

## MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

"Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"

Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE CO., 33 North Fir St., Ph. 772-6141

ROBERT W. RUHL, Editor  
HERB GREY, Advertising Manager  
GORDON T. LATHAM, Bus. Mgr.  
ERIC W. ALLEN, Jr., Mng. Editor  
EARL H. ADAMS, City Editor  
HARVEY CRIPPS, Tel. Editor  
RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor  
OLIVE STARNER, Women's Editor  
DALE ERICKSON, Circulation Mgr.

An Independent Newspaper  
Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon, under Act of March 3, 1879

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES**  
By Mail — In Advance.  
Daily and Sunday—1 year \$18.00  
Daily and Sunday—6 mos. 10.00  
Daily and Sunday—3 mos. 5.50  
Sunday Only—1 year \$3.50  
Single Copy (Mailed) 20c

By Carrier—And Motor Route  
Daily and Sunday—1 year \$21.00  
Daily and Sunday—6 mos. 12.50  
Daily and Sunday—3 mos. 7.00  
Sunday Only—1 mo. 50c  
Carrier and Vendors — Copy 10c

Official Paper of City of Medford  
Official Paper of Jackson County  
United Press International  
Full Leased Wire  
U. P. I. Telephone Newspapers  
MEMBER OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATIONS

Advertising Representatives:  
NELSON ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES, Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, Denver.

1962  
NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION  
AFFILIATE NUMBER

## Flight o' Time

Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

### 10 YEARS AGO

Sept. 3, 1952 (Wednesday)  
The Rev. Shelby Anglemeyer, pastor of First Christian church, was elected president of Medford Ministerial association here this week.

Three Jackson county girls win 4-H livestock judging honors at the Oregon State fair, Bernice Bingham, Eagle Point, and Doreen and Marilyn Bohner, sisters from Central Point.

### 20 YEARS AGO

Sept. 3, 1942 (Thursday)  
Glenn Jackson resigns as president of Jackson County Chamber of Commerce because he is leaving temporarily; Mark Goldy named acting head.

From Arthur Perry's "Ye Smudge Pot" column: "The government is now after the packers for alleged removal of price ceilings on meat, so the old cow could jump over the moon. Legal steps have been taken to determine if either one or both is a beef coming."

### 30 YEARS AGO

Sept. 3, 1932 (Sunday)  
Jack Stevens' Gleemen make debut in dedication ceremonies of new Jackson county courthouse.

County prisoners moved to new courthouse but Jailer O. W. Dunford announces they will have to eat prepared meals because county court does not feel justified to spend \$500 to equip the jail kitchen.

### 40 YEARS AGO

Sept. 3, 1922 (Monday)  
County Jailer E. D. Collins is clubbed twice on the head but manages to prevent prisoner awaiting arraignment for car theft from escaping; dazed jailer wrestles club from prisoner's hands and forces him back into cell.

Mayor Gates is informed that fire conditions in Medford are "very bad."

### 50 YEARS AGO

Sept. 3, 1912 (Wednesday)  
Chinese laundryman indicted for murder by grand jury in Medford following testimony of "white slave girl" associated with him.

## What's Your I.Q.?

Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. Which is the heavier — copper or steel?
  2. The earliest forms of measurement were related to what?
  3. Where is Churchill Downs?
  4. Would you guess that 1,000,000,000 dollar bills would stretch around the world 3, 4, 5, or 6 times?
  5. Milk is heavier than cream; true or false?
  6. Name the fifth President of the United States.
  7. Icebergs are approximately 5/8ths, 7/8ths, or 9/8ths under water?
  8. In miles, what is the approximate diameter of the earth?
  9. After which war did the observance of Memorial Day originate?
  10. The Revelations of Joseph Smith led to the founding of what religious faith?
- Answers: 1. Copper. 2. The body. 3. Louisville, Ky. 4. Four. 5. True. 6. James Monroe. 7. 8/9ths. 8. 8,000 miles. 9. War Between the States. 10. Mormon.

