

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

"Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"

Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 33 North First St., Phone 172-6141

ROBERT W. RUHL, Editor
HARRY GREY, Advertising Manager
GERALD T. LATHAM, Bus. Mgr.
ERIC W. ALLEN, Jr., Mng. Editor
EARL H. ADAMS, City Editor
HARRY CHAPMAN, Tel. Editor
RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor
OLIVE STARCHER, Women's Editor
DALE BRICKSON, Circulation Mgr.

An Independent Newspaper
Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon, under Act of March 3, 1907.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
By Mail — In Advance
Daily and Sunday — 1 year \$18.00
Daily and Sunday — 6 mos. 10.00
Daily and Sunday — 3 mos. 5.00
Sunday Only — One Year 3.00
Single Copy (Mailed) 30c

By Carrier — And Motor Route
Daily and Sunday — 1 year \$21.00
Daily and Sunday — 6 mos. 12.50
Daily and Sunday — 3 mos. 6.50
Carrier and Vendors — Copy 10c

Official Paper of City of Medford
Official Paper of Jackson County
United Press International
Full Length Wire
U. P. 1 Telephone Newsletters
MEMBER OF "AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATION"

Advertising Representative:
NELSON ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES
Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, Seattle, Portland, Denver.

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION

MEMBER

Flight o' Time

Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO

Sept. 24, 1952 (Thursday)

Continued hot dry weather brings severe fire danger to area; situation explosive, forecasters say.

Weight-mile fees in Oregon defended by State Rep. Robert Root, member of legislature's highway interim committee, in talk to Medford Kiwanians.

20 YEARS AGO

Sept. 24, 1932 (Friday)

Karl L. Janouch named president of Jackson County Chamber of Commerce, replacing Glenn Jackson, who resigned to enter armed forces.

From Arthur Perry's "Ye Smudge Pot" column: "The county is still without a constable and getting along as well as could be expected. Nobody as yet has showed up willing to make the sacrifice, at the urgent request of friends."

30 YEARS AGO

Sept. 24, 1902 (Sunday)

University of Oregon football team wins first game under former high school coach Prink Callison; End Bill Bowerman, Medford, scores one point after touchdown.

Jackson county unemployment relief council plans county relief clothing shop to provide used garments for unemployed residents of this area.

40 YEARS AGO

Sept. 24, 1922 (Monday)

Jackson county residents charges state fair board with discrimination in allotment of booths at state fair in Salem.

Radio Station KPAY set up at Jackson county fairgrounds to broadcast music three evenings a week.

50 YEARS AGO

Sept. 24, 1912 (Wednesday)

Bull Moose party opens local campaign with meeting in Boy Scout tent on Bartlett street.

Every seat in Medford opera house occupied for first performance here of "beautiful, virile drama" of the Canadian northwest, "The Love of the North."

What's Your I.Q.?

Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. Is Louisville or Frankfort the capital of Kentucky?
2. Are there deep-sea fish in the Dead Sea in Israel?
3. Cape Hatteras is off the coast of what state?
4. Which of the Hawaiian Islands is the largest?
5. Name the capital of Missouri.
6. In which state was President Rutherford B. Hayes born?
7. Where is London's famous Big Ben?
8. Does the military term casualty refer only to soldiers killed or wounded?
9. Name the last letter of the Greek alphabet.
10. Heat travels by radiation, convection and what other method?

Answers: 1. Frankfort. 2. Yes. 3. North Carolina. 4. Hawaii. 5. Jefferson City. 6. Ohio. 7. Clock tower of House of Commons. 8. No — unavailable for any cause. 9. Omega. 10. Conduction.

Fluoridation Facts

By EDWARD P. MORGAN

(This editorial statement on water fluoridation is from a recent broadcast by Edward P. Morgan, whose daily program of news and commentary on the ABC radio network is sponsored by the AFL-CIO.)

A reporter is always learning something new. I was shocked, a few weeks ago, to learn of the deplorable condition of American teeth. The U.S. Public Health Service furnished statistics to support the charge that we are rapidly becoming a nation of dental cripples.

I reported on this situation on a recent broadcast, and added the comment that fluoridation of drinking water is the obvious, easy, proved and inexpensive remedy. Fluoridation has the full approval and endorsement of leading dentists, physicians, the American Dental Association, the American Medical Association, the AFL-CIO and public health experts here and abroad.

