

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

"Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"
Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO.
33 North Fir St. Ph. SP 2-6141

ROBERT W. RUHL, Editor
HERB GREY, Advertising Manager
GERALD T. LATA, Business Mgr.

Subscription Rates
By Mail - In Advance, Copy 10c
Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$15.00
Daily and Sunday - 6 mos. 8.00

Advertising Representatives
WEST HOLIDAY CO. INC.
Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, Louisville, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

10 YEARS AGO
June 13, 1950 (Tuesday)
Secretary of State Earl Newby yesterday dedicated the new motor vehicle office south of Medford on Highway 99.

13 years ago
Thirteen holidays of Lincoln school were neither absent or tardy during the 1949-50 school year and have been given special awards by the school.

20 YEARS AGO
June 13, 1940 (Thursday)
W.P.A. funds have been appropriated to provide persons with new type sanitary privies and they are now available in Medford.

30 YEARS AGO
June 13, 1930 (Friday)
State horticultural board lays down standards for canneries which will go into effect here July 21.

40 YEARS AGO
June 13, 1920 (Sunday)
Aerial patrol of Oregon forests to start June 25. Medford residents ordered to boil water before drinking.

50 YEARS AGO
June 13, 1910 (Monday)
Contractors plan to have Medford's new gravity water system completed by July 15.

What's Your I.Q.?
Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. What was the first miracle performed by Christ?
2. What position in the Nazi regime did Paul Joseph Goebbels hold?
3. The first sweet orange was brought into Europe by the Portuguese in 1547. Did it come from India, China, or Japan?

4. Which is smaller, the greyhound or whippet?
5. Does sound travel faster in fresh or salt water?
6. Can ice cause the explosion of a jet engine, while in flight, through strangulation?

7. The unrhymed measure of iambic decasyllable in five beats, common in English epic and dramatic poetry is called...
8. Who was the first murderer?
9. According to tradition, who was saved from death by Pocahontas?
10. Mount Rushmore is in which mountain range and state?

Answers: 1. He turned water into wine. 2. Propaganda Minister. 3. China. 4. Whippet. 5. Salt Water. 6. Yes. By blocking the jet intakes. 7. Blank verse. 8. Cain. 9. Captain John Smith. 10. Black Hills, S. D.

They Won't Actually Know

The possibility that the Republican Party's presidential nomination will be withheld from Richard Nixon is so remote that the speculative stories that are appearing in the newspapers don't deserve the space given them.

Mr. Nixon has many more than the necessary number of votes pledged to him now to get the nomination. That enough of those votes could be changed before convention time to deprive the vice president of the nomination seems incredible.

A small core of liberals would like to have the nomination go to New York's Gov. Nelson Rockefeller. And an even smaller number of conservatives would like Arizona's Sen. Barry Goldwater to be the party's standard bearer. But the vast majority of Republicans, both liberals and conservatives, want Mr. Nixon.

THIS, it seems to us, is a most interesting commentary on the character of Mr. Nixon. Many conservatives think he will give them a program they desire. And many liberals are as certain he will provide a program that will please them.

Thus, you see, Mr. Nixon continues to be what he has been since his beginning in politics, an opportunist who can shift with the political winds as quickly as a bird in flight. There is something in Mr. Nixon's record that assures both conservatives and liberals that he is their man.

Few men in Washington are as fast as he. He plays both sides and seldom gets caught in a corner that he can't quickly get out of. He does occasionally, as he did last month when he told a meeting in Chicago that he was for federal aid to education and less than a week later voted against it in the Senate. But he can be excused for that one because he could not have foreseen that he would have to vote in the Senate on the issue.

WE WILL have an unparalleled situation if Mr. Nixon is elected President.

Although he will have been on the public stage for eight years as vice president, and prior to that time U. S. Representative and Senator, nobody will be able to say with assurance what he will do as the nation's chief executive on the big issues.

Many on both sides of the fence—liberals and conservatives—will say they think they know what he will do. But they won't actually know.

He has carefully written a record that doesn't reveal much of anything.—Pendleton East Oregonian.

Crucial Case

Apparently lost in the crush of events during the primary election campaigning was news that an important case had been decided in Lane county circuit court.

