MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

"Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"
Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO.
33 North Fir St., Ph. 772-6141
ROBERT W. RUHL, Editor
HERB GREY Advertising Manager
GERALD T. LATHAM, Bus. Mgr.
ERIC W. ALLEN JR., Mng. Editor
EARL H. ADAMS, City Editor
HARRY CHIPMAN, Teleg Editor
RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor
OLIVE STARCHER Women's Editor
DALE ERICKSON, Circulation Mgr.
An Independent Newspaper
Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon under Act of March 3, 1897
SUBSCRIPTION RATES
By Mail — In Advance
Daily and Sunday—1 year $18.00
Daily and Sunday—6 mos. 10.00
Daily and Sunday—3 mos. 5.00
Sunday Only—One year 3.00
Single Copy (Mailed) 20c
By Carrier—And Motor Route:
Daily and Sunday—1 year $21.00
Daily and Sunday—1 mo. 1.75
Sunday Only—1 mo. 50c
Carrier and Vendors — Copy 10c
Official Paper of City of Medford
Official Paper of Jackson County
United Press International Full Leased Wire
U. P. I. Telephoto Newspictures
MEMBER OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATIONS
Advertising Representative:
NELSON ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, Denver

Oregon 1963 NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION
NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION 1963 AFFILIATE MEMBER
Member California Newspaper Publishers Association

## Flight o' Time

Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

**10 YEARS AGO**
**Oct. 21, 1953 (Wednesday)**

Jackson county district attorney this morning was served with papers in a $10,500 civil suit charging him with malicious prosecution.

Lt. Charles Champlin, 39, of the Medford city police department was named the new police chief of the city, effective Nov. 1, at last night's council meeting.

**20 YEARS AGO**
**Oct. 21, 1943 (Thursday)**

American Legion auxiliary to help Army recruit Wacs in local area.

From Arthur Perry's "Ye Smudge Pot" column: "The rains prepared the ground for fall plowing. Farmers are greasing up their tractors for the furrow-making, but none as yet have been shot by a careful hunter in mistake for stray rhinoceros, or other blood-sweating behemoth."

**30 YEARS AGO**
**Oct. 21, 1933 (Saturday)**

Fluhrer's bakery opened with 8,000 visitors to modern plant.

Pear shipments to cast by boats to be tested; Robert Norris to make trip to observe results.

**40 YEARS AGO**
**Oct. 21, 1923 (Sunday)**

Three DeAutremont brothers, Ray, Roy and Hugh, identified by postal inspectors and Southern Pacific agents as Siskiyou tunnel train bandits; a fourth man also was named.

"Iolanthe" presented by local talent at fairgrounds last summer, to be shown at Portland.

**50 YEARS AGO**
**Oct. 21, 1913 (Tuesday)**

Merchants adopt resolution favoring issuance of $50,000 in bonds for building of reserve reservoir to protect city in case of water pipe break.

Eagle Point to vote on local option.

## What's Your I.Q.?

**Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.**

1. On what continent is Rhodesia?
2. Who was known as the "Wizard of Menlo Park"?
3. "Jersey Lightning" is a slang name for wht alcoholic beverage?
4. Who wrote, "Oh East is East and West is West, and never the twain shall meet"?
5. Is Theodolite the name of a Roman Emperor, Green conquerer, surveying instrument, or star?
6. Does a carpenter use a rip saw to cut across the grain, in the direction of the grain, or in circular lines?
7. In which stadium in California do the American League Giants play their home games?
8. How many male players participate in a basketball game?
9. Is the iris, cornea or pupil the "lens" of the eye?
10. Which is right: Timbuktu or Timbuctu?

**Answers: 1. Africa. 2. Thomas A. Edison. 3. Applejack. 4. Rudyard Kipling. 5. Surveying instrument. 6. Direction of grain. 7. Candlestick Park, San Francisco. 8. Ten. 9. Neither — the lens is a part of the pupil. 10. Timbuktu.**

## *U.N. Attacks Boomerang*

It is often said that a knock is a boost.

Ever since the John Birch Society and other extremist groups began attacking the United Nations, the American Association for the U.N. has been experiencing a boom in membership.

