

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 33 North Fir St. Ph 5P-2-6141

Subscription Rates: By Mail - In Advance Copy 10c Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$15.00

Advertising Representative: WEST HOLIDA... INC. Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

Flight o' Time: Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO: Oct. 7, 1950 (Saturday). A search by the local draft board for an Ashland youth who failed to show for his pre-induction physical ended yesterday when it was discovered that the youth had enlisted in the Army in Portland several months ago.

20 YEARS AGO: Oct. 7, 1940 (Wednesday). Oregon Gov. Charles A. Sprague today urged voters to vote against a measure that would permit the private sale of liquor.

30 YEARS AGO: Oct. 7, 1930 (Thursday). The state labor convention here yesterday voted to favor a liquor prohibition law.

40 YEARS AGO: Oct. 7, 1920 (Saturday). An Indian summer followed a light snow at Crater Lake this week.

50 YEARS AGO: Oct. 7, 1910 (Friday). Partial results of last week's primary election indicate that Republican Jay Bowerman and Democrat Oswald West have won their respective party's nomination for Governor.

What's Your I.Q.? Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

- 1. Were the "Seven World-ers of the Ancient World" all works of man?
2. Was Cassandra a famed Macedonian general, a Greek city, or an ancient prophetess?
3. Which department did Herbert Hoover head in the cabinet of Calvin Coolidge?
4. Is Hypnophobia a dread of breaking a leg, morbid fear of sleep, or desire to become unconscious?
5. Is whisky, or is it not, an approved medical antidote for snakebite?
6. Which state is nicknamed the "Buckeye State"?
7. With equal wind velocity, would you say that telegraph wires hum loudest in cold or hot weather?
8. The French engineer, Maj. Pierre C. L'Enfant, designed the original plan of which U. S. city?
9. Are dragonflies harmful to man?
10. Was "Old Ironsides" a sloop, frigate, or corvette?
Answers: 1. Yes. 2. Prophetess. 3. Department of Commerce. 4. Fear of sleep. 5. Is not. 6. Ohio. 7. Cold weather. 8. Washington, D. C. 9. No. 10. Frigate.

The Rise of Homo Sapiens

We're all familiar with the old answerless question, "Which came first, the chicken or the egg?" There is another similar question, a bit more complicated, but the answer seems closer.

The question can be phrased thus: "Did early man invent tools, or did tools assist in the evolution of the sub-human creatures which became early man?"

SHERWOOD WASHBURN, professor of anthropology at the University of California, leans toward the latter hypothesis. In the Scientific American, he reports: "From rapidly accumulating evidence, it is now possible to speculate with some confidence on the manner in which the way of life made possible by tools changed the pressures of natural selection and so changed the structure of man."

AFTER describing the finds in some detail, he adds: "What subsequently evolved was the pattern of life of intelligent, exploratory, playful, vigorous primates; the evolving reality was a succession of social systems based upon the motor abilities, emotions and intelligence of their members. Selection produced new systems of child care, maturation and sex, just as did alterations in the skull and teeth. Tools, hunting, fire, complex social life, speech, the human way and the brain evolved together to produce ancient man of the genus Homo about half a million years ago. Then the brain evolved under the pressures of a more complex life until the species Homo sapiens appeared perhaps as recently as 50,000 years ago."

Once this was accomplished, Professor Washburn notes, the stage was set for an even more rapid advance. The details of mankind's recorded history are better known than the misty pre-history of the time when mankind was evolving and then going through the successive periods of family, tribal, nomadic, agricultural, city and early national life.

THE increasing speed of human development intrigues Professor Washburn, as it has us. He says: "Today, in the midst of the latest tool-making revolution, man has achieved the capacity to adapt his environment to his need and impulse, and his numbers have begun to crowd the planet."

"Thus in ourselves we see a structure, physiology and behavior that is the result of the fact that some populations of apes started to use tools a million years ago. The pebble tools constituted man's principal technical adaptation for a period at least 50 times as long as recorded history. "As we contemplate man's present eminence, it is well to remember that, from the point of view of evolution, the events of the last 50,000 years occupy but a moment in time. Ancient man endured at least 10 times as long, and the man-apes for an even longer time."

