

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE
Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO.
33 North First St., Ph. SE 2-9141

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION
NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION

Flight o' Time
Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO
Oct. 21, 1950 (Saturday)
Medford Mayor D. L. Flynn has proclaimed Tuesday as United Nations and Crusade for Freedom day here.

20 YEARS AGO
Oct. 21, 1940 (Monday)
Oregon's union control law, passed by the voters two years ago, was declared unconstitutional today in a 5-1 decision by the state supreme court.

30 YEARS AGO
Oct. 21, 1930 (Tuesday)
Service clubs in Medford will launch a "Business Confidence" week in connection with National Prosperity week.

40 YEARS AGO
Oct. 21, 1920 (Thursday)
The state highway board plans to open bids for work on Crater Lake highway work Nov. 6.

50 YEARS AGO
Oct. 21, 1910 (Friday)
A number of Woodville residents have filed an application with the state to charter the Woodville State Bank.

What's Your I.Q.?
Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. Did George Washington sign the Declaration of Independence?
2. What is the wake of a ship?
3. What was the greatest amount of home runs Babe Ruth hit in one season?

4. Who laid the cornerstone of the White House?
5. How many millions are there in a billion?
6. Who wrote "The Fall of the House of Usher"?

7. Did Marie Louise, Napoleon's wife, remarry after his death?
8. In what theater was Lincoln shot?
9. How many men were on a dead man's chest in the song?
10. Of what kind of tissue is the heart principally made up?

Answers: 1. No. 2. The track it leaves in the water. 3. Fifty. 4. George Washington. 5. Edgar Allan Poe. 7. Yes, twice. 8. Ford Theater, Washington, D.C. 9. Fifteen. 10. Muscle.

Sweetland Merits Election

A week ago, State Sen. Monroe Sweetland spoke to a student assembly at Linfield College. He did so as part of his campaign for secretary of state.

He issued a press release covering the theme of his talk ("When we do right at home, we add to America's luster abroad. When we fail to correct bad conditions in our states and localities, we tarnish our national reputation and jeopardize our international relations") which was mailed out to newspapers, standard practice for most office-seekers.

A PORTION of that press release we quote verbatim, as follows:

"These remaining blemishes on the American scene give aid and comfort to our enemies, Sweetland said. The question is asked: 'Why, if Americans believe all men are created equal, are Negroes and other minorities denied equal opportunities? Why, if America is the richest nation in the world, are its schools overcrowded and its teachers underpaid? Why, if free enterprise is the best economic system, do we have depressed areas of chronic unemployment? Why, if we profess concern for future generations, do we allow our streams to become polluted and our fish and wildlife jeopardized?'"

"Until we attend to our unfinished business, these questions will be asked and America's leadership of the free world made difficult," Sweetland asserted."

THESE questions ARE being asked of us, all over the world.

And it's up to the American people to provide some answers. They are not only legitimate subjects for debate in an election; they MUST be debated if America is to retain (some would say regain) its position of prestige and leadership throughout the non-communist world.

So what happens? So Peter Gunnar, state Republican chairman and hatchet man for the cave-dwelling branch of the Grand Old Party, wrenches one question so badly out of context that it's barely recognizable, and alleges that Sweetland is attacking the "great American free enterprise system."

SWEETLAND yelled "foul." And we don't blame him. It was a foul, low blow. It's dirty politics.

And Howell Appling Jr., the Republican candidate for secretary of state, on whose behalf Gunnar pulled this sneak attack, not only failed to repudiate it, but added insult to injury when, in Roseburg Tuesday night, alleges that Sweetland is attempting "to cover up a brazen attack upon the free enterprise system."

Later, in Medford, he blandly ignored the first vicious charge (after it had been shown what Sweetland's press release REALLY said), and switched to an unconvincing allegation that Sweetland had attempted to lie out of it during a public appearance in Portland.

In our view, he has thus disqualified himself from any consideration of support for the office which he seeks.

WE decided some weeks ago that we would support Sweetland for secretary of state, and this incident merely confirms and strengthens that decision.

A little story out of Salem last month, which reported that David O'Hara would support Sweetland against Appling, is one example of the reasons why we support Sweetland.

O'Hara, a rock-ribbed Republican who presided over the elections department (part of the secretary of state's office) with skill, integrity and authority for 43 years, also served many years as a conservative Salem alderman.

He was Ex-Gov. Bob Holmes' appointee as secretary of state, when Hatfield's was Appling. The court decided the legal conflict in favor of Hatfield.

O'HARA'S failure to support Appling in this election was the most damning thing we could think of, for O'Hara knows the office like the back of his hand, and if in his mind Appling has made mistakes and blamed them on others (as has been alleged), that settled the matter for us.