Although it directly involves but one tract of land along the McKenzie River east of Armitage Park, this case could become celebrated as one disclosing the inadequacy of agricultural zoning powers provided for by existing Oregon law.

Ruling for the defendants, Landowner Tom Winn and the construction firm to whom he sold gravel removal rights on his property, Circuit Judge Frank B. Reid, in effect, has decided that county zoning control is non-existent in agricultural areas.

The county planning commission last year refused to permit the gravel removal and was upheld in its action by the board of county commissioners. But the gravel was removed, and Judge Reid subsequently dismissed the county's contentions that this was done in violation of the law.

NOW the district attorney's office has filed notice of appeal with the Oregon Supreme Court and is preparing to carry this fight before the state's highest tribunal. However, it could be months before a final decision is reached. Meantime, county powers to zone lands for agriculture and to prevent their use for other purposes seem to be suspended, if not yet entirely nullified.

Regardless of what the supreme court decides, it is thus apparent that the 1961 Oregon Legislature will need to attack this problem. This should be done to assure the orderly development of the many Oregon communities which are quickly changing from purely agricultural areas to ones where agriculture, other industries and residential subdivisions are in growing competition for land areas.

Unless explicit agricultural zoning powers are provided in statutes which all courts will recognize as subject to but one interpretation, only helter-skelter growth can be expected in these communities. Ultimately, all land values will be debased within them.

THE case headed for the Supreme Court likely will not be decided until after the 1961 legislative session has ended. It would, therefore, be changing too much for the lawmakers to sit back and wait upon the court before making such changes as might be then indicated. It would be 1963 before the legislature had another chance to act.

Furthermore, while this case from Lane county is a crucial one, it may be serving only to point up one possible basis of challenging agricultural zoning powers bestowed upon Oregon counties.

The 1961 Legislature should look closely to see that there are no other potential loopholes.—Eugene Register-Guard.

Dennis the Menace



WHO THE HECK WOULD PUT AN OX CARROT IN THE COOKIE JAR?

Communications

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initials for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

Temperance
To the Editor: Ever have anyone tell you to tend to your own business? Most of us have, I am sure. Some I realize might feel that way towards the writer of these lines. You have a right to your opinions. We do want to try and be sure at all times that our ideas are based on a sound, solid foundation and not just someone else's opinion or hearsay.

From time to time I have tried to direct my friends toward what I have felt is a true source of solid sound reasoning. One who might be perishing of thirst would not want to be shown a stagnant pool from which to obtain life-giving water. Yet many today find themselves groping and thirsting after something far more important than physical refreshment at the true source. I am sure you know who that source is.

The other day I chanced to be in a nearby business house. A man walked in and somehow we began visiting. I noticed that one of the clerks declined to even as much as recognize this man. It didn't take me long to realize something was wrong. Then I caught the odor of his breath. As he made his way out to his car I did some thinking. He had told me that he lived down the river about 17 miles. I have always had due respect for the down river route from Yreka to Happy Camp.

Our police officers warn us against driving while under the "influence." "If you drive don't drink" and "if you drink don't drive." Yet the same officers are required to give legal protection to businesses which sell alcoholic beverages to these drivers. If these drinking persons would go to a hotel and sleep it off first, it might be better. It doesn't work that way. The moment you approach our little city of Ashland from the north you find about 50 per cent of Foster and Kleisers' bill board space telling which brand of beer to serve.

The undertakers' black hearse has perhaps been the true "black label" that Mable is called for. We've preferred to follow Washington States Capitals namesake and drink the pure water. It says "It's the Water." If you saw a rattlesnake ready to bite a child and could avert the tragedy what would you do? Nothing! The liquor traffic poses a far greater threat than all of the rattlesnakes in Southern Oregon. It may seem to some that the majority thinking is right but thank God the real majority is right. The unnumbered Heavenly beings are on the side of true temperance. The Sacred Scriptures show this to be a fact.

Henry Johnson Jr.
2400 Highway 66
Ashland, Ore.

Grim Menace
To the Editor: Organized minorities. What a grim enemy they can be and too often are, not only to national economy, but to survival as a nation. It is difficult to fathom out which of the two is our greatest danger today, our highly organized and financed labor unions or the world power hungry and grimly organized Soviets of Russia.

As this is being written this Sunday morning, our very dependable home newspaper, the Mail Tribune, headlines the shutdown of Conval, makers of our one and only ready ICBM Atlas, by striking union labor. Inter-

national Writer Harriman in the M-T has just warned us that words are meaningless and treaties less than "scraps of paper" to the Soviet; that only weaponry capable of blasting them into oblivion is the one thing they respect. Stalin once put this in understandable meaning when replying to warning of the power the Pope wields, contemptuously remarked: "Just how many divisions does the Pope have?"

A musician friend took me to task just recently for my opinions publicly expressed concerning our organized labor unions. Like the average union devotee, he bitterly attacks the very ones who provide jobs for workers and the 'so-and-so' capitalists who provide the finances. And yet, he is out of work save for an occasional dance job, for he is talented and a highly accomplished musician. But, though he admitted his union helped him little or none at all in getting work, he, like all union members, obviously did not want to be quoted in criticizing his union for fear of retaliation, quite the same as a Russian or unfortunate satellite citizen, dares not lift voice against the Communist hierarchy.

F. J. Clifford
Route 2, Box 200F
Central Point, Ore.

He Told Us So
To the Editor: Now what do you think?

I hate to be one of those people who say "I told you so", but we're so proud of the Medford State Baseball Championship Team that we must go ahead to say that the writer predicted their success several months ago to the editor of the Mail Tribune in his communications column.

They, the baseball kids, were great, just simply great. Congratulations to the club and their coaches from all my friends and me.

Gerald von Ting
854 East Ninth st.
Medford.


Old Bibles Requested
To the Editor: Will you please examine and read the following, with the thought in mind of newspaper space, so worded as to be interesting news for your readers, letting them know of the dire need for old, worn, torn or discarded Bibles?

One of the many services rendered annually a our Tri-State Fair is the one Negro day, the one in which Negroes have the right to attend, and do come in from the plantations in these three adjoining southern states, literally by the hundreds and thousands, and into this Bible booth for their Bibles—all too often their first Bibles.

In all too many cases, they have big families of children, and no Bibles. How well I know, being born and reared on a southern plantation myself, and having worked with them all through the years.

This one thing I do as my daily job, having dedicated my time, myself, my home, and my all in the service of our Lord, placing copies of his Holy Word where needed most, regardless of color or anything else except the dire need, wherever the need may be. You would know by my location that the need is found mostly among the colored.

Rockefeller No 'Rich Man's Stassen,' Wilson Finds; Sees 1964 Election Bid



By LYLE C. WILSON

Washington—UPI—The word from Capitol Hill on last week's Eisenhower-Rockefeller White House huddle: Gov. Nelson A. Rockefeller did not give Eisenhower a clear idea of what he had in mind other than that he would make a political statement.

The Governor took advantage of the President by strategy which could give the impression that Eisenhower knew what was coming but made no effort to stop it.

Rockefeller, not the President, suggested last week's meeting. The significance of the meeting, of the fact that Eisenhower instantly had agreed to see Rockefeller,

should be understood in relation to this fact: The President feels obligated to receive any governor on request. Most quoted wise crack of the week: "Rockefeller is the rich man's Stassen."

Harold E. Stassen organized a stop-Nixon movement before the 1956 Republican national convention. Like Rockefeller, Stassen first arranged to confer with Eisenhower at the White House. On leaving the President's office, Stassen

fired his salvo at Nixon. This strategy enraged some Republicans and annoyed others. Rockefeller's anti-Nixon play has had about the same effect. There are indications that Eisenhower bears some resentment against Rockefeller. If so, the President is not alone in that feeling. Among the remarks of the week which obtained considerable currency and concurrence was this, from Sen. Barry Goldwater (R-Ariz.):

"That the Senator could discern no difference between Rockefeller's approach to current issues and the approach of the Democratic presidential aspirants to those issues. The Conservatives

Conservative Republicans said amen to that. The conservatives make a mistake, however, in brushing Rockefeller off as just another Stassen.

Stassen's political future was behind him in 1956 when he sought to rally an anti-Nixon crusade. He was not risking much because he had little to lose. Rockefeller has much to lose, and he has lost some of it by offending the party leaders who know that they must win this year on the Eisenhower record if they are to win at all.