Pittsburgh—About 400 million gallons of paint are sold to amateur painters yearly.

## Big Schools

Medford's high school is too small. The community has decided to build a bigger one, rather than to add another to the system. Reason: Big high schools are more efficient, offer the student better educations.

This doesn't square with the folklore of the friendly little high school where everybody knows everybody. Yet, school officials make a good case for it. Curriculum in a large high school can be more varied, providing better for the exceptional student—either the one who is exceptionally smart or the one who is exceptionally slow.

IT IS not economic, for example to organize a class in advanced mathematics in a high school of 1,000. Perhaps only five or six students would show up. But in a school of 2,000 or 2,500 as many as 15 might show up. It makes more sense, and the students get an opportunity they wouldn't have otherwise.

So with foreign languages. A fourth year of German or Latin might be out of the question in a small school, or in two small schools. But in a large school, it is more feasible.

The big high schools is criticized because, its critics say, it's too hard for a student to get recognition. He's lost in the sea of faces. This may be a valid, or somewhat valid, criticism. But in balance, large schools seem to make more sense. —Eugene Register-Guard.

## Court Decisions Supported

Following is the text of a statement adopted last month by the Council for Christian Social Action at its meeting in Nashville, Tenn.

The Council for Christian Social Action of the United Church of Christ declares its support of the United States Supreme Court in its decision in Engle v. Vitale for the following reasons—

1. The decision upholds the principle of church-state separation. The Council for Christian Action believes that because the New York State Regents' prayer was created, adopted, and administered by state officials and used as a religious exercise in the public schools, it violated the establishment clause of the first amendment of the federal Constitution. The fact that the prayer was non-denominational and its recitation voluntary does not alter the fact the state prescribed a particular form of prayer to be used as an official activity. Thus the state was carrying out a function which is not its business to perform.

2. We believe that the responsibility for religious education and worship belongs to the church and home where it can be most effectively performed. Significant prayer is an expression of deep religious faith and conviction which cannot be appropriately expressed corporately in a public school setting where there is a wide variety of belief and non-belief.

3. There is nothing in the decision which bans private prayer. Nor is there anything in the decision which restricts teaching about the contributions religious leaders, movements, and ideas have made in the shaping of our history and culture; and we would encourage the public school to do this more effectively.

The Council for Christian Action calls upon the members of our churches to support the Supreme Court decision...

## God in Russia

Thirteen American churchmen now visiting the Soviet Union as guests of the Russian Orthodox Church will find a hardy core of believers whose faith has withstood the manifold pressures of a totalitarian state. Not the least of these harassments is the jocular derision emanating from Premier Khrushchev himself. In his celebrated interview with C. L. Sulzberger of the "New York Times" last September, Khrushchev told of sending cosmonaut Yuri Gagarin aloft to find out if there was a paradise in outer space. "It's pitch dark there, he (Gagarin) said; no Garden of Eden, nothing like heaven. So we decided to send another. We sent Gherman Titov, and told him to fly for a whole day. After all, Gagarin was up there only an hour and a half. So he might have missed paradise. We told him to take a good luck. Well, he took off, came back and confirmed Gagarin's conclusion. He reported there was nothing there."

KHRUSHCHEV'S primitive and negative view of the religious experience is not shared by all Russians. Latest membership figures of the Russian Orthodox Church, supplied by Russian churchmen before their acceptance into the World Council of Churches in New Delhi, India, last November, put the number at 50 million—one-quarter of the Soviet Union's population. Still, about 1,500 churches were reported closed in the Soviet Union last year, partly because of coercion by Communist Party authorities. The Communists' current anti-religious campaign has fallen with particular severity on Russia's three million Jews.

