

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE
"Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"
Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO.
33 North Fir St. Ph SP 3-0141

ROBERT W. RUIH, Editor
HERB GREGG, Advertising Manager
GERALD T. LATHAM, Bus. Mgr.
ERIC W. ALLEN, Jr., Mng. Editor
EARL H. ADAMS, News Editor
HARRY CHAPMAN, Teles. Editor
RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor
OLIVE STARKER, Women's Editor
DALE ERICKSON, Circulation Mgr.

Subscription Rates
By Mail - In Advance Copy 10c
Daily - 1 year \$15.00
Daily and Sunday - 6 mos. \$8.00
Daily and Sunday - 3 mos. \$4.25
Sunday Only - One year \$4.25

10 YEARS AGO
March 8, 1951 (Thursday)
Sen. Ben Day, Gold Hill, introduced a bill today providing for the strict inspection of Oregon slaughter houses and meat packing firms.

20 YEARS AGO
March 8, 1941 (Saturday)
Southern Oregon's three-day Christian mission, opening tomorrow, is expected to attract an unusually large attendance at all its sessions.

30 YEARS AGO
March 8, 1931 (Sunday)
Ashland High school defeated Medford 25 to 24 last night to win the Southern Oregon basketball championship.

40 YEARS AGO
March 8, 1921 (Tuesday)
A carload of horses, 150 in all, will be needed for work on the Medford Irrigation district expansion project.

50 YEARS AGO
March 8, 1911 (Wednesday)
The Medford Commercial club has reported that the city is being investigated for the possible location here of a big new chicken hatchery by a California firm.

What's Your I.Q.?
Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. Is the avocado a fruit or vegetable?
2. Which U.S. coins were withdrawn from circulation in 1933?
3. In which state is the Everglades National Park?
4. World War I indebtedness of foreign nations is, or is not, carried on U.S. Treasury Department accounts?
5. In which part of the world was the Leyte campaign fought in W.W. II?
6. Which U.S. Admiral became an honorary chief of the Ottawa Indians of Michigan?
7. Did the former League of Nations expel the Soviet Union from its membership?
8. Are living persons ever depicted on U.S. postage stamps?
9. A mock court held by vagabonds or by prisoners in a jail is called what?
10. Is it possible to skate on glass with ice skates?
Answers: 1. Fruit. 2. Gold coins. 3. Florida. 4. It is. 5. Phillippine Islands. 6. Adm. Chester Nimitz. 7. Yes. (Financial aggression, 1939). 8. No. 9. Kangaroo court. 10. No.

No Be-All Nor End-All

At the risk of appearing maudlin and mystic, we wonder if the spirit of the Gifford Pinchots, Theodore Roosevelt and Dick Neuberger do not view with concern the saga, thus far, of the warfare man is waging against his habitat on the Oregon seacoast.

At this writing, with action on the program to establish a protective National Seashore Recreation Area under unified management of the National Park Service at a standstill, it looks like "progress" has won out over "protection." For mankind in terms of the coming decades we are fearful that the victory, insofar as it is a victory of the concept of claim-staking as a policy toward our land resources, can be a sour one.

LET us hope the younger generation, as well as tomorrow's generations will not view a seacoast eroded by lowered water table, scarred by the asphalt and blacktopping of industry, polluted and contaminated by the refuse of cities and industry, and ruefully note civilization's progress.

Such "progress" is that of which the worm can boast as it makes its way through the big, shiny red apple. That is the way of worms, and on succeeding trees there are lots more apples. Man so far has only this one world to conquer with our blacktop, our concrete, our smokestacks.

Such abstract considerations form the outer boundaries of the issues involved in the Dunes-Seashore Park controversy. But within these general and philosophic boundaries is a complex of problems.

THERE is the apparent conflict between International Paper Co.'s program of industrial expansion at Gardiner and the concepts and policies of the National Park Service in protecting the natural integrity of the recreation and park areas under its jurisdiction. It was hoped there need be no conflict—that the erection of a pulp mill would not impair the beauty of the water-source lakes, the purity of the air, land and water. We still hope so and we still think so.

But have fears been allayed on either side? Has the issue as a practical matter resolved down to a choice; the pulp mill or the park—not in the minds of the park sponsors or of the pulp mill directors, but in the minds of an economically-fearful public?

