

MAIL TRIBUNE
Published Daily except Saturday by
MEDFORD PRINTING CO.
33 North Fir St., Ph. SP 2-6141
HERB GREY, Advertising Manager
ERIC W. ALLEN, Bus. Mgr.
EARL B. ADAMS, City Editor
HARRY CHIPMAN, Tel. Editor
RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor
OLIVE STARCHER, Women's Editor
DALE ERICKSON, Circulation Mgr.
An Independent Newspaper
Entered as second class matter at
Medford, Oregon, under Act of
March 3, 1879.
SUBSCRIPTION RATES
By Mail - In Advance, Copy 10c
Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$15.00
Daily and Sunday - 6 mos. 8.00
Daily and Sunday - 3 mos. 4.25
Sunday Only - One year \$4.25
By Carrier - In Advance
Ashland, Central Point, Eagle
Point, Jacksonville, Gold Hill,
Phoenix, Shady Cove, Rogue River,
Talent and on motor routes,
Daily and Sunday - 1 year \$18.00
Daily and Sunday - 6 mos. 10.00
Carrier and Dealers - copy 10c
All Terms Cash in Advance
Official Paper of City of Medford
Official Paper of Jackson County
United Press International
Full Leased Wire
D.P.I. Telephone Newsletters
MEMBER OF ADVERTISING BUREAU
OF CIRCULATIONS
Advertising Representative:
WEST HOLIDAY CO., INC. Of-
fices in New York, Chicago, De-
troit, San Francisco, Los Angeles,
Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, At-
lanta, Vancouver, B.C.

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION
NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION
AFFILIATE MEMBER

Flight o' Time
Medford and Jackson County
History from the files of The
Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40
and 50 years ago.
10 YEARS AGO
April 21, 1950 (Friday)
Rogue River voters defeat
a proposed \$115,000 school
bond issue for a new grade
school by a 158 to 154 vote.
Contracts for construction
of a new \$350,000 library and
classroom building at SOC
will be let next week.

20 YEARS AGO
April 21, 1940 (Sunday)
The Standard Oil company
of California will close its
Medford district office April
26 after 18 years of operation,
due to lack of local need,
company officials said.
From Arthur Perry's "Ye
Smudge Pot" column: "Herr
Hitler is mad at Herr Goer-
ing, and has ordered him,
with no back talk, as his de-
cision has been made, to
"annihilate the British fleet,"
-just like that!"

30 YEARS AGO
April 21, 1930 (Sunday)
Fishing good in Four-Mile
lake, poor in Rogue.
H. Fluhrer, Medford, takes
top honors in speed races
here.

40 YEARS AGO
April 21, 1920 (Wednesday)
City employees given \$15
per month raise because of
high cost of living.
At least one Democrat has
filed for every office possible
in the Jackson county pri-
mary.

50 YEARS AGO
April 21, 1910 (Thursday)
Medford Commercial club
is rounding up 300 cars to
meet Portland businessmen
visiting the city next week
and give them ride through
valley.
Pacific and Eastern rail-
road changes schedule of
Eagle Point limited so it will
leave Medford at 5 p.m. in-
stead of 3 p.m., giving EP
patrons more time for shop-
ping here.

What's Your I.Q.?
Nine or ten correct is superior;
seven or eight is excellent; five or
six is good.
1. Who invented the radio-
tegraph?
2. Is the population of Asia
larger, or smaller, than that
of Europe?
3. Montevideo is the capital
of which South American
country?
4. What is a C.P.A.?
5. Which is the older, Har-
vard University, or Yale Uni-
versity?
6. Which Amendment to the
Federal Constitution guaran-
tees the right of people to
peaceably assemble to petition
for the redress of grievances?
7. Do the trade winds blow
toward the east, or toward
the west?
8. A person traveling under
an assumed name is said to
be traveling ?
9. What famous poet held
the post of editor of the New
York Evening Post for 52
years?
10. In the nursery rhyme,
the Old Woman who had so
many children she didn't
know what to do, lived
where?

