

They'll Do It Every Time

By Jimmy Hatlo

ASTRIKAN GOES FOR THOSE REALISM FILMS—WHERE THE GALS LOOK LIKE THEY NEVER SAW SOAP....



BUT LET HIS MISSUS BE CAUGHT LOOKING LIKE ANYTHING BUT A BEAUTY AD—HE BLOWS A GASKET!!



Provision in Aid to Education Bill Challenged by 5 Groups

BY YVONNE FRANKLIN
Washington Bureau
Mail Tribune

Washington (Special)—The uneasy peace in the religious hostilities over federal aid to education has been blasted by an unexpected bombshell from powerful lobbying organizations on the eve of a joint Senate-House conference on the higher education bill.

Five groups, including the Congress of Parents and Teachers and the National Association of School Boards, have challenged the constitutionality of the provision in the House bill which would make outright federal grants to private as well as public institutions for college classroom construction. They claim it violates the separation of church and state principle.

The conferees, including Senator Wayne Morse and Rep. Edith Green, must settle major differences in the two bills. The Senate bill calls for spending \$2,674 billion over a five-year period as follows: \$1.6 billion in loans only for construction of college classrooms, laboratories and libraries; \$924 million for scholarships and \$250 million in grants for public community colleges.

Grants Held Necessary

The House bill provides 1.5 billion for both loans and grants, 60 per cent grants, 40 per cent loans, for construction. When the bill passed the House in January, Mrs. Green argued that the grants were necessary because 44 states forbid tax-supported institutions from borrowing for non-revenue producing buildings.

Telegrams sent to Members of Congress, almost identical in wording, were from the following organizations:

National Congress of Parents and Teachers, representing 12 million members; National School Boards Association representing 150,000 members; American Association of School Administrators, 14,000; American Vocational Association, 35,000 and the Council of Chief State School Officers, which is composed of state commissioners and superintendents of education.

A telegram from the President of the National School Boards Association stated in part:

"I think the grants provision is the heart of the bill," she said, "because without it the only alternative is another increase in tuition."

She feared that the small, privately endowed colleges might be driven to the wall and that prospective students from the median and low-income families, faced with increased tuition and costs, might be priced out of an education, resulting in an educated elite from the wealthy classes.

Mrs. Green cited enrollment figures in Oregon to bolster her case for federal help. She noted that almost without exception Oregon's colleges and universities had supported the bill which included grants. She said that Oregon was leading the nation in increase in college enrollments, and that anticipated enrollment for 1963-64 was 45,344 and that by 1970 a doubling of that enrollment was expected.

Statements by Experts

She called attention to 35

The principle of using public tax funds to assist non-public schools conflicts with the adopted policies of the National School Boards Assn. These reflect the belief of its members that such a provision would be unconstitutional. The principle of separation of church and state should be observed in higher education as well as in elementary and secondary education.

"Exceptions for higher education will invite widespread demand that tax funds be used for sectarian elementary and secondary schools. Such a policy would be detrimental to public education. Therefore, the National School Boards Assn. respectfully requests that no higher education bill which includes such a provision be enacted."

No Objections

Mrs. Green pointed out in an interview that these organizations had raised no objections as to the constitutionality of grants at the beginning of the year when the higher education bills passed the House 319-79. She was baffled by the motives of the organizations in raising the religious issue at this crucial time, and was convinced that it would only hurt the bill.

She indicated that she should like to have a provision written into the bill which would provide for a judicial review by the Supreme Court to settle the constitutional question. The Senate-House conference began June 19.

"I think the grants provision is the heart of the bill," she said, "because without it the only alternative is another increase in tuition."

She feared that the small, privately endowed colleges might be driven to the wall and that prospective students from the median and low-income families, faced with increased tuition and costs, might be priced out of an education, resulting in an educated elite from the wealthy classes.

Mrs. Green cited enrollment figures in Oregon to bolster her case for federal help. She noted that almost without exception Oregon's colleges and universities had supported the bill which included grants. She said that Oregon was leading the nation in increase in college enrollments, and that anticipated enrollment for 1963-64 was 45,344 and that by 1970 a doubling of that enrollment was expected.