It is a public fearful of tomorrow's pay check or poverty, fragmented into myriad narrow and warring interests.

It is an area community terrified by the sensitive payrolls and incomes of a single resource economy, bolstering its desperate hopes by looking to the addition of a large pulp mill for salvation.

WE ARE confident there is temperate and responsible thought in the timber products industry, as well as in civic leadership in our communities, state and nation, which realizes that a pulp mill is no panacea for the land's economic ills.

Desirable though it may be, in terms of public obligation for fuller utilization of the timber resource, as well as a diversification of payroll, it continues to be a part of the almost unbridled competition of a one-resource industry. It is an industry more driven than directed, more riding than ridden. In times of recession, it conserves; in times of boom, it harvests and depletes.

LET'S face it in candor and without rancor: Today, in the midst of a stymied plywood market, glutted inventories and unemployment, the plywood industry is developing and installing equipment for ever faster and cheaper production.

We applaud the proposed mill at Gardiner—but not as the gateway to the promised land of freedom from recessions and of everlasting payrolls. It is not a be-all or end-all. — Coos Bay World.

Retain State Forests

House Bill 1314, introduced by Reps. Barton of Coos County and Holmstrom of Clatsop, would let counties recapture forest lands they previously turned over to the state Board of Forestry for management. Promptly came a protest from the County Court of Clatsop County. It adopted a resolution opposing this bill "in its entirety."

The Clatsop officials attested that the State Board "has fulfilled its part of our agreement with good business management and fine cooperation."

THEY commented on the forward planning which has been done for the management of this great resource and declared that the law proposed "would be a constant threat and a deterrent to sound planning for the future." The resolution further set forth that the tax levying bodies of the county have received \$2,225,000 from these lands of which 60 to 70 per cent has been allocated to the school system.

THIS remonstrance is not surprising. One of those who assisted in developing the state forest program was County Judge Guy Boyington, who still serves in that office at Clatsop County. Under the state forest plan the county timberlands received capable management by professionals in forestry—and the taxing districts get 75 per cent of the income from the lands, the remainder going for administrative expenses and forest development.

In time these lands will be supplementary asset yielding excellent returns to the counties. They should be left under state control.—Oregon Statesman.

Dennis the Menace



"It's my great-great grandpa. He was a beatnik."

Communications

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

Thinks It A Shame
To the Editor: I think it is a shame that Mr. Henry R. Korman of Longview, Wash., had to write all the way over here, to have Malemute Slim tell him to have him and "The Weekly People," catch the first plane out of Portland to Moscow. (Or do they have planes in Seattle?) Anyway, report to Mr. Joseph Shevitski, nick-named Bull!

Enjoys A Treat
To the Editor: Saturday night our family enjoyed a treat—the Minstrel Show at Ruch. My husband and I had attended one seven years ago but it was a first time for our children—they're still talking about it and repeating some of the jokes. Especially we enjoyed a cake walk dance done by two sisters, an unscheduled song by "Rastus," and the "Sambo and Rastus" jokes. "The Charmers," jokingly billed as "The Crawlers" from McLoughlin Jr. High played several numbers with surprising skill for boys of their ages. We'll be happy to hear them again and again!

Agrees With Plan
To the Editor: I see in the communications column of the Mail Tribune of Sunday, March 5, that the plasterers' union in Los Angeles has refused a pay raise in the interests of an anti-inflation move. I have wondered for some time how long before something of this kind would take place. Have even considered suggesting it to my own union, but of course realizing that I might get shouted down and kicked out of the meeting.

What I have in mind was a co-operative plan whereby the union would agree to take a small wage reduction, and the company would agree to take an equal cut in profits percentage wise. Possibly the government could supply an accountant to guarantee both sides an equal reduction in profits and wages. I believe that such a plan would work if both the companies and the unions were sincere in trying to fight inflation. Also, the stockholders would be included.

If such a plan were put into effect and went on long enough it would seem to me that at least we would soon be competing with the prices of foreign products. To put such a plan into effect some of the bitterness of management and labor would have to be put aside and substituted with some real cooperation. That might be hard to achieve, as some of the big companies are now in the middle of a campaign to make industrial slaves out of their employees, and they are doing quite well at it, I might add.

