

Medford Mail Tribune
 "Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"
 Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PUBLISHING CO.
 33 North Fir St. Ph. 772-6141
 ROBERT W. RUIHL, Editor
 HERR GREY, Advertising Manager
 GERALD T. LATHAM, Bus. Mgr.
 ERIC W. ALLEN, Jr., News Editor
 EARL H. ADAMS, City Editor
 HARRY CHURCH, Tel. Editor
 RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor
 OLIVE STARCHER, Women's Editor
 DALE BRICKSON, Circulation Mgr.
 An Independent Newspaper
 Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon under Act of March 3, 1879.
 SUBSCRIPTION RATES
 By Mail - In Advance
 Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$18.00
 Daily and Sunday - 6 mos. 10.00
 Daily and Sunday - 3 mos. 5.00
 Sunday Only - One year \$5.00
 Single Copy (Mailed) 20c
 By Carrier - And Motor Route
 Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$21.00
 Daily and Sunday - 6 mos. 13.50
 Daily and Sunday - 3 mos. 7.50
 Sunday Only - 1 mo. 1.75
 Carriage and Vendors - Copy 10c
 Official Paper of City of Medford
 Official Paper of Jackson County
 United Press International
 Full Length Wire
 U. P. I. Telephone Newspapers
 MEMBER OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATIONS
 Advertising Representatives:
 NELSON ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES
 Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, Denver.

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION
 1963

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION
 AFFILIATE MEMBER
 Member California Newspaper Publishers Association

Flight o' Time
 Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO
 Oct. 11, 1953 (Wednesday)

Col. Robert G. Emmens, Medford, has been named deputy chief of staff, intelligence, for the Tactical Air Command.
 The 45th annual convention of the Oregon State Nurses association opened here last night.

20 YEARS AGO
 Oct. 14, 1943 (Thursday)

Milk deliveries cut to three times weekly by ODT order.
 From Arthur Perry's "Ye Smudge Pot" column: "Dog-wood trees, that forgot to do it last spring, and a couple of potential upstate candidates for the U. S. Senate, have started to bloom."

30 YEARS AGO
 Oct. 14, 1933 (Saturday)

George Iverson to organize a Taxpayers League here.
 Youth charged with forgery tries suicide but will recover.

40 YEARS AGO
 Oct. 14, 1923 (Sunday)

Women wearing Khaki pants seen walking on Pacific highway sought for questioning in Siskiyou train robbery.
 Four prisoners cut hole in roof and escape from Jacksonville county jail.

50 YEARS AGO
 Oct. 14, 1913 (Tuesday)

C. C. McCorkle, Rogue River, discharged as game warden, prefers charges against Chief Deputy Warden Sam Sandry, former supervisor, of killing salmon with a hammer.
 Petitions circulated asking Gov. Oswald West to commute to life imprisonment death sentences of Mike Spanos and Fred Seymour sentenced to hang for murder of George Dedaskalous here a year ago.

What's Your I.Q.?
 Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

- Which U.S. city in which a residential section is called Dynamic Hill has had 50 bombings since World War II?
 - Dall is most noted for what type of painting?
 - Correct the following: "The house's paint was peeling bad."
 - A. De Gaulle would most likely be found in France, England or Siberia?
 - What is the highest point in the North American continent?
 - Hellaspoint is the classical ancient name for what geographical feature?
 - In politics is a leftist more liberal or conservative than a rightist?
 - What was the first state west of the Appalachian mountains to be admitted to the Union?
 - What common substance contains a considerable quantity of lactic acid?
 - What country first used poison gas in modern warfare?
- Answers: 1. Birmingham, Ala. 2. Surrealism. 3. The paint on the house was peeling badly. 4. France. 5. Mt. McKinley. 6. Dardanelles. 7. More liberal. 8. Kentucky. 9. Sour milk. 10. Germany.

The Words of Others

We have had our say (at length and ad nauseum, according to some readers) concerning the tax measure to be voted on tomorrow. So, on the eve of this exceedingly important election, let us quote the words of others on the matter.
 The quotations are not necessarily complete, but give the general tenor of the discussion. —E.A.