Statements by Experts

She called attention to 35

statements by experts in the field on the very acute shortage of doctors, dentists, nurses, engineers and so on. She deplored the injection of the religious issue at a time when she said "we desperately need all the trained manpower we can get."

"In my judgment," she continued, "if we get into this (religious) controversy the higher education bill will go where the elementary bill went last year, and we probably won't have an education bill enacted for years."

Columbia Jetty Fund Cut Asked

Washington (UPI)—Army engineers have asked Congress to reduce by one-third money budgeted for rehabilitation work during the next fiscal year on the south jetty at the mouth of the Columbia river near Astoria to allow more studies.

Testimony by Brig. Gen. W. W. Lapsley, North Pacific division engineer, was made public Wednesday. It said further studies might result in improved design as well as long-range savings in maintenance.

Lapsley asked the House Appropriations committee to cut the south jetty fund from \$3 million to \$2 million for fiscal 1963. He did not indicate how much this would delay completion of the rehabilitation which had been scheduled for June of 1964.

Lapsley also said \$130,000 is needed now and \$436,000 later for completion of pre-construction planning for the river mouth project, which also includes restoration of the north jetty and of three pile dikes on Sand Island.

MARRIED AGAIN

Milwaukee, Wis. (UPI)—Circuit Judge Robert Hansen Monday granted Arthur and Stella Lund permission to get married—again. The Lunds were married in 1934, divorced in 1949, remarried in 1959 and divorced in 1961. They have six children.

The Family Council

Editor's note: The Family Council consists of a judge, a physician, three clergymen, three editors and a women's editor. Each article is a summary of a family disagreement presented to the Council. The Council deals with problems, major and minor, encountered by guidance counselors and social workers. Edited by Mrs. Alma Denny. (Copyright by General Features Corp.)

Carol H.—I'm trying to find a way to keep my baby. Edwin B.—She should offer the baby a normal home, through adoption.

Carol H.—I must make a decision within a month which will affect the rest of my life. It concerns my beautiful baby girl, born two months ago.

I'm 36 and was widowed ten years ago after a miserable marriage. My husband was an alcoholic and abused me. When he was killed in an accident, I moved in with my mother.

In the course of my work as a receptionist, I drifted into a relationship with one of the salesmen in the company, a married man. When I became pregnant I didn't tell him, but quit and worked elsewhere as a typist. Now that the baby is here and I have seen her, I'm torn with doubt. The welfare agency is boarding her temporarily. I can either sign her away or offer to make a home for her myself. My mother is willing to help, but my brother tells me not to think of asking her.

Edwin B.—Carol has made a mess of her life so far. Why continue it, by making life hard for the baby and my mother, too? She should remove all the reminders of her mistake and start her life anew. The adoption procedure is the best course.

Carol's present apartment, which she shares with my mother, is no place to raise a baby. I can't be of any help. I have my own family to support out on the West Coast. Besides, I'm in the Merchant Marine and I'm on the high seas 10 months out of 12.

She should have agreed to give up the baby long ago, without looking at it. There's not enough money for her to stop work and take care of it, and there's no one else to do this for her. My mother is aging and infirm. That baby's entitled to a real mother and father and a family.

The Council: Just as mariners who are lost look to the North Star for stability and direction, so do confused adults turn to the one clear issue in their disputes: "What's best for the child?"

Fasting our seat belts to that consideration, we can address ourselves to Carol and her brother on the merits of their positions. After two excursions into disaster, her marriage and her affair, can Carol be a stable, fit mother? Her body nurtured a child. Can her spirit? Age 36 isn't the ideal time to start all over. If a new marriage is in the stars for Carol, she may well be raising little ones in her 40s. What has she learned? How mature is she now? Before she involves this tender new life in the turmoil of her own present, we advise her to get an appraisal of her emotional health from a clinic psychologist.

On the pro-adoption side, there's this to be pointed out to Edwin: The baby is a love-child; that is, her father is

known, and he loved and was beloved by her mother. Despite the moral stigma of her birth, she can have a happy life as a precious symbol to Carol of momentary happiness. With some help at home from her mother, Carol may be able to tend her daughter after work and on week ends. And in a better financial position, either through earnings

or remarriage, the physical setup can be improved. Our major concern must be for emotional setup.