More, O'Hara gave positive support to Sweetland. He said:

"I have known Senator Sweetland for many years and regard him as a dedicated and responsible public official. He has won the respect of members of both political parties. He is fair and impartial. I am convinced that Senator Sweetland will make an admirable secretary of state."

BY temperament, disposition, ability, experience, and by the respect he has earned over many years as a legislator, candidate, newspaper editor and publisher, businessman and fair-minded politician, Sweetland is fitted to the office.

He would be a liberating influence on the board of control, now dominated by Gov. Mark Hatfield and his two appointees, Appling and State Treasurer Howard Belton.

He is a fine citizen, deserving respect and support.

Appling, through his pettiness in political exchanges, the mistakes he has made and attempted to palm off on others, and, finally, his participation in low-blow politics, has forfeited the respect he once could claim.—E. A.

Dennis the Menace



"A PARTY? SURE I CAN COME! YOU BET! I'LL BE THERE! WHO IS THIS?"

... Communications ...

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible.

No Witchhunting
To the Editor: We were glad to see your editorial of Oct. 14 on the case of Dr. Linus Pauling, and wholeheartedly agree with your viewpoint.

In answer to Mr. Robert Howard's letter on the same subject I should like to make a few remarks.

We have read the statement by Sen. Thomas J. Dodd, issued on Sept. 30, 1960. No doubt this is the statement to which Mr. Howard has reference.

Frankly, I am not interested in politicians, politics or personalities, but more especially in the long run does not pay off. The U.S. has been guilty of this in many instances in the past and now we are reaping the whirlwind.

Principles are hard to come by these days as the Americans have grown soft in every way, morally, spiritually and physically. And until we the American people in a majority return to where we should be in all our relationships, God will use Russia and any other nation to drive us in that direction.

Issues of Merit
To the Editor: As election day gets closer I sincerely hope that the two candidates for the Presidency abandon trifles and get down to issues of some merit.

By trifles I refer first to discussion of Matsu and Quemoi islands. Whoever is elected as President is bound by oath to respect these commitments or negotiate new ones.

Secondly, I refer to the question of religion in this campaign. To question one's religion is illegal, inconsequential and wholly un-American.

Our foreign relations? We must have reached a new low in prestige when our Vice President was so scornfully treated in South America.

The cold war has left us with such frayed nerves that every time Khrushchev turns his head we go into a new fit of litters, which has grown steadily worse during this present administration.

As to religion having any bearing upon the performance of duties by the President, it is prejudiced opinion, sponsored by bigotry, intolerance and ignorance.

Our foreign relations? We must have reached a new low in prestige when our Vice President was so scornfully treated in South America.

The cold war has left us with such frayed nerves that every time Khrushchev turns his head we go into a new fit of litters, which has grown steadily worse during this present administration.

As to religion having any bearing upon the performance of duties by the President, it is prejudiced opinion, sponsored by bigotry, intolerance and ignorance.

Our foreign relations? We must have reached a new low in prestige when our Vice President was so scornfully treated in South America.

The cold war has left us with such frayed nerves that every time Khrushchev turns his head we go into a new fit of litters, which has grown steadily worse during this present administration.

As to religion having any bearing upon the performance of duties by the President, it is prejudiced opinion, sponsored by bigotry, intolerance and ignorance.

Our foreign relations? We must have reached a new low in prestige when our Vice President was so scornfully treated in South America.

The cold war has left us with such frayed nerves that every time Khrushchev turns his head we go into a new fit of litters, which has grown steadily worse during this present administration.

As to religion having any bearing upon the performance of duties by the President, it is prejudiced opinion, sponsored by bigotry, intolerance and ignorance.

Our foreign relations? We must have reached a new low in prestige when our Vice President was so scornfully treated in South America.

The cold war has left us with such frayed nerves that every time Khrushchev turns his head we go into a new fit of litters, which has grown steadily worse during this present administration.

Ambassador Wadsworth 'Man of Week' For Tough Minded Battle With Reds

By PHIL NEWSOM
UPI Foreign Editor
The man-of-the-week: James Jeremiah Wadsworth, United States Representative to the United Nations.

The place: UN Headquarters, New York. The quote: "The United States maintains its armaments and its armed forces for one purpose alone: To avoid war by deterring aggression."

For affable Jerry Wadsworth, that was a familiar position. He was back battling the Soviet Union in the diplomatic wars.

When he took on his new assignment at UN Headquarters last Sept. 3, Wadsworth had a long background of tangling with Soviet representatives.