ALSO, as we "contemplate man's present eminence," we are faced with a new challenge, one which is only about 15 years old—namely, the fact that in his brief span mankind has finally achieved the power of self-destruction.

He has, for the most part, achieved control of his environment. He has solved the problem of adequate supplies of food (at least for his present numbers). He has solved unbelievably complex technological problems in transportation, communication, manufacturing.

And yet, despite all these triumphs, man still is not a success. And he will not be a success until he learns, at long last, how to distribute these good things, and, more important, how to get along with himself.

The tools are at hand for an idyllic, productive, creative, happy life. But we have not yet achieved it. We may never do so.

THERE is, however, one rule of life which, if universally adopted, both by individual men and by their social organisms including nations, would make possible just such a life.

The lesson was written many, many years ago, and it occurs in virtually every major religion. This rule, phrased in many different ways, remains the same, and is still the only truly basic, universal rule of conduct. The version we all know best goes:

"Do unto others as you would have others do unto you."—E. A.

ATUF and UMC

Congratulations are due the Ashland-Talent United Fund drive, which went over its goal with a whoop and holler in just a few days.

This is the kind of civic responsibility and public-spirited response which makes a city a good place to live.

It must have made the workers in the United Medford Crusade sit up and take notice, a bit, for, although they're doing fine so far, they can't begin to compare with the speed that the A-T drive succeeded.

THERE are arguments against United Fund drives—some of them valid; most of them nothing more than "excuses" not to give.

But even the valid negative arguments are far overbalanced by the arguments in favor of the UFs, and the many worthwhile things which are accomplished with the donated dollars.

The story of the UMC has been told in some detail elsewhere in the Mail Tribune over the months and years. This, then, is simply a reminder that now is the time to do your bit toward making it a success, and maintaining Medford's long-standing reputation as a good place to live.—E. A.

Dennis the Menace



"I DIDN'T USE UP ALL YOUR PERFUME! SOME OF IT'S STILL IN MY WATER PISTOL."

Washington Report

By WILLIAM S. WHITE

VITAL DIALOGUE: Washington—The truly vital world dialogue now going on is not the public debate between the free west and the Soviet east, for this will not soon come to anything, anyhow. It is rather, an urgent private discussion between the west and the so-called neutral or uncommitted nations.

And the kernel of this frank talk is that the west, through its top leaders, is now telling the neutralists that their free ride at last is over. They must now decide whether any sort of order is to be left in this world, through the United Nations, or whether they wish to remain so very nobly "uncommitted" as to help the Soviet Union destroy that last hope and agency for peace.

For a decade now the neutralists have been the canonized saints of international knee-jerk liberalism, the one set of really good guys on all this earth. They would join neither west nor east. Instead they pointed accusing fingers at both, though mainly at the west, while scooping up all they could get in aid money from each—though, again, mainly from the west.

THIS policy in itself has inevitably appealed to knee-jerk liberalism—herein defined as the kind of liberalism which favorably reacts to the pompous self-righteousness of neutralism as automatically as a knee tapped by a physician's rubber hammer.

The international knee-jerkers, though never pro-Soviet, have always refused real allegiance to the west. After all, the west includes the bad old "colonial powers," like Britain and France. And there is another country, the United States, which has thus far refused to throw away its nuclear safeguards just because the neutralists—and the Soviet Union—thought it ought to do so.

The knee-jerk mind, like the very similar neutralist mind, has remained proudly untouched by all the massive evidence against its happy theories. Some of its happy lands of neutralism—namely the country of the neutralist archangel, Prime Minister Nehru of India, and Sukarno of Indonesia—are manifestly rather shorter on civil liberties for most of the people than were the nasty old "colonial" regimes they succeeded.

IN deep contrast, Kennedy is a man with vision of national purpose, who has the moral fortitude to understand that in priming the pump, it must be done in time to forestall sinking spells into recessions before disastrous unemployment develops, before the little fellow's mortgage is foreclosed, before recession-ridden and depression-motivated crimes may be committed.