The Russian Orthodox Church has managed to survive either by supporting Soviet policy, if pressed, or by divorcing itself from moral assessment of non-religious affairs. Many Red clergymen see the more spiritual approach to religion as a means of avoiding a choice of sides in the struggle between two forms of socio-economic organization. But Communist leaders will always fear and resent the survival in their midst of a body worshipping an authority higher than the State.—E.R.R.

## "And Where Are You Going?" Asked The Timber Wolf



## COMMUNICATIONS

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

### Modern Occultism

To the Editor: A Christian worker in calling upon homes in a certain place was met by cold reserve by the lady in one house. The conversation, however, seemed to invite the lady's confidence, and soon she explained her bitterness toward all religion.

The government, during the war years, had sent her a telegram, stating her husband was missing in action. She waited long months with no word. Then well-meaning friends urged her to try and contact her husband through seance, for they felt that he must be dead.

She complied with their wishes and to her amazement the likeness of her husband appeared. His voice was recognized and they talked over many personal things.

But some months later her husband, alive and well, walked unannounced through the front door. He hadn't been dead nor ever seriously wounded. This disillusioned woman became bitter toward religion because of the advantage taken of her sorrow by wicked and lying spirits.

Another lady I know of had her "missing" son "appear" and he told her to quit studying the Bible that it was not true.

Reminds me of the "spirits of devils working miracles" told of in Rev. 16:14.

A personal friend told me that he once saw King Gustavus Adolphus in a seance, and a lady recently wrote me that she had visited with a number of her dead relatives through the seance.

Now some of your readers may wish to comment on these items. When King Saul sought out an answer to his problems through a seance, was it actually Samuel who appeared to him? Or was it an evil intelligence playing the part of Samuel in psychic drama forbidden by God?

In a book, "Practical Occultism," by F. F. Morse, he says on page 85: "The phenomenal aspect of modern spiritism reproduces all the essential principles of the magic witchcraft and sorcery of the past. The same powers are involved, the same intelligences operating."

Very revealing, isn't it? I say this with kindness, but according to God's word these spirits which appear and claim to be our dead loved ones, are not dead people. They are fallen angels masquerading in the form of loved ones.

It's time we began studying our Bibles.

Henry Johnson Jr.  
2315 Highway 66  
Ashland, Ore.

### Women of the Future

To the Editor: The habits of our teenagers are discussed far too much, but I have just had a revelation that I feel needs shouting from the housetops. Teenage girls have always been a delight to me. But sometimes when I have seen them in knee knockers and bare feet, discussing eye shadow and lipstick, I have been guilty of wondering whether they were accumulating the necessary common sense to be our future cradle rockers.

dishes, mopped up spills, washed little hands and wiped tears from baby eyes. They also helped color, paste and cut when things were difficult, hunted lost articles, ran errands, kept attendance records and helped with the music. They held little ones and softly closed their eyes to help them learn to pray. All this they did with wonderful patience and high good humor and with no attempt to draw attention to themselves. At the same time they were baby sitting and helping their mothers at home.

If these girls are any indication of our future homemakers, and I believe they are, we need have no more worries about those homes. If they can accomplish the things I saw them do in a Bible School, then I am sure their own homes will be filled with love, understanding, beauty, reverence, joy and a wonderful sense of humor.

Being the mother of sons, I confess that I have wondered if the teenage girls were developing into capable helpmates for the men of the future. Now I shall spend even more time trying to prepare my sons to take their places alongside the women of the future.

(Name on File)  
Gold Hill, Ore.

### Murder Is Murder

To the Editor: The 1,700,000 residents of the state of Oregon have committed a murder. I do not condone the actions of the victim which were the cause of his murder by the people of Oregon. After all, there is evidence that he, too, committed murder. But do two wrongs make a right? Absolutely not!

How many of those who read the Mail Tribune, have done something which had a legal penalty, but which they were only too happy they weren't caught? It may have been failure to see a stop sign or it may have been infinitely more serious.