Hence our suggestion is that Carol undergo the psychological interview mentioned above to ascertain her emotional strength. If she is deemed capable of working out the many problems of parenthood alone, she must still answer for herself the question: "Should I, dare I, deprive my child of her chance for immediate adoption into a well-run home and complete family?" Her answer must depend upon whether her love, ability and strength will make up for the early lacks.



NOW THEY'RE DOCTORS—Identical twins Clark, left, and Grant McKeever of Houston, Tex., see eye to eye at Pittsburgh, Pa., as they graduate with doctor of medicine degrees from Jefferson Medical College. (UPI)



CAN'T RESIST—Every day at the World's Fair in Seattle hundreds of little boys look longingly at clusters of balloons and every father, probably remembering his own youth, seems able to come across with the necessary 50 cents. (UPI)

Administration Campaigning for Farm Bill Votes

Washington (UPI)—The administration was reported today to be putting on an all-out campaign to win votes for President Kennedy's farm bill.

The struggle for the bill has Kennedy wooing Democratic members of the House, and Agriculture Secretary Orville L. Freeman campaigning for votes among the Republican bloc.

The key issue is whether the government shall be given power to impose tight controls over growers of feed grains to force them to cut production. A compromise version already has won Senate approval.

The vote on the bill and

Administration Campaigning for Farm Bill Votes

Washington (UPI)—The administration was reported today to be putting on an all-out campaign to win votes for President Kennedy's farm bill.

The struggle for the bill has Kennedy wooing Democratic members of the House, and Agriculture Secretary Orville L. Freeman campaigning for votes among the Republican bloc.

The key issue is whether the government shall be given power to impose tight controls over growers of feed grains to force them to cut production. A compromise version already has won Senate approval.

The vote on the bill and

Administration Campaigning for Farm Bill Votes

Washington (UPI)—The administration was reported today to be putting on an all-out campaign to win votes for President Kennedy's farm bill.

The struggle for the bill has Kennedy wooing Democratic members of the House, and Agriculture Secretary Orville L. Freeman campaigning for votes among the Republican bloc.

The key issue is whether the government shall be given power to impose tight controls over growers of feed grains to force them to cut production. A compromise version already has won Senate approval.

The vote on the bill and

Administration Campaigning for Farm Bill Votes

Washington (UPI)—The administration was reported today to be putting on an all-out campaign to win votes for President Kennedy's farm bill.

The struggle for the bill has Kennedy wooing Democratic members of the House, and Agriculture Secretary Orville L. Freeman campaigning for votes among the Republican bloc.

The key issue is whether the government shall be given power to impose tight controls over growers of feed grains to force them to cut production. A compromise version already has won Senate approval.