The AAUN's purpose is to muster support for the U.N. among U.S. citizens. One of its affiliates is the Oregon United Nations Association, which in turn is supported by a number of local chapters.

Repeated polls have shown that the great majority of American people favor the U.N., but mostly this is a passive feeling.

* * * * *

AN AAUN official recently stated that "some of our new members say they always supported the U.N. idea, but they never felt they had to do anything about it. Now, in reaction to local attacks, they are joining us."

Defenders of the U.N. freely acknowledge the world organization's weaknesses and imperfections. They do not approve everything the U.N. does, and they have some fears about the effect of the large numbers of new nations which have little stability and responsibility but which have equal voting power in the General Assembly with the big nations.

But they see the U.N. as a necessary instrument through which the bitter edges of many antagonisms can be smoothed and possible major conflicts avoided. The U.N. is an agency which can help its baby members to grow up. —Oregon Journal, Portland.

## *Close to Home*

There was some humor left among state employees, the day after the tax election. Going around was this definition of an optimist: Anyone who brought his lunch to work that day.

It isn't funny in our neighborhood. One of the neighbors — an intelligent specialist who, we've been told by the others, is quite an asset to the state — has been in his present job for "only" six years, so he will be one of the first to go if his agency's budget is cut as expected.

It's not so easy when it's the fellow up the block, who's paying for his house, as you are; and sending two youngsters to school, as you are; and yet is facing unemployment, as you are not, because he happens to work for a boss (the public) who doesn't know who he is or what he does or what he's worth.—Capital Press, Salem.

## *All the News...*

"All The News That's Fit To Print" is the slogan of America's most respected newspaper, the New York Times.

But that's a silly and downright dishonest slogan of America's most respected newspaper, in such quanity and quality as the Times.

"All The News That Fits, We Print" would be a more realistic slogan for any newspaper in the land. For every journal works within limitations — those of the ability of its reporters and editors and those of its economic support.

Every newspaper attempts to present the cream of the day's news, selected and displayed according to the needs and interests of its particular readers. And even that is a monumental task.

* * * * *

ON NATIONAL Newspaper Week, newspapers ought to admit their limitations as well as herald their accomplishments.

The accomplishments are of vital importance, of course. Citizens of a democracy would be impotent without the help of thousands of newsmen, who constantly search near and far for information of significance and interest. The free press keeps public servants out in public where voters can watch them, keeps government responsive to the desires of the people.

Nevertheless, newspapers have their shortcomings, too. We sometimes get snookered when we should know better, failing to separate truth from error. And we sometimes get so bogged down in detail that we miss important marks.

Anyway, we do our best. And we'll continue to give you more than your dime's worth.—Capital Journal, Salem.

## *Why the Hate?*

A lot of people in and associated with education would like to know why Sen. Walter Pearson feels as he does about education and almost everybody associated with education. It is obvious that Pearson has a deepseated problem with education. He is "bugged" on it.

A member of the Legislature told us recently that he almost lost his bid for election one year because he was on the same platform with Pearson when Pearson launched an attack on teachers. "People thought I subscribed to Pearson's opinions, which I decidedly did not, and I had a lot of explaining to do to save my neck," the man said.

Walter Pearson is a teacher hater from away back. And he hates with vengeance. He speaks of teachers as most of us speak of crooks.

Where did it begin? What happened to make him that way? Perhaps it goes back to childhood, this hatred for and mistrust of teachers and schools and almost everybody associated with schools. His feud with education goes to almost incredible lengths.

We'd like a psychiatrist to take the case and report his findings.—Pendleton East Oregonian.

"Half Step! Half Step! You Keep Marching Too Fast"

# Foreign News: Morocco vs. Algeria; Few Tory Changes; Tito and Red China

By PHIL NEWSOM
UPI Foreign News Analyst

Notes from the foreign news cables:

MOROCCO VS. ALGERIA:

In the event of a slugging match between Morocco and Algeria, French experts do not rate Algeria's chances too highly. The French, who formerly ran the affairs of both, believe the Moroccans could whip the inexperienced Algerian army in a standup fight. For this reason alone, French officials think Ben Bella will be forced to seek a face-saving negotiated settlement.

