

Hood River Glacier.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 8, 1899.

The Election.
The election in Hood River on Tuesday for town officers was more interesting and exciting than usual. One hundred and thirty-four ballots were cast. The ticket nominated at the citizens' primary was elected by a large majority. For mayor, recorder and treasurer there was no opposition, the union primary having nominated the same parties for those offices that were nominated by the citizens. A fight was made on two of the candidates for aldermen. Mr. Bonney was outspoken in his opposition to licensing a saloon under any circumstances, and the result shows that those opposed to his views got in their work very effectively. S. E. Bartness, who stood with Mr. Bonney on the license question, also fell outside the breast-works. The successful candidates are all representative citizens and business men and can be relied upon to do what is right and for the best interests of the town in considering the liquor question or any other public matter that may come before the council.

The polls opened at 8 o'clock in the Trading Co.'s rooms and closed at 6. The election board was composed of the following: L. Henry, M. V. Rand and E. W. Winans, judges; W. S. Gregory and A. P. Bateham, clerks. E. S. Olinger was in attendance as marshal. The election board was sworn in by Recorder Nickelsen. Following is the result of the ballot:

For Mayor—F. C. Brosius, citizen and union, 115.
For Aldermen, to serve two years—C. A. Bell, citizen, 92; A. S. Blowers, citizen and union, 119; C. T. Bonney, union, 46; P. S. Davidson, Jr., citizen and union, 110.

For Aldermen, to serve one year—S. E. Bartness, union, 42; J. J. Luckey, citizen, 87; D. McDonald, union, 115.

For Recorder—J. R. Nickelsen, citizen and union, 115.

For Treasurer—Geo. P. Crowell, citizen and union, 123.

For Marshal—Ed. Mayes, union, 35; E. S. Olinger, citizen, 93.

Bell, Blowers and Davidson were elected aldermen for the long term and Luckey for the short term.

The Portland Evening Telegram now reaches Hood River the same evening it is printed. The Telegram is the brightest daily newspaper in the state. It is certainly the most independent, and its short but pointed editorials show up the weak places in the make-up of our "leading statesmen" in all parties.

The Crook County Journal is grooming Hon. J. N. Williamson for the race for congress next June. Mr. Williamson represented Crook county several times in the state legislature and is one of the ablest members of that body. He will be put forward by the sheepmen of Eastern Oregon, but the great majority of the republicans of the second district will want to return the present congressman.

Aginaldo's armies are being rapidly dispersed or captured, and the war in Luzon seems to be nearing the end.

Dukes Valley.
Errors GLACIER: As there has been nothing in your valuable paper for some time, perhaps an item from here would be read. We will begin with the boom. Dukes Valley has at last got a move on and is trying to keep in sight of its neighbors of the surrounding country. Old man Knox's barn begins to look rather barnish. He has only been at it now about a year and a half; has the main part up and one shed all covered, the other shed ready to raise. When completed it will be the largest and most convenient barn in the valley.

John F. Dodson has gotten up steam, too. He traded some land for two span of good horses, making all ready to go for him and his boys. He has had to enlarge his barn considerably to make room for his stock.

C. W. Murphy has built an addition to his dwelling in the shape of a kitchen. W. G. Dodson has raised his barn three or four feet, put new over shed 15 by 16 feet, with new roof all, and has planted a small orchard. He also built a porch at his front door.

J. A. Cook is building a bedroom to his residence and is getting ready to go to grubbing, to have some land ready for the plow in the spring.

Roads, roads; yes, roads! We must give a loud kick on our new road. Every other community has public roads built by the public at the expense of the public. We have a road granted, and our very honorable county court has ordered the supervisors to proceed to open it. Our supervisor on the south has opened about one and a half miles of the new road and left it to grow up again. Our supervisor on the north tells us there is no work (or taxes) to be put on the new road, except one or two men, besides those that signed the petition. He says we will have to donate the work to open it if we get it opened.

Mr. Editor, I am afraid this little item is expanding too much, but with your permission I will finish our kick about our road. There are nine ranchers here in this valley. We have no public road out, neither north or south. We all feel like we are entitled to a public road. As most of us are now situated, we will have to pay taxes, having our lands deeded. We have spent considerable time and a good deal of hard labor on the road. We have put in from one to four weeks' work on the road and are willing to donate more work yet. Now, just why it is that our supervisors have failed to obey the order of our honorable county court is somewhat of a mystery to us poor benighted souls over here in the backwoods. Will they give us good reasons for it? This is enough now, but we have more ammunition left.