For the previous 22 months he had been the U.S. delegate to the Geneva nuclear weapons test ban parley. In that time, along with Britain's Sir Michael Wright and Russia's Semyon Tsarapkin, he had helped whip together bits and pieces of a treaty on a nuclear test ban that may never be completed.

But when Henry Cabot Lodge stepped out as U.S. Ambassador to the UN to run for vice president on the Republican ticket, Wadsworth stepped in to succeed him and continue his verbal fencing with the Russians.

A giant of a man with the look of a friendly bear and the warm personality of a cocker spaniel, Wadsworth is a tough-minded and brilliant adversary whom the Soviet spokesmen have come to like and respect—albeit they must fight him in the various gathering places of diplomacy.

He more than held his own with them in Geneva, and thus far at the UN he has been ahead of the Russians.

His feeling is that the Russians now are tougher than ever, that they are probing for weaknesses, and "we have to be ready for any eventuality at any time." He's always been ready when the Russians moved.

A major Congo crisis came up shortly after he took over at the UN. He trapped the Russians into a special session of the General Assembly just three days before Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev arrived.

This was a move that skimmed the top off the publicity buildup for Khrushchev and planted ideas of Soviet subversion in the minds of the new African and uncommitted nations.

When Russia tried to ram the question of Red China's right to be in the UN into the agenda, Wadsworth led the U.S. fight to keep it out—and won.

When Khrushchev shouted, "I'll be there!" Wadsworth had a long back-

ground of tangling with Soviet representatives. For the previous 22 months he had been the U.S. delegate to the Geneva nuclear weapons test ban parley.

In that time, along with Britain's Sir Michael Wright and Russia's Semyon Tsarapkin, he had helped whip together bits and pieces of a treaty on a nuclear test ban that may never be completed.

But when Henry Cabot Lodge stepped out as U.S. Ambassador to the UN to run for vice president on the Republican ticket, Wadsworth stepped in to succeed him and continue his verbal fencing with the Russians.

A giant of a man with the look of a friendly bear and the warm personality of a cocker spaniel, Wadsworth is a tough-minded and brilliant adversary whom the Soviet spokesmen have come to like and respect—albeit they must fight him in the various gathering places of diplomacy.

He more than held his own with them in Geneva, and thus far at the UN he has been ahead of the Russians.

His feeling is that the Russians now are tougher than ever, that they are probing for weaknesses, and "we have to be ready for any eventuality at any time." He's always been ready when the Russians moved.

A major Congo crisis came up shortly after he took over at the UN. He trapped the Russians into a special session of the General Assembly just three days before Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev arrived.

This was a move that skimmed the top off the publicity buildup for Khrushchev and planted ideas of Soviet subversion in the minds of the new African and uncommitted nations.

When Russia tried to ram the question of Red China's right to be in the UN into the agenda, Wadsworth led the U.S. fight to keep it out—and won.

When Khrushchev shouted, "I'll be there!" Wadsworth had a long back-

Negro Vote Building Explosive Power; Question Is Debated

By LYLE C. WILSON
Washington-AP—From the surprise issue of Matsu and Quemoi, the presidential campaign detours now to the matter of a Negro in the Cabinet.

Census figures, past and present, suggest why the question of a Negro in the Cabinet has heated up the campaign. It is a hot question. The manner of its discussion and answer by the presidential candidates would persuade Negroes to vote for or against one or the other of those candidates.

The question of political rewards for members of minority groups is not new in U.S. politics. It long has been practiced in New York City, for example, to balance the major party tickets with representatives of minority groups, notably Jews, Catholics, sometimes Negroes. The balance also may be by nationality rather than by race or religion. The balance usually is there, and quite delicate, too.

Republican vice presidential nominee Henry Cabot Lodge has made what sounds like a solid commitment that there would be a Negro in a Richard M. Nixon Cabinet. Nominee Nixon has not immediately confirmed that commitment.

Democratic presidential nominee John F. Kennedy condemned Lodge's commitment as an example of racism in reverse. His running mate, Sen. Lyndon B. Johnson, has not taken much part in the public discussion. Some Negro leaders, such as Rep. Adam Clayton Powell, (D-N.Y.), who supports the Kennedy-Johnson ticket, have condemned Lodge. Powell called his proposal "dirty politics of the lowest order."

It evidently is the position of Kennedy, Powell and others that Lodge's talk is bait to obtain Negro votes for the Republican ticket. Lodge contends that he simply proposed what he thought was right, more especially because of the effect a U.S. Negro cabinet officer would have on Africans in the United Nations.