ABOUT BALLOT MEASURE NO. 1

If the tax measure goes down to defeat (as seems more than possible, judging from the past record of Oregon's voters), the big losers will be Oregon's public school children. At every election involving tax monies, the issue of public support for private education is bound to be raised by some voters. There is no doubt that the contribution of private and parochial schools to the community is not sufficiently appreciated. On every level—local, state and national—there needs to be more recognition of the role of private education. Many of our citizens have strong convictions on this point.
 But this is not the issue in this election. Oregon's public school system is good; it has won national acclaim; it deserves our continuing support.
 The new tax program adopted by the legislature corrects inequalities and loopholes in the existing legislation and gives each citizen an equitable share of responsibility in financing the services of his state government.
 Not that Ballot Measure No. 1 is a perfect bill. With the exception of some legislation from the Mounts of Sinai or the Beatitudes, few measures are. But after months of prolonged hearings, proposals and counter-proposals, it was adopted by the elected representatives as the best legislation attainable under our democratic processes.
 The program of the state legislature has the support of the responsible leadership of our community. It is realistic to the needs of a growing economy. It seems vastly superior to any of the suggested alternatives. Its passage would insure the continued premium quality of our public education. —Catholic Sentinel, Portland.

VOTING FOR OREGON

To the Editor: There are lots of things — and people — I too would like to vote against. But under our system you can't vote against anything without voting for something else. In Russia, you have to vote for, I'm told; and your vote doesn't count anyway. Here it does.
 So, on this tax referendum, I just can't in responsibility and good conscience vote for second or third rate public education for our fine young people. I can't vote to deprive of food or medical care, clothing or shelter, our fellow citizens on relief who need to eat like the rest of us. I can't vote to use the cleaver on the State Library and other essential services it's taken years of hard devoted professional work to build up.
 Some of these services, it seems to me, along with the ocean and the mountains, the rivers, forests and lakes—and the people—make Oregon about the best state in the Union to live in. I ought to know, having come a long way, lived here better than 25 years, earned my wages the only way you can earn them—hard work—own my home, and pay my taxes regularly without too much grumbling.
 So, the way I see it, I'm voting for Oregon when I vote for the tax bill, with all its faults. I just can't see voting my nose off to spite my face or pouring the baby away along with emptying the bath. That just doesn't make sense.
 Ivan Lovell
 Rte. 3, Box 615
 Salem, Ore.
 (In the Oregon Statesman)

WHY REACTIONARIES ARE CONCERNED

Oregon's reactionary elements are becoming increasingly concerned about the students' fund-raising drive in the campaign for a yes vote on Ballot Measure No. 1.
 Students' involvement in a political campaign, because of their concern for the consequences which a "no" vote would have on higher education, has a good deal of impact in the state. Students are carrying on the biggest "yes" vote campaign in the state. Students are not professionally dedicated to education and thus are capable of influencing voters who would not be swayed by a college professor.
 Students also have a wide range of communication throughout the state. A letter from a former high school hero making an intelligent plea for a "yes" vote in Ashland or Albany or Hermiston could do more to influence the electorate than the tirades of a local politician.
 This must be a pretty frightening thought for many of Oregon's anti-education legislators. Conceivably students could influence the electorate during the general election by writing to local newspapers commenting on the actions of a particular legislator to undercut access to higher education, thus slowing the state's industrial development.
 A student committee for higher education might organize on the state's campuses calling for the defeat of legislators in both parties who are clearly foes of higher education. Several legislators we can think of would have a great deal to fear from a campaign of this nature.
 We believe that the work of student leaders on the tax referendum is the most significant step forward for student government in recent years. It fully illustrates the new serious mood of the campus and that students are vitally concerned about issues more important than the Frosh Snoball.
 We hope when the campaign for a yes vote on Ballot Measure No. 1 is over that student leaders will transfer this enlightened new concern for higher education to the building bond election next spring and other important issues.—Oregon Daily Emerald, student edited newspaper of the University of Oregon.