Jo. A. Ken.
Pine Grove School.
Following is the report of school district No. 7, for month ending Nov. 24th: Enrollment for the month, 44. Average number in daily attendance, 37. Number neither absent nor tardy, 16. Harbison, Carrie Boardman, Edith Harbison, Eva Hennag, Frank Hennag, Fred Hennag, Alphonse Mohr, Josie Mohr, Marie Mohr, Mary Mohr, Raymond Ordway, Daniel Prathar, Effie Robinson, Mabel Robinson, Clarence Robinson, Nerli Sisson, George Winchell, C. M. Sisson, Teacher.

Valley Improvement Co.

Report of secretary for the year ending Nov. 20, 1899.

Building new flume, 530 rods; 100 rods 1 1/2 feet, 140 rods 1 1/2 feet; 30 rods new ditch 1 1/2 feet; 160 rods ditch 1 1/2 feet; 40 rods extra side ditches 1 1/2 feet; lowering and improving ditch 2 1/2 feet; lowering main flume and repairs 287 88
Operating expense for season 428 40
Paid old accounts 220 00
Notes and accounts due company 513 10
Total \$2,200 00
500 inches water sold, 1899, \$2,200.
All improvements have been made in a good, substantial manner, which not only adds to the value of the property, but will reduce operating expenses at least 25 per cent for the coming season.

F. VAN EXPORT, President.

H. H. BAILEY, Secretary.

Personal.

Pratt Whitcomb is up from Portland. Miss Ethel Davis of The Dalles is visiting her sister, Mrs. E. C. Mahay.

C. H. Stranahan came down from his ranch in Sherman county on Sunday. Miss Nettie Kemp was a visitor at The Dalles last Friday and Saturday.

Miss Bernice Foley is visiting in The Dalles, having gone by boat on Tuesday. W. E. George and family moved up from Portland last Saturday and are occupying the Lloy house.

Dr. G. W. Sellers of Mt. Moriah, Mo., and Miss Kate Warrick of Oregon City, arrived here Tuesday and are guests of Mr. and Mrs. T. J. Conning.

Mrs. E. B. Clark left on Tuesday evening for her new home at Fort Stevens. Mr. Clark met her in Portland. He is well pleased with his new situation.

Rev. H. K. Benson has accepted an invitation from Mr. and Mrs. G. D. Woodworth to join them with them for two months at their country home at Belmont.

Edgar Stevens came up from Portland Thanksgiving day to visit friends and relatives for a few days. He is in the employ of Randall & Van Vleet, job printers of Portland.

Mr. and Mrs. J. O. Phelps, from Marion county, are visiting Mrs. Phelps' mother, Mrs. D. H. Clough. Mr. Phelps came to Marion county in 1875, from Dakota, with wife and three small children. He bought 40 acres of brush land, mostly on time, and by energy and industry is now the owner of 180 acres, 100 under cultivation, with good buildings and is out of debt with money in the bank.

Mrs. C. B. Atterbury and son of Salt Lake have been visiting Hood River during the past week. C. B. Atterbury and family expect to move to Hood River about the first of March, when they will occupy their place purchased last summer of J. T. Neff. They are neighbors in Utah of A. C. Sisten and family, who recently purchased J. J. Luckey's ranch. These people are all estimable folks and will be welcome to the valuable members of Hood River society. They learned of Hood River by reading the GLACIER.

Election of Officers.

At a regular meeting of Canby post, G. A. R., last Saturday, the following officers were elected for the ensuing year:

Commander—M. P. Isenberg.
Senior Vice Commander—E. D. Calkins.
Junior Vice Commander—O. L. Stranahan.
Surgeon—G. R. Castner.
Quartermaster—L. Henry.
Officers of the Day—A. S. Blowers.
Chaplain—C. J. Hayes.

Officers of the Guard—M. V. Rand.
Representatives to State Encampment—J. J. Conning and E. D. Calkins.
Alternates—G. R. Castner and H. H. Bailey.

A committee was appointed to confer with the ladies of the Relief corps and make arrangements for installation. The committee decided to have a joint installation January 6th, at 1:30 p. m. The meeting will not be public, but all old soldiers and their families and Sons of Veterans are cordially invited to be present. After installation, lunch will be served, and all members of the post and corps are requested to bring lunch baskets. A special invitation is extended to ex-soldiers of the Second Oregon to be present.

At the meeting of the committee of arrangements, W. R. C., on the same date, elected the following officers:

President—Adella Stranahan.
Vice President—Agnes Conning.
Junior Vice President—Helen Blowers.
Chaplain—Anna Flagg.
Treasurer—Mrs. E. F. Shoemaker.
Conductor—Mrs. L. Henry.
Guard—Carrle Crowell.

The secretary, assistant conductor and assistant guard are to be chosen.

Mount Hood Notes.