Answers: 1. Marconi. 2.
Larger. 3. Uruguay. 4. Cer-
tified Public Accountant. 5.
Harvard. 6. First Amendment.
7. Toward the West. 8. In-
cognito. 9. William Cullen Bry-
ant. 10. In a shoe.

Cheap, Silly, Irreligious

The activities of the Daughters of the American Revolution, in national congress assembled, usually are fairly predictable. One expects them to take the most conservative possible position. This, in the land of the free and the home of the brave, is their privilege.

But we were flabbergasted, to put it mildly, to see them — or at least some of them — join in a discredited, and discreditable, attack on some of the larger and most respected religious denominations in the nation.

At last report, the DAR convention hadn't passed the resolution in question, but the fact that it was on the agenda is a disheartening thing to anyone who holds freedom of religion to be precious, and ignorant and vicious attacks on another's religion to be deplorable.

WE DO NOT, frankly, understand the motivations of those who attempt to blacken the National Council of Churches with allegations of Communism.

The attack is so utterly ridiculous that it is self-destroying to anyone with half a mind.

But there are some ultra-conservative church groups to which anything, any hint, of liberalism in religion is anathema, and which, for reasons unknown, try to translate that into Communism, the ideological converse of organized Christianity. (In this context, we recommend a New York Times editorial which is reprinted elsewhere on this page.)

ONE reason we find this attack on organized Christianity so difficult to understand is that it is directly in line with the efforts of Communism, as an international conspiracy, itself.

One is tempted to conclude (as at least one friend of ours has concluded), that this attack is organized by Communist agents, who are "using" the ultra-conservative, ultra-fundamentalist groups in the nation as an unwitting front for their own attack on religion.

What the DAR will do remains to be seen.

But if it, as an ostensibly patriotic, withal conservative, organization, goes along with this neolithic smear on the National Council of Churches, and its constituent denominations (including Methodists, Presbyterians, Episcopalians, Lutherans, several of the Baptist conventions, Brethren, Congregationalists, Christians of several denominations, Friends, and others less well known) then it will brand itself — in the words of the New York Times — as "cheap, silly and irreligious." — E.A.

Where, and How

Col. Boyd Yaden called us on the 'phone the other day, first to compliment our reporter on a good story about the colonel's talk at the chamber of commerce roundtable Monday, and secondly to correct two minor errors in the story.

The corrections first: Modern computers have a top speed of some 90,000 to 100,000 computations per second, Colonel Yaden said, not 140,000 as the story said. And his engineering firm, in addition to general engineering work, does photogrammetric engineering, not "photographic."

With these details out of the way, Colonel Yaden waxed enthusiastic about the capabilities of computers to help solve municipal planning problems — which was the burden of his Monday talk.

DESPITE his enthusiasm, Colonel Yaden made a point of importance by emphasizing that, despite the potentialities of computers, they are, only "tools," and that the basic ingredients for good planning are intelligent, forward-looking people.

The colonel admits to being a sort of "bug" on planning, for he has watched several towns burgeon in the post-war explosion of cities, with the resulting metropolitan "complexes," the growth of "fringe areas," and the backwash of these developments swelling the already overburdened services of smaller communities, such as those in Jackson county.

He maintains (as we have for years) that if we don't get cracking on overall, unified, intelligent planning, we (and that's all of Jackson county) will be regretting it for years to come.

WE WERE pleased to be able to tell our engineer friend, who is a relative newcomer to Jackson county, that after years of letting things just drift, the idea of planning (and the necessary "tools" that go with it, such as zoning, subdivision ordinances, and so on) is beginning to catch hold here.

Only a few weeks ago the first area-wide meeting, calling together representatives of the county and of the cities in the valley, was held, and it was decided to proceed in setting up a framework while we still have a chance to see that what is left of our undeveloped land is developed for the benefit of the majority, and not grow into a hodgepodge of conflicting uses.

