

Future Defense Projects Depend On Bikini Results

WASHINGTON, July 1 (AP)—Civilian authorities on strategic bombing looked today for the full reports from the Bikini A-bomb experiment to turn up facts that will guide precision military planning against possible atomic attacks in the future.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

Homemakers Plan Comforts

All the comforts of home will be featured at the Jackson-Klamath county homemakers' camp to be held at Lake o' the Woods July 17 to 21. This camp is open to any woman in Jackson or Klamath county who wishes a vacation away from house chores and children.

Activities which will be offered are music, nature lore, handicrafts, swimming, hiking, dramatics and sleep.

Mrs. C. H. Kelly, Homedale road, is chairman of the camp. Members of the Klamath county home economics extension group will assist her in making plans for the outing.

Cost for the camp will be \$7, \$3 of which should be paid when registering. Enrollment blanks can be obtained at the county home demonstration agent's office in the post office.

Scouts Will Provide Parade Color Guard

Four scouts from each troop in the Klamath Falls district will be a color guard in the Fourth of July parade, R. E. Lamott, scout director, announced today. The 20 troops, including out of town troops, have been invited to send a color guard for the parade.

The guard will carry the United States and troop flags, and will accompany a Boy Scout float. No other scouts will march in the parade.

Texaco Constructing New Service Station

K. G. Klahn of the Texas company has under construction at the junction of South 6th and Altamont drive a concrete and pumice tile building that will be occupied by the Swan Service station when completed, probably about August 1. The building will replace the one now in use which was built 16 years ago. This building will be torn down during the South 6th street widening program.

The new structure will have a floor space 30 by 40 feet. Three pumps and a wash rack will be installed, and a complete line of tires will be carried.

Marion Biehn has leased the station for the past nine years. C. A. Dunn is the contractor.

It is estimated there are 250,000 workers employed in the United States processing and delivering dairy products.

Goose Lake Eagles Head For Astoria

LAKEVIEW, July 1.—Headed by President Lester Smead, a group of Goose Lake Aerie delegates left for Astoria this week to attend the state convention of Eagles at Astoria on June 27, 28 and 29.

Accompanying Smead will be

Leo L. Scott, vice president of the local Aerie; Wendell Neasham, conductor; Dr. H. J. Winters, past president; George Johnson, secretary, and Danny Fitzgerald, Neil Linscott, Leo Thompson and Ray Hardin, delegates.

Members of the Eagles auxiliary drill team will also attend the big convention.

Some ants are so specialized that they must have slaves to feed them or they perish.

For
Commercial Refrigeration
SALES and SERVICE
See
Karl Urquhart
Refrigeration Equipment Co.
611 Klamath
Phone 6458

DEALERSHIP AVAILABLE

Splendid opportunity for responsible man with specialty selling experience. Acousticon introduced the first hearing aid, 44 years ago. The Acousticon post-war Unipac has revolutionized the hearing aid industry. Full-Page National Advertising, plus a sound local advertising and merchandise plan, are giving our dealers incomes far above average. Man chosen will be given thorough training and factory-expert assistance in establishing his own permanent office . . . \$3,000.00 capital required. Car essential. Write or phone for appointment.

C. F. KAHN,
917 American Bank Bldg.,
Portland, Oregon.
Phone Beacon 4552.



GUILTY
of selling the
most at
HARWIN'S

Homemakers Plan Comforts

All the comforts of home will be featured at the Jackson-Klamath county homemakers' camp to be held at Lake o' the Woods July 17 to 21. This camp is open to any woman in Jackson or Klamath county who wishes a vacation away from house chores and children.

Activities which will be offered are music, nature lore, handicrafts, swimming, hiking, dramatics and sleep.

Mrs. C. H. Kelly, Homedale road, is chairman of the camp. Members of the Klamath county home economics extension group will assist her in making plans for the outing.

Cost for the camp will be \$7, \$3 of which should be paid when registering. Enrollment blanks can be obtained at the county home demonstration agent's office in the post office.

Scouts Will Provide Parade Color Guard

Four scouts from each troop in the Klamath Falls district will be a color guard in the Fourth of July parade, R. E. Lamott, scout director, announced today. The 20 troops, including out of town troops, have been invited to send a color guard for the parade.

The guard will carry the United States and troop flags, and will accompany a Boy Scout float. No other scouts will march in the parade.

Removal Of Cemetery Protested By Mayor

VANCOUVER, Wash., July 1 (AP)—Mayor Fred W. Sinclair said today the city would protest removal of the bodies of soldiers buried in Vancouver Barracks military cemetery to Golden Gate cemetery in San Francisco.

Sinclair said the proposal "was the craziest thing I've heard of in a long time. . . I am told the cost of the removals will be around \$800,000, enough to maintain the cemetery for years to come."

Vancouver has been seeking to have the military cemetery preserved as a national shrine.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender terms.

These experts set back to await complete scientific accounts of the test, after reporting to President Truman in advance on the Bikini experiment that the danger of an atomic blitz on the nation "is real."

They made the appraisal as members of the U. S. strategic bombing survey, which checked up on how atom bombs shattered two Japanese cities last August. "A wise military establishment," they said, "will make sure—by dispersal, concealment, protection, and constant readiness of its forces—that no single blow or series of blows from an enemy can cripple its ability to strike back in the same way or to retaliate accompanying attacks from other air, ground or sea forces."

"The measures to enable this unrelaxing state of readiness are not new; only their urgency is increased."

Released by the president Saturday night, the report classed the testing of the atomic weapon under varying circumstances as "imperative." "Operations Cross-Fairs" at Bikini, it said, will provide more facts about explosions in the air and even more valuable information about detonations at water level and below it.

Verified knowledge, it said, is the best basis for military planning.

Awesome as is its power, the survey said the A-bomb has its limits. That was demonstrated when outlying factories escaped major damage, and shelters and reinforced concrete buildings stood up well against the flame and shock of the atomic onslaught at Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

And that was the basis for proposing the dispersal of both armed forces and industries in this country and for additional recommendations which include: Shelters which would give people protection from blast, radiation, and anything except a direct hit.

Advance plans for evacuation of cities. A national civilian defense.

Stronger buildings, costing 10 or 15 per cent more, but capable of protecting their occupants and contents against an atomic explosion less than half a mile away.

Reserve supplies of critical materials, medicines and vital equipment and machinery.

Avoiding war, by international cooperation, as the surest way of avoiding destruction.

For the most part the report was an analysis of exactly what happened when and after the atomic bombs were set off over the two Japanese cities. A report released at the same time by the war department's Manhattan project, which produced the

atomic bomb, covered the same ground.

The reports differed on the accuracy of the army's original rough estimate that an atomic bomb was the equal of 20,000 tons of TNT.

The army claimed the computation stood up fairly well. The civilians said 2100 tons of assorted bombs—not TNT—would have done as much damage as the A-bomb at Hiroshima.

The military analysis said the A-bombs "certainly" ended the war with Japan although they did not win the conflict alone.

The civilian report said 74 per cent of the Japanese people had doubts about a victory before the bombs were dropped. It said military setbacks were "twice as important" as the atomic missiles "in inducing certainty of defeat."

But the new weapon, it said, did give the government a chance for which it had been looking to break a deadlock and accept surrender