PRIDE OF CITIZENSHIP

Oregon is not a rich state. Its public expenses for schools, etc., are affected by our neighbor California, for we must compete for personnel. But if the state is to prosper it must invest. It must plow back in its schools and colleges, in its public services, in its resource development seed corn for future harvests.
 Not the money cut itself, but the open rebuke of the very careful work of the Department of Finance and the Ways and Means Committee by the people in defeating the tax will impair confidence in Oregon's future as a progressive, forward-marching state.
 We will lose some of our finest talent in universities and in institutions, and the tax reverse will discourage others from considering employment here. Our Oregon institutions of higher learning are not as distinguished as we want them to become. A setback like this confirms mediocrity, for it reveals public indifference to excellence.
 The increase which is sought in the pending tax bill is not extreme. It comes when the general economy of Oregon is at a high level of prosperity, when people are quite fully employed at wages the highest in history.
 Both our ability to carry the slight extra load and our pride in citizenship in Oregon ought to unite in obtaining for this tax bill a resounding Yes vote in its favor.—Charles A. Sprague, former Oregon Governor, in the Oregon Statesman.

EMPTY ARGUMENTS

The emptiness of the arguments of the referral leaders will be apparent to anyone who reads the "Arguments in Opposition" on page 29 of the Voters' Pamphlet for the special election. It is full of catch phrases—but it is completely lacking in alternatives or specifics.
 We believe that a "yes" vote is the only responsible vote that a union member can cast next Tuesday. We also believe, most sincerely, that it will be a vote for your own future and your own self-interest.—Oregon Labor Press.

Small Protection



Reprinted, by permission, from Oregon Journal

Matter of Fact

By Joseph Alsop
 (c) New York Herald Tribune Syndicate

THE TIME MACHINE
 TAIPEI, Formosa.—The winding road to the villa in the hills, the villa itself, modest but comfortable, the excellent tea swifly brought by silent menservants, in a big room with a superb view—all these features of a visit to Chiang Kai-shek in Chungking some 20 years ago.
 As always, Madame Chiang sits erect by her husband's side, occasionally helping out the interpreter with a quiet correction or suggestion. As always, Chiang Kai-shek himself is soft-spoken but articulate. And the years have passed over him lightly, too; he even looks five years younger than he did five years ago.
 At first, therefore, it is rather like taking a trip in a time machine. Yet there is a difference all the same, for heavy in the air is the constant thought of all the water that has flowed over all the dams, and all the blood that has been shed, and all the follies that have been committed, and all the tragedies that have occurred both needlessly and unavoidably, in the two decades that have passed since those days in Chungking in wartime.
 ON the heels of this thought, moreover, still another crowd in. The year 1943 was a great turning point for Chiang Kai-shek. A command crisis centering on that foolish and violent old man, Gen. Joseph Stilwell, in turn precipitated a domestic potential crisis. All that was worst, most corrupt, and most incompetent in Nationalist China won the day that autumn.
 Then the Japanese launched major new offensives. The Communists, who always used the Japanese to run interference for them, thus won control over huge new provinces in the wake of the Japanese advance. Inflation became vertiginous. Morale collapsed. And although Chiang's regime barely managed to survive the storm, it had been fatally undermined; and so Chiang's road went downwards, ever downwards, until he reached this island.
 Twenty years ago, even his good manners could not conceal the fact that Chiang was not a tranquil man. But he is tranquil today, simply because today the shoe is on the other foot. The man beleaguered, the man pursued and threatened by misfortune, is no longer Chiang Kai-shek, but his enemy, Mao Tse-tung.
 AS LONG as two years, Mao paid Chiang the considerable compliment of hastily redeploying upwards of 300,000 troops, in order to strengthen the defense of the mainland coast against a possible landing by Chiang—the maximum

Communications

The Choices
 To the Editor: It will be in the best interest of the State of Oregon and its people if the tax

program is given voter approval next Tuesday.
 The choices and their consequences are these:
 If the measure is defeated the state will be thrown into a fiscal emergency. Governor Hatfield would have the choice of calling a special session of the legislature or drastically cutting services provided by general fund agencies. If a special session is called, the only guarantee that we have is that such a session would be very costly as would a possible subsequent tax program referral and election.
 If the measure is approved we will at least have a program. It admittedly is not the best possible program but at least it will serve to support the State of Oregon and its government during the current biennium. If the program needs changing, and it very likely will, this would then become the business of the 1965 legislature.
 In short, we know what we have if the program is approved. If it is not approved, it is possible that a special legislative session would enact a program which would prove equally unacceptable to the electorate.
 Norman F. Stone
 Member, State Council on Aging
 230 East 44th ave.
 Eugene, Ore.

Jack (glumly): You're right, Pierre. It is up to us fathers to make our children happy. Wait, I've got it! Caroline how would you and Junior (please stop crying) like to go to Disneyland? Real family fun to gether?
 Little Girl (suspiciously): Alone?

Jack: You already did that, Chief.
 Pierre: You did that last week, Chief. Arkansas, Governor Faubus. Frankly, you're traveling too much. Did you notice how the guards on the gate ask to see your identification now? It's not good for your image.

Jack: I was just teasing, ha, ha. Oh, aren't we all having fun around Mommy, ha ha. Junior, stop crying or I'll bat you one, ha ha. (Shuffling papers) Now, in regard to the multi-lingual Junior-stop-crying NATO nuclear force we must stop crying... Pee-YARE.
 (Partly Pierre, the faithful family retainer, edges reluctantly in.)
 Pierre: Please, Chief, no more horsing. I'd rather play—ugh—football.
 Jack: Pierre, I feel another non-political trip (Junior, stop crying) coming on. What's my schedule?
 Pierre (reading list): Monday, Congressional breakfast; Tuesday, please be sure to take Caroline to the dentist; Wednesday, change Junior's sheets; Thurs...
 Jack: Those lists she leaves. Pierre, I feel I must make a long trip into the wilds. Immediately.
 Pierre: You already did that, Chief.
 Jack: At this point, I'd even go somewhere to shake hands with the Devil.
 Pierre: You did that last week, Chief. Arkansas, Governor Faubus. Frankly, you're traveling too much. Did you notice how the guards on the gate ask to see your identification now? It's not good for your image.

Jack (glumly): You're right, Pierre. It is up to us fathers to make our children happy. Wait, I've got it! Caroline how would you and Junior (please stop crying) like to go to Disneyland? Real family fun to gether?
 Little Girl (suspiciously): Alone?

Jack: You already did that, Chief.
 Pierre: You did that last week, Chief. Arkansas, Governor Faubus. Frankly, you're traveling too much. Did you notice how the guards on the gate ask to see your identification now? It's not good for your image.



"We're only doing our job. The law says no gambling, and we've got to arrest 'em for playing bridge for trading stamps!"

Foreign News: Adenauer Will Stay Quiet; Algerian-Moroccan War Is Not Expected

Notes from the foreign news cables:
TO FADE AWAY:
 Look for Chancellor Konrad Adenauer to fade away from the Bonn political scene. His family and friends have warned him that public reaction would not be sympathetic if he tried to criticize the new government of Ludwig Erhard from the sidelines. Adenauer apparently has gotten the idea. He now says that his advice is available, but Erhard will have to ask him, because he does not want to impose himself on the new cabinet.
ERHARD, HOWEVER, has no intention of asking him.
HOT WORDS ONLY:
 Morocco and Algeria are exchanging hot words over their border dispute but it is not expected to lead to a hot war. The area in which clashes have been reported is mostly desert, and despite existing maps the official borderline never has been really settled. Few observers believe either Morocco's King Hassan II or Algeria's President Ben Bella would start a serious war over what is virtually a belt of sand.
SOVIET TRADE:
 Russia may be expected to

look for more American and Allied goods once the wheat deal has really firmed up. There are hints that Russia may be interested in cotton and above all in industrial goods. The Russians will be followed by the satellites, who long since have been eyeing the prospect of extended trade with the West but have been restrained by the Russians, who call the signals. The way now seems open for them to line up, not only for wheat but for other purchases as well on this side of the Iron Curtain.
TIME HEALS ALL:
 The current break in relations between the Philippines and the new Malaysian Federation is not expected to last long. The Philippines broke with the new federation because of a longtime Philippine claim to North Borneo, which was included in the new nation. But the Filipinos have not such strong feelings on the issue as have been exhibited by Indonesia, which also broke relations with Malaysia, and now are expected to do what they can to patch up relations all around. The Philippine government is believed trying to engineer another summit meeting among the three to restore the peace.

Just Plain Jack Brings You Peace
 By Arthur Hoppe

Good morning, friends in television land. It's time for another visit with Just Plain Jack, the story of a young man who is blessed with charm, good looks, wealth and success. He also has children.
 As we join Just Plain Jack today he is in the Brown Study, studying brownly. His little girl, like any little girl anywhere, is playing on the floor with her scissors, clipping coupons. His little boy, like any little boy anywhere, is standing by his father's side. Crying.
Jack (shuffling papers): All right, that takes care of the gold outflow; civil rights, Junior, stop crying; nuclear tests; Junior, stop crying; Honduras; Junior, stop crying; and... Caroline, why is your brother crying? As usual?
Little Girl: Maybe wants Mommy.
Jack: Good. Why don't you run find Mommy, Junior?
Little Girl: Silly Daddy. Mommy's in Greece.
Jack: Be quiet, Caroline. See, Junior! You go out to busy old Pennsylvania avenue and head east. But wait till the lights turn red. And...
Little Girl: Daddy!
Jack: I was just teasing, ha, ha. Oh, aren't we all having fun around Mommy, ha ha. Junior, stop crying or I'll bat you one, ha ha. (Shuffling papers) Now, in regard to the multi-lingual Junior-stop-crying NATO nuclear force we must stop crying... Pee-YARE.
 (Partly Pierre, the faithful family retainer, edges reluctantly in.)
Pierre: Please, Chief, no more horsing. I'd rather play—ugh—football.
Jack: Pierre, I feel another non-political trip (Junior, stop crying) coming on. What's my schedule?
Pierre (reading list): Monday, Congressional breakfast; Tuesday, please be sure to take Caroline to the dentist; Wednesday, change Junior's sheets; Thurs...
Jack: Those lists she leaves. Pierre, I feel I must make a long trip into the wilds. Immediately.
Pierre: You already did that, Chief.
Jack: At this point, I'd even go somewhere to shake hands with the Devil.
Pierre: You did that last week, Chief. Arkansas, Governor Faubus. Frankly, you're traveling too much. Did you notice how the guards on the gate ask to see your identification now? It's not good for your image.

Strictly Personal
 By Sidney J. Harris
 (c) Field Enterprises, Inc.

STREET ZOMBIES
 When an attractive young lady of my acquaintance recently asked me why I had cut her dead on the street twice in one week, I decided it was again time to write the column I have written every year or two about "street zombies" like me.
 I do this not merely to apologize for my own remiss behavior, but on behalf of the thousands like me who are unjustly accused of snubbing or ignoring people who pass them on the street.
 In my own case, there are two factors at work: myopia and fantasy. I am dreadfully nearsighted, and too vain or negligent to wear glasses except when driving at night; and as I walk along, I am involved in some rich fantasy-life of my own.
 I could pass — and have — my nearest and dearest on the street without recognition most of the time. First, I can't distinctly see the face of anyone more than 50 feet away; and, secondly, my feeble little mind is usually on another planet, in deep dialog with some astral character out of the early Edgar Rice Burroughs' novels.
 Never once in my life have I consciously "cut" anyone, even people I don't particularly care for. Indeed, it strikes me as reprehensible that anyone would snub anyone else, when a casual nod is so cheap and easy.
 Curiously enough, if I am seated somewhere as an ob-

