

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE CO. 33 North Fir St. Ph. SP 2-2141

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION

Flight o' Time

Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO March 24, 1951 (Saturday) More than 1,500 youngsters swarmed over Hawthorne park today in search of some 3,600 eggs at the annual Kiwanis club Easter egg hunt.

20 YEARS AGO March 24, 1941 (Monday) An Army convoy of 100 vehicles, heading from California to Ft. Lewis, Wash., stopped overnight last night at CCC detachment headquarters here.

30 YEARS AGO March 24, 1931 (Tuesday) Financing the city's airport has become a problem; city fathers are recommending that it either be sold or leased.

40 YEARS AGO March 24, 1921 (Thursday) The first frost warning of the season was issued last night. The Medford Garden club flower show opens today.

50 YEARS AGO March 24, 1911 (Friday) The second floor of the Medford hotel is nearing completion. A 10-acre orchard tract has been sold here for \$2,000 an acre.

What's Your I.Q.? Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

- 1. After which King were the Philippines named? 2. Ermine, the fur of royalty, comes from which animal? 3. Were tomatoes, turnips, or truffles once called "love apples"? 4. Which of these is a kind of bread: cheddar, pumpernickel, hohenzollern, vodka? 5. During W.W.II which army used "Tiger Tanks"? 6. The percentage of silver in the U.S. silver dollar is 40, 50, 70, or 90? 7. Is the alcoholic content of beverages greater when expressed by weight or by volume? 8. The Lincoln Memorial building in Washington, D.C., contains the tomb of Abraham Lincoln; true or false? 9. In which city is the Army's Walter Reed General Hospital? 10. Do diesel engines have spark plugs? Answers: 1. King Philip II. of Spain. 2. Soot. 3. Tomatoes. 4. Pumpernickel. 5. German Army. 6. 90 per cent. 7. Volume. 8. False. (Springfield, Ill.) 9. Washington, D.C. 10. No.

EXPLANATION ASKED Washington - (AP) - GOP congressional leaders asked President Kennedy today to explain why the United States voted with Russia and against its allies in support of the United Nations resolution on Portuguese Angola.

Transcontinental Notes-IV

The Medford visitors, with three full days in New York before them, and with no more commitments, proceeded to act like just what they were—tourists. After breakfast, we walked east on 44th Street, past Broadway, and the Avenue of the Americas (Sixth Avenue), to Fifth Avenue. Turning northward, we walked through the bright sunshine and bitterly cold wind, past the rows of shops and stores known throughout the world. We stopped and browsed at Brentano's, gazed in windows, gawked at the tall buildings like the small-town folk we are, and enjoyed the bustle of the crowds.

ST. PATRICK'S Cathedral drew our attention, and we entered for a few moments of quiet and beauty and peace in the midst of the hurly-burly of Manhattan. It is a beautiful church, modeled after the Gothic cathedrals of Europe, and with its stained glass windows, sweeping pointed arches, broad nave, delightfully intimate shrines, and flickering candles, it offered a welcome interlude. Back into the cold wind, we continued to Rockefeller Center, where we watched the ice skaters under the statue of Prometheus, and made a few purchases at the French book store.

ARRIVING at 58rd, we turned west, and, finding ourselves in front of America House, where some of the nation's outstanding textile, ceramic, silver and wood carving craftsmen display their work, entered for a quick look around.

Then on, past several blocks were massive machinery is tearing down old buildings, and digging huge holes in the ground for new ones, through increasingly unattractive neighborhoods, to Ninth Avenue, where we joined friends from Mt. Kisco, in Westchester County, for lunch at the Brittany Cafe.

This, as the name implies, is as French as it can be. Even the facade (if such an impressive word can be used) has a red paint and gold-leaf Gallic look to it, and inside the smells of wine, garlic, spices and seasonings could come only from a French kitchen. The cafe, our hosts told us, is as yet "undiscovered" by those New Yorkers who can make a fad, an overnight commercial success, out of an unusually good restaurant, and as a result is still unspoiled.

But, judging by the number of luncheon customers, and the delicious quality of the food, it won't be "undiscovered and unspoiled" very much longer.

AFTER lunch we bid our adieux, and walked up Ninth to the Coliseum, where the Flower show was in progress, then took a cab at Columbus Circle and went through Central Park to the Guggenheim Museum — the odd-looking, controversial art gallery designed by the late and great Frank Lloyd Wright.