It was then I learned something else: the passionate fervor, stubborn potency and staggering misinformation of the anti-fluoridation lobby.

FLUORIDE is a deadly cumulative poison, wrote a health food merchant of Portland. Fluoridation is "a pretty serious step away from democracy," said a man from New York.

A man in Red Bluff, Calif., declared that the "aluminum trust" is behind the fluoridation racket "to profit from aluminum byproducts.

A lady in Sherman Oaks, Calif., implied that bureaucrats are conspiring to silence the critics of fluoridation, so that their views will be unheard.

The opinions of the anti-fluoridationists are not unheard. Though seldom clear, they have come through loud enough to defeat fluoridation in community after community. What about their charges against fluoridation? Do they have any merit?

THE ANSWER to that question is that the U.S. government, the University of Michigan, other private and public institutions and individuals have spent large sums of money and incalculable man-hours in examining the claims of the anti-fluoridationists and have found them, almost without exception, to be baseless or grossly misleading.

We pretend to be the best informed country in the world. But one of the ironic paradoxes of the age is that despite the availability of facts to disprove the charges against fluoridation, the public is so ignorant of them that the opposition has succeeded in bringing almost to a complete standstill the expansion of this method as an aid to dental hygiene.

This is not to say that fluoridation is faultless, that it is the answer to every dental problem. Exaggerated claims have been made.

But if one accepts the case of the opposition, then one must believe that the most responsible spokesmen of the medical and dental professions and the leading public health experts of the country are either idiots or criminals or both. This is just a little too sweeping to make sense.

FLUORIDE in water can cause mottling of teeth. But this happens where water naturally contains too much fluoride. It does not happen in city water systems where fluoridation is controlled.

Fluoride is a poison — in the same sense that sodium chloride, table salt, is poisonous if taken to excess.

The aluminum industry has not bamboozled or corrupted health officials to provide a market for its slag. The fluoride used in water systems does not come from aluminum by-products, and the quantity required is too infinitesimal to make its market important.

AND NOW the cause of fluoridation has received impressive support from a new and reliable source — the conservative British government. In a special 50-page pamphlet which the U.S. Public Health Service has just received, the British Ministry of Health reports that fluoridation was a complete success when it was tested and studied in careful detail for five years in three separate areas.

As so many U.S. studies have shown previously, the British study showed (1) substantial improvement in children's teeth and (2) no harmful effects whatever. — Oregon Labor Press.

What to Do With Addicts

A White House Conference on Narcotics and Drug Abuse, opening Thursday, Sept. 27, is an important step toward reconsideration of a national policy on drug addiction. At present federal narcotics legislation is essentially punitive in character. But support is growing for procedures that will make sharper distinction between the hardened profiteer and the drug user.

The medical approach received a big push on Sept. 15 when a panel of six experts told the President that drug addicts should not be placed in prison for long periods of time. It reported that rehabilitation measures would cost the public much less than imprisonment of offenders.

The prevailing conception of the addict as a menace to society is partially a misconception. Police records are said to show that, contrary to popular impression, crimes of violence are rarely connected with drug use. But the mandatory penalty system makes no distinction between the narcotics offender who is primarily a criminal and the offender who is primarily an addict.

What the addict—as opposed to the trafficker in drugs—needs is a chance to obtain treatment and rehabilitation over a long, strictly supervised period, according to the panel of experts which reported to the President. — E.R.R.

Anchors Away



COMMUNICATIONS

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

What Friends?

To the Editor: The Cub Scout Quarterly carries the following suggestions for October: "October 24 is United Nations Day when we are encouraged to fly the American and the UN flags together." The UN "represents the hope for peace with justice. At the 3rd Gen. meeting spend some time discussing the UN and the countries cooperating." Have "each cub scout's mother serve a United Nations Day dinner menu for the family. Table decorations might be planned to remind them of interesting friends among the United Nations."

What friends? The African blacks who have been turned against us? Our NATO allies who trade with Red China and supply Russian war materials to Cuba? The "neutrals" who always side in with Russia? Or maybe the writer of the above was thinking of Tito and Gomulka, the human butchers of Yugoslavia and Poland, or of Comrade Khrushchev, who once did so much for the small children of Kiev. He provided grassy plots where they could play by covering over huge mass graves where thousands of bodies of their parents whom he had murdered were piled.

The "peace with justice" statement above sticks in my throat like a fish bone. Does the writer mean the "peace" the UN has created in the Congo and the murderous "justice" it is meeting out to the anti-Communist Katangans? Or is he thinking of the "peace and justice" in the bloody "liberation" of, and the total tyranny over, Tibet by the Red Chinese, or maybe the butchery of the Hungarian freedom fighters by the Russians to which the UN offered no protest.

Just how low can this UN crowd stoop? Many of these children are barely out of kindergarten. Every Den Mother in America should refuse to be tricked into brainwashing them with this anti-American UN One-Worldism.

We should be teaching our children to love OUR flag, and OUR country. And we should be teaching them to pray for the day when the Godless yoke of pro-Communist tyranny is lifted from our necks and it is no longer possible for our enemies to land on our soil and use the UN as a forum for cursing Old Glory, our flag, and for cursing our people.

Tony Galli
1720 S.W. Bridge St.
Grants Pass, Ore.

Mixed Schools

To the Editor: Surely, E.A. is taking way too much for granted if he means that most of us do accept the premise, in part: "And both common sense and the Supreme Court insist that equality of schooling cannot be achieved through separate schooling." This from the Sept. 20 lead editorial of the MT.

Actually, common sense and Supreme Court ruling do not go hand in hand. Tragic proof of this is in the 1854 Supreme Court ruling that a Negro slave, though gaining sanctuary in a free state, is still a slave of his owner and returnable as such. Common sense of fair minded people reversed this decision, taking as it did, sacrificing thousands of human lives, untold suffering and sorrow and millions of dollars in an unwanted and needless civil war.

As to equality of schooling, how in the name of fair-minded reasoning can there be enjoyed equality when two opposing races are coerced into social intimacy of the school-room? Yes, it can be tolerated, but is it ever enjoyable? Does it raise the scholastic stand-

ing of schools so mixed? The consistently higher scholastic standing of our Northwest schools over other USA regions give a positive no. When questioned, a nearby top school authority replied, "Your question finds me unprepared, as it has not occurred to me. But you do raise a point, a most vital one. Offhand, I will say that a controlling factor, is the good old white American stock here in our Northwest schools, less infiltrated by Negro enrollment than other USA regions."

Also, it is to wonder what the mixed - race advocates thing of the New Jersey and New York school happenings, where court ruling under the Kennedy administration prohibits Negro children from attending their nearby schools, but must walk up to two miles, crossing busy streets, to be integrated into white schools. White schools are coerced into doing same into black schools.

On this, Dr. James B. Conant, former president of Harvard university, said in a report on U. S. schools that "the school should serve the neighborhood," and that "the people attending that school should come from the immediate geographic area."

This, from the U. S. News & World Report, Sept. 24, results from the militant, insistent prodding of the Negro NAACP.

F. J. Clifford
Route 2, Box 200F
Central Point, Ore.

Objectivity

To the Editor: Objectivity indeed, I just got around to an editorial of Sept. 16, "The Struggle for Objectivity." I was surprised to note in this editorial that you named the Republican party by name, I am surprised that you didn't state that the reporter or speaker, might be a Democrat or of some other party. When a newspaper slurs the Republicans with cartoons, on the front page, as I have seen yours do, you could say that he is loquacious, to the extent of being eccentric. You said that the reporter might be a Republican. Do you have any on your staff? If you do, I'll bet that they can't even recognize their articles when you get through with it. I would say that the policies of your paper and this editorial brand you as a hypocrite. You are obviously either a far left Democrat or a Socialist.

Jim Bolding
188 Merrill Pl.
Costa Mesa, Calif.

Hog and Deer

To the Editor: The "wild" hog saga in communications of M.T. 9-20 by Mr. F. J. Clifford, reminds me of the years prior to World War One, when we hunted wild game in the Rogue river mountains. The old time bear hunters would inform me how to still-hunt for better results, that is, to take a few steps forward then remain quiet for a minute or two. Well, it worked just as suggested, but just as a buck deer jumped up, also a "wild" hog jumped, snorted and both ran in opposite directions. We froze in our own tracks for awe! Never had such an experience before or since. We never knew which was the most flabbergasted.