In Kennedy lies the hope of the future, to rise above the big wigs' abject surrender to the evils of our time. With respect to economy in Government, a stitch in time saves nine, but the economy-minded Republican bosses do not ever comprehend. With characteristic lethargy and willful procrastination, they walked, stumbled or bungled into the 1958 recession. There they economized the treasury into a \$12 billion deficit. There they economized the people they threw out of work into a \$40 billion loss of wages, and the nation a \$100 billion loss of commerce, in one year.

IT seems this money wise gang can afford anything that is expensive to you, not to improve your lot, but to pursue their primary purpose, to subdue and control labor and small business, to advance the

powers of monopoly. Since this outfit cannot hope to be reelected on their dark brown record, they must devise other ways to turn the trick, so they will allow injection of false issues to grow and fester in their behalf.

U.N. Rat's Nest: To the Editor: Does Khrushchev really want to destroy the U.N. as he seems to? Does he even want to remove it out of the United States? To those who are well informed the answer is a big loud "No".

THE same time, the anti-knee jerk leaders—prime

Tito Feels U.N. Conclave Intensified Cold War; Fails in Reconciliation Try

By PHIL NEWSOM UPI Foreign Editor The man-of-the-week: President Tito of Yugoslavia.

The place: New York. The quote: "We have done, together with our friends, everything that was in our power to narrow the gap and reduce tension between East and West and to enable the Assembly to work in a constructive way. But the results achieved in this respect so far are less than modest. What is more, I feel that the atmosphere of the cold war has been intensified and this is what causes concern."

Saying that, Jesip Broz-Tito, Marshal of Yugoslavia, Independent Communist and one of the world's most influential neutrals, sailed for home after two weeks of politicking and maneuvering at the current United Nations General Assembly. The stocky Communist boss of the small Balkan nation did his best to warm up the atmosphere of the cold war while he was in New York.

He took the initiative in calling together other leading neutrals and proposing another meeting between President Eisenhower and Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev.

At its end, they came up with a formal resolution which they dropped into the Assembly hopper. It first made a "request" for Eisenhower and Khrushchev to meet in the interests of easing tensions. Then it was modified to "express hope" the two would meet.

Eisenhower and Khrushchev both rejected the suggestion before it got through the General Assembly. But they didn't say positively. Tito and his neutralist cohorts—presidents Jawaharlal Nehru of India, Kwame Nkrumah of

Ghana, Sukarno of Indonesia and Gamal Abdel Nasser of the United Arab Republic—had made the opening gambit.

Tito sailed for home, and Nasser left by air. Nehru stayed and pushed the neutrals' proposal at the United Nations.

It won't be for lack of trying that the American president and the Soviet premier won't meet. For Tito, the initiative in this case was quite in character. He has risen to the top

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

Both Candidates Leave Door Open For Deficit Financing

By LYLE C. WILSON

Washington—UPI—The presidential candidates have left themselves plenty of room for lush spending, whichever takes office on Jan. 20, 1961.

Both have just answered up to a question from Scripps-Howard Newspapers, which, in the common tongue, would read like this: "Are you gonna balance the budget and begin paying off the national debt, or ain't you?"

"Yes, maybe," responded the candidates. Candidate Nixon said the government should try to reduce the debt but that spending for national security takes priority over all else. Also, he said, the federal government must spend for essential things the states, local governments and non-government resources cannot accomplish. However, he said to do this federal spending by deficit financing would fan inflation and, ultimately, bring bankruptcy.

Two Fence-Sitters: The late James E. Watson, of Indiana, would have taken the foregoing as defining a firm position on both sides

of the question. Candidate Kennedy, responding to the national debt-budget question, said it was extremely important to maintain a balanced budget—if possible. Kennedy said a great emergency of national security or serious unemployment would warrant an unbalanced budget.

It is the consensus, however, of those who have read the Democratic platform—not many, probably—that a great deal of unbalanced deficit spending is written into that platform's numerous promises. Candidate Kennedy stands four-square on the Democratic platform. So, how much promise is there from the Democrats or Republicans that inflation-breeding deficit spending will cease?