NEW MANAGEMENT:

New British Prime Minister Lord Home is expected to make no major changes in the policies followed by his predecessor, Harold Macmillan. In the foreign field the emphasis will be on continued close alignment with the United States. At home the aim will be to maintain the push toward greater productivity and increased exports. Above all, the new government will press to close Conservative ranks and improve the badly shaken image of the party. The next move will be to try win over public opinion in preparation for elections now believed a long way off—possibly not before early fall of next year.

ON THE PAN:

Yugoslav President Tito's current visit to the United States is expected to produce a new tirade from Red China. Red China is expected to hop on the visit as new proof that the "modern revisionists" (Khrushchev and company) are betraying Communist goals. Such an attack, if it comes, could sabotage proposals to heal the breach between the Red giants and assure expulsion of the Chinese from the international Communist movement at a forthcoming Moscow conference.

SAUCE FOR THE GOOSE:

Washington's agreement to sell wheat to the Soviet Union is having its effect on the Japanese who have been urged by the United States to forego trade with Red China. The Japanese say "What's good for one is good for all." The Chinese laid out the red carpet for a Japanese trade mission just back from Peking, where a Japanese industrial exhibit is drawing multitudes and giving the Japanese dreams of a $200 million trade year with Red China. Red Chinese Premier Chou En-lai received former Japanese Premier Tansan Ishibashii twice and held out olive branches loaded with Communist peace doves.

CHALLENGE TO DE GAULLE:

President Charles de Gaulle is fast approaching a showdown on his save-the-franc austerity program. The French labor unions are lining up to challenge him, with a 24-hour railroad strike on Wednesday their first blow. But Finance Minister Valery Giscard d'Estaing is staking his career on holding the line. He is said to have told De Gulle he will quit if the plan breaks down.

# ... Communications ...

**Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.**

**Good Neighbor**

To the Editor: Having read Sidney J. Harris's article where he asked, "Are Negroes men?" I'd like to add my thoughts. Yes, I believe they are human beings and I believe I know where they came from.

Adam means red, and most names in the Biblical history had a meaning. Ham means sun-warmed, maybe we would say sunburned or sun-tan.

Historians, I am told, believe that Ham married a dark skinned girl, for after the flood Ham's son was named Crush, was so named because it meant black-one, and Canaan another son, his name meant blackman.

Because of Ham's sin (see Gen. 9:25) Noah cursed Ham through his son Canaan, saying, "A servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren."

Now the men tried to start integration by building a city and a tower for all to live together. This displeased God, for He had told Noah and his sons to replenish the earth, not to build a city and integrate.

So God started segregation. First He divided them with different languages, then each language into nations (see Gen. 10:5). I see nothing wrong with segregation, we still have many nations, each with their own language, skin coloring and features (Gen. 10:5).

The trouble was with our forefathers, they sinned by buying the servant of servants and making them slaves and by discriminating against them.

We of many generations later will pay for their sins, for the sins of the father will be visited upon the sons for many generations.

Now I did not suggest segregation. God did that many thousands of years ago, but all this makes it clear where the Negroes came from and why they have had to serve these many years.

I do not believe they should be slaves or be discriminated against nor do I believe in them inter-marrying with the white.

The Negroes born here are legal American citizens and should have the right as an American, to live and work according to their ability.

I have seen Negroes I'd hate to live beside, but I have seen white people I'd hate to live beside. The thing that makes a good neighbor or a good employee, be he black or white, is to be honest, neat and clean, both mentally and physically, yes, spiritually may be added. There may be other attributes we would like but I believe the above is necessary to make a good neighbor or employee and not the color of their skin.

Mabel Harmon
1035 Cherry st.
Medford.

**Muckraking**

To the Editor: On the day of the Portland Rose Festival several years ago, we were standing along Portland's principal thoroughfare. After a protracted wait, Hopalong Cassidy rode by on his palomino.

The crowds lining the streets went wild. Their adorations of this unspectacular actor was so strong, so wild, that it could almost be felt—sensed physically. The very air was charged with emotion-laden, mob-like feeling.

The atmosphere was similarly cracking in 1960, when President J. F. Kennedy, then Senator, appeared in a large city in Maine before many thousands of Democrats, most of them admirers.

Now before we are accused of comparing the Senator with the movie star, note that we are not: We are comparing the short of voting age. Their excitement was the type common to people who have the opportunity to see in person a well-known national figure. One could hardly suspect them of making Kennedy their hero on the basis of his political philosophy.

Mr. Editor, your editorial of Oct. 15 should have been inserted in the Communications section alongside recent letters which have been filled with narrow-minded sarcasm and irrelevant allergy to prove the weaknesses of persons with views different from those of the reactions of the crowds surrounding them.

For you, a man with an influential position, to even hint that a political candidate is anything but a man with a sincere belief that he knows what is in the best interests of the country, is disgusting. I don't particularly agree with Mr. Goldwater. I do know that any person who rises to a senate seat, regardless of party affiliations, has most likely proved himself worthy of personal respect. To agree with his views is an entirely different matter.

You constantly belittle the extremists (and rightly so) for their unfounded hints that parties of opposite views are personally untrustworthy. Now you yourself seem to have chosen their methods for your own ends.

I suggest you attack the opposition at the ideological level —the important level—and leave the muckraking to those who know no better.

Frank Long
1090 S. Ellendale dr.
Medford.

**Thanks**

To the Editor: Thank you and the members of your staff in the Mail Tribune office for your publicity and assistance in presenting the adult education program to the Jackson county communities. They were a real aid, especially the Tribune ads which were so effective.

Thank you again for your interest and participation in the evening school activities.

Lindsay M. Vinsel,
Supervisor
Adult and Vocational Education
Medford Public Schools
Medford

**Train Up a Child**

To the Editor: Re-Lydia Burham's "No Santa Claus," Mail Tribune Oct. 16, '63.

If the American way of life seems lower in standard than the Japanese or Chinese and other heathen nations, the fault is not with the pattern the Christian nation has, but with the individual.

No human being has ever attained to the perfection of the Lord, Jesus Christ, yet it is our privilege to try for that perfection.

No other god has anything to offer.

Satan is not concerned with the heathens, as long as they remain in that state, but he is concerned about all Christian believers; he will have to answer for their confessed sins.

No man can make himself righteous by works; but works become natural to a converted soul.

If the individual invites the Holy Spirit to live in him, He will abide and the works are perfected, by faith.

Santa Claus is a carry over from paganism and is not mentioned in the Scruptures; we do not know Christ's birthday. If the Scriptures be wrested they become dangerous to the individual so doing. (2 Pet. 3:16)

If the parents in the home were seeking Christ continually, it would be much easier for the children to follow in the paths of duty, and delinquency would fade away.

"God Is Love" — thus it is a lack of love in the home.

Let us acquire that love by the means God has provided, whether it be at school, in the home, or elsewhere, but it certainly should begin at home.

"Train up a child in the way he should go: and when he is old, he will not depart from it." (Prov. 22:6)

James Williams
P.O. Box 441
Jacksonville, Ore.

**Civil Rights Bill**

To the Editor: As a matter of most serious urgency, public attention should be directed to the comprehensive legislative package Attorney General Robert Kennedy is currently attempting to force through Congress under the title, "The Civil Rights Bill of 1963."

An analysis of this most amazing proposal by attorneys Loyd Wright and John C. Satterfield—both past presidents of the American Bar Association—published in the Oct. 12 issue of Human Events, ably and accurately describes it and details its alarming implications. A quoted excerpt follows:

"Its title is a misnomer. This bill is but 10 per cent civil rights. The rest — 90 per cent — is an extension of federal executive power created at the expense of individuals, states and municipalities. It is, in fact, the blueprint for a controlled system of life, more drastic than all such legislation ever passed."