The hog and buck were both fast ridge runners as both were feeding on acorns and both were out of sight before we had time to mull over the paradox and draw a bead.

Bert Kinsinger
322 South Riverside ave.
Medford.

Foreign News: De Gaulle Is Key Man For Britain's Common Market Chances

By PHIL NEWSOM
UPI Foreign News Analyst

Notes from the foreign news cables:

De Gaulle Key Man

Look to French President Charles de Gaulle as the key to the problem of Britain's entry or non-entry into Europe. With the commonwealth prime ministers conference out of the way, the scene shifts back to Brussels where a new round of negotiations is to start shortly.



Newsom

sells where a new round of negotiations is to start shortly

on Britain's application for entry into the common market. The key to the solution of the problem lies chiefly with De Gaulle who has shown little enthusiasm for British entry while he still hopes for leadership. He is not likely to say an outright "no" to Britain, but he might delay a decision long enough to set off second thoughts in London.

Together

The Soviet Union still is pressing for better relations with Yugoslavia, despite President Tito's obvious determination to pursue his own course toward Communism and the new proposed Yugoslav constitution which permits private ownership of land.

U.S.S.R. President Leonid Brezhnev is visiting Yugoslavia this week. The Soviet press is hailing the visit as one which will strengthen government, cultural and economic exchanges. One likely result is that newly arrived U.S. Ambassador Foy D. Kohler will not be able to present his credentials until Brezhnev returns some 10 days hence. Meanwhile, Western diplomats expect the present lull in Berlin to continue at least through the week.

The U2 Communist, but non-Chinese, diplomats in New Delhi are saying that the Nationalist Chinese U2 reconnaissance plane lost over China Sept. 9 was brought down by engine trouble, not rockets. These diplomats insist that the Chinese have no missiles capable of knocking a U2 out of the sky because the Russians have never given them any.

Washington Report

By William S. White
(c) United Feature Syndicate

A SHADE OF DIFFERENCE

Washington — (UPI) — Not often does any book become an issue in public policy, raising questions so profound as to become itself both a part and a symbol of national affairs and of national debate.



White

When such a book does arise, it is high political news. And such is Allen Drury's new novel, "A Shade of Difference." It is a moving and agonizing study of a tragic domestic problem which has become also a great — and sometimes cynically — exploited problem in the United Nations. This is the problem of race relations in our country.

"A Shade of Difference" appears just as the U.N. General Assembly is in its 17th session in New York. And this gaunt, shining building on the East River is Drury's principal scene.

MANY critics, notably a certain kind of liberal critic, denounced Drury's first book, "Advise and Consent," though it won a not trifling honor, the Pulitzer Prize in letters, and though it became one of the best - sellers of all time.

No doubt there were many faults in the novel. Still, it was difficult to understand how its detractors could be so wise and its horde of defenders, including the Pulitzer committee, so unwise. Indeed, the more one examined the matter the more it appeared that, to the liberal critics, Drury's real sin had not been that of artistic failure but rather that of thinking wrong political thoughts. He had depicted some of his most attractive characters as conservative and some of his least attractive as liberal — again, a certain kind of liberal, that kind who will tolerate no dissent from his own views, not even in a work of fiction.

ALREADY, now that Drury's No. 2, "A Shade of Difference," has appeared in print, and fretful distaste for No. 1 from this certain kind of liberal has been transformed into implacable hostility toward No. 2. I say "a certain kind of liberal," for many avowed liberals read and review books just for what they are and not to support their own private political views. For if Drury was guilty of grave error in present-day civilized conservatives in "Advise and Consent," he has committed unpardonable sin in "A Shade of Difference."

"A Shade of Difference" goes so far as strongly to suggest, over and over, that there are two sides to our color problem. It suggests that while there has been old and manifest unfairness to our Negro minority, it is false to say — as it is said over and over in the United Nations — that this country has done nothing real to resolve this ancient tragedy in decency and in justice.

