

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily except Saturday by MEDFORD PUBLISHING CO. 23 North 5th St. Ph. SP 2-6141

ROBERT W. RUTH, Editor. HARRY CHIPMAN, Teleg. Editor. RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor. OLIVE STARBUCK, Women's Editor. DALE ERICKSON, Circulation Mgr.

Managing Editor: EARL H. ADAMS. City Editor: HARRY CHIPMAN. Teleg. Editor: RICHARD JEWETT. Sports Editor: OLIVE STARBUCK. Women's Editor: DALE ERICKSON. Circulation Manager: ERIC W. ALLEN, JR.

Subscription Rates: Daily—1 year \$15.00. Daily and Sunday—1 year \$20.00. Daily and Sunday—3 mos. \$4.25. Sunday Only—1 year \$4.25.

Advertising Representative: WEST HOLIDAY CO., INC. Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

MEMBERS OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATION. United Press International. Full Leased Wire.

Advertising Representative: WEST HOLIDAY CO., INC. Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION. NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION.

Flight 'o Time. Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO. Nov. 1, 1949 (Tuesday). Two hundred-acre forest first on Sardine Creek out of control.

20 YEARS AGO. Nov. 1, 1939 (Wednesday). A proposed \$30,000 park bond issue defeated by Medford voters.

30 YEARS AGO. Nov. 1, 1929 (Friday). Farmers report that rain is badly needed in Sams Valley area.

40 YEARS AGO. Nov. 1, 1919 (Saturday). Several local hunters charged with illegal hunting pay fines to game warden.

50 YEARS AGO. Nov. 1, 1909 (Monday). Train wreck near Kennett, Calif., kills two railroad men; delays trains to Medford from south.

What's Your I.Q.? Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. If you use a micrometer in your work you are probably a machinist, baker, or carpenter?

2. Who was the author of "The Acts of the Apostles"?

3. What was the name of the late Franklin D. Roosevelt's black Scottie dog?

4. In the Troy system of weight, how many ounces are there in a pound?

5. To which of these relatives are you most closely related by blood: your wife, brother, or mother?

6. Was James Madison the third, fourth, or fifth U. S. President?

7. Is the lower British legislative body known as the Parliament, the House of Commons, or the Assembly?

8. In which South American country is the Amazon River?

9. What animal was formerly called a camelopard?

10. Who composed the "Unfinished Symphony"?

Answers: 1. Machinist. 2. Luke. 3. Fala. 4. Twelve. 5. Brother. 6. Fourth. 7. House of Commons. 8. Brazil. 9. Giraffe. 10. Franz Schubert.

Registered Nurses To Increase Fees. Portland - (UPI) - A spokesman for the Oregon Nurses Association, Mrs. Edith Evans, RN, said Saturday that the fee for registered professional nurses on private duty will be increased to \$2.25 per hour for an eight-hour shift, effective today.

Marching Firmly Backward

Formula for "progress": "Abolishment of the United Nations, elimination of the income tax, a cutback in foreign aid, and halting of federal school aid."

This is a part of the "platform" of a group which is thinking of forming a third political party—a group which was told by a speaker the other day that America no longer has a two-party system, that "The Donkey and Elephant got together and mated. It resulted in something called an Elephonkey."

This sterling group is determinedly marching backwards out of the 20th century into the 19th.

If their program were to be followed, the United States would almost immediately become a second or third rate nation, ignored by the world, helpless to defend itself, without friends, without authority—a sitting duck for imperialist communism.

What are these people trying to do? Destroy this nation?

THE United Nations, weak and ineffective as it is, still represents a hope for the future—and a world forum where national differences can be talked out.

The income tax is the chief way the United States has of paying its bills. More than half of these bills are for national defense. The rest are for programs of varying importance—but all of which are strongly supported by various segments of the population. If the income tax were eliminated, the federal government, with its far-flung obligations, might as well shut up shop.

Foreign aid, despite what its critics say, is a program of enlightened self-interest for the United States, too well understood by most people to need further debate. Suffice it to say that it is one of the two strongest bulwarks we have against communist aggression throughout the world.

WE CAN think of few programs for this nation which would be more pleasing to the Kremlin than this one.

It makes one wonder just who, or what, is behind this group, which calls itself "The Independent American Federation of State Parties."

Such a program would be a sure way to end the United States, as we know it, forever.—E.A.

