SUPPLEMENT.

EXTRACTS TAKEN FROM

OREGONIAN

and Lumber Ought to be Free!

Protection.

A Characteristic Ruse-Free Whiskey and Tobacco.

Bill Sustained by High Republican Authority.

On no other subject [protection] is there so much effort made to mistify and befog the people. Perhaps the reason is it pays to do so. beneficiaries of the system are highly successful in their duperies of the people at large.

Feb. 2, 1882.

INTRODUCTORY.

The articles contained in this paper, and credited to the Oregonian, are editorial articles taken from the files of the Oregonian, covering the period from 1880 to 1887, inclusive. They comprise only a part of much that that paper has had to say during the time mentioned upon the subject of protection and tariff subject of protection and tariff policy—and all communities s.tuated reform, and in exposure of the impolicy and injustice of the present impolicy and injustice of the present indicate the victims of the policy—and all communities stuated like ours are victims of it—that it is immensely to their advantage that the policy has continued advantage that the system. They demonstrate the Long ere now, had not the Democratic soundness of the views contained in President Cleveland's tariff message, and are in perfect accord with all the essential features of the Mills been a reckoning on the subject of tariff plunder, the navigation laws, dishonest money and the entire scheme of quackeries sustained by greed, ignorance and wool and lumber should be free; if the Democratic party has made its that the tariff on sugar is final effort to rehabilitate Bourbonism that the tariff on sugar is "a tax for revenue, and is directly opposed in principle and effect to a layed. Divison of parties in these issues, which will take place when the Democratic party gets done mourning for its content. contain, therefore, a complete ans-wer to the charge that the Mills bill, as now constituted. What is demanded which takes nearly \$12,000,000 off is honest money, free ships, revision of the tariff in the interest of the people of sugar, is partial and sectional in favor of the Southern planters.

These articles expose in advance the fallacy of revenue reduction, and not reduction, and not reduction, and not reduction the fallacy of revenue reduction, and not reduction the fallacy of revenue reduction. proposed by the Republican plat-form, by abolishing the internal exploded theories of the state sovereignty

"Long ere now, had not the Demo- imagines it exactly the thing for a peo-

in consequence of this policy we are en-abled to get manufactured goods cheaper than we should obtain them under free trade and that we make goods so cheap under protection that we are ablo to un-dersell England even in her own market. And Mr. George B. Loring, of Massa-chusets is produced as authority for the statement—which he is said to sustain by giving trade prices at Manchester and A Tax on Sugar is for

Revenue and Not

Protection.

statement—which he is said to sustain by giving trade prices at Manchester and Birmingham—that consumers in the United States are enabled to buy, under our protective system, "almost everything" in the many lines of manufactured goods of home production, at lower rates than they would have to pay for the English product. Here it is that excess of zeal for the protected monopose. cess of zeal for the protectected monopo-lists of the United States, of whom of course Mr. Loring is one, leads that gen-tleman to prove too much. For of course if we manufacture and sell "almost everything" at lower prices than Great Britain can, we want no "protection." We are not in danger, then, from the "pauper labor" of Europe. Our home manufactures would not be "destroyed" by those whom we even now undersell. And thus the main argument for "protection" is overthrown by the too zeal-ous advocates of that system.

As a matter of fact, however, the state The Principle of the Mills ment is not well founded. It is part of the scheme of sophistry, cozenage and deception by which the monopoly system sustains itself. Of course our manuand forces him to buy the home product at advanced prices. This is the whole scheme of a protective tariff. Except for this, no manufacturer would demand Men like Mr. Loring of Massachusetts want the duties so high as to exclude foreign goods altorether, and thus give them the complete monopoly of the home market, which is the perfection of protection, or at least so high as to raise the price of foreign goods a little above the point at which they are desirous of selling their own. Our tariff legislation is wholly controlled in support of this system. The manufactur-ing interest has become powerful enough to control legislation absolutely for its own profit and against the interest of consumers. Government is made an in-strument through which one class of citi-zens is given legal authority to plunder

and is done with its follies, the reckon

The Fallacies of PROTECTION

I long ere now, had not the Demo-ratio Farty with its characteristic pig: and save are here to have the dedeas, persisted in its states on the statements of the war, there would also on the first and the protection and now, risk was early opperated. The study of the state in delease of quarks and the Bennovate delease, as we will not trade with our known risk and the Bennovate delease, as we will not trade with our known related and the state in delease of the policy of my order of such articles on the protection of the protection. The No. S. Lang, of the Pales, is an accessional contributor to several journals of the State in delease of the policy of my order of such articles of the state in delease of the policy of protection.

I builty Oregonian, July 27, 1882.

The Republican party would efect all needed reduction of the thexa on the internal terry, actually opprases the the statem and outself of the state in delease of quarks which great plants to see their advantage, which great and a burden to agriculture, and the protection of American is a will tend to check imported by repeating the theory of whether the protection of the protection of the protection of American is a will consider the state of such articles of or such to the protection of the protection of the protection of American is a will consider the state of the state of the state of the state in delease of the policy of the state in development of the protection of the protected monatory of the state of the country of the country only one of the check important the country of the state of the state of the state of the state of the country of the of our customers are allowed to bring nothing to the country, but must come in ballast, and therefore earn enough in wheat charters to pay for the entire trip around the world, our farmers can get but little for their wheat, and that little title for their wheat, and that little for their wheat, and the wheat, and the wheat, and the wheat, and that little for the best little for the best little for their wheat, and the wheat, an

[Daily Oregonian, Dec. 11, 1880.]

An inquiry is addressed to the Oregonian by a person who read its remarks

to let in the foreign article, but invite the producer of the home article to make the price excessive, and "protect" him in doing so. Just as our tariff on steel rails increases the cost of all steel rails used in this country, whether imported or produced here, so our tariff on ship-building materials increases cost of all materials of this class. Herein one of the causes why ship-builders of the United States cannot compete with hose of other countries. That we have in our country all necessary ship-build-ing materials is a bootless boast, so long costly that we cannot use them.

A duty ought to be laid on those artiat least for collection. * * * Sugar is one of these. * * It is a tax for revenue, and is directly opposed in principle and effect to a duty imposed for protection .-- Oregonian, Feb. 24, 1881.

proposed by the bill is \$11,759,799, and ex epting the woolen schedule, is nearly * But on correct principles of taxa tion there ought to be a higher duty on sugar than on any other article on the dutable list .- Speech of Roger Q. Mills, July

Sugar, Revenue and Protection.

[Daily Oregonian, February 24, 1881. Again the principle known as free rade does not contemplate the total removal of duties. Such a result would has ever been devised which bas; on the duties on imports. The whole question at issue turns on the principle upon which these duties are to be imposed.

Thus our labor suffers from a system of robbery, National revenue by repealing the

to tide water; and then because the ships sugar is one of the articles that must part of our protective system at the of our customers are allowed to bring bear a duty, so long as revenue is rejoint behests of the whisky trusts and robbery which it legalizes and maintains for its own profit, is just the the thing we ought to be satisfied with. It is too Oregonian, June 30, 1882.

The complaint about sugar is that we did not reduce enough. We have dealt more harshly with sugar than with any other article we have left on the dutiable of yesterday on "Loss of the Carrying list. Yet gentlemen on the other side tell us that we have been sectional; that tem sustains itself. Of course our manufacturers are not offering cheaper goods than Great Britain. If they were, the inquiry is as follows, viz: "The inquiry is as follows, viz: timber, fron, etc. British goods, and there would be no clamor from our protecting monopolists for a tariff to prohibit foreign importations. These protected monopolists know well the purpose of a protective tions. These protected monopolists know well the purpose of a protective tions of terms. Though duties are not levied on home-produced materials that the elevied on home-produced materials that we have been sectional; that we have been se correct principles of taxation, there ought which however, only about one-third to be a higher duty on sugur than any other article on the dutiable list.

Now, Mr. Speaker, we get by the present duty on sugar and molasses about \$58,000,000 per annum. According the estimate of the gentleman on the other side who offered the amendment for free sugar and a bounty for the sugar whisky and tobacco ought not be the grower, the present rate of duty affords only free articles which the American protection to the domestic sugar grower equal to \$6,000,000; so that the cost to the people is \$64,000,000. In order to get \$62,000,000 of revenue from manufacturers of iron and steel, and have to pay \$500,000,000 to \$600,000,000.

