

**MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE**

"Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"  
Published Daily except Saturday by  
MEDFORD PRINTING CO.  
33 North Fir St. Ph. SP 2-6141

ROBERT W. RUIHL, Editor  
HERB GREY, Advertising Manager  
GERALD LATHAM, Business Mgr.  
ERIC W. ALLEN, Jr., Managing Editor

EARL H. ADAMS, City Editor  
HARRY CHIPMAN, Tele. Editor  
RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor  
OLIVE STARCHER, Women's Editor  
DALE ERICKSON, Circulation Mgr.

An Independent Newspaper  
Entered as second class matter at  
Medford Oregon under Act of  
March 3, 1879.

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES**

By Mail—In Advance, Copy 10c  
Daily and Sunday—1 year \$15.00  
Daily and Sunday—6 mos. 8.00  
Daily and Sunday—3 mos. 4.25  
Daily Only—1 year \$4.25  
By Carrier—In Advance—Medford,  
Ashland, Central Point, Eagle  
Point, Jacksonville, Gold Hill,  
Phoenix, Shady Cove, Rogue River,  
Talent and on motor routes:  
Published Daily except Saturday  
Daily and Sunday—1 mo. 1.50  
Carrier and Dealers—copy 10c  
All Terms Cash in Advance

Official Paper of City of Medford  
Official Paper of Jackson County  
United Press International  
Full Leased Wire

**MEMBER OF ADVERTISING BUREAU OF CIRCULATION**

Advertising Representative:  
WEST-HOLIDAY CO. INC., Chicago,  
Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles,  
Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta,  
Vancouver, B.C.

**NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION**

**NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION**

**Flight 'o Time**

Medford and Jackson County  
History from the files of The  
Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30 and  
40 years ago.

**10 YEARS AGO**

Oct. 21, 1948 (Thursday)  
Medford's city park swimming  
pool is '90 per cent complete."

Street crews begin grading  
Hawthorne ave. between Jackson  
and Main sts.

**20 YEARS AGO**

Oct. 21, 1938 (Friday)  
The Reese Creek school has  
installed WPA sanitary toilets.

From Arthur Perry's "Ye  
Smudge Pot" column: "WPA  
investigators discover Oregon  
has the same climate as  
Monte Carlo, site of the  
world's largest gambling hell."

**30 YEARS AGO**

Oct. 21, 1928 (Sunday)  
Harmonica bands are be-  
coming quite a fad in local  
schools.

The Ludlum gold dredge in  
Foothills is rapidly becoming  
a tourist attraction.

**40 YEARS AGO**

Oct. 21, 1918 (Monday)  
Manager Percy of the Ri-  
alto theater takes advantage  
of the flu-epidemic ban on  
public gatherings to overhaul  
his picture projection equip-  
ment.

Final tally for the liberty  
loan bond drive's subscrip-  
tions here appears to be \$325  
thousand, more than \$26 thou-  
sand more than the quota.

**What's Your I.Q.?**

Nine or ten correct is superior;  
seven or eight is excellent; five  
or six is good.

1. Pure gold is 20, 24, or 28, carats fine?
2. How many squares are there on a checkerboard?
3. The name of which state capital is the same as that of a mythical bird?
4. Babe Ruth's home-run record for a single was 56, 58, or 60?
5. Name the radio songstress who introduced Irving Berlin's "God Bless America."
6. Identify the American traitor who, during the American Revolutionary War, tried to send the plans of West Point to the British.
7. Korea is a peninsula extending 600 miles southward from Manchuria between the Yellow sea and the Sea of Japan.
8. Does a sonnet consist of 12, 14, or 16 lines?
9. "Mrs. O'Leary's cow" allegedly started a fire that virtually destroyed what city?
10. 1. 24. 2. 64. 3. (Phoenix) Arizona. 4. 60 (1927 season). 5. Kate Smith. 6. Mist-like rain. 7. Benedict Arnold. 8. Sea of Japan. 9. 14 lines. 10. Chicago, Ