

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 23 North First St. Phone 772-4141

Subscription Rates: By Mail - In Advance. Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$18.00

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION AFFILIATE MEMBER

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

Flight o' Time

Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO

Nov. 5, 1942 (Wednesday) Jackson county voters will have to await completion of the official election canvass

A record number of voters - 75.2 per cent of the 38,067 who were registered - went to the polls in Jackson county's general election.

20 YEARS AGO

Nov. 5, 1942 (Thursday) Assistant Fire Chief Ed Canoose summons fire department when blaze breaks out in his home.

30 YEARS AGO

Nov. 5, 1922 (Saturday) Unknown thief takes 132 pairs of ladies' stockings from local department store.

40 YEARS AGO

Nov. 5, 1912 (Sunday) Two men fatally injured in explosion in road crew camp near Prospect.

50 YEARS AGO

Nov. 5, 1912 (Tuesday) Woodrow Wilson elected president with 399 electoral votes; Theodore Roosevelt gets 121 and William Howard Taft receives 20.

What's Your I.Q.?

Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

- 1. In tennis, what is a score of zero called? 2. Where are Nobel Prizes awarded? 3. Who was the first Roman to lead an expedition to Britain?

Answers: 1. Love, 2. Sweden, 3. Julius Caesar, 4. Produce the body, 5. Eight, 6. Federalists and Republicans, 7. 545 feet and 1/2 inch, 8. Joseph Smith, 9. Twenty-one guns fired in succession, 10. Christmas Pie.

Apathy and Election

Apathy—1. Want of feeling; lack of passion, emotion, or excitement. 2. Indifference to what appeals to feelings or interest.—Webster's Collegiate Dictionary.

This has been a moderately interesting campaign year, but it certainly hasn't generated anything like the heat and passion of some earlier election seasons.

There has been "apathy" — yes. We've been able to work up a rather substantial amount of personal apathy on some of the races, in line with the often-heard slogan in the California election, "Vote NO for governor."

On other matters on the ballot, we have had and still have considerable concern. Probably everyone is that way; there are some races about which they feel strongly, others about which they could hardly care less.

APATHY, as such, is neither a sin nor a virtue except as it relates to its subject matter. We would, indeed, encourage apathy in any voter who has not taken the time and trouble to inform himself about what he's going to vote on.

In our view, an uninformed or ignorant vote is far worse than no vote at all. Democracy is a fine ideal, but to all who hold it dear, it also implies an informed and literate electorate.

All of which is by way of saying that if you don't know, don't vote; if you don't care, don't vote. Leave it up to those who do know and do care.

See you at the polls? — E.A.

Candidate Recommendations

FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR 101 Wayne L. Morse FOR CONGRESS, FOURTH DISTRICT 103 Robert B. Duncan FOR GOVERNOR, STATE OF OREGON 105 Mark Hatfield FOR LABOR COMMISSIONER 109 Norman O. Nilsen

FOR STATE SENATOR FROM JACKSON COUNTY 110 L. W. (Lynn) Newbry FOR SATE REPRESENTATIVE, JACKSON COUNTY (Vote for three) 112 Alva N. (Al) Bradford 113 Edward Branchfield 117 James A. Redden

FOR COUNTY ASSESSOR 118 Thad W. Hatten FOR COUNTY CLERK 120 Bereth P. Hopkins FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONER 122 Donald E. "Don" Faber FOR COUNTY JUDGE 125 Earl M. Miller FOR COUNTY SHERIFF 126 "De" A. Leigh

NON-PARTISAN BALLOT FOR JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT, NO. 1 201 William M. McAllister FOR JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT, NO. 6 202 Arno H. Denecke FOR JUDGE OF THE OREGON TAX COURT 203 Peter Gunnar FOR JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT, JACKSON COUNTY 204 L. L. Sawyer

CITY OF MEDFORD FOR MAYOR 155 Jimmy Dunlevy FOR COUNCILMAN—First Ward 158 Robert J. Cunningham FOR COUNCILMAN—Second Ward 161 Donald Hansen FOR COUNCILMAN—Third Ward 164 Robert L. Baccus FOR COUNCILMAN—Fourth Ward 167 Richard H. Travis —E.A.

Measures Recommendations

- 1. REORGANIZE STATE MILITIA—Yes. 2. FOREST REHABILITATION DEBT LIMIT AMENDMENT—Yes. 3. PERMANENT ROAD DEBT LIMIT AMENDMENT—Yes. 4. POWER DEVELOPMENT DEBT LIMIT AMENDMENT—Yes. 5. STATE COURTS' CREATION AND JURISDICTION—Yes. 6. DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME—Yes. 7. CONSTITUTIONAL SIX PER CENT LIMITATION AMENDMENT—Yes. 8. Ruled off ballot; if it appears on yours, no need to vote. 9. LEGISLATIVE APPORTIONMENT CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT—Yes. 10. REPEALS SCHOOL DISTRICT REORGANIZATION LAW—No.

52 FLUORIDATION OF MEDFORD CITY WATER SUPPLY—Yes. —E.A.

"Let's Get A Lock For This Thing"



Foreign News: Some Reds Hedging On Cuban Comments; Soviets Beef Up Fleet

By PHIL NEWSOM UPI Foreign News Analyst

Notes from the foreign news cables: Khrushchev Caution

There is no concrete sign from Moscow that Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev's leadership is in any danger because of his decision to withdraw Russian missiles from Cuba. But caution is one of the first laws of self-preservation in the Communist world.



Newsom Communist world. And Italian Communist boss Palmiro Togliatti, one of the smartest men in the international Communist movement, is exercising that faculty rather well. Togliatti does not seem to be so sure that Khrushchev will remain in power for long after the Cuban setback, and has

kept remarkably silent on the issue.

The day after Khrushchev agreed to the withdrawal, Togliatti actually refused to comment. The Italian Communist party directorate praised the whole Russian-Cuban deal. But all Togliatti indulged himself in then was to say: "We are satisfied with the solution of the Cuban crisis."

Togliatti finally commented on Cuba by week's end in an article in the party magazine Rinascita. His words still were guarded and his praise was for the "Soviet Union" rather than Khrushchev.

His guarded attitude is in contrast to his belligerent stand at the peak of the crisis when he bombarded the Rome government with personal statements and parliamentary interrogations. Those who know Togliatti believe his current cautiousness does not necessarily indicate disapproval of the way things have gone on Cuba. But rather they consider it reflects his feeling that Khrushchev's position is weakened and that it may be unwise to say "bravo" to a man who might lose his job.

Russian Submarines

Military sources in Tokyo say the Russians have added as many as a dozen submarines and a number of surface vessels to their Far Eastern fleet in recent weeks. The fleet: The Russians must monitor their own rocket tests in the Pacific and take on the additional chore of spying on the U. S. nuclear tests at Johnston Island and the U. S. antimissile missile development program at Eniwetok Island.

French Elections

First unofficial predictions of results of the Nov. 18 and 25 French parliamentary elections are that the Communists will be among the biggest winners and President Charles de Gaulle's Union for a New Republic party will be the biggest single loser. The guesses are that the Communists will gain in strength from their present 10 to 70 seats, with the radicals going from 37 to about 65, the Popular Republicans from 37 to about 75, and the socialists and independents making slight gains. The UNR is expected to suffer disastrous losses — up to one-half of its present strength of 176 seats. There is extremely heavy pressure being put on De Gaulle to get him to help avert this disaster. Betting is that he will do so — but in

and the people were wrong. I don't blame Mrs. Pfeifer for making the remarks that she did. I ask anyone, just try and go before the board of equalization and you will have an enemy in front, an enemy in back, on the right and left, and they are all ready to attack, yet they all promise to be servants of the people. Can anyone tell me, is this justice?

Frank Koch 412 South First St. Central Point, Ore.

Strictly Personal

By Sydney J. Harris (c) Field Enterprises, Inc.

WHAT'S A HE-MAN?

A reader in Texas has written me a note asking: "Why do women belittle (at least, my wife does) a man's attempt to take to the deep woods and be the he-man?"



It's not as simple a question as it sounds, nor is the answer a simple one. Women belittle men for different reasons, good and bad, and men want to take to the woods for different reasons, good and bad. What interests me, however, is my correspondent's implicit assumption that the one who takes to the deep woods is necessarily a "he-man." He may or may not be — but it is in his daily life, not in his forest flights, that he reveals his manhood or his boyhood.

In a book on "Courage" that he wrote shortly after the war, General Slim, who commanded the Allied forces in Burma, said that his experience with soldiers and civilians and refugees had taught him that "moral courage is much higher and rarer than physical courage, and that women and civilians often exhibit more courage of this kind than the bravest combatants."

What it takes to be a real "he-man" in modern society is no longer the physical intrepidity of the soldier or frontiersman in the past. What modern man is

a discreet manner that will not compromise his traditional stand of being above the political strife.