— Mill's Speech co. 1.

Mr. Carlisle is in no sense a free rader. There is no reason to be fright ened over Mr. Carlisle's intentions, and nal taxes. This letter has been mailed the republicans who are commencing an alarmist's campaign for 1884 are making

Reduction of Taxes.

In refusing to join in the attempts to alarm the people, which many republicans have made because the democrats did not follow their advice and elect Mr. Randall speaker, we should not be mis understood to favor any policy which will be disastrous to the general interests of the country. The fact of excessive taxation is universally conceded, and the only practical question is in what direc-tion shall the necessary reduction be made. We believe with the New York Times that the proper direction for reduction to take is toward the relief of not be contemplated, even if it were for any reason desirable. Revenue must be manufacturers, the stimulation of trade, had and no method for raising revenue the extension of markets, the employmanufacturers, the stimulation of trade, ing the mischievous luxuries of the smoker and the drinker. We think that freer development for American trade and industry, and a free breakfast table making for the abolition of internal institutions, our vast line of seacoast, modification of it. To anticipate this our restless, indomitable industrial demand and foil it is the object of the energy and talent for trade, we ought to be the greatest maritime and commercial and industry, and a free breakfast table whole, been so satisfactory as levying ing the mischievous luxuries of the duties on imports. The whole question smoker and the drinker. We think that for American workers, are better than a free bar. The high protectionists on the other hand are in favor of retaining or increasing the duty on imports of all greater part of the revenue raised by inother hand are in favor of retaining or increasing the duty on imports of all kinds and the remission of all liquor and ternal taxation is derived from liquors (spirituous and malt), and from tobacco. In soil, in industrial skill and talent, in water power, we are the very articles which ought when such articles are taxed the whole and the distribution of the surplus among the tax goes into the public treasury. The states, or the retention of these (spirituous and man), and the distribution of the surplus among the tax goes into the public treasury. The states, or the distribution of the surplus among the tax goes into the public treasury. proposed by the Republican platform, by abolishing the internal revenue tax, this making while the nacestaries of the arm made dars. In short, these articles are unanswerable arguments in support of the policy of the Democratic platform and candidates upon the tariff ages-tion.

Aside from their intrinsic value, as a contribution to Democratic campaign literature, these articles are not perfectly on the product of the policy of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the value as an expression of the opinions of one or the antique to the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the value as an expression of the opinions of one or the antique to the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the tariff gelecular on the early of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the product of the policy of the Parisific Coast, upon the work of the policy of the policy

Daily Oregonian, Oct. 26, 1881.

A Great Discovery.

[Daily Oregonian, November 27, 1882] All the taxes that spirituous liquors goes into the national treasury, while the other two-thirds are divided as special bounties among favored individuals and corporations. Free trade in these necessary commodities is not ex-pected or desired, but a reasonable modification and reduction of the rates of duty ought to be granted. At any rate citizen is allowed to buy and consume

A Characteristic Ruse. [Daily Oregonian, October 26, 1881.]

Mr. Wharton Barker, of Barker Bros. & Co., bankers of Philadelphia, has addressed to Senator Morrill, of Vermont, a letter urging the abolition of all interto all the leading newspapers of the country. The following note addressed to editors accompanies it:

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 6, 1881. DEAR SIR: I beg to call your attention to the inclosed letter to Senator Morrill, of Vermont, and I will thank she pays less wages, for she pays higher you to inform me what objections, if any, average wages under free trade than you have to the proposal for abolition of

rill argues the proposition at length. But the argument is not conclusive. It omits all mention of the real reason why the proposition is submitted. Philadelphia is the center of our "protective" tariff system. Men like Mr. Barker perceive that the country is growing restive under the exactions of this system, and that there is an increasing demand for a institutions, our vast line of seacoast,

that there may be excuse for continuing the burden of the "protective" system in favor of monopolists. Though everything else be taxed we must have free whisky, beer and tobacco; otherwise the American citizen, born to an inheritance of the meritan citizen, born to an inheritance whose what true are in the protection of the meritan citizen.

vides money for internal improvements and for payments on the public debt, and yet oppresses nobody. Manufacturers of these articles do, indeed, complain, but certainly they are all making money fast enough, and if they were labor to say nothing of a profit on their not the way is open to them to go into better business. The one thing Congress

no higher wages in her crowded mining

The East and the Tariff.