It even suggests that there is demagoguery on more than one side; that the Southern Bourbon anti-Negro extremist has his counterpart in the liberal extremists outside and inside the U.N. who often wish more to inflame and profit from this sad division than to seek a sensible, fair solution for it.

IT MAY be that Drury, from previous years as a congressional correspondent, learned what many another has learned. The true friends of racial fairness here never have been the liberal extremists. They have been the courageous and moderate men — some of them Southerners, for that matter — who have patiently moved this country forward.

No one interested in this nation's future, or in our tradition of giving a fair hearing, should miss this book.

From Washington: The administration looks to the Senate to reverse House action that would CUT \$1.1 billion from President Kennedy's foreign aid bill. The House ignored appeals from the President to restore funds cut by its appropriations committee, and approved a \$3.6 billion foreign aid money bill by a vote of 249-144.

The House rejected all attempts to either restore or increase the cuts made by the appropriations committee. The committee slash represented a 23 per cent REDUCTION in Kennedy's \$4.7 billion foreign aid request.

MORE from Washington: The compromise college

aid bill was in a deep congressional coma. Senate Republican Leader Everett M. Dirksen pronounced it "as dead as a dodo."

The \$2.3 billion measure, last vestige of President Kennedy's education program, was rejected by the House, 214-186.

The House instructed its negotiators to return to a Senate-House conference and secure ELIMINATION of a proposed \$600 million student loan program.

STILL more from Washington: Senator William Proxmire, D-Wis., said a proposed \$10 million national aquarium here in the nation's capital would be "the most extravagant, gold-plated fish bowl in world history."

He said that to spend money on a pure luxury when we have an enormous budget deficit and critical national needs is as foolish as Marie Antoinette's saying: "Let them eat cake" when she was told that her people had no bread.

SENATOR Proxmire is — or recently — a pronounced liberal, but at the present moment he thinks a \$10 million gold-plated fish bowl in Washington would be TOO LIBERAL with the taxpayers' money.

WHAT'S in the wind? Why this sudden enthusiasm for ECONOMY in Washington — where for some time in the past the prevailing theory has appeared to be that the more money we can throw down the drain the RICHER WE'LL BE?

THIS may be the answer: If you'll get out your calendar and count up the days on your fingers, you will discover that ELECTION DAY is only about TWO MONTHS AWAY.


It just might be that the thought has hit Capitol Hill that the voters may be feeling pretty poor by that time and so may be inclined to listen thoughtfully to a little economy talk.

Strictly Personal

By Sydney J. Harris
(c) Field Enterprises, Inc.

SELF-SATISFACTION

"When I go abroad," said a friend recently, "I'm almost ashamed of being known as an American. Most Americans behave so badly in Europe that I don't like to be identified with them." Certainly, this is true; but just as certainly, it has always been true of the dominant, and wealthy, nation in any age. We do not behave badly abroad because we are Americans — because of some innate national characteristic — but because we are rich, fat and sassy.



Harris

Today, we demand hamburgers in Hamburg (which never heard of them), and Cokes in the Champagne country of France; we wear flowered sport shirts to the Paris Opera — when we condescend to go — and would wear shorts to visit the Vatican, if the guards permitted us such a barbarism.

But, none of this is distinctively "American" behavior. It is, rather, the behavior of a people who feel it is their century, that the Americanization of the whole world is inevitable, and we are merely speeding up the process for the backward natives. It is a prime example of what Proust called "the unconscious arrogance of the conscious rich."

The American at home is a decent, retiring chap; his instincts are generous, and his sense of fairness is keen. But give him a passport, a camera and a wad of American Express Cheques, and Dr. Jekyll Square promptly turns into Mr. Hyde Park.

We are admired, envied and resented throughout the world — not for what we are, but for what we have. And what we have — an incomparable affluence — tends to make us feel superior to those whose standard of living is lower. We are willing to help them, with gifts and loans, in the abstract; but face to face we behave exactly as every dominant people have always behaved abroad.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Good manners are not an export commodity, when the balance of trade is favorable to us. But we would do well to remember that while it may not be true that "whom the gods would destroy they first make mad," it is an indisputable historical fact that whom the gods would destroy they first make self-satisfied.

Try and Stop Me

By BENNETT CERF

FIRST CITIZEN:—Say, Herb, how did that ruckus with your wife come out last night? The