To blame the labor unions for the inflation that we now have is unjust, as the fault is equally shared by the big companies and corporations, as well as the unions. I believe that such a plan would do much to fight inflation, but I may be wrong. Would like to hear some comment from some others on the subject.

R. Holmstrom, 2908 Old Stage Rd., Medford, Ore.

Red Dope Traffic
To the Editor: I have reason to doubt Mr. Edgar Snow's integrity in declaring the charge that the Chinese Communists are still holding

Republicans Playing Long, Long Shot In Texas—Stake Is Senate Position

By LYLE C. WILSON

Washington—It is a long, long shot the Republicans are playing in Texas, and for big stakes.

The stake is the U.S. Senate seat vacated by Vice President Lyndon B. Johnson. This is the best chance Texas Republicans ever had to win a Senate election. That may be faint praise but it also is a fact.

The election will take place April 4. Of the 71—that's right, 71—candidates who have filed, only one is a Republican. That one is John

Tower whose claim to fame goes back to last November's Texas contest for Johnson's Senate seat. Johnson, himself, then was a candidate for reelection as well as a candidate for election to the vice presidency.

Garner Set Precedent
There was precedent for that. Speaker John Nance Garner, D-Texas, ran in 1932 for reelection to the House and at the same time for election as vice president on the Roosevelt ticket. Like Garner, Johnson won the vice presidency. Hence the Texas senatorial election now coming up.

Johnson polled 1,300,000 votes for senator last November. His Republican opponent, Tower, got 926,000. That was better than a merely respectable showing for a statewide Republican candidate in Texas. The idea which is causing some Republicans here and in Texas to dream happy dreams is this:

This is an election, not a primary. Voters will be choosing between one Republican and 70 Democrats. Many of these 70 Democrats are without any chance whatever of being elected and with no recognized qualifications for the office or, even to campaign for it. They simply clutter and confuse the contest.

Democrats to Share
There are several strong and well-known candidates among the Democrats, however, such as Sen. William A. Blakley, who occupies the seat by appointment. Blakley

and the several qualified candidates are expected to share nearly all of the Democratic vote.

If these top candidates share about equally in the Democratic vote, it seems possible that Tower might get into a run-off election. A run-off seems inevitable under the circumstances.

Another "if" relates to Tower. If his 926,000 supporters of last November were all committed Republicans, he could expect to get a great many of them again. There probably were peculiarly anti-Johnson votes among Tower's November total, however, and there may have been other factors that helped him then but that would not help him in April.

Republicans see a chance, at least, to make a showing. Sen. Barry Goldwater (R-Ariz.) will visit Texas March 17 to aid Tower. Goldwater is the most exciting figure on view among Republicans, their voice of conservation. The eyes of politicians are on Texas.

Small Albania Joins Chinese In Opposing Moscow Leadership

By PHIL NEWSOM

UPI Foreign News Analyst
Among those who attempt to follow Communist affairs closely, a current favorite topic of speculation deals with events inside tiny Albania.

For this smallest and poorest of the Communist nations has joined Red China to oppose the leadership of Moscow.

Kingpin Albanian Communist is Gen. Enver Hoxha, 52-year-old first secretary of the party. He was one of the founders of the Albanian party in 1941 and for most of that time has been its recognized leader.

He has kept himself in power throughout frequent purges along the same lines

followed by the late Soviet Premier Josef Stalin, of whom he was an ardent admirer.

Joins Red China
Now he has elected to join Mao Tse-tung in bitter opposition to Nikita Khrushchev's policy of peaceful co-existence with the West and to Khrushchev's moderate policy toward President Tito of Yugoslavia.

Albania always has been a pauper nation dependent for help on others in the Communist bloc, first on Yugoslavia before Hoxha's break with Tito, and then on the Soviet Union and its various partners.

Albania's geographical position makes her the most vulnerable of the Communist satellites and makes her present actions all the more cause for speculation.

On the one side, she has "revisionist" Yugoslavia who success threatens the very existence of Hoxha's Stalinist-style regime. On the other she has the NATO al-

lies, Greece and Italy.

Yet from this position of weakness, Hoxha has dared to accuse Moscow of interference in internal Albanian affairs and to link himself with Red China, a powerful but most distant ally.

Reasons for Defiance
How does he expect to get away with this defiance of Khrushchev? Entering into his calculations may be these considerations:

Any attack on Albania would be an attack on Peiping, opening up for all the world to see the ideological and nationalist differences within the Communist camp.