It is a place of grace and airy charm, with an open rotunda, a plashing fountain, quietness, and beauty.

A great ramp circles the outside wall, rising up in a helix and leaving the center of the buildings entirely open. The paintings, all of them "contemporary" but ranging from Impressionism to abstraction, are beautifully displayed in lighted niches along the outside wall.

THE family secretary of health, education and welfare, who is competent in this field, reported the selection is excellent, and one less adept at this must needs agree. (She said, "This is the comfortable way to view art.") We found some of the paintings stimulating and exciting, some of them beautiful, and almost all of them "interesting"—that word so useful in applying to works of art when other adjectives fail.

From the Guggenheim, we again braved the brisk wind to walk the few blocks to the Metropolitan Museum of Art—the "blockbuster" of all art museums, which houses art objects ranging from pre-history up to the present moment.

One could spend weeks in this vast compendium of mankind's arts and artifacts. Mere description of it would fill columns of type, thus we won't even attempt to highlight our visit, and rest content with the advice that one must see it, when in New York, if at all interested in costumes, statuary (ancient Persia to Calder mobiles), arms and armor, painting, tapestry; you name it, the Met has it.

SORE of foot, calf and back, we left the Met and caught a cab for the hotel, where we eased our aching bones and muscles for a time, and then we went to Times Square for a light supper at the Automat, that quick, inexpensive and (at this hour) exceedingly crowded cafe where, if one chooses, one can buy a meal by dropping coins in a slot.

Then, once again we braved the subway (beginning to feel like veterans, and appreciate the fast and convenient, though crowded and impersonal, transportation), and went down to Greenwich village for a performance of "The Threepenny Opera," the longest-running show in New York, having opened some six years ago. We didn't get much of the so-called "flavor" of the village at night, but we did enjoy the unusual musical play, best-known for its "Mack the Knife" theme song.

THEN, once again weary, back by subway to Times Square, its fantastic mechanical and automated advertising signs (including one which blows huge smoke rings), its lighted, moving current news sign, its crowds and traffic. And so, as with Sam Pepys, to bed.—E. A.

Dennis the Menace



"I'LL BET MY BABY SITTER CAN EAT MORE THAN YOUR BABY SITTER!..."

Communications

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

Speaker a Sourdough

To the Editor: Recently in your column there appeared an open letter to me signed by "Malemute Slim". White City, Oregon, indicating that he had been a political supporter of mine but disagreed with my position on capital punishment. I am grateful both for his support and for his honest expression of views on what is admittedly a controversial subject. To the extent that residence in Alaska is a prerequisite to an expression of opinion on this subject, I might say that I consider myself to be a "sourdough" (depending somewhat on one's definition), and in any event am not a chechacho. There are those who have been able to worm out of me the fact that I was an Alaskan as far back as 1939.

It is impossible to debate the merits of this subject in this column; suffice to say that there is no evidence that the existence of capital punishment in any way results in a decrease in capital crimes. This fact, coupled with the ever present and terrifying possibility of error resulting in the execution of an innocent man, leads me to prefer incarceration rather than execution in order to give the public the protection to which it is entitled and which I, as a member of the public, expect.

I hope to meet "Malemute Slim" in person before too many more snows. Robert B. Duncan, Speaker House of Representatives Salem, Ore.

Biray Cat Problem

To the Editor: Because I have been faced many times with the problem of the stray and hungry cat at my door, I have been very interested in the Cat Care Society's endeavor to improve conditions and find a remedy. It is to be hoped that the County Court will recognize the importance of this issue and not delay in giving their approval of a board that will work towards finding a solution. Mrs. E. A. McGinty 102 King st. Medford.

Economics and The Dunes

To the Editor: Many opponents of the proposed Oregon Dunes National Seashore have used an economic argument as justification for their stand. The argument runs something like this: Present policy for the dunes area allows multiple use resource management as well as providing for recreation. National Seashore status would "lock-up" valuable resources such as timber — all for the sake of recreation. Thus, an economic loss.

Based upon the authoritative economic study of the proposed Dunes seashore area by the National Park Service, this argument seems rather silly — something like a farmer refusing to quit farming even though there is oil underneath his land. The fact is that the real "oil" in the Dunes area is recreation and its resulting economic impact, not lumbering, manufacturing or agriculture. It is estimated that by the year 1990, under sustained yield practices, the annual timber cut in the Dunes Seashore area would be worth some \$200,000. This compared to an expected tourist industry (without benefit of a Dunes National Seashore) worth about \$8,000,000 annually.

