instead of fishes mouths. Why doesn't the Science church pay its healers a salary sufficient for their support and send them out to heal all the people who may be ill, for their suturing is as real as though they had plenty of money.

The fact is these so called healers fail to heal more often than they succeed, and then offer every reason but the right one to explain why they failed. Nearly every community has both living and dead monuments to the failure of their foolish theory. Doctor Bulgin, in Portland said before five thousand people that twenty seven thousand babies and little children have died in this country during the last five years for want of medical aid; victims of a theory. What do you think of a parent that will lean over a little one till it breathes its last and lie to it by telling it that there is nothing the matter? Can you conceive of God healing through such methods as these? I tell you friends, "It's the voice of Jacob."

Jesus Christ healed by his own power, and the apostles healed in his name. Beyond the apostles, and those upon whom the apostolic hands were laid, the power to perform miracles never passed. Chemical, mechanical, and mental healing is God's way of caring for the body, but they should not be connected with religion. Christ's miracles were for the purpose of proving his deity as John tells us in his gospel chapter 21 verses 30 and 31. The apostles healed in his name for the same purpose. Now notice the difference in the healing done by the apostles, and that by Christian Science. When Peter healed the man at the gate he said to him "In the name of Jesus Christ of Nazareth walk." Acts 3:6. And there was no suggestion used, no denial of the man's disease and no means whatsoever employed. Christ and the apostles used no material means, that in any way had in them healing power, and the healing was not done through a series of treatments. The latter system is dependent altogether on mental suggestion, for a lawyer to "God that has no personality" see S. and H. will never heal a sick man who also has no personality. For Mrs. Eddy says, "God is an impersonal being" and "man is co-existent with God". An impersonal being cannot pray; neither can an impersonal being answer a prayer. Therefore when a Christian Scientist succeeds in healing its through the regular means of mental suggestion, and where they fail it is because the ailment, as a whole or in part, is chemical or mechanical.

In conclusion let me draw your attention to these four proven points:

1. That the healing of Christian Science in no way resembles the miracles of Christ and his apostles, but it is on a plane with that of the ordinary doctor, and is used by most doctors in most cases where it will assist.

2. Mrs. Eddy obtained her idea from Dr. P. P. Quimby, claiming them for her own after his death.

3. The whole theory of Science and Health is illogical and inconsistent and has no connection whatsoever with mind healing.

4. It is absolutely unscriptural, as has been shown, and may be proven to be so by five hundred comparisons. Therefore, friends, the hands are an impersonation of the hands of "Our Elder Brother," but the voice is the voice of Jacob."

### Rev. MacKenzie's View of Christian Science.

Eddyism (Christian Science so-called) is both a foul impostor and a heresy, ominous of danger to the Church of Christ and of peril to immortal souls. It is so called science which ignores God-given facts; a philosophy which stultifies God-given reason; a theology which abolishes God while pretending to deify a mortal woman; a religion which after dishonoring Christ by every possible denial of his word, presents him to us as a phantom Savior who disappeared more than eighteen centuries ago—a Savior who never did and never can save a soul, and who, having gone from the earth, will never again re-

appear.

When Jesus Christ healed he did so by his own power and authority. The leper said: "If thou wilt, thou, canst make me clean". Jesus said, "I will Be thou clean." He didn't say to the leper, "There is no such a thing as disease, you are all right, come back for another treatment tomorrow at 2 p.m." When Peter cut off the right ear of Malchus, when Christ was arrested by the soldiers in the garden, Jesus "touched the ear and healed it." My dear friends, that kind of work is as far removed from Eddyism as the east is from the West, for according to the theory of the latter, Jesus would have healed him by telling him first, that he never had any ear, and that what he thought was an ear, was a delusion of "Mortal mind", that there was no pain and that his ear "so called" was all right. And in the meantime the ear would have taken its own time to get well. Jesus was without means wherewith to pay his tax—for he didn't charge for his healing—and when it was due he told Peter to go and catch a fish and take the money from its mouth and pay their taxes. Christian Science healers get the money all right by the millions, but it is out of peoples pockets instead of fishes mouths. Why doesn't the Science church pay its healers a salary sufficient for their support and send them out to heal all the people who may be ill, for their suturing is as real as though they had plenty of money.

The fact is these so called healers fail to heal more often than they succeed, and then offer every reason but the right one to explain why they failed. Nearly every community has both living and dead monuments to the failure of their foolish theory. Doctor Bulgin, in Portland said before five thousand people that twenty seven thousand babies and little children have died in this country during the last five years for want of medical aid; victims of a theory. What do you think of a parent that will lean over a little one till it breathes its last and lie to it by telling it that there is nothing the matter? Can you conceive of God healing through such methods as these? I tell you friends, "It's the voice of Jacob."

### Denial of the Death and Deity of Jesus.

On page 44 of S. and H. we read: "The lonely precincts of the tomb gave Jesus a refuge from his foe, a place in which to solve the great problems of being. . . . His deceits believed Jesus to be dead while he was hidden in the sepulcher, whereas he was alive, demonstrating within the narrow tomb the power of Spirit to overcome mortal, material sense." This is a point blank denial of the death of Jesus, but listen to what He (Jesus) says about it: "Thus it is written that the Christ should suffer and rise again from the dead the third day." Lu. 24:46. Now let us hear from Paul on the question: "For I delivered unto you, first of all that which also I received: That Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures; and that He was buried, and that he hath been raised on the third day according to the scriptures" 1 Cor. 15: 3-4. Paul said that Christ died for our sins and that it was according to the scriptures. Mrs. Eddy gives a key to the scriptures saying that he didn't die. "Jesus, we know, and Paul we know" but who is Mrs. Mary Baker G. Eddy?