Rockefeller also has much to gain, and he probably has gained some of it in becoming an anti-Nixon, left-wing Republican symbol. That should make some political hay for him among the independents and among the Democrats.

Simple arithmetic shows that Rockefeller will be 56 years old in 1964, a good age for a presidential nominee. Consider, for example, the situation in 1964 with these "ifs":

If No. 1: If Nixon were nominated and defeated this year.

If No. 2: If Rockefeller were reelected in November, 1962, to New York's governorship.

Given both of these eventualities, Rockefeller probably could win the 1964 Republican presidential nomination. Perhaps the governor has thought of that.

enough made the difference. The GOP "peace issue" is now shaky—if the Democrats don't throw away their potential new advantage by overly violent clamor against the president.

THE Republicans will permit party infighting, but only to a point. Unlike the Democrats, they won't tolerate it when the GOP family as a whole is in real trouble against the Democrats. The man who breaks ranks at such a time is marked forever by his own fellow partisans. One politician in all Republican history, Theodore Roosevelt, has gone away with such a national rebellion without being destroyed nationally.

Thus Rockefeller's new course has brought both dismay and puzzlement to a Republican professional analyst. There is dismay because Rockefeller's kind words for Mr. Eisenhower's "integrity" in no way hide Rockefeller's indictment of the Eisenhower administration on a nearly everything from national defense to civil rights.

And there is puzzlement because the Republicans by and large simply cannot understand what seems to them a Rockefeller thrust of far too much far too late. They know that the Democrats now hold strong anti-Republican campaign material handed to

them by the Republican governor of the union's biggest state.

IF NEW YORK state now goes Democratic in the presidential election—as candidate Republicans privately concede to be a heightened possibility—Rockefeller will be bitterly blamed by all GOP factions. His basic theory is that if Nixon loses for the Republicans this year they will need a Rockefeller to nominate in 1964.

The theory, however, is more promising on the outside than on the inside. Academically, the word in 1964 might well be "who but Rockefeller?" but in reality the angry party pros—even those not in their hearts too keen on Nixon—would far more likely adopt quite another slogan: "Anybody but Rockefeller."

It is said that the elephant never forgets. And the elephant is not by accident the symbol of the GOP.

(Copyright, 1960, by United Feature Syndicate, Inc.)

Foreign Notebook: Kishi On Skids? Oriental Red Tape

By PHIL NEWSON
UPI Foreign Editor
From the foreign editor's notebook:

Political Skids
Tokyo reports say it is beginning to look more and more as if Prime Minister Nobusuke Kishi is on the way out. Kishi's action in ramming the U.S.-Japan Mutual Security trade through parliament created a political storm, touched off months-long rioting

PHIL NEWSON and created grave doubts about the advisability of President Eisenhower going through with his visit to Japan June 19. Now sources close to Kishi say privately there is a good chance he will resign after

the polls and white schools, their leaders being our leading citizens, church officials, legal leaders, etc.

I am only begging for the crumbs, and many of our readers might be glad to know of this God given opportunity to thus serve Him.

Many people from far away write me requesting Bibles. Placing these old Bibles is my own responsibility, and I do trust that some will feel some responsibility in getting them here to me to give freely, regardless of the slant of the eye, kink of the hair, or color of the skin.

Thanking you in advance, and in the name of Jesus Christ.

T. S. White
("God's delivery boy. Please request prayers.")
Wild Wide Bible Gift Mission
1719 Buckner St.
Shreveport, Louisiana

A Trip, and Home
To the Editor: I just returned from Happy Camp, Calif., where I went to attend the graduation of my grandson, Milton Riley Blackford. It was one of the happiest moments of my life to see my grandson march at the head of his class with his gold honor cord and class uniform, and then to take his place at the head of his class and give the welcome address. Milton will visit in Alaska for a while, and then take up training in the air academy. Their class motto is—"Enter to learn—go forth to serve."

I also had another grandson graduate at Lakeview, Ore., on June 7, with high honors (Lee Riley Davis).

My daughter took us for a drive south of Happy Camp, and we watched several convicts working on the highway. They will soon be paroled.