Population Increase

It is with a great deal of pleasure that we extend a warm welcome to the newest residents of southern Oregon and northern California. They will arrive tomorrow.

They are rather well-known, and have friends and homes in all parts of the nation.

And starting Monday, they will join the Mail Tribune's family.

GOOD Old Charlie Brown is the foremost among this group. His friends, relatives and compatriots, however, also have their following. Linus, Schroeder, Lucy, Violet, "Snoopy," and the others, will appear on the Mail Tribune's comic page from now on.

"Peanuts" is probably the most popular comic strip in America today. And it is one of the few which really fits the name "comic."

All the Peanuts live in a wonderful world, and we're pleased to bring it to this area.—E.A.

Castro's Tragedy

In common with many other Americans, we had great hopes for our sister-republic of Cuba after Fidel Castro, the revolutionary, took over from the long-time dictator, Batista.

He is an idealist, and, we believe, still is sincerely interested in the welfare of his people and his country.

But it is becoming increasingly evident that Castro does not have the qualities which will permit him to make a success of his revolutionary regime.

THE first sign of his weakness was in the establishment of the military tribunals, which executed hundreds shortly after his accession to power.

Still there was hope, for at first he allowed a greater degree of freedom, individual and of the press, than did Batista.

Then he postponed the elections he had promised. He decreed economic restrictions, and unrealistic land reforms, which sent the economy of the island into a tailspin.

And, apparently, he had no other answer to criticisms and opposition than to use the time-dishonored weapons of the dictator—jail, the firing squad, and blaming the "Colossus of the North," the U.S.—for all his troubles.

NOW his regime has degenerated to a level not far above that of the other penny-ante Latin dictators, with the prospect of further executions and repressions.

It is a sad thing, an exceedingly sad thing, that he did not have the experience, the balance, the understanding, and the strength of character to make of himself a leader who could handle the practical problems which must be handled if his idealism is to be put into practice.

All hope for Castro—and Cuba—has not yet vanished. But it will be surprising, and require great changes, if the earlier hope and promise is not to be denied.—E.A.

Dennis the Menace



"MOST PEOPLE CALL IT HALLOWEEN. I CALL IT TRICK OR EAT DAY!"

Matter of Fact

BEHIND CHEN YI'S YAWN. Hongkong - At Peking's grandiose celebration of the tenth anniversary of the Chinese Communist revolution, the Chinese hosts and their Russian partners gave the vast audience some things to talk about.

The thing most discussed was the speech delivered by the Soviet theoretician, Mikhail Suslov, representing Nikita S. Khrushchev. This jolly 50-minute lecture on the glories of Leninism included the reminder that Lenin had been the real inventor of the special revolutionary technique for Asians on which Mao Tse-Tung claims the patent.

What was not said was even more significant. The whole place was figuratively kneed in bouquets tossed by the other speakers. Yet Suslov hardly offered the Chinese Communists so much as a withered verbal immortal.

In response, meanwhile, the Chinese Foreign Minister, Chen Yi, first ostentatiously yawned and then went conspicuously to sleep.

THE SECOND subject of discussion was the last scene between Khrushchev and Mao, after an announced total of four long conferences on subjects undisclosed. Just before boarding his big plane, Khrushchev approached Mao, positively bubbling over with comradely affection. He tried to say good-bye once, but Mao, abstracted, merely gazed skyward. He tried a second time, but the same thing happened again. Only on the third round did the two partners in the grand alliance strike hands together in a seemingly fond farewell.

From such symptomatic trifles as these, observers in Moscow and Peking have deduced that there is some kind of friction between the Chinese Communists and their Soviet big brothers. Previous reports have shown the reasons for doubting the cliché-theories about the source of this friction. But where then is the rub?

The threadbareness of the "empty lands" theory and other clichés about the Sino-Soviet relationship were exposed by a hard look at the known facts. The same method is the only one to show where the rub may really be.

THE FIRST and most important known facts are the Soviet leader's own remarks on the subject. Over and over again, foreign interviewers have tried to draw out Khrushchev, both on the possible dangers of Mao's aggressiveness and on China's supposed threat to Russia's "empty lands." Khrushchev has always laughed in his interviewers' faces.

But to Sen. Hubert Humphrey, Khrushchev sharply criticized the rural communes (which might better be called agrarian slave labor camps) that Mao has set up in China. And Anastas Mikoyan strongly echoed this same criticism of Chinese domestic policy during his American journey.

These criticisms are unique. There is no record of any other unkind word spoken by responsible Soviet officials to Westerners about the Chinese allies. And these criticisms also stand out against their background, consisting of the conspicuous omissions in such Soviet speeches. In short, the only pointers we possess point squarely and directly to a Chinese-Russian disagreement about the development of Communism within China.

THE pointers are not misleading, however, another question must be answered.

Memory Revived. To the Editor: Thank you for your editorial of Oct. 24 captioned "Mr. and Mrs. Anonymous."

It was a reminder of a happy occasion for me, and which I would like to share. Some years ago I happened to be passing the post office when "Mr. Anonymous" came out of the building and hurriedly entered his car, dropping a purse at the time and driving away before I could reach him.

I picked up the purse and when my husband came home for lunch I told him what had occurred and asked him to return the article with the understanding that returning the article was reward itself.

However, a holiday was approaching and at Easter time a beautiful lily was delivered to our home with "Mr. Anonymous" card attached.

I, too have shared in the graciousness of "Mr. and Mrs. Anonymous."

Thank you again for another joyous reminder. Nettie C. Rogers, Route 2, Box 446E, Medford.

Questions. To the Editor: Regarding your note Oct. 30, your last paragraph reads, "OUR SOCIETY" (emphasis mine) has a set of standards that say "judge not that ye be not judged," and "let him who is without sin cast the first stone."

I am interested in the authority of the Man who made those statements. Was He God or just a man? Did His code depend upon what society thought, or did He speak a law as true as the speed of light being some 186,300 plus miles per second? Does God care what man does, or can man do as he pleases and present his works to God for approval? Did Christ lie in a tomb or rise from the dead

Today & Tomorrow

By Walter Lippmann

THE LEADERSHIP OF THE WEST. The President has now been shown that the flattering reception which he received

when he went recently to Bonn and Paris did not mean that they accepted his leadership. Within the past week both Gen. de Gaulle and Dr. Adenauer have in fact dissented from and have come out against the new policy which the President decided upon last July.

To be sure, both approve in principle the exchange of visits and the prospect of a summit meeting. But in fact each is opposing the ideas which are the substance of the new policy.

Gen. de Gaulle has done this by reviving the older doctrine which the President broke away from last summer. The General wants to postpone a meeting as long as possible, in this case until the spring when, with the nominating conventions about to choose the President's successor, Gen. Eisenhower will be very near the end of his full influence and prestige.

Gen. de Gaulle, moreover, does not want to treat at the meeting in the spring as an engagement. He wants to treat it as a prize which Mr. K. must in the months to come win by his good behavior. During these months, we, or at least he, are to judge whether Mr. K. has earned the prize.

Finally, if the French view prevails, a summit meeting is not to be an attempt to negotiate a few useful agreements. It is to be a grandiose diplomatic Armageddon. There is to be "a confrontation between East and West that could be DECISIVE for peace."

This is a recipe for accepting the President's policy in principle and obstructing it in fact.

DR. Adenauer's tactics are the same. In principle, he too is in favor of a meeting with the head of the Soviet government. But he proposes to censor what may be talked about at the meeting. He would like to exclude from the agenda the problems of Berlin and of Germany! He thinks the negotiations should be limited to the subject of controlled nuclear and conventional disarmament.

To this one is bound to say that when the German Chancellor does not want to negotiate about German problems, it is fair to conclude that he does not want to negotiate.

AS WE and our British ally do want to negotiate, particularly about the security of West Berlin over a fairly long period of time, there is no denying that opinion within the Western alliance is divided. This division has come about, to put it frankly, because of the change in American policy which the President decided upon last summer.

Before that, there was a certain amount of critical dissent by the British. But American policy was the same as Dr. Adenauer's, and was indeed profoundly inspired if not controlled by Dr. Adenauer. We and he both thought it would do harm to negotiate seriously with the Soviet Union. We were both of us for "reunification" but on terms which we knew made reunification impossible unless by some miracle the Soviet Union could be brought to an unconditional surrender.

As long as we followed a policy that was inspired by him, Dr. Adenauer agreed with us. But now he does not agree with us because we have altered our policy, and are groping our way towards a serious negotiation.

