

Medford Mail Tribune
Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune
Published Daily except Saturdays by MEDFORD PRINTING CO.

1963 NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION
NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION
Member California Newspaper Publishers Association

Flight o' Time
Medford and Jackson County history from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO
Oct. 15, 1953 (Thursday)
The police committee of the Medford city council met today to consider the report of Portland Attorney Howard I. Bobbitt on the city police department.

20 YEARS AGO
Oct. 15, 1933 (Friday)
Klamath Falls defeats Medford 40 to 7 in football game at Klamath Falls.

30 YEARS AGO
Oct. 15, 1933 (Sunday)
Valley dairymen adopt NRA code and boost price of milk.

40 YEARS AGO
Oct. 15, 1923 (Monday)
Three men suspected of being Siskiyou train robbers seen on lower Klamath river; arrests due.

50 YEARS AGO
Oct. 15, 1913 (Wednesday)
Syndicate bids \$7,782 premium for Jackson county highway bonds.

What's Your I.Q.?
Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

Candidate-Watching

On May 1, 1936, we were standing behind a window overlooking Unter den Linden, Berlin's principal thoroughfare. After a protracted wait, Adolf Hitler rode by, standing in an open car.

The atmosphere was similarly crackling last Saturday, when U.S. Sen. Barry Goldwater appeared to speak before some 8,500 Republicans in Eugene, most of them admirers.

NOW before we are accused of comparing Senator Goldwater and Der Fuehrer, note that we are not; we are comparing the reactions of the crowds surrounding them.

Many of the most vocal Goldwater cheering sections were composed of boys and girls far short of voting age. Their excitement was of a kind often reserved for a champion athlete, or a war hero, and one hardly could suspect them of making Goldwater their hero on the basis of his political philosophy.

Some were silly-looking bands around their heads, carried a variety of banners and placards with the Senator's name or picture, and they were especially vigorous in their attempts to surround Gov. Nelson Rockefeller with their signs, a gesture which smacked more of youthful, unthinking malice than it did of deliberate discourtesy.

THE "popularity contest" between Rockefeller and Goldwater last Saturday, if judged on the basis of total audience reaction, was a walk-away for the Senator.

He was cheered more loudly, and more frequently, during his talk than was the Governor. Both of them scored their best points when they departed from their prepared texts, and extemporized attacks on the Kennedy administration, for which they were roundly applauded by the partisan audience.

The crowds were both sizable (with Goldwater drawing perhaps 1,000 more, at least in part because he spoke in the evening, while Rockefeller spoke at noon to an audience many of whom had paid \$4.50 for a box lunch).

FOLLOWING Rockefeller's talk, a number of people came forward to shake his hand, get his autograph, or just look at him up close.

Following Goldwater's talk, there was a surge of young placard-bearing supporters to the speaker's stand, apparently just hoping to get close to him.

Both men are fairly good as political speakers, knowing when and how to emphasize a point, when to pause for applause, when to be humorous and when serious. Both are rather attractive physically, in a masculine way. Each handles himself well both in crowds and under the searching questioning of reporters.

THERE were no particular surprises in the remarks, formal or informal, of either man. They agreed that Richard M. Nixon probably is working for the Republican nomination.

Rockefeller invited Goldwater to debate with him during the coming months in efforts to work toward greater unity of purpose and policy within the party. Goldwater, to no one's surprise, declined.

Rockefeller acknowledged that the problems facing the United States in the 1960s are not without their difficulties and complexities. Goldwater tended to view them in terms of black and white, readily soluble by stout hearts.

BOTH, of course, were unsparing in their denunciation of the Kennedy administration. To have expected anything else in such circumstances would be unrealistic.

But one gained the impression that Goldwater would junk the entire Kennedy program (to say nothing of practically all other legislation enacted in the past 30 years), while Rockefeller would retain many of the social and economic advances of recent years, while claiming to be able to administer them better.

ONE also gathers the impression that Goldwater is enjoying himself hugely in the vast and sudden popularity which is showered upon him, while Rockefeller, despite a ready grin and occasional humor, gives the impression of a man who knows he is fighting against odds.

Both castigate the Democrats, and uphold "principle" as opposed to "expediency." Rockefeller is running, we would judge, because he desperately wants to be President of the United States, and thinks he would make a good one. Goldwater is running, we would judge, because he suddenly finds himself, all unexpectedly, at the forefront of a rising tide of protests at today's complexities and uncertainties.

At any rate, those are our conclusions after a day of candidate-watching.—E.A.

"Down, Boy—Not That One—Down, You Dumb Mutt"



Communications

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper, in fact the contrary is often the case.

To the Editor: I am a sophomore at Medford Senior High. Having failed to see any letters to the editor concerning the article on the meeting at Hoover school, with Walter Huss and Dr. Kenneth Goff, I believe that I should tell how the action appeared to me, and (I am sure) to many others.

After reading the above mentioned article, in the Oct. 6 issue of the Tribune, it is amazing to me how facts can be so distorted and still be presented as the truth. The article mentions George Winne's attempts to ask questions during the question period. After the first few questions the four students' attempts to ask questions were far from orderly, and appeared to be for the purpose of disturbance.