Harvey N. Shepard, an eminent Boson merchant, recently addressed the New England Club on the necessity of its chance with foreign competitors while

and here twice what is sold by the French and four times what is sold by Great Britain does not do this because

either France or Germany under pro-It is my desire to obtain the views of prominent men, so that the question can be properly presented to Congress in relative cost of living, than Great Britzer. December."
ain. Before 1860, under a very low tariff,
The letter addressed to Senator Morwe led all nations in shipbuilding; to-day our shipyards, that were once vocal with the sound of ax, saw and hammer, are silent, and last year Maine did not build building by making a vessel cost from \$20 to \$30 a ton more in Bath, Me., than across the line in New Brunswick. Measured by our intelligence, our free mining wealth, in water power, we are the richest nation on the earth, but

A Burden on Agriculture.

[Daily Oregonian, November 15, 1881] The New York Times in a series of articles on the exactions and oppressions of our tariff laws, is taking up the Mischievous Methods.

[Daily Oregonian. July 27, 1882.]

* * * * *

The inspiration of this bill (to create a tariff commission) is a plan of the extreme protectionists to reduce the taxes on whisky, beer and tobacco in order that there may be excuse for continuing the burden of the "protective" system

sions of our tariff laws, is taking up the schedule item by item for illustrations. In a recent is needed it shows how the agricultural interests of the country are alfected most injuriously by the heavy and almost prohibitory duty on railway building this burden is fully \$3000 a mile, and on renewals it is a constant and heavy tax. The Times justly protests against the wrong and injury of loading our American grain with such a burden in competition with foreign grain. "It may be and constantly increasing.

Taxation of liquors and tobacco relieves legitimate industries of taxation, protin the steel works of that city receive from four to ten dollars a day. It calls these rates "freedom and comfort farce, since the system does not protect, but, on the contrary, actually oppresses the larger part of the labor of the counwages, under free trade, than either France or Germany, under protection, and the United States protural classes of the country, who are most numerous and useful laborers.

> "The lumber duty is the most inexcus able folly and miquity of the whole n strous bundle of iniquities ities called the tariff law."

[Daily Oregonian, February 21, 1883.]

The lumbermen of this country, so far tariff reform. Protection, practically, is as their views have found expression, lavished upon a few favored industries, care nothing one way or another about and tells Brown that his trade must take the question of free lumber. The business is here so well adjusted upon the Smith's is guarded by a tax so high as they have no fear of competition with to shut out foreign competition. The British Columbia. In any event, there United States, France and Germany try to protect their manufactures by restrictive duties. Great Britain's manufactures in any event, the britain of the bri have to face the world without protection. What is the result? Here is Mr. Shepherd's answer: "Great Britain sells in Germany twice what is sold by the French and three times what is sold by us; in France one and one-half times what is sold by the Germans and twice what is sold by us; the point of view of the consumers of lumber, who outnumber the dealers several hundred to one, these are excellent reasons for reducing or removing the duty. From the germans and twice what is sold by the dealers are excellent reasons for reducing or removing the duty. From the germans are twice what is sold by the great of the great properties of the great properties. the without reference to the cost of the artiele, a change in the law which will increase the importation of lumber, and check the rapid consumption of our own pine, is most desirable. The lumbe duty is the most inexcusable folly and iniquity of the whole monstrous bundle of iniquities and absurdities called the tariff law. It cuts two ways. the consumer to encourage the destruc-tion of the forests. Lumber is a peculiar and, once the supply is exhausted, can-not be replaced for several generations. The law should study the preservation of the forests instead of encouraging their a single ship. Protection has killed ship-extinction, should stimulate instead of prohibiting the importation of supplies from other countries.

ply the whole co ntry with their products free of bounty, but exported a surplus exceeding \$800,000,000 last year, turning the balance of trade heavily in our favor, paying off our foreign indebt edness and diffusing prosperity over the land in defiance of the high tariff handlcapping of pampered greed, which, like the horse leech's daughter, cries always