Whatever the motives may be, it can be considered that any candidate who seriously offends Negroes in the current discussion is likely to be hurt badly on election day. No candidate outside a Southern constituency would dare offend Negroes, else the candidate likely would become a should never become a religious issue. If so, then equal amounts donated by Catholics and Protestants to Rogue Valley hospital building fund should also be given Sister Luke. Our interest is personal—we are not affiliated with any church.

Sacred Heart is solely for the patients it serves and would not be the prestige of Medford to be given a black eye when information reached outlying communities, that after 30 years of "charity to all" the sisters were forced to leave town?

Who wants Sacred Heart hospital without "The Sisters" in charge? Prayers will help. However, 'tis the material most important now. Cash donations, small or large, to Sister Luke will not only help with the debt but prove the valley needs Sacred Heart hospital and sisters for their excellent work.

Trusting city council does not consider this soliciting without a license nor the editor consider it a classified ad. Mrs. L. A. Diamond 520 DeBarr ave. Medford.

Washington Report

By WILLIAM S. WHITE
Washington—It begins to appear that the job of actually being president—a job long known among politicians with ghoulish candor as "a man killer"—may seem hardly tougher than a winter vacation to the winner of this presidential campaign.

It is not easy to see how the White House, for all its pressures, can be too great a burden to the man who emerges victorious from this incredible trial-by-fire of 1960. For, after all, a "sitting president" can, sometimes, really sit—and alone.

Since circumstances have placed the contenders into this mauling, this savagely demanding contest, the country is fortunate beyond belief that both are young and healthy. Otherwise, we might find we had killed both our candidates before electing either.

THE people who read the dispatches of this or that aspirant's appearances here and there can hardly realize the true story of personal effort and sacrifice behind all these scattered little stories. For either candidate a fairly relaxed day will run to 18 hours, a fairly brisk day to 20 hours. There are speeches in the early morning chill, in the clamorous noonday, in the small, exhausted hours long past midnight.

There are the ceaseless encounters with the local politicians; the urgent telephone calls at all hours between candidate and Washington headquarters. There are the unending crises . . . the lost schedules . . . the four-ups along the line . . . the "meals" of clammy-cold fried chicken, of ham sandwiches having all the zestful flavor of damp cardboard . . . the interviews with the traveling national press and the local press everywhere.

AND beyond all this—much of which is pretty standard procedure except for the appalling lengthening time of the hours of work and struggle—much has been added. There are the frequent and hurried "spot" television appearances and, overshadowing all else, the "great debates" on TV. Toward these, each man points and plans in every waking hour, and in such dreams as he is allowed to have. On any one of these each man knows that a single tired slip, a fragment of a thoughtless phrase, could cost him the highest office in this world.

It is enough to incline any onlooker who really likes politicians anyhow (as this correspondent admits he does) to have more sympathy for what the candidate does right than to be critical of what, at a safe distance, he does that seems to be wrong. And it is not only the top men—John F. Kennedy for the Democrats and Richard M. Nixon for the Republicans—who are running this long and brutal gantlet.

THE second men are hardly less battered by demand upon body and mind and nerves. This is notably true of the Democratic vice-presidential candidate, Sen. Lyndon B. Johnson, who faces dire struggles in his native south to "carry the ticket for Jack." Henry Cabot Lodge, Nixon's running mate, has no special problem.

In five recent days in the south Johnson campaigned in eight states; put his head together with 1,247 Democratic officials aboard his campaign train; was photographed 1,047 times and made 57 speeches at 49 stops. When he returned here for his first "day off" in three weeks of days and nights, this was the scene at his home:

The baggage lay upon the floor. Johnson himself was on one telephone while two others rang angrily. "Where you heading now?" asked a questioner, when Johnson turned a moment from the phone to swallow a sip of coffee. "Hawaii, I think," he replied. "Will know in 20 minutes whether to next."

(Features Syndicate, Corp.)

Try and Stop Me

By BENNETT CERF
INTRIGUING restaurant signs recorded by Maxwell Drake:

In Topeka: "Strictly fresh eggs: we lay them in your plates." In a Chinese eatery in London, England: "Special Chinese dishes and men with ladies only served upstairs." In a bannery at Juneau, Alaska: "Large bowl clam chowder: 50 cents; Texas size: 25 cents."

Jim Backus has sent his wife to a U.S.C. specialty school to improve her cooking. The name of the course she's taking is "advanced defrosting."

Another definition of a pessimist—this one by Rachel Brand: "a fellow who carefully looks both ways before crossing a one-way street!"

Neighbor to a very dirty little boy in the yard next door: "Two read about the soil bank, but aren't you overdrawn?"

© 1960, by Bennett Cerf. Distributed by King Features Syndicate