Not much, is a reasonable answer to that question. Neither candidate came up to the question with a simple, unqualified answer. Neither, evidently, has any plan to reduce the national debt and to maintain a balanced budget, else the possessor of such a plan would have mentioned it in response to a direct question.

People Don't Complain: Candidate Nixon is an able and experienced politician. When he says that deficit spending would fan inflation

and, ultimately, cause national bankruptcy, he means just that. Moreover, he is correct in his judgment.

That should be enough to alarm citizens, to send them milling into the streets shouting in protest against deficit spenders; shouting, like the Cuban mobs, "To the wall." If the citizens were sharp and alert, they would yearn to clobber candidate Nixon because he didn't say yes to a question which, in his own judgment, relates directly to the national solvency.

Sharp and alert citizens would yearn to clobber candidate Kennedy, also. He likes the big spending Democratic platform. He still is talking about unbalancing the budget to correct serious unemployment despite a years-long demonstration by Franklin D. Roosevelt that it won't work. What ended unemployment in the Roosevelt administration was World War II.

There will be plenty of spending in the next administration, whoever wins. The big time spender would be a bolder, better patriot if he would accompany his platform and other promises to the welfare state pressure groups with a promise to lay out taxes to pay the bill.

consideration of the Supreme Court, Bill doesn't discuss his widely stated belief that a Member of Congress has a duty to inform himself firsthand where possible so that his decisions can be based firmly on the best facts, as well as other reasons for a different China policy.

Bill's partisanship causes him to lose whatever objectivity he did once possess with respect to politics. I did say that some (not all) American investors in Cuba did not deserve reparations because they greedily exploited the Cuban people, hand in hand with dictators like Batista, who had received many times their investment back in profits.

Bill says he isn't going to question my patriotism but he goes right ahead to accuse me of appeasement and apologizing "for what we are" and of not having a "positive faith in American principles." He offers no evidence for such slurs, but I believe that it should be plain to any fair-minded reader that Bill is working hard for the Republican candidate and with no concern for facts or the real issues.

I suggest that he, as public relations man for my opponent, might do him more good if he helped him produce that "positive program" which he promised the voters earlier this year but which somehow has never been presented.

Charles O. Porter, Member of Congress, Eugene, Ore.

Summer's Dreams: To the Editor: Beside my chair outside the door. So many little creatures pass. The lizards scuttle quickly by. They all go plopp upon the grass.

A rotund squirrel ambles in. Though fat, he wiggles 'twixt my feet. Startled, both, we look surprised; His cheek-pouch holds a winter's treat.

A gentling shining hummingbird Sits on a cactus by my arm. He rests from sucking pollen in, I gaze, amazed, at all his charm.

A croaking sound from out a tree. The frogs noise comes from everywhere. Are they talking back and forth? Saying Winter's coming here?

When the rain comes, I'll

and, ultimately, cause national bankruptcy, he means just that. Moreover, he is correct in his judgment.

That should be enough to alarm citizens, to send them milling into the streets shouting in protest against deficit spenders; shouting, like the Cuban mobs, "To the wall." If the citizens were sharp and alert, they would yearn to clobber candidate Nixon because he didn't say yes to a question which, in his own judgment, relates directly to the national solvency.

Sharp and alert citizens would yearn to clobber candidate Kennedy, also. He likes the big spending Democratic platform. He still is talking about unbalancing the budget to correct serious unemployment despite a years-long demonstration by Franklin D. Roosevelt that it won't work. What ended unemployment in the Roosevelt administration was World War II.

There will be plenty of spending in the next administration, whoever wins. The big time spender would be a bolder, better patriot if he would accompany his platform and other promises to the welfare state pressure groups with a promise to lay out taxes to pay the bill.

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.

He fought the Germans in World War II and at its end emerged the victor in the intramural war with General Mikhailovich. The latter was shot, and Tito became undisputed boss of Yugoslavia.

He was anathema to the

of acting on his own many times in the past. The peasant son of peasant parents, he became a locksmith and then a professional Communist worker.

He took a poor nation of 17 million persons and made the world think it is important.