To force the removal of Hoxha would be an admission of weakness, since Khrushchev would be doing to Albania what he could not do to Red China.

Finally, despite the development of rockets, the Soviet submarine base in Albania continues of sufficient worth to make Albanian stability important, since it provides an outlet to the Mediterranean.

There are two schools of thought. It is expected that the tax would raise about \$800,000. One school holds that the hotel room tax should be used to the extent of \$300,000 to replace that amount of property tax money now being spent for tourist promotion, thus helping to lighten the property tax burden. That would leave \$500,000 of the hotel tax money with which to go after more tourists and more conventions — which would be an increase of \$200,000 over what is now being spent.

THE other school is somewhat more ambitious. It would use not only the \$300,000 of property tax money but would UP it to \$500,000 to be added to the \$800,000 to be raised by the tax on hotel rooms — thus increasing the tourist and convention promotion fund to \$1,300,000.

With that amount of money available, it is argued, it would be a cinch to hold the Pacific Festival this year. The Pacific Festival — a variation of the world fair idea — has been regarded as out of the range of possibility for 1961 if more money isn't found somewhere.

FROM the standpoint of money and where it is to come from, it is pointed out that the property tax is beginning to bite in San Francisco. It is alleged that because of the high property tax industry is already beginning to move out of the city.

A sales tax on transient hotel rooms, it is contended, would get around that objection.

WHAT of the hotel people? Well, so far, they are lying doggo — which is to say, they are keeping still and listening hard. And, of course, doing some thinking.

Assuming for the sake of easy figuring that the hotel rate for two persons might average out at \$15.00 per room, a three per cent sales tax would mean a cost increase of 45 cents. The question would be whether to absorb the increased cost or to up the price of rooms. And, if the price were increased, would it mean fewer customers? Would more tourists tend to say in the surrounding suburbs and commute into the city?

This tax business, you see, has many angles.

GETTING closer home—

Suppose San Francisco decides to go after more tourist business for all it is worth. Suppose the Pacific Festival is launched in 1961. Suppose it is a great success. Suppose the visitors run up into the hundreds of thousands.

What would that mean to us up here in southern Oregon and far northern California?

IT COULD mean quite a little.

Figures compiled by Oregon's Travel Information Division of the Oregon state highway department indicate that each tourist car spends an average of \$23.60 per day. If we could induce a million tourist cars to spend one day more in our area, it would mean the addition of \$23,000,000 to our economy.

We could use it — very nicely.

the previous recessions." For the benefit of the completely bewildered, I will now reveal that paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 are the real things,

Hard Times' Gone; Its 'Dips', 'Slides' Among Economists

By DICK WEST

Washington—(UPI)—Anyone old enough to remember the Depression Era will recall that economic conditions in those days could be summarized in just two words "hard times."

When a government official used that expression, everyone knew what he was talking

Jenny seems pre-occupied with reading the left-wing press of the country." For his benefit and that of others in need of enlightenment let me say that my only sources of press news and editorial comment normally are the New York Times, Medford Mail Tribune, Portland Oregonian, and the Christian Century, an undenominational religious journal published in Chicago. If any of these are "left-wing" I leave you to your less biased and misguided readers to judge.

And for the information of both Mr. Howard and Miss (Mrs.?) Streed: the "doctoring" of the HUAC film, "Operation Abolition," consisted of so piecing together parts of that film, out of context, as to make it appear as though students at the San Francisco City Hall protest meeting were communists or communist-sympathizers, whereas very few recognizable communists actually led or participated in the affair. This is the "doctoring" (the inaccuracies and distortions to which I referred in my letter of March 3) about which William Wheeler, investigator for HUAC, had said, "I've admitted that."

In this connection it is pertinent to point out, too, that the redoubtable new "Mr. Republican," Senator Barry Goldwater, in a syndicated article in the March 5 Oregonian indulged in the same kind of exaggeration and guilt-by-association when he said that "the leaders were known members of the Communist Party"—yet identifies only one, a Morris Graham by name.

In any case, our Republic still stands; the Constitution and its Bill of Rights remain the law of the land; and all these self-appointed guardians of the purity of our beliefs are free to go right on making themselves silly nuisances if they wish. As for me, I shall continue to oppose communism and all other totalitarianisms by legal and fair means—and to sing with heart and soul, "Let Freedom Ring."