Computers may be of assistance in this process sometime, perhaps sometime soon. But they, as tools, are less important than (1) a general realization that we've got to figure out where we're going, and (2) to put our brains to work figuring out how best to get there. — E.A.

Dennis the Menace



"I DIDN'T EXPECT YOU TO USE A FORK. MOM SAID YOU ATE LIKE A 'BIRD'!"

Today & Tomorrow

By Walter Lippmann

THE UNAVOWED UNDERSTANDING
The omens are now more favorable than at the summit meeting in May there will be no serious crisis over the German question, no irreconcilable conflict between the Russians and ourselves, no real quarrel between the British and West Germans. The prospects are that there will be much talk but no negotiations about the German question and there is a fair prospect of an interim working arrangement about West Berlin.

Something, it would seem, has happened to change the climate. The public thing that has happened is that Mr. Khrushchev has been to Paris, has had talks with Gen. de Gaulle, and that while nothing concrete was agreed upon, Mr. Khrushchev went home in a good humor. As we know that Gen. de Gaulle is a hard and resolute man who gives away nothing he thinks he wants to keep, and as Mr. Khrushchev is a tough and persistent man, why is it that the confrontation of these two men has been followed by such an improvement in the atmosphere?

MY GUESS is that on the German question there is now, as between France and the Soviet Union, a basic parallelism — that it is most likely that Mr. K. has now at last realized it. He has realized too, we may assume, that on the German question Gen. de Gaulle is in a position to speak for the West. The basis of this parallelism of policy is that in neither camp is there any serious intention of proceeding towards the reunification of Germany.

On both sides there is a fear of the power of a reunited Germany. This is the basic understanding which, while it cannot now be publicly avowed by either side, makes it likely that there will be no collision at the summit.

Both sides realize that in the long run German nationalism will not accept gladly the present dismemberment. But for the short run which may be at least a few more years, the partition is acceptable, indeed unavoidable as long as the occupying powers are determined not to risk a war over the German question.

The Germans are not strong enough to unify themselves and the United States has no intention whatever of going to war in order to unify them. On the other hand, a deal between West Germany and the Soviet Union at the expense of Poland and the West, though a theoretical possibility, would now be enormously and intolerably dangerous.

ALTHOUGH there is no agreement between the West and the East, a decision has in fact been taken to keep Germany divided. On both sides, the decision is masked by official formulae. On the Soviet side the formula is to say that East and West Germany are "free" to work out their own reunion. On the Western side the real decision is masked by the repetition of the demand that the two Germans should be reunited by a free election.

Beneath these formulae, which are really fictions used for propaganda and for the sake of appearances, the reality is something like this. The Soviet Union means to raise the standard of life in East Germany to a level where East Germany can stand comparison with West Germany. Moscow believes that this will

greatly reduce German popular pressure for reunification. The West, with France as its leader in this matter, is determined to give the West Germans prosperity in the Common Market and status in NATO. It is determined to give the West Germans everything they want except reunification of their country.

Mr. K. would like to imprison and isolate the West Germans. The French intend to elect them to their clubs, and to bind them by self-interest against the lures and the snares of reunification.

SEEN from Europe, there are now five Germanys: West Germany, East Germany, West Berlin, the lands beyond the Oder-Neisse which are annexed by Poland, and Austria. Only once in modern times have all these been united under one government, and that was under Hitler from 1933 to 1945. Only from 1870 to 1945 were the Germans minus Austria united under one government in Berlin. So, seen from Europe, the division of the Germans, which has resulted from the dismemberment of Hitler's empire, is more "normal" than is their union.

The Western allies are firmly and unanimously agreed in insisting upon the separateness of Austria. They are for all practical purposes unanimous in regarding the lands beyond the Oder-Neisse as permanently lost to Poland. When we speak of reunification, we mean the reunification of East and West Germany with its capital in a reunited Berlin.