It is clear that if this so-called "Civil Rights" package were to become law, each and every individual, regardless of his status—whether he be merely a homeowner, or an employer or employee, common laborer or executive, in any kind of business, etc., etc. — he would be either directly or indirectly subject to federal inspection and control by an agency which would act as prosecutor, judge and jury. Unconstitutional? Grossly so.

Space here does not permit a complete elaboration on the far-reaching implications of this unprecedented proposal—unprecedented, that is, unless there be discovered a Soviet counterpart —but were it law it might be fairly well summarized thusly:

If, regardless of your status or activity, you deposit in or borrow from a government-inspired institution, you would be subject to its dictatorial provisions. And this is by no means all.

Every intelligent American, if he has any regard whatever for his freedom under the Constitution, should make it the first order of business to investigate this threat to his way of life. He should not permit the sun to set before he has written his senators and his representative in Congress demanding that they hold up this bill for careful study, and reject it at once if it is found to be as here represented.

William S. Larew
2074 Fairview ave.,
Grants Pass, Ore.

**Friends Lost**

To the Editor: E.A., I had intended to give you a big ha ha about the election returns on the tax bill but remembering the times in the past when I had to carry out orders from higher up, orders that I did not approve of at all, will just express my sympathy and hope that in the near future something comes up whereby you can regain some of the many friends lost in the past few months.

Claude M. Hall
2860 Placer rd.
Sunny Valley, Ore.

## A Foundation You Can Fly On

**By Arthur Hoppe**

EN ROUTE TO ENGLAND—I think there must be some mistake. I seem to be on my way to some place called: "Wilton Park, Steyning, Sussex." To attend a two-week conference on something called: "The Common Market and the Third World: Economics." And I keep wondering if maybe I've been getting Mr. Walter Lippmann's mail by mistake.

But, being an ace newsman, I struck while the invitation was hot. I accepted it for the usual reasons we ace newsmen accept invitations to go abroad: (1) the opportunity to broaden my horizons, (2) the chance to depthen my scope and (3) an airplane ticket.

Frankly, I regret it already. It's never easy for us ace newsmen. What's never easy is to pay back whoever it was that gave us the ticket.

* * *

I hope I'm not giving away trade secrets, but the traditional way we do it is to slip a few hidden plugs into our stories so smoothly you'd hardly notice them. Like:

"As our modern, up-to-th-minute East Peoria Airways trimotor bi-plane soared heavenward on its weekly attempt to cross the Atlantic at the ridiculously low fare of only $17.42 (ask about their bereaved family plan), I couldn't help but wonder about the common market and the third world: economics."

Then we follow up that socko lead by swinging into a brilliant analysis of the common market and the third world: economics. Or half an analysis. Because we have to break off midway so we have room to say:

"Well, I guess it's time to break off this analysis of the common market and the third world: economics. Because here comes our cute, hip-waggling East Peoria Airways stewardess with our gourmet East Peoria Airways 'Dejeuner dans le ciel.' Oh, I can hardly wait to sink my teeth into that mouth-watering East Peoria Airways peanut butter and jelly sandwich. How can East Peoria Airways afford real margarine at the ridiculously low fare of $17.42?"

That's the traditional way. And I'm a traditionalist. But I've got problems. For I got my ticket by roundabout courtesy of the Ford Foundation.

It's an awful spot for an ace newsman to be in. I can't just say the Ford Foundation is a very nice, friendly, rich foundation and if you wish a free ticket to somewhere, be sure to see them first. I'm sure the Foundation would appreciate a plug like this immensely. But it lacks subtlety. And we ace newsmen do have an image of integrity to uphold, you know.

Nor can I figure any way to work a plug in gracefully. Like: "We must ford the Atlantic on a new foundation of mutual understanding." Who'd believe you can cross the Atlantic on a foundation?

* * *

Oh, I'll be drummed out of the Overseas Press Club. For failing to uphold their finest tradition. Which reminds me, if you bump into Mr. Lippmann, you might suggest that if he gets a package from the Overseas Press Club, he soak it in a bucket of water.

I still think our mail's mixed up.

## Strictly Personal

**By Sydney J. Harris**
(c) Field Enterprises, Inc.

USES OF LOGIC

A college student in Wisconsin writes to suggest that I should stress the great need for "logic" in all areas of thinking. He took a formal logic course last semester, and was dazzled into veneration by the precision and economy of this intellectual tool.

I recalled my own similar introduction to the elegant simplicities of formal logic more than a quarter-century ago. After learning about the "undistributed middle term," the various fallacies, and the real difference between "contraries" and "contradictories," I wanted to make logic a compulsory course for all students everywhere.

My instructor, however, was wiser than I. He knew all too well the limitations of formal thought. "A logic course in itself is not of too much use," he said sadly. "It's in their basic assumptions that most people go wrong, not in their reasoning processes."

* * *

**It took me a long time to appreciate the truth in his modest disclaimer of his subject. I was infatuated with the idea that if only people could be made to understand the syllogism and the principles of legitimate deduction, most fuzzy and emotional thinking would disappear.**

**He was perfectly right, of course. Logic can help us go from A to B to C; but it cannot by itself establish the rightness or the truth of A. And most of the basic controversies of mankind begin from different starting points that cannot be verified in logic.**

**The writers of the Declaration of Independence, for instance, held certain truths to be "self-evident" — that all men are created equal and endowed by their Creator with inalianable rights, such as life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.**

* * *

Now, "self-evident" means incapable of proof. You either see it, or you don't. If you don't, no "proof" can ever make it evident to you. There is no logic in the world that can convince you, no argument on a strictly rational basis that can demonstrate the "truth" of this belief.

Such convictions are "supra-rational." They either resonate in the inner core of us, or they strike no response whatever. If two disputants start from opposite views about the nature of man, both may proceed with utter logic and come out with completely different conclusions. Like a mathematical equation there is no more in the end than you start with in the beginning.

Logic makes a fine servant, but a poor master. It can be used to "prove" almost any view, so long as its basic premise is accepted. What men feel about one another is, ultimately, more important than how they think.

## In the Day's News

By FRANK JENKINS

From Washington last week:

President Kennedy signed into law the second largest peace time defense spending bill in U.S. history. The measure appropriates $47.2 billion (.7 billion, 200 million dollars) to keep America's military muscles flexed.

The dispatch added:

The sum is so huge that it would take one person almost 90 years to spend it at the rate of $1,000 a minute.

WHAT to do about it?

There's only one thing to do:

Shrug our shoulders and say with the French: 'C'est la guerre." (It's the war.)

WARS—cold or hot—cost money. IMMENSE AMOUNTS of money.

But it would cost MUCH MORE not to be ready for war if war should come. That would mean the loss of everything we value most.

So let's not complain too much about the military part of the federal budget.

SPEAKING of budgets—

The Oregon legislature's TWO YEAR general fund budget that was turned down at Tuesday's election was $404 million—or $202 million per year.

Here's an interesting comparison:

Oregon's share of the 1964 ONE YEAR federal budget is estimated by Tax Foundation Inc. to be $899 million—or a little more than TWICE the Oregon TWO YEAR budget that we turned down so decisively at the election on Tuesday of this week.

BUT—

In comparing these two budgets, let's keep the facts straight.

The federal budget includes DEFENSE. Oregon people are as much interested in national defense as the people of any other state.

THE defense item of the federal fiscal year of 1964 is $47.2 billion, or 47.8 per cent of the 1964 federal budget.

SO—

Of Oregon's $899 millon share of the federal budget, 47.8 per cent, or $429.7 million, will go for national defense.

Oregon's share of the 1964 federal budget, for purposes other than defense, will be $469.3 million. That is for ONE year. The Oregon legislative budget of $404 million (which we turned down decisively at Tuesday's election) was for two years—or only $202 million one year.

WHICH is to say:

Oregon's share of that part of the federal budget not concerned with national defense will be $469.3 milion for one year, or two and one-third times the Oregon general fund budget that we turned down so flatly.

INTERESTING questions:

Who is the BIG spender?

Who takes the bulk of the tax money that comes out of your pocket?

THESE figures make it rather plain.

It's UNCLE who is the spendthrift.

"Someone wants the odds on Nixon. Is he still a possibility?"