Arnold Eugene Jenny, Rogue Valley Manor, Medford, Ore.

A Further Word
To the Editor: Whether by accident or design, I am glad you placed my remarks on "The Crucible," Medford High school's recent play, between the criticisms in your issue of March 7 by Robert J. Howard and Anna M. Steed directed against you and me. As to those criticisms, in general, my comments quoted in that juxtaposition are a sufficient answer so far as I am concerned. However, I would like to address a further word to both critics on a couple of specific points they made.

Like some members of the HUAC and other super-patriots, Mr. Howard has a bad habit of indulging in groundless name-calling against anyone whom he suspects of not interpreting the Bill of Rights or other basic American concepts just as he does. Not content with some uncomplimentary things he had to say about me in earlier Communications, he wrote me a couple of personal letters in which he was even more abusive. He never has taken the trouble to learn the true and readily available facts about me nor has he accepted my repeated invitations to meet me in person.

Now, in his latest published letter, Mr. Howard again resorts to such utterly baseless innuendo when he says, "Mr.

man: Communist Man. St. Paul, the great Apostle, could say, 'If any man be in Christ, he is a new creature.' The Communists would pervert this profound truth to say: 'If any man be in the Communist party, he is a new creature.'"

"If Communism is to be defeated, the task must rest largely upon the theologians and ministers of the Gospel. Communism is a false secular religion with pseudo-theological explanations of the great verities of life, such as the creation, life on earth, and the world to come. Communism is an all-encompassing system with explanations — though wrong ones — for this great universe of God. The party offers a n s w e r s — though perverted ones — for the hopes, joys, and fears of mankind."

"In the final analysis, the Communist world view must be met and defeated by the Christian world view. The Christian view of God as the Creator, Sustainer and Lord of the universe is majestically superior to the "marxist" approach of dialectical materialism concocted by Marx and Lenin. The task of our clergy today is to translate this Holy Truth into the daily lives of our men and women. This truly is their responsibility as Christian clergymen."

"Strong, responsible, and faithful Christians wearing the full armor of God, are the best weapons of attack against Communism and the other problems of our day. Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness." In this way you will be playing a vital role also in helping defend our cherished way of life."

Dorlan F. Woods, Prospect, Ore.

THE other school is somewhat more ambitious. It would use not only the \$300,000 of property tax money but would UP it to \$500,000 to be added to the \$800,000 to be raised by the tax on hotel rooms — thus increasing the tourist and convention promotion fund to \$1,300,000.

With that amount of money available, it is argued, it would be a cinch to hold the Pacific Festival this year. The Pacific Festival — a variation of the world fair idea — has been regarded as out of the range of possibility for 1961 if more money isn't found somewhere.

FROM the standpoint of money and where it is to come from, it is pointed out that the property tax is beginning to bite in San Francisco. It is alleged that because of the high property tax industry is already beginning to move out of the city.

A sales tax on transient hotel rooms, it is contended, would get around that objection.

WHAT of the hotel people? Well, so far, they are lying doggo — which is to say, they are keeping still and listening hard. And, of course, doing some thinking.

Assuming for the sake of easy figuring that the hotel rate for two persons might average out at \$15.00 per room, a three per cent sales tax would mean a cost increase of 45 cents. The question would be whether to absorb the increased cost or to up the price of rooms. And, if the price were increased, would it mean fewer customers? Would more tourists tend to say in the surrounding suburbs and commute into the city?

This tax business, you see, has many angles.

GETTING closer home—

Suppose San Francisco decides to go after more tourist business for all it is worth. Suppose the Pacific Festival is launched in 1961. Suppose it is a great success. Suppose the visitors run up into the hundreds of thousands.

What would that mean to us up here in southern Oregon and far northern California?

IT COULD mean quite a little.

Figures compiled by Oregon's Travel Information Division of the Oregon state highway department indicate that each tourist car spends an average of \$23.60 per day. If we could induce a million tourist cars to spend one day more in our area, it would mean the addition of \$23,000,000 to our economy.

We could use it — very nicely.

the previous recessions." For the benefit of the completely bewildered, I will now reveal that paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 are the real things,