server, I can be a rapt people-watcher. But when I myself am a participant in the passing parade, I shuffle along totally oblivious of my surroundings, except for the peril of automobiles and children on tricycles.
 There is a feverish Walter Mitty quality to my walking: I am either working out a complicated bridge hand, in which I soundly trounce Goren, Schenken and all the other world experts; or I am conducting the premiere of my new symphony at Carnegie Hall, with the envious shade of Mozart hovering over my shoulder; or I am devising irrefutable arguments as to why my salary should be trebled immediately and my mortgages lifted by a grateful government.
 None of this explanation is of any sequence, except that the population includes a great many street zombies like me, who are continually reprimanded for slighting their acquaintances, when we are actually walking around in a daze, with impaired vision and our mental faculties sealed off from the world of reality.
 Please don't take it personally. I once passed my own mother and father on the street, and was not aware of it until my dad strode back and prodded me firmly between the shoulder blades with his umbrella. "Can't you even say hello?" he demanded. I looked up and mumbled, "If I moved the knight to queen 4, I could have beaten Capablanca at Hastings."

THE INCOME TAX MEASURE

This is one of a series of brief presentations of some little-known aspects of the income tax measure on which Oregon voters will decide at a special election on Oct. 15.

A "yes" vote approves the law; a "no" vote defeats it.
QUESTION
 Is the 1963 Oregon tax measure fair?
ANSWER
 There is no such thing as a tax scheme perfect for all taxpayers. What's fair to me is a burden to you. The 1963 measure does the following things in general:
 (1) It increases taxes an average of 3.5 per cent per taxpayer.
 (2) It abolishes the inequitable taxation of single taxpayers with dependents who now pay some 35 per cent more tax than families of equal numbers in the low brackets.
 (3) It abolishes the reinvestment requirement for capital gains, benefiting those who must spend their savings.
 (4) It equalizes the tax savings from dependents. Under the old law, high bracket taxpayers received substantially greater tax savings for each dependent.
 (5) It eliminates the ceiling on extraordinary medical expense deductions.
 (6) It preserves student dependents' tax benefits to the families that support them without regard to the student's earnings.
 (7) It lowers stated rates and increases the graduation of taxes. It also imposes on persons with reportable, adjusted gross income a minimum tax of the greater of \$5 or 1 per cent of adjusted gross. For example, on a joint return:

Taxable income	Tax, old law	Tax, new law
2,000	\$ 70.00	\$ 62.50
4,000	140.00	155.00
6,000	210.00	267.50
8,000	280.00	339.25
10,000	350.00	\$31.25

Taxable income, however, is raised by the elimination of the federal tax deduction which means that most people's taxes will in fact go up about 3.5 per cent. And where 1 per cent of adjusted gross exceeds the rate schedule for taxable income, the 1 per cent minimum controls. (Adjusted gross means gross income less business deductions; taxable income means gross income less both personal and business deductions.) This provision will bring many new taxpayers under the income tax law.

QUESTION
 Is the tax increase justified?
ANSWER
 The proportions of this year's tax increase have been shaped by the appropriations made by the state Legislature over the past 8 to 10 years. The pinch has not been felt because the state has had a surplus, or "savings account" with which to offset failure of current revenues to meet current expenditures. This year, the "savings account" is exhausted, so that a relatively small increase in state expenditures has a great impact on the individual.

CORRECTION
Re: Medical expense deductions
 The new tax law will not benefit very low income taxpayers with extremely high medical expenses as Thursday's example indicated, because of the minimum tax provisions. The minimum tax is figured on adjusted gross income and is not subject to reduction by personal deductions or dependency credits.
 The following table reflects the effect of the increased medical deduction under the new law assuming a joint return:

Income	Actual medical expenses	Tax (old law)	Tax (new law)
\$5,000	\$3,500	\$ 42	\$50
\$6,000	\$4,500	\$ 45	\$60
\$7,000	\$5,500	\$128	\$70
\$8,000	\$6,500	\$203	\$80

IT RATHER looks like Kroosh, with the test ban treaty under his belt and his deal for wheat going to keep his people fed, going along rather nicely, feels that he is WELL AGAIN.