On further down we visited "Facenics Terrace." The owners, Mr. and Mrs. Vince Ritzinger, have made the most beautiful scenic home I have ever seen. Flowers, lawns, trees, waterfalls, and the lovely evergreen trees, for a background. They have also cut out of animals, angels, etc., all in among this artistic beauty.

This lovely home was built from deep woods, and an old old shack, so it shows what people can do with hard labor and lovely artistic ideas.

Back to camp and a wonderful barbecue dinner. The song of birds and the running creek and the lovely cool air that just lulls you to sleep. Morning comes all too soon and we hear the call "Ham and eggs—come and get it."

Home again to my own untended flowers, the greetings from my neighbors, and the cat, "Jingles," but too late to go to the Fifty Plus club.

But my pals phoned me they had a very good attendance and a fine time. Hope to see you next Friday, same time, same place.

Maud E. Arnold
112 Elm St.
Medford.

B & H
POISON OAK LOTION
For immediate relief of Poison Oak use nature's own antidote. Satisfaction Guaranteed AT YOUR DRUGGIST

High Diplomacy
Disregarding Japan's political turmoil, a senior Asian member of the Tokyo diplomatic corps is circulating a petition among the members asking the foreign office to exempt foreign diplomats from paying the 10-cent (28 cents) parking meter fees. A question of diplomatic im-

Red Tape
Organizing press coverage for an Eisenhower tour is always a mighty job. But in the Orient, where red tape was invented, the job is even mightier. Example: Foreign office and U.S. State Department officials were escorting newsmen on a dress rehearsal of the visit the other day. All went well until the group got to the Imperial Palace. There, the guards wouldn't let them through the gates despite the show of reams of top credentials. Then when the party got to Washington Heights—an armed services housing area—the State Department couldn't get the group past the sentries.

Tradition Breaker
Some organizers of the World Eucharistic Congress opening in Munich, Germany, on July 31, are hopeful that Pope John XXIII will break another tradition and attend the congress. One million faithful are expected to attend the meeting and the feeling is that the Pope's presence would give it a tremendous lift. Vatican sources are not saying and it is against tradition for the Pope to go from the Vatican. In any event, the Pope will make the final decision.

Washington Report
By WILLIAM S. WHITE

ELEPHANT REMEMBERS
Washington—The man who six months ago would not risk a six-foot jump in daylight over a gully has now taken a long leap in the dark over a bottomless precipice.

This is the general judgment of the national political community, Democratic as well as Republican, over Gov. Nelson Rockefeller's extraordinary attack on both Vice President Nixon and President Eisenhower.

That Rockefeller is desperately trying to fan the heretofore dying embers of a "draft Rockefeller" movement is accepted as obvious. The only real questions are why he now proposes this can be done and why he is trying it so very late in the game.

At the end of last year the New York governor got out of the race against Mr. Nixon for the GOP presidential nomination. He said that the party bosses had frozen him out and so they had. In the months since, Nixon, who already had largely controlled the regular party organization, has gone on to lock up the nomination, barring something approaching a miracle.

SIX months ago it would have been by no means impossible for Rockefeller to give Nixon a genuine contest. And even if the challenge had failed, the governor would have suffered no great harm. Today it is just short of inconceivable that he can stop Nixon. A Republican convention that nominated Rockefeller would now have, among other things, to repudiate the Eisenhower administration.

It is not simply that Nixon now totally masters the national party machinery—and now will also likely have Mr. Eisenhower's total blessing. It is not simply that Rockefeller's latest oblique challenge to Nixon has plainly failed. (This was the effort in the California primary to run up a "silent" vote against the vice president.)

There is also the fact that the GOP—all the GOP—is now in trouble, where it was not in trouble last winter. The collapse of the summit confer-

ence has made the difference. The GOP "peace issue" is now shaky—if the Democrats don't throw away their potential new advantage by overly violent clamor against the president.

THE Republicans will permit party infighting, but only to a point. Unlike the Democrats, they won't tolerate it when the GOP family as a whole is in real trouble against the Democrats. The man who breaks ranks at such a time is marked forever by his own fellow partisans. One politician in all Republican history, Theodore Roosevelt, has gone away with such a national rebellion without being destroyed nationally.