The vote on the bill and

BUDGET FOR GENERAL FUND			Central Point, Ore.		1962-63 Levying Board	
			EXPENDITURES			
1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	GENERAL FUND		1962-63	
\$ 3,674.91	\$ 3,850.00	\$ 3,500.00	Recorder Salary		\$ 3,800.00	
360.00	360.00	360.00	Treasurer Salary		360.00	
900.00	999.96	1,000.00	Attorney-Retainer		1,000.00	
	38.50	100.00	Elections		100.00	
300.00	300.00	300.00	Audit		330.00	
230.24	221.68	250.00	Legal Publications		250.00	
115.00	114.45	115.00	Dues-League of Oregon Cities		162.00	
1,593.68	1,704.34	1,800.00	Insurance and Surety Bonds		2,000.00	
900.70	2,616.05	2,600.00	Bldg. Main. & Fuel (City Hall)		4,000.00	
430.26	737.57	750.00	Telephone Service		1,100.00	
352.25	1,535.96	820.00	Office Supply and Equipment		2,000.00	
168.00	136.50	200.00	Electrical Inspections		200.00	
432.62	527.48	650.00	Industrial Accident commission		650.00	
600.07	633.86	850.00	Social Security		880.00	
32.50		3,600.00	Extra Help			
568.00	770.00	1,200.00	Building Inspection		1,200.00	
	274.95	550.00	Official Meetings & Schools		400.00	
	226.25	2,400.00	Clerk		2,520.00	
		50.00	PLANNING COMMISSION			
		50.00	Supplies		50.00	
			Members Expense		50.00	
4,799.88	4,799.88	5,000.00	PUBLIC SAFETY			
9,120.00	9,120.00	9,520.00	Chief of Police		5,100.00	
96.00	294.50		2 Patrolmen-Salaries (3)		13,380.00	
1,335.79	4,862.91	2,000.00	Special Police-Extra Duty			
177.20			Equipment and Supplies		2,130.00	
1,603.80	979.38	1,200.00	Schools and Training			
			Car Expense		1,200.00	
3,841.70	4,236.84	4,300.00	PUBLIC WORKS			
237.78	295.26	300.00	Street Lights		4,300.00	
			Traffic Lights		300.00	
300.00	360.00	360.00	FIRE DEPARTMENT			
180.00	180.00	180.00	Fire Chief Salary		360.00	
900.00	840.00	1,200.00	Asst. Chief Salary		180.00	
1,879.10	1,681.56	2,400.00	Pay to Volunteers		1,200.00	
806.59			Equipment and Supplies		3,000.00	
25.00	274.95		Bldg. Maint. & Fuel			
2,000.00	2,000.00	2,000.00	Schools and Training			
			Sinking Fund-Fire Truck		2,000.00	
795.41			SOCIAL WELFARE			
			Share of Bldg. Expenses			
454.00	514.58		CITY PARKS			
299.92	672.32	750.00	Park Tender Salary		500.00	
750.00	850.00	950.00	Equipment and Supplies		750.00	
			Recreation Program		1,000.00	
65.30			OTHER CHARGES			
108.95			Deed			
1,391.91	2,000.00	2,000.00	Miscellaneous			
4.53			Emergency Fund		2,000.00	
14,444.00	2,187.68		Civil Defense			
	491.40	638.00	City Hall-Construction		620.00	
			Sickness and Accident Ins.			
\$ 95,845.09	\$ 51,688.81	\$ 53,943.00	TOTAL GENERAL FUND		\$ 59,072.00	
			STREET FUND			
\$ 3,094.06	\$ 4,050.20	\$ 3,026.00	Salaries and Help		\$ 7,126.00	
77.81	138.27	91.00	Social Security		243.00	
4,621.51	3,390.91	3,000.00	Materials and Supplies		3,000.00	
68.07	420.65	2,500.00	Equipment Purchases		2,000.00	
2,480.00	958.17	500.00	Equipment and Maintenance		2,000.00	
1,759.00	817.00	500.00	Engineering and Surveys			
9,321.93	5,403.15	18,300.00	City Share of Improvement		13,888.00	
355.00	209.02	200.00	Advertising and Printing		200.00	
14.80			Building-Shops			
	161.77		Miscellaneous			
	9,594.13		Transfer			
\$ 21,792.18	\$ 25,152.27	\$ 28,117.00	TOTAL STREET FUND		\$ 28,957.00	
			WATER FUND			
\$ 7,311.66	\$ 7,656.22	\$ 8,051.00	Salaries and Help		\$ 8,587.80	
214.25	217.40	249.00	Social Security		289.70	
16,857.94	17,362.15	22,000.00	Water Purchases		26,500.00	
6,833.73	4,763.65	6,000.00	Material and Supplies		6,000.00	