Now if the combined 1,700,000 people of Oregon can commit murder then why can't I? I know several who would not be missed by the world. In fact, I have a more or less faint idea I should get a medal for their removal. Of course, I must concede, they have as much right to life as anyone else.

It did no one any good, the people of Oregon, collectively, the dead mother and her little boy, the district attorney, sheriff, state police, circuit court judge, jury, or anyone else. Not even the taxpayers. If and when a measure appears on the ballot in the future, to abolish capital punishment, you may rest assured I shall vote yes.

Floyd R. McCabe,  
Mt. Pitt Star T.,  
Butte Falls, Ore.

## Burke Sees Threat In Red Shipments

San Diego, Calif. (AP)—Retired Adm. Arleigh Burke, former chief of naval operations, said Sunday that materials recently shipped into Cuba from Communist countries posed a threat to the United States.

# Foreign News: Exodus From Algeria; Euro-Market Fears; German Peace Pact

By PHIL NEWSOM  
UPI Foreign News Analyst  
Notes from the foreign news cables:

**Exodus**  
French officials fear the latest threat of civil war in Algeria will spur the remaining 350,000 Europeans there to flee to France. The French government says it can handle the influx, although it will cause major housing and employment difficulties. But officials fear it will spell economic disaster for Algeria and the

end of any possible economic and technical cooperation with France.

**Cross Currents**  
British negotiators are convinced now that, despite words to the contrary, De Gaulle opposes British entry into the European Common Market and they fear that West Germany's Chancellor Konrad Adenauer is moving Koser to De Gaulle's position. In any event, the British concede privately that there is no hope of British entry before the end of the year and probably not before the middle of 1963. Meanwhile, the delays and foot-dragging are having a paralyzing effect on over-all policy decisions at home and abroad. Britain may

make a new approach to European leaders behind the scenes soon to try to quicken the pace.

**Peace Treaty**  
Informed observers in West Germany are speculating that East German Communist Boss Walter Ulbricht flew home from Russia with a firm commitment from Nikita Khrushchev for an early signing of a separate East German-Soviet peace treaty. In anticipation of this treaty, it is expected that the Soviet puppet leader will demand transit visas for all road traffic to and from West Berlin in a further move to promote diplomatic recognition of East Germany.

## Drummond Reports

(Walter Lippmann is on vacation. Roscoe Drummond reports from Washington in his absence.) (c) 1962 New York Herald Tribune Inc.

**JFK'S TROUBLES WITH CONGRESS-I**

Washington — There is no doubt in my mind that Senator Kennedy was absolutely sincere in telling the American people in the 1960 campaign that, if they would elect a Democratic President to

give leadership to a Democratic Congress, all would go well. And he was absolutely wrong.

Mr. Kennedy is now nearing the end of his first two legislative years, and it is only factual to report that this 87th Congress has been one of the most uncooperative, unresponsive, unproductive Congresses since the end of World War II.

The record is not in dispute. Last year this Congress refused the President 80 per cent of his 277 legislative requests and thus far this year, according to the Congressional Quarterly, it has rejected more than 80 per cent of his 285 legislative requests.

This is the quantitative record. Measured against the assurances that a Democratic President cooperating with a Democratic Congress would really "get things moving," it is woefully deficient.

With respect to the President's major legislation, the record is gravely in default. This Congress has defeated Medicare and the bill for creating a Department of Urban Affairs. It has mutilated the farm bill. It hasn't even taken up the promised civil rights legislation and the promised aid to the public schools. The tax bill is being cut away.

WHY? Why has this 87th Congress, with its large Democratic majorities in both Houses, been so uncooperative, unproductive, and unresponsive to the leadership of a Democratic President?

Most of the answers seem to me to miss the point. Mr. Kennedy is criticized for not "going to the country" sufficiently to put pressure on Congress and he is criticized for putting too much pressure on Congress. He is accused of twisting the arms of Congress too vigorously and not vigorously enough. He is accused by Democratic conservatives of not being willing to compromise enough and he is accused by Democratic liberals, as in the satellite communications filibuster, of compromising too much.