We had quite a fall of snow last Monday. It was the first snow of the season in our settlement.

A. B. Billings is getting along nicely since the doctor's came up to see him. Arthur Diebrow has sold most of his apples but has some good ones yet and a good many small ones left over.

The Kings club opened at Mount Hood on Thanksgiving evening with the usual membership. D. H. Cooper was elected chairman and freeman; W. E. Edick, secretary, and the rest of the club, treasurer.

W. H. Edick and Bert Sandman went to the city on Monday and found the room very muddy.

Henry Ries started for Klickitat county on Monday, where he will spend the winter.

Mrs. O. H. Rhoads has been very sick for about ten days, but was getting better at last accounts.

C. P. Knapp was up to the mill last week, putting in logs for Robt. Leasure. You & Me.

Cloverdell.

The Advents have their church about completed. The missionary entertainment which was given in the Christian church on Thanksgiving evening was well attended, notwithstanding the stormy weather.

J. W. Moore is making extensive improvements on his place, in the way of sheds around his barn for the comfort of his cow and protection of his wagon.

The Advents expect to give a missionary entertainment in their church on Friday evening.

Mrs. S. W. Arnold entertained a number of relatives and friends Thanksgiving day.

To Cure a Cold in One Day.

Take LAXATIVE BROMO QUININE TABLETS. All druggists refund the money if it fails to cure. E. W. Grove's signature is on each box.

Hood River Teachers' Association.

The following programme will be observed at the local teachers' association, which will convene in the public school building at 2 o'clock p. m., Saturday, December 9th:

Song—No. 141, Uncle Sam's Song Book.
Programme in Graded Country Schools, J. T. Neff.

Discussion: What is it? How Secured and Maintained, Miss Madge Warren. Reces.

Duet—By pupils from room A.
A plan for teaching United States history in graded country schools, H. L. Howe.

Discussion.
Recitation—Miss Nellie White.
Closing Song—No. 93, Uncle Sam's Song Book.

Plea for Prohibition.

HOOD RIVER, Or., Dec. 1, 1899.—Editor GLACIER: The wise man, centuries ago, asked the question, "Who hath life, who hath sorrow?" and many other like questions. Then the same wise man answered by saying, "They that tarry long at the wine; they that seek mixed wines." Had he lived until the present time he might include many other poisonous and alcoholic beverages, and can say that a truisim, is now and ever will be till time shall be no more.

That the rum traffic of today is the great enemy of the human race admits of no successful argument. The mightiest forces in the universe are moral in their nature, otherwise the evil forces of the rum traffic would engulf the human race. So, Mr. Editor, our motto is and ever shall be, Prohibition. We are opposed to any form or grade of license to sell or traffic in alcoholic beverages, and maintain that the present law, or any law legalizing the same, is a disgrace to American civilization. It is the government that makes laws, but the people make the government. It has no existence apart from the people. It is not a thing that lives and acts independently, and of itself. Mr. Editor, you and I, in connection with every other citizen, are simply factors of this government, and the government is simply what the people make it.

Who then is responsible for the present ruinous liquor traffic? We answer, not the saloon keeper but the people. The whole license system, from beginning to end, is radically wrong, an outrage on humanity, a burning shame and disgrace to the nation. It is the whiskey drinking and the larger sale of alcoholic poison are right, why restrict it by statute? Who ever heard of a law being enacted in a civilized and Christian nation to restrict the free exercise of the right of law? Is it wrong, or is it wrong, not the right. If the whiskey traffic is right, what authority has the law to stop it and restrict it? Why not encourage the sale of it, for one man has the same inherent right as another? Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are founded? From the same standpoint, why not legalize robbery and license out-throats to ransom and plunder indiscriminately? In short, to license a saloon keeper to vend his poisonous traffic is outrage upon outrage, to rob man of his manhood, to drive the honest man from his home, to rob life of all its noble affections, and last, but not least, the soul of its greatest and future happiness and immortality. License to jail and penitentiaries, insane asylums, criminal courts; to break the hearts of loving wives and mothers; to rob home of all its sacred affections; to turn love into hatred. License to clothe innocent children with disgrace and rags, to crush out of their young hearts every hope of life and happiness for time and eternity.

Why not let us have as good goods, groceries and hardware? The government realizes the fact that such a system would be ruinous in its effects, and therefore the restrictions. Hence the party who pays a certain amount for the privilege, together with a bond requiring certain restrictions, is allowed to engage in the infamous traffic. If right, why restrict it? If wrong, why license it? No legislation can make wrong right. The inherent principles of right or wrong are eternal. Why then, instead of trying to do our government legal and license wrong by statute, permitting her subjects by law to undermine and destroy every solid principle on which good society and good government are