639.20	540.25	700.00	Tools and Equipment		1,000.00	
1,130.28	1,677.73	1,200.00	Equipment and Maintenance		2,200.00	
35.00	500.00	450.00	Office Equipment		1,600.00	
819.18	722.52	700.00	Office Supplies and Postage		500.00	
707.00	700.00	900.00	Water Deposit Refunds		900.00	
20.00	24.19	100.00	Building Maintenance			
88.40	107.80	150.00	Electric Power		300.00	
2.28	32.33		Miscellaneous			
1,206.25	1,068.75	1,000.00	Interest on Debt		862.50	
5,500.00	5,500.00	5,500.00	Principal on Debt		5,500.00	
	1,475.00		Survey for Water System			
\$ 41,365.17	\$ 42,368.12	\$ 47,000.00	TOTAL WATER FUND		\$ 54,820.00	
			SEWER FUND			
\$ 4,611.91	\$ 4,493.96	\$ 4,503.00	Salaries and Help		\$ 4,686.00	
119.05	133.92	140.00	Social Security		159.00	
2,897.74	3,227.30	4,200.00	Treatment Costs		4,500.00	
961.84	1,076.54	1,100.00	Equipment and Maintenance		1,200.00	
1,075.95	1,873.05	4,000.00	Equipment and Maintenance		1,500.00	
465.10	896.97	4,500.00	Construction		1,500.00	
902.51	783.28	1,000.00	Materials and Supplies		1,000.00	
1,024.32	893.70	757.00	Interest on Debt		620.00	
5,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	Payment on Bonded Debt		5,000.00	
106.00			Sewer Inspections			
			Office Equipment		1,100.00	
			Office Supplies and Postage		500.00	
\$ 17,164.42	\$ 18,178.72	\$ 25,200.00	TOTAL SEWER FUND		\$ 22,065.00	
BUDGET FOR GENERAL FUND			RECEIPTS		1962-63 Levying Board	
1959-60	1960-61	1961-62			1962-63	
\$ 1,900.99	\$ 2,474.50	\$ 2,000.00	GENERAL FUND			
1,193.00	2,419.70	2,000.00	Bail and Fines		\$ 3,500.00	
	150.00		Permits and Taxes		2,000.00	
49.88	180.61	200.00	Amusement Fees		175.00	
7,816.99			Sale of Grants		150.00	
4,657.01	4,086.62	5,600.00	Sale of Real Estate			
4,818.63	5,379.75	5,300.00	Share of Liquor Revenue		5,700.00	
262.94	283.90	300.00	Franchise Tax		6,000.00	
47.25	36.25	50.00	Refund Motor Fuel Tax		300.00	
2,839.30	7,715.00	2,300.00	Sale of Bicycle License		50.00	
289.98	177.76		Business License		2,400.00	
4,351.78		2,000.00	Rebates		250.00	
58.08	548.73		Unexpended Cash Balance		2,000.00	
5,334.30			Miscellaneous			
			Building Fund Certificates			
\$ 33,300.38	\$ 18,413.82	\$ 19,900.00	TOTAL GENERAL FUND		\$ 22,525.00	
			STREET FUND			
\$ 14,963.24	\$ 15,846.56	\$ 17,000.00	Share of Highway Revenue		\$ 18,000.00	
135.80	660.50	4,161.00	Miscellaneous			
181.44	1,285.35	3,000.00	Rebates		400.00	
			Unexpended Cash Balance			
\$ 15,300.48	\$ 17,842.61	\$ 24,161.00	TOTAL STREET FUND		\$ 18,400.00	
			WATER FUND			
\$ 34,608.73	\$ 37,125.28	\$ 40,000.00	Sale of Water		\$ 46,420.00	
4,349.48	3,136.00	3,000.00	Connect Charges		4,000.00	
707.50	790.00	900.00	Water Deposits		900.00	
97.77	112.18	100.00	Rebates			
3,012.76	2,608.10	3,000.00	Unexpended Cash Balance		3,500.00	
34.66			Miscellaneous			
\$ 43,710.92	\$ 43,771.56	\$ 47,000.00	TOTAL WATER FUND		\$ 54,820.00	
			SEWER FUND			
\$ 15,000.00	\$ 17,331.45	\$ 18,000.00	Sewer Use Charges		\$ 18,000.00	
375.00	1,299.23	1,200.00	Connect Charges		2,065.00	
10.00	1.70		Miscellaneous			
	48.84		Refunds and Rebates			
5,500.00	3,771.99	6,000.00	Unexpended Cash Balance		2,000.00	
\$ 20,885.00	\$ 24,453.21	\$ 25,200.00	TOTAL SEWER FUND		\$ 22,065.00	
BONDED INDEBTEDNESS						
Water Fund			Interest on Bond Issue		\$ 5,075.00	
Fire Hall			Principal		2,000.00	
Library			Interest		95.00	
			Delinquent Tax Assurance Add. 13%		200.00	
TOTAL BONDED INDEBTEDNESS					\$ 7,370.00	