You can slice these arguments anyway you wish, but in my judgment the bleak record of the 87th Congress and its failure to enact much more than a token of the President's legislative program do not stem from any personal or political deficiencies on the part of Mr. Kennedy.

The responsibility goes much deeper, and it is little related to the personality or the popularity of the President.

TO BLAME the President for the unresponsiveness of the Democratic Congress overlooks the political realities of nearly a quarter-century. If you look at the pattern of events spanning this period, you will find, I think, that it justifies these conclusions:

1—The least productive Congress — except in war or acute national emergency — have been Democratic Congresses serving under Democratic Presidents: 1839 - 40 under President Roosevelt, 1949-52 under President Truman, 1961-62 under President Kennedy.

2 — The most productive series of Congresses have been the Democratic Congresses serving under a Republican President: 1953-60 under President Eisenhower.

3 — Since there has been only one Republican Congress serving under a Republican President for only two of the past 30 years, this is hardly enough experience to justify a firm judgment. The short period that Mr. Eisenhower produced a good deal of constructive social and fiscal legislation, but more experience would be needed to decide whether that was exceptional or a foretaste of more to come.

What is clear is that the political arrangement which has produced the most barren legislative periods of a quarter-century is a Democratic Congress under the leadership of a Democratic President.

President Kennedy is having no more trouble — but no less — than F. D. R. had in the last two years before the war or than Mr. Truman had after he succeeded in electing a Democratic Congress in 1948. I am not advocating the merits of divided government; I am simply pointing out the facts.

## Washington Report

By William S. White  
(c) United Feature Syndicate

### TOUGH COURSE

Washington — How an opposition should behave in the delicate field of foreign policy — neither rolling over and playing dead nor yet taking up a harshly critical line — is a destructive both of national unity and national interest — is a grave problem before any minority party.

The Republican party in this slowly expiring Democratic Congress has met and solved the problem decently. It has found a way to offer constructive criticism of the administration without giving aid and comfort to those forces abroad which don't in the least care who is a Democrat and who a Republican in their simple, total hostility to all the United States of America.

All session long, and all last session too, the Republican congressional leaders have loyally followed a tough course of responsibility and self-restraint. They have gone the last mile in support of the President whenever in conscience they could.

WHEN, on rare occasions, the last mile has been reached and passed and they could go on with him no longer, they have declined the easy opportunity to take small partisan advantage of this country's difficulties by advertising these national differences to the world.

They stood with the President in the last invasion of Cuba — and, more importantly, they did not go about shouting "I told you so" when it failed. They have backed him all the way in his generally strong stand over Berlin. They not only supported him in his resumption, at long last, of nuclear testing. They also consistently urged him to make that decision in the first place, against all the muttering and hissing of the peace-at-any-price here and abroad.

Most of them have given him decisive and indispensable assistance in his efforts to shore up the United Nations chance to beat us to the vicinity of Venus and FAILED.

## In the Day's News

By FRANK JENKINS

As this is written, it looks like the Russians, betting their shirts on the admittedly superior power of their booster rockets, made an effort the other day to beat us to the vicinity of Venus, but FLOPPED.

THE story?

It boils down to this: Our scientists say they have definite evidence that a Russian space shot failed. They report that four fragments of a Russian rocket were tracked in a slow orbit around the earth. By Saturday morning, they add, one of these pieces of space trash had re-entered the earth's atmosphere and disappeared, apparently burned to ashes by the heat of friction.

The others are expected to be short-lived in space.

OUR scientists say they had no indication from these objects in orbit what the purpose of the shot was, but they think it is highly probable that it was a Venus shot because of the very narrow "time window" available for such events.

In space language, a "time window" means the period of time in which Venus and the earth are close enough to each other to make such a shot possible. They add that they have been unable to find any Russian object headed for any other planet.