Though we all speak of it more or less, the fact is that France does not want the reunification. Britain does not want it. While we have some yearnings for it, we accept the partition. And Adenauer's Germany opposes the partition in principle but is quite willing to live with it in fact.

ALL THIS narrows the German question down to the question of West Berlin. For on the future of the various Germanys there is a working understanding between East and West.

There is some reason to think that the new flexibility, which the Russians had hinted at recently, may be due to their having had some second thoughts about Berlin. It was easy to say that the allied occupation of West Berlin must end. But what if the impossible happened, what if the allies did in fact surrender West Berlin to Eastern Germany, what would happen if Berlin became the biggest city in Eastern Germany?

For West Berlin would have to be united with East Berlin and the result would be a quarrelsome city of 3½ million people as the capital of a country of about 18 millions. The Berliners are a lively lot, and in trying to swallow them, the East German state might well be biting off more than it could chew.

Dear Children: I'm Not Really Former Supreme Commander—West Confesses

By DICK WEST
Washington-UP—There is a book on the market now entitled "The Father" which is a collection of letters from famous men to their offspring.



Dick West

I have been glancing through some of the letters in hopes of picking up a few tips on how to communicate with my own progeny.

I mean there are some things children should know that I had rather not tell them in face-to-face conversations. It would be easier if I could go off some place and send them a letter.

However, the book wasn't much help to me in this regard, mostly because the authors of the quoted letters, being famous men, didn't have my kind of problem.

No War Hero
I wouldn't be so presumptuous as to suggest that some future anthologist might want to publish one of my letters. But if anyone is getting up a collection of kinder-type letters written by infamous men, I just happen to have

a specimen handy.
"Dear children,
"You are rapidly reaching the age when you will need to know some of the facts of life. I could take the easy way out and let you dig them out of comic books. But I do not want you to get a distorted view of something that is essentially fine and good and beautiful. So I will explain them to you myself."

"First of all, as you grow older you will come to realize that your father was not the supreme commander of all the Allied military forces in Europe in World War II. I gather that you were beginning to suspect this already. Otherwise you would not keep asking 'but who was Eisenhower?'"

"Remember when you used to climb upon my knee and beg me to tell you about my experiences during the war? Well, old dad didn't think you were big enough then to understand technical terms, such as 'kitchen police.' So he took a few chapters of 'Crusade in Europe' and paraphrased them in the first person singular.

"If this gave you a slightly misleading impression of my role in the war, I'm sorry. "Now about that old foot-

ball in the attic. It does not necessarily mean that I was an All-American. When I used to tell you about it, you possibly didn't catch the phrase 'high school.' I guess the attic acoustics are not all they might be.

"Finally, it is natural for children to be curious about what their fathers do for a living. I have tried to explain the newspaper business to you in a wholesome way. But I apparently did not make it clear when I used the term 'editor' that I was speaking of my boss and not of myself.

"This, I think, is all you need to know for now. In my next letter I will explain about those old boxing gloves in the closet and why you shouldn't tell other children that 'my father can lick your father.'"

Editorial Comment
An Attack on Religion
Two thousand years ago the Christian religion was considered so subversive that its Founder was put to death and its followers driven underground. Times have changed since then, except in some of the Communist areas.

Yet, a bitter and ignorant attack is now being made on a Protestant organization, the National Council of Churches, on the ground that it is infiltrated by Communists and other Left-Wingers. The attack was brought out into the open when the Air Force withdrew, with apologies, a training manual which made just these charges.

If anyone will take the trouble to read the New Testament, or certain parts of the Old Testament, he will find that the prophets, including the Man of Nazareth, had indeed little reverence for riches or the possessors of worldly power, and had, on the contrary, a deep pity for the poor and the afflicted. All through the centuries the Christian church in all its phases and divisions has had a social as well as a religious message. Like other great religions, Judaism included, it has been repeatedly stirred by social reform movements.