But if the National Seashore was created, it is estimated tourism would grow into an annual \$28,000,000 industry! The fallacy about multiple use for the Dunes area centers in the fact that such management would not realize the fullest economic potential from recreation. And recreation is where this area's economic future lies. I think economic benefits of the proposed National Seashore are of great importance. And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

And certainly these benefits should not be distorted into reasons for opposition to the Seashore bill. Florence H. Euston 1922 North 10th st. Springfield, Ore.

Angolan Foreign Policy Decision May Be More Far-Reaching Than Congo Position

By PHIL NEWSOM

UPI Foreign News Analyst President Kennedy's first great new departure in foreign policy may be more far-reaching than the U.S. stand in the Congo.

In voting against Portugal and its NATO allies in favor of action on the Portuguese African territory of Angola, U.S. Ambassador Adlai E. Stevenson put into action Kennedy's policy slogan for "Africa for the Africans."

When G. Mennen Williams brought criticism from Britain and other erstwhile European colonial powers for his "anti-colonialist" remarks in Africa, it was not clear whether he was practicing policy or merely enunciating it — or even talking off the top of his head. But Kennedy backed up

Williams at a news conference. And Stevenson's vote — against those of Britain, France and Turkey, from NATO, and China, Chile and Ecuador — made the policy clear.

Actually, Stevenson did not get his instructions to vote against Portugal until the Security Council was meeting. He had supported the new course.

The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order. Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

The United States was on the losing side in the Angola case but the results of Stevenson's vote immediately started to become evident.

Portugal, a small but strategically important ally, was furious. Russia Disappointed Britain and France were non-plussed.

China, singled out for opposition by Stevenson without explanation, was hurt. Russia, lying back with a

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

The United States was on the losing side in the Angola case but the results of Stevenson's vote immediately started to become evident.

Portugal, a small but strategically important ally, was furious. Russia Disappointed Britain and France were non-plussed.

China, singled out for opposition by Stevenson without explanation, was hurt. Russia, lying back with a

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

The United States was on the losing side in the Angola case but the results of Stevenson's vote immediately started to become evident.

Portugal, a small but strategically important ally, was furious. Russia Disappointed Britain and France were non-plussed.

China, singled out for opposition by Stevenson without explanation, was hurt. Russia, lying back with a

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

The United States was on the losing side in the Angola case but the results of Stevenson's vote immediately started to become evident.

Portugal, a small but strategically important ally, was furious. Russia Disappointed Britain and France were non-plussed.

China, singled out for opposition by Stevenson without explanation, was hurt. Russia, lying back with a

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

The United States was on the losing side in the Angola case but the results of Stevenson's vote immediately started to become evident.

Portugal, a small but strategically important ally, was furious. Russia Disappointed Britain and France were non-plussed.

China, singled out for opposition by Stevenson without explanation, was hurt. Russia, lying back with a

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

The United States was on the losing side in the Angola case but the results of Stevenson's vote immediately started to become evident.

Portugal, a small but strategically important ally, was furious. Russia Disappointed Britain and France were non-plussed.

China, singled out for opposition by Stevenson without explanation, was hurt. Russia, lying back with a

curly Council was meeting. He had supported the new course. The decision for the vote was the President's unappealable order.

Just as last December's decision to abstain on a pie-in-the-sky resolution supporting independence for remaining colonial territories was President Dwight D. Eisenhower's. There was no appeal then, even though the U.N. delegation opposed the decision.

Washington Report

By WILLIAM S. WHITE

CRUEL BLOW TO REDS

Washington — President Kennedy has hit the international Communists a subtle

and cheerfully cruel blow — right in the middle of their syntax, so to speak.

He has ordered the post office people to end forthwith an old policy of intercepting Communist papers and magazines upon their arrival in this country from the Iron Curtain Edens, from Moscow on down.

For the first time since 1948, therefore, this incomparably dreary stuff is to be allowed to come in here in all its dreary bales. But this seemingly tolerant concession to the Communists will turn out to be intolerably damaging to them in the end. It will be an unexampled case where an apparently "soft" line toward them will be very hard on them, indeed.

FOR the wider their propaganda booklets and pamphlets are circulated in this country — in all their enchanting stylistic grace and with all their fascinating disclosures about the sewer systems in Omsk and Tomsk — the more repellent the whole Soviet bag and baggage will become to any literate reader.

Without firing a shot, without asking Congress for a special foreign aid appropriation — the President is on the way here to the least one long-term victory in the cold war.

For even if Soviet propaganda is theoretically capable of academically persuading some types of mind here, there are very few minds in all the United States which can long abide the homicidal assaults upon language of Soviet writing style.

RECALL, if you will, the most crushingly boring television documentary you ever saw, say on a rainy Sunday in the early days of the electronic miracle. Perhaps it was an intimately descriptive program upon the subject of how they make screen for window facings in Lima, Ohio.

Whatever it was, however unbearably tedious it may have been, it would be the very model of limp grace compared to the descriptive artistry of most any Soviet booklet.

Now — Another one from Washington. President Kennedy appeals to labor and management to keep the NATIONAL interest in mind in their disputes. If they don't cooperate for the general good, he warned, "an impatient federal government will take a greater hand in getting the two sides together."

HE WAS speaking to his new 21-man labor-management-policy advisory panel, which includes such figures as Henry Ford II, George Meany, president of AFL-CIO, Secretary of Labor Goldberg and 18 others.

"We are breaking new ground. I intend to get a look at this situation before there is a crisis. I do not want the White House to come in on a wage-price inflationary spiral at the last minute."

COMMENT? He's on the right road. Do you remember the ancient cartoon of the two mules? They stood between two fat shocks of hay. They were HALTERED TOGETHER. Every time one hungry mule would start toward a shock of hay, the other mule would pull against him.

It went on and on. They got hungrier and hungrier. Then one mule whispered in the other's ear. The other whispered back. They both grinned. Then they trotted over TOGETHER to a shock of hay and polished it off and then trotted TOGETHER to the second haystack and polished it off. That just about tells the story.

known "underdeveloped areas" of this earth. They may be outspacing us in space; they may be running far ahead in physics, and even in the matter of the politico-scientific production of potatoes.

BUT one thing is sure and certain. They can't write — as is already well known, to borrow one of their memorable phrases — and they can't edit, by western standards. This is one contest they are going to lose — and the more their written efforts come in here the quicker they will lose it.

Once long ago, when the Senate was in bitter debate about the fall of China to Communism by a politician said in private what he thought it not prudent to say in public. "Well," he observed, half seriously, "maybe taking over China will serve the Russians right in the end. Maybe in 20 years there will be some question of who has really swallowed whom."

There is more than one way to skin a cat — or a Russian bear — apart from the more serious fact that it is good to see this country refusing to be afraid any more of the most appalling gobbledook ever inflicted upon civilized mankind.

(Copyright, 1961, By United Features Syndicate, Inc.)

EP School Bands To Present Concert

Eagle Point — The Eagle Point Grade school band under the direction of Keith Krambel will present several selections at a Red Cross benefit concert Sunday, March 26, at 2:30 p.m. in the grade school gymnasium.

Miss Donna Millard will sing a couple of solos during intermission. She will be accompanied by Miss Georgia Weidman at the piano. Donald Carnes will play two accordion selections.

The Eagle Point High school band under the direction of Charles Martin will present the second half of the concert. Robert Heffernan, Jackson county fund chairman will introduce Dr. Elmo Stevenson, president of Southern Oregon college. For the presentation of the gift certificates.

Approximately 30 veterans of the White City domiciliary will be guests of the Red Cross, each having received complimentary tickets. Heffernan and Mrs. Norris Porter, secretary, will be available following the concert to answer any questions concerning the Red Cross.

Try and Stop Me

By BENNETT CERF

A LIGHT VERSIFIER once whipped up this little poem: "When late I attempted your pity to move, What mad you so deaf to my prayers? But — why did it right to dissemble your love, Perhaps — why did you kick me downstairs?"

Now who would you select as the author of those lines? Ogden Nash? Dorothy Parker? Sam Hoffenstein? Not at all! The poet's name was one Isaac Bickerstaffe, born in 1735, and dead and gone almost two full centuries ago.

During the course of an endless and incredible banquet in Kansas City recently, the old windbag who was acting as master of ceremonies unwittingly set the audience into a vapor-clearing gale of laughter. "The next speaker," he bumbled, fumbling with his notes, "needs no introduction — because he didn't show up."



3-24

© 1961, by Bennett Cerf. Distributed by King Features Syndicate