Ultra-Rightists On California Ballots

Sacramento — The California election Tuesday, Nov. 6, offers in addition to the main Nixon-Brown bout — a crucial test of the political strength of the far right.

Item: Extreme anti-Communists are backing the so-called Francis Amendment to the California Constitution. Its most controversial feature is a provision that a Communist, Communist front, or subversive organization is one so judged "by an appellate or superior court, or by a grand jury of any county in this state, or by the Attorney General of California, or by any court, officer, board, commission, agency or other body of the Government of the United States making such a finding pursuant to the law."

Gov. Edmund G. Brown and Richard M. Nixon both have expressed vigorous opposition to the proposed amendment. Opponents say it is really a weapon to destroy liberal, non-conformist organizations by threatening them with the Communist brand. Nixon even says that the John Birch Society feels that "because I do not support the amendment I apparently am soft on communism."

Item: The far right hopes to elect three members of the John Birch Society to Congress from its major stronghold in Los Angeles County. Two are Republican incumbents seeking re-election: Rep. John H. Roussetol of the 25th Congressional District and Rep. Edgar W. Hiestand, of the 27th. The third Birch member, H. L. Richardson, is running on the G.O.P. ticket in the newly created 29th District.

None is favored to win, but of the three, Roussetol is said to have the best chance. The California election really offers the first large-scale test for the Birchers at the polls inasmuch as the semi-secret society's existence didn't come to public knowledge until 1961.

One darling of the far right, Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker, an avowed Birchier, finished sixth and last in May when he ran for the Democratic gubernatorial nomination in Texas. With that handwriting on the wall, most California observers are forecasting a day of disaster for the far right on Nov. 6.—Editorial Research Reports.

Washington Report

By William S. White (c) United Feature Syndicate

BY-PRODUCT

Washington — An unexpected but heartening by-product of President Kennedy's single-handed action against the Soviet missile base in Cuba has been little noted but should be long remembered. This is the fact that our allies, who were given little consultation before Mr. Kennedy went it alone in this desperately needed step, have responded with generous understanding.

Indeed, the Western alliance is actually more nearly together than it was before his unilateral ultimatum to the international communists. And for this, one allied power, Britain, deserves special thanks.

BEFOREHAND, most of the allies, led by the British, had been infuriatingly calm, and even a trifle superior, about the problem of Castro Cuba. They had all but invited — they had all but demanded — the isolation of the United States in this somber business. They had been unwilling even to give token support to the earlier efforts to cut off Cuban trade.

For this there were several reasons. One was a persistent inability in England to understand that Soviet missiles in Cuba were part of a Soviet design to blackmail the West, all the way from the Caribbean to Berlin, and so formed a real and terrible threat to all the West.

Another reason was that the United States had spent a good many years in loftily lecturing Britain and other European nations about their own acute problems in areas of more vital interest to them than to us — ex-colonial areas in Africa and Asia.

YET another went back six years, when Nasser's Egypt, backed by the Soviet Union just as Castro Cuba was later backed, cut Britain's lifeline by theft of the Suez canal. On that sad occasion, we gave the British the cold-

est of comfort. And when the British, the French and the Israelis in desperation invaded Egypt, to get Nasser's hands off their throats, we refused to our allies any backing whatsoever. Instead, we actually joined the Russians in condemning them in the United Nations.

Against all this background, British opinion was inclined to let "the Yanks" stew in their own pot of Cuban concern. There was also a general feeling in England that, anyhow, the United States was "too excited about Cuba."

All this has now been swept away, in the disclosure to all the world that the Soviet Union was in Cuba for far more sinister purposes than merely to threaten the southern United States coast. But even before this full disclosure the British government in the pinch came through, even amid their annoyance at not having been fully consulted in this American enterprise which, after all, involved the risk of war to them, too.

THE fact is that Lord Home, the British foreign minister, called in the Soviet ambassador to London and told him in words of chill plainness that Britain was standing with the United States, come what might. Later, Prime Minister Macmillan waved away all complaints that Britain had not been briefed at the take-off.

This, remembering that Macmillan's government is constantly yammered at anyhow by left-wing neutralist and anti-American critics, was pretty decent. And it was even more decent remembering that when the British for their part set off on a unilateral action — the Egyptian invasion — we gave them no equivalent forgiveness. We only gave them stones in the UN.

What the British tried to prove in 1956 was that no nation should leave its very life to the mercies solely of "world opinion." But their biggest ally, the United States, would not come forward. We have now proved it, as to Cuba, because we had the iron and incomparable power of the American military establishment.



"I know it's traditional... but it is kind of immodest for a candidate to be photographed voting for himself..."