In this light we may look again at those fantastic mentalities who attack "the freedom of the pulpit." So far as there is anything beyond a moronic vacuum in these attacks, they are really directed at all efforts to reconcile our society with the Ten Commandments and the Sermon on the Mount.

An intrachurch struggle is no doubt going on and in this dispute the general public outside the affected denominations need not take part.

We have a right, however, to object to any attempts to intimidate the ministers of any religion. The symptoms of the present anti-clerical campaign are recognizable in their similarity to other discreditable incidents over many decades and even generations.

They are cheap, silly and irreligious. —New York Times

Communications
Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

Any Suggestions?
To the Editor: Our town of Jacksonville now has a dog law in force, which I suppose is O.K., if the owners will water and care for the dogs while tied up. But we have an existing condition that is worse for property owners than a few loose dogs, and that is the condition in which some of the homes are kept.

The town is potentially a beautiful residential district if it were cleaned up. Trash and junk are in abundance over most of the town.

Is it any wonder the Sanitation Co. selected a site near here for a dump? From the looks of some of the properties here it would be difficult not to believe the dump extends down through the town.

Some have scattered about their places old truck and car bodies, parts of old trailer houses, rotted down shacks and cabins, old refrigerators, hot water tanks, oil barrels, tin cans and much other garbage, all of which not only lowers the values of property but furnishes a breeding place for rats and other pests.

I think most of us have some time off from our regular work that could be spent cleaning up, and it seems it would be profitable as well as make a more pleasant place in which to live.

I am sure our visitors and tourists don't care to make a long drive into this section of the county to see some of the cluttered yards and trash collections.

Does anyone have a solution? Jacksonville city council, or others, please speak up.

A Taxpayer
Jacksonville

Happier Families
To the Editor: Referring to your editorial "Who is Guilty?" on the subject of juvenile and parental delinquency, I offer for consideration the following suggestions.

Suggestions for happier family living:

1. Learn the loving ap-

proach, love has "the wit to win." Censure and strife breed hatreds.

2. Translate wishful thinking into action. Family living is happier because of doing things together.

3. View family troubles objectively, constructively, and without bitterness. It is not so much the troubles but the way we take them that counts most.

4. Cultivate your sense of humor. Do not take yourself and other members of the family too seriously.

5. Analyze success stories; keep inspired.

6. Read good books on happier family living.

7. Include Bible reading and prayer; "the family that prays together, stays together."

8. Discover that back of every ideal lies the sacrifice of dedication, and make that sacrifice.

9. Study families that you know are achieving happiness.

10. Be patient: God has so much of everything.

And has such love for you— That when you operate through love He gives you heaven too.

(Used by permission of the American Mothers Committee).

Mrs. William T. Jeffery, 521 Mayette st., Medford.

OLDEST ACADEMY GRAD
West Point, N.Y.—UP—West Point's oldest living graduate, Maj. Gen. Henry Clay Hodges, celebrated his 100th birthday Wednesday with a party at the academy attended by 2,500 cadets. Fellow alumnus President Eisenhower extended "a special salute to a fine soldier and distinguished graduate" in a letter to Hodges.

Pittsburgh—UP—George Shradle, 63, labor editor of the Pittsburgh Sun-Telegraph for 23 years, died late Tuesday night at St. Clair Memorial Hospital.

Matter of Fact

By Joseph Alsop

THE FIGURES SPEAK
Charleston, W. Va. — The figures speak, only too plainly, about the dominant role that religious prejudice is thus far playing in the crucial West Virginia Presidential



JOSEPH ALSOP

primary between Sen. Hubert H. Humphrey of Minnesota and Sen. John F. Kennedy of Massachusetts.

Reports of this role have been challenged by Senator Humphrey, who is the beneficiary of the prejudice, although by no means its promoter. Here, then, is clear proof that Senator Humphrey's complaints are self-serving and unfounded.

In a very complete poll of Slab Ford, in Raleigh County, Senator Humphrey ran slightly behind Senator Kennedy. In this mining camp which is a prosperous going concern, there were 27 Humphrey voters. Of these, 13 specifically stated that they were influenced by their dislike of the Catholic church.

In another very complete poll of Layland, in Fayette County, Senator Humphrey ran very far ahead of Senator Kennedy. In this mining camp which is tragically abandoned, three-quarters of the houses were boarded up, and the sample was necessarily smaller. There were 13 Humphrey voters, of whom four specifically stated that they were influenced by dislike of the Catholic church.

IN STILL another very complete poll of the indicative Fifth Ward of Huntington, the main city in the west-central part of the state, Senator Kennedy ran one vote ahead of Senator Humphrey. There were 35 Humphrey voters, of whom 17 specifically stated that they were influenced by dislike of the Catholic church.

In a quick poll of the small industrial town of Chesapeake, in Kanawha County, Senator Humphrey again ran far ahead of Senator Kennedy. There were 14 Humphrey voters, of whom no less than nine specifically stated they were influenced by dislike of the Catholic church.

To those who have not studied the strange act of opinion-testing, the totals of voters given may seem small. In fact, however, the two polls with smallest samples, those in Chesapeake and Layland, covered more voters than the inquiring Dr. Gallup questions in the whole state of West Virginia for one of his national polls. The results of these four tests are in fact decisive, for two reasons.

FIRST, no one was marked as influenced to vote for Humphrey by religious prejudice who did not admit the influence in so many words. Over-all, 44 out of 89 of these pro-Humphrey West Virgin-

ians made a positive admission of prejudice. This, in itself, was astonishing. Prejudice is often detectable by doorbell-ringers, but it is usually impossible to get voters to say they are swayed by prejudice. Assuming there were also a few people who were so swayed but were willing to admit it, as is normal, Senator Humphrey owes to prejudice well over half his support in the four places polled.

Second, the places polled were far apart, geographically and in general character. They formed a good cross-section of the southern half of West Virginia. Yet the same pattern appeared with minor variation, in all four places. Only tragic Layland gave a solid majority of voters who chose Humphrey for the right reason — the economic reasons which he claims are the chief source of his support.

THE evidence, then, is clear. At least for southern West Virginia. The same situation is reported to exist, though in somewhat less extreme form, in all the rest of the state except the panhandle district close to Pittsburgh. If these reports are correct, it is unarguable that if Senator Humphrey wins the West Virginia primary, as he well may do, he will owe his victory to Ku Klux Klan-minded voters.

He will also win with powerful help from an admitted ex-Kluxer, Senator Robert C. Byrd. He will further win with an organization full of people like the West Virginia co-chairman of the Humphrey-for-President Committee, Walter Jacobs of Parkersburg, who has incautiously stated that he is really for Adlai Stevenson first, probably for Sen. Stuart Symington of Missouri second, and only for Humphrey third.

On the stump in West Virginia, Senator Humphrey has of course deplored religiously prejudiced voting. One can be sure he is sincere, for he is the very opposite of his new ally, Senator Byrd. But that hardly alters the ugly predicament he has got himself into, owing to an almost Harold Stassen-like case of Presidential fever.

Senator Humphrey is not merely being used in West Virginia as the tool of other Democratic candidates. He will not merely fail to advance his own Presidential ambitions by a primary victory owed to religious prejudice. He will also quite inevitably, hurt himself badly in Minnesota, where he is up for re-election. At least half the normal Democratic vote in Minnesota is Catholic, and the national Republican organization is already thinking about running a Catholic candidate against Humphrey for the Senate. For the Democrats, in truth, this West Virginia primary can turn out to be a tragic business.

(c) 1960 New York Herald Tribune Inc.

Ask Any Family We're Served ~ ~ ~
Chapel Mortuary
Across from the Courthouse
FRANK MORGAN - HAROLD SNOODGRASS, FUNERAL DIRECTORS
DAY OR NIGHT PHONE SP 2-8030