Winne's first question was misstated in the article. The question was "What good do you think you are doing America, by attempting to keep Gull out of the Oregon colleges?" Needless to say this is quite different from what the article says.

As regarding the statement that Huss cut Winne off and told him that there was only one question per person: I heard no such statement, and it is unreasonable to think that this statement was made because Winne was recognized and did ask more than one question.

Some of the boys' "questions" were not really questions, but derogatory statements, and they were asked to put them in the form of questions, if they wanted to be recognized.

In response to a statement made by Goff, Winne who was out of order since another man was asking a question stood up and pointed his finger at Dr. Goff. The article says "Huss ordered him to sit down and the youth complied." It doesn't mention how long a period elapsed before he sat down. Actually it was only after several people in the audience told him to sit down, that he did so.

Space limitations prohibit naming all the discrepancies in the article. It would seem from this article that the only persons interviewed, were those who expressed dislike for Dr. Goff and Freedom Center.

If a paper cannot have a reporter at such meetings, it should at least interview persons on both sides of the question; which evidently was not done by this reporter.

The title of this article and almost everything in it is entirely one sided, and is evidently aimed at degrading anti-communist efforts, and I admit, it did a pretty good job of it.

Tom Stehl 118 Elk St. Medford

Pattern To the Editor: Rarely do we pick up a newspaper or magazine these days without encountering headlines on "segregation," "integration," or "discrimination."

There has been a great deal of criticism of churches in this connection. The Methodist Church, for one, has come under severe criticism for having a Central Jurisdiction made up of Negroes only. Many years ago the church recognized the problems involved but did not feel it wise to force integration. Instead they provided legislation enabling Negro and white congregations to merge whenever they decided by democratic vote to do so. Many have, in other cases Negroes have been just as reluctant to give up close association with people of their own background and understanding as have the whites.

Recently a group of Methodists, unfortunately uninformed, asked that the Methodist Publishing House, a church-operated concern, with more than 2,000 employees, "integrate its operation by employing Negroes for all categories of employment."

Adenauer Retires After Job of Remaking Germany; Erhard Becomes Chancellor



Adenauer fought for a united Germany integrated with a united Europe. He tied West Germany's fate with the United States and his constant goal was the elimination of the old enmity between Germany and France. Not all these goals were accomplished, and in later years he became increasingly suspicious that accord between the United States and the Soviet Union might be reached at the expense of a permanent divided Germany.

Adenauer may well be deemed the west German statesman since Bismarck. It was a compliment from one great man to another. For the man who made the remark was Sir Winston Churchill, he who led Great Britain through the perilous World War II years.

by the long arm of the law, except for a miscellaneous few who were shot to death. Our well scrubbed, crewcut Medford youth knew what a "corn-ball" movie this was, and I could hear their laughter. My point it this . . .

Prayers Answered To the Editor: We want to thank you so much for putting our letter in your paper. Our prayers were answered. We got our Siamese kitty, Buni, back. So many nice people called our grandmother and aunt, and they all cared whether or not we found our lost kitty. We are so happy. Grandmother and auntie sent her home on a big plane and Daddy and Mommy and us met her. We are so happy. Thank you, thank you, thank you.

Socialism the Solution To the Editor: What are the causes of juvenile delinquency? The Socialist Labor Party has listed several causes and every one of these is directly attributable to capitalism.

And so this, I continued. "You're doing the very same thing, only with a reversed image. You see a man dressed a certain way and you immediately think of him as a 'but-down jerk.' You think in broad categories, too, rather than in terms of individuals. To you, anybody is a square who doesn't resemble you in dress, habits and mannerisms—even though such a person may be only superficially conforming."

Strictly Personal
By Sidney J. Harris
(c) Field Enterprises, Inc.

SQUARE IN REVERSE A man I know who prides himself on being "hip" was having coffee with me when another man entered the restaurant and nodded at my companion. The man I was with barely acknowledged his greeting.

Robert Retzer District Manager Oregon-California Theatres Medford

Symphony Concert To the Editor: May we take this opportunity to capitalize upon the keen interest in this section of your daily newspaper, especially these past few days, to seek the support of the valley residents in a much less controversial issue—that of the forthcoming concert of the Portland Symphony Orchestra at Hedrick Junior High School in Medford on Wednesday afternoon and evening, the 16th of October.

Henry R. Korman Box 541 Longview, Wash.

Trash? Tsk! Tsk! To the Editor: A letter to the editor, published Thursday, Oct. 10, was well worth reading. Get a copy of the Tribune if you missed it. The woman with the loud "soap box" orations and quiet anonymity was absolutely right!

In the Day's News By FRANK JENKINS

From Washington: The threat of a new Berlin crisis SUBSIDED almost as quickly as it began when a Soviet blockade of American military traffic was withdrawn on the highway lifeline to West Berlin.

W What happened? Let's recapitulate. First, we signed the nuclear test ban treaty—which, for reasons of their own, whatever their reasons were, the Kremlin Russians wanted.

SO— Being communists—the Kremlin leaders jumped to a typical communist conclusion. Their conclusion was this: "These Americans are SOFT. We can BLUFF THEM."

SO THEY tried the Berlin autobahn bluff. Tender-handed stroke a nettle And it stings you for your pains. Grasp it like a man of mettle. And it soft as silk remains.