Thus Rockefeller's new course has brought both dismay and puzzlement to a Republican professional analyst. There is dismay because Rockefeller's kind words for Mr. Eisenhower's "integrity" in no way hide Rockefeller's indictment of the Eisenhower administration on a nearly everything from national defense to civil rights.

And there is puzzlement because the Republicans by and large simply cannot understand what seems to them a Rockefeller thrust of far too much far too late. They know that the Democrats now hold strong anti-Republican campaign material handed to

them by the Republican governor of the union's biggest state.

IF NEW YORK state now goes Democratic in the presidential election—as candidate Republicans privately concede to be a heightened possibility—Rockefeller will be bitterly blamed by all GOP factions. His basic theory is that if Nixon loses for the Republicans this year they will need a Rockefeller to nominate in 1964.

The theory, however, is more promising on the outside than on the inside. Academically, the word in 1964 might well be "who but Rockefeller?" but in reality the angry party pros—even those not in their hearts too keen on Nixon—would far more likely adopt quite another slogan: "Anybody but Rockefeller."

It is said that the elephant never forgets. And the elephant is not by accident the symbol of the GOP.

RAG PICKER DIES RICH

Milwaukee, Wis.—UPI—An 88-year-old rag picker who lived in a \$23-a-month, litter-laden flat left an estate valued at more than \$741,000, it was reported today. Authorities who searched the tiny, three-room flat of Albert Friedrich after his death found a garbage can filled with stock and bond certificates.

THE NEW YORK state now goes Democratic in the presidential election—as candidate Republicans privately concede to be a heightened possibility—Rockefeller will be bitterly blamed by all GOP factions. His basic theory is that if Nixon loses for the Republicans this year they will need a Rockefeller to nominate in 1964.

The theory, however, is more promising on the outside than on the inside. Academically, the word in 1964 might well be "who but Rockefeller?" but in reality the angry party pros—even those not in their hearts too keen on Nixon—would far more likely adopt quite another slogan: "Anybody but Rockefeller."

It is said that the elephant never forgets. And the elephant is not by accident the symbol of the GOP.

(Copyright, 1960, by United Feature Syndicate, Inc.)

enough made the difference. The GOP "peace issue" is now shaky—if the Democrats don't throw away their potential new advantage by overly violent clamor against the president.

THE Republicans will permit party infighting, but only to a point. Unlike the Democrats, they won't tolerate it when the GOP family as a whole is in real trouble against the Democrats. The man who breaks ranks at such a time is marked forever by his own fellow partisans. One politician in all Republican history, Theodore Roosevelt, has gone away with such a national rebellion without being destroyed nationally.

Thus Rockefeller's new course has brought both dismay and puzzlement to a Republican professional analyst. There is dismay because Rockefeller's kind words for Mr. Eisenhower's "integrity" in no way hide Rockefeller's indictment of the Eisenhower administration on a nearly everything from national defense to civil rights.

And there is puzzlement because the Republicans by and large simply cannot understand what seems to them a Rockefeller thrust of far too much far too late. They know that the Democrats now hold strong anti-Republican campaign material handed to

them by the Republican governor of the union's biggest state.

IF NEW YORK state now goes Democratic in the presidential election—as candidate Republicans privately concede to be a heightened possibility—Rockefeller will be bitterly blamed by all GOP factions. His basic theory is that if Nixon loses for the Republicans this year they will need a Rockefeller to nominate in 1964.

The theory, however, is more promising on the outside than on the inside. Academically, the word in 1964 might well be "who but Rockefeller?" but in reality the angry party pros—even those not in their hearts too keen on Nixon—would far more likely adopt quite another slogan: "Anybody but Rockefeller."

It is said that the elephant never forgets. And the elephant is not by accident the symbol of the GOP.

(Copyright, 1960, by United Feature Syndicate, Inc.)

Advertisement for PERL Funeral Home, featuring a portrait of a woman and the text: "A Gratifying Assurance. To lighten the burden of care at time of sorrow. Nothing is left undone to relieve the family of all worry and care as to the competent handling of all details of a service. PERL Funeral Home. SPACIOUS PARKING LOT."