As we were moving away from the old policy—which in fact we began to do in the last month of John Foster Dulles's life—Dr. Adenauer and Gen. de Gaulle formed a partnership which has as one of its objects the maintenance of the status quo.

THIS partnership is now challenging the President's leadership of the Western alliance. Bonn and Paris are entitled to do that, and it is evident that we are not going to quarrel with them over the leadership of the West. Indeed, there are many Americans who would say that if there is to be a settlement in Central Europe, the Europeans should play the leading part in it.

But before it comes to a settlement Bonn and Paris should ask themselves whether they are prepared to exercise the leadership of the Western alliance. On European questions they can have that leadership if they can carry the burden of it. It is not something that Americans want for the sake of prestige. The leadership of Western Europe is a heavy burden which Americans have been carrying since the second World War because no one else has thus far been able to carry it.

The basic fact is that the defense of West Berlin, of Western Germany, and of metropolitan France, depends upon the United States. The German forces and the French forces are a screen and a tripartite. But that is all they are. On this country rests the whole responsibility for deterring the use of force in Central Europe, and of waging the war if it comes to war.

THAT being the case, it is inescapable and unavoidable that in any question which is crucial to the defense of Europe, the last word, after all due consultation, must be with the United States. We have the ultimate military responsibility and as long as we have it, we cannot divest ourselves of the ultimate diplomatic responsibility.

It would therefore be imprudent if Bonn and Paris were to take over now the leadership of the Western alliance. Since they are unable to carry that burden, it would be a mistake for them to assume that this country will carry the burden for them while they make the decision and dictate the policy. If they are truly and fully informed about the underlying state of the American public mind, they will realize that there is on its way a re-examination and review of our burden in Europe.

It would be better if it were not necessary to spell out in public these facts of life. But it is necessary to do so because Bonn and Paris have chosen not to negotiate with us in private but to challenge and oppose in public the policy of this government.

(Copyright 1959 New York Herald Tribune, Inc.)

often, he told us, we find a persistently wayward boy or girl in a family of otherwise well behaved children. Why is this, unless it is from plain hereditary influences? Yes, he continued, if it was just underprivileges how much simpler and easier our task would be.

My happy meeting with this studious man was cut short by my dear one who hauled me off to the feasting boards, next door where even single empty chairs were hard to come by. So we were invited

POTLUCK

(By M-T Staff and Contributors)

See the little witches flying here and there. See the little witches everywhere.

Black cats. Creeping everywhere. There's a black cat RIGHT THERE.

For the above introduction to Halloween we are indebted to David Dellenberg, who is in grade 2S in Hoover school.

Speaking of Hoover school, we should, perhaps, tell you of the resident of the east side of Medford who was so happy when his children started going to Hoover (rather than Roosevelt) school.

The fact that Roosevelt school was named for TR rather than FDR had little effect on him. It was the total effect, rather than the details, that impressed him.

Young as he is, Roosevelt is Roosevelt, and Hoover is Hoover, obviously.

Speaking of Hoover school, they have a publication there (of which you have heard before), called the Hoover Hi-Lite. We have, on other occasions, brought you excerpts from it. We are delighted to do so again. As follows:

October 15, 1959, Mrs.

In the Day's News

By FRANK JENKINS

I suppose you've been reading about this Russian proposal to build a dam across Bering Strait, thus shutting off the flow of cold water from the Arctic ocean that chills our North Pacific region.

The Russians go even farther in their thinking. With atomic power coming into the picture, they say, why not pump WARMER water from the Pacific into the Arctic, thus tending to warm up and make habitable the vast Arctic regions that now are uninhabitable.

BEFORE pooh-poohing that I thought, give some heed to all this talk of population EXPLOSION—which has as its foundation the fact that medical progress is lengthening people's lives and thus reducing the erosion of disease which hitherto has kept population down.

If half of what we read about explosive growth of population is true, we're going to need more habitable ground to stand on. In that event, thawing out the Arctic regions would help.

Well, when the Russians first began to talk about it—which was quite some time ago—it certainly sounded that way.

Now that they have actually built a robot that was able to circle around the moon, take pictures of its back side, develop the negatives, make prints of them and SEND THE PICTURES BACK TO EARTH it sounds a little less absurd.