So—

They deduce—

The Russians took a long

financially. This they have done even though they are sorely troubled at some of the attitudes of the organization. This they have done even though their own views as to what our positions should have been on many UN matters have not had the slightest acceptance at the White House.

NOW, they have presented to President Kennedy a proposal that we loose a worldwide propaganda-of-truth offensive against the infamous wall which a year ago the Russians raised in Berlin. They suggest that while America knows that the wall was built not to keep people out but to keep people in within the slavery of East Berlin, the world at large may not be so well aware of its central meaning:

"Without walls, without barbed wire, without guns and without terror, the Communist regimes, wherever they be, cannot thwart man's flight to freedom."

Since year upon year Communist stooges and dupes have endlessly picketed the West, not excluding the White House, why cannot the free world now do some worldwide picketing, parading and peaceful protesting of its own against the wall of Berlin? This is what the Republican leaders ask. And why not, indeed?

THIS is a reasonable plan, in no way partisan and no way encroaching upon the President's due authority. The administration would be wise not to thrust it casually aside. For it comes from Republican politicians, notably Sen. Everett Dirksen of Illinois, the Republican Senate leader, to whom this administration and country owe much for their self-denial and sense of national responsibility in the hard times through which we pass.

No fair man could deny that Dirksen, who is up for reelection this year, could have profited much in selfish, partisan terms and kept his name in high and useful headlines had he taken the route of damning the administration for every American weakness and setback everywhere in the world. And so easily this could have been done.

## Strictly Personal

By Sydney J. Harris  
(c) Field Enterprises Inc.

### WOMEN AND HAIR

What would women do without their hair? Ionesco has written a playlet called "The Baldheaded Soprano" but I haven't seen it, so I don't know his approach to the subject. But it occurs to me that taking care of their hair is almost a way of life to most women. Not one woman in a thousand is actually satisfied with her hair in the way that God gave it to her.

It is either too straight or too curly, too fine or too coarse, too limp or too unmanageable. A man doesn't think about his hair at all, except when he is losing it; but a woman spends a huge proportion of her time, energy and (husband's) income on the upkeep of her tresses.

"I can't do a thing with my hair" is the universal lament among women — but it's not for lack of trying. American women will try to do everything with their hair, changing its contour, length, texture and tint at least every three months, in their Utopian search for hair's happiness.

For girls who are relatively dateless, "washing my hair" occupies a good many otherwise empty evenings. This procedure, apparently, can be stretched out for about three hours — or about the time it would take a man to wash three full-sized automobiles.

This feminine preoccupation with hair, I feel sure, must be an unconscious disguise for something else on the part of most women. Hair actually isn't that important to a woman's looks; despite the commercials, men don't go into raptures because a woman's hair is soft and glistening with highlights. In fact, unless the hair is obviously dirty or unkempt, men scarcely notice it.

A wife, in fact, can rearrange her hair every week, and her husband would not know it until she brought it to his attention. Other women would notice immediately, however, because all of them are obsessed with hair-dos.

In my naive youth, I used to think that a woman got a permanent once every three months, and that was that. Since then, I have learned that nothing is more temporary than a permanent; it needs to be supplemented by "sets" and curlers and rinses and lotions and bandanas and sprays and whatever other illusory device some canny manufacturer put on the market.

Perhaps all this hair business is what the psychiatrists call a "displacement." Women know they can do little about their other natural defects — bad legs, flat busts, indifferent features. And so they displace their anxiety upwards, to the hair, in the desperate hope that a stunning coiffure will compensate for deficiencies elsewhere.

But a man is always in too deep water when he tries to analyze a woman's motives; and maybe many a wife's nocturnal preoccupation with her tresses is simply a way of keeping her husband out of her hair.

of the Old World to plant their systems of government in any part of North America... as dangerous to American peace and safety.

The presumption is that if such an attempt is made we will go to war, if necessary, to prevent it.

That makes a lot of difference.