THE IDEA isn't as fantastic as it sounds. Bering Strait is only 54 miles wide. The Southern Pacific Company, just ONE of America's private corporations, has built a causeway across the upper end of Great Salt Lake to facilitate the operation of its trains. I don't at the moment recall the length of it, but it is at least a sizeable fraction of the 54-mile width of Bering Strait.

The Russians estimate the cost of the Bering Strait causeway at 17½ billion dollars, which is peanuts for two nations the size of the U.S.S.R. and the U.S.A.

IT MIGHT not be universally popular—even if it turned out to be an engineering success and accomplished everything it was designed to accomplish.

STILL—There is the definite fact that if Russia and the United States could work amicably together on problems such as building a dam across Bering Strait it would be better for humanity as a whole than if they go on working on WEAPONS TO DESTROY EACH OTHER—and maybe destroy the world at the same time.

So—Let's at least not turn thumbs down on the Bering Strait project until we've had time to turn the chip over and get a good look at all the bugs that might lurk beneath it.

to unoccupied chairs at the head table with Alice Hanley, parterred with her ample sized membership book and Mrs. Taylor and Fellers whose friendly hearty ways and talk put the frosting on the cake.

R. J. Clifford, Route 2, Box 200F, Central Point

Brostad made a salt plant. It was made of salt, coal, and blueing. It is very pretty. But if you touch it, it will stop growing.

We are putting signs up. The signs say, "Don't touch! Hands off! Keep your cotton picking fingers off!" The plant looks like small pieces of coral. Mr. Gilbertson touched it, but I sure hope it won't stop growing.

Mr. Gilbertson is principal of the school—and a good one. We have long had a suspicion that it is he who keeps the quality of the Hoover Hi-Lite as high as it is—as he did the Lincoln Legend before that. But the talent belongs to the kids—he just encourages them. Here's another sample:

We saw a little brown bat today. It was very little and funny looking. He was about three inches long and had his wings folded up to his sides. His eyes were very small and his ears were large. They made a shrill noise that keeps them from bumping or hitting things while they fly around.

Some people are afraid of bats, but they are weak and harmless, in this country. Most bats are useful to man because they eat a lot of bugs and insects. Bats sometimes live in caves where they sleep all winter long. They sleep in the daytime and hunt food at night.

That one was by Jane Gordon of 3B, Here's one by Cathy Smith, also of 3B:

A squirrel came to our school a week ago. We named him Whirly because he whirled all around. This is the story about him. A man found the squirrel on the ground half starved. His mother was not there. She must have left him. So this man took the squirrel home. He thought that he might let him go in his back yard. They have a pine tree in their back yard. They hope to keep him as a pet. He likes to chew on wood. And he has a cage now.

And one more before we leave the Hoover Hi-Lite, this one by Kathleen Bartels, of 5B:

The leaves of Autumn are nice to see.

They turn to colors which brighten each tree.

Some turn yellow, and some turn red.

Then tumble to the ground to go to bed.

One of a couple watching TV, to the other: "Say, you don't suppose that that one doctor out of five knows something the other four don't, do you?"

A small group of men was spied hunting, illegally, on the grounds of the Medford airport, the other day.

One of them was apprehended. The others left hurriedly, leaving him to "take the rap."

The investigating officer's report concluded as follows: "The writer cautioned Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ on again returning to the airport with anyone with firearms. He stated that he would relay the message to the other four gentlemen who are logging companions of his. Also, read to them the riot act in regards to leaving a friend in distress."

A man went to his tailor for a new suit. His measurement around the waist somewhat surprised him—but not his wife, who commented: "It's amazing when you realize that a Douglas fir that big around the waist would be 90 feet tall."

Our friend in Phoenix (the one who keeps such a close eye on our typographical excesses), sent us two communications the past week or so.

One of them gladdened our heart. It had no (repeat—no) criticism of our proofreading (the man must be going blind), but DID have a comment on a contemporary radio announcer, who, in telling of certain fisticuffs, mentioned in a story carried both by newspaper and by radio. He reported that the radio announcer put it this way: "... and involved an altercation with a policeman." Isn't it nice?

Of course he also pointed out that an M-T headline said "Pole Sheared; 300 Sheep Hurt," and added "That Pole must have put up an awful fight over that haircut!"

Young mothers are patient people.

One of them must have convinced a driver's license examiner of this when she showed up at the office with her husband, her baby, a large assortment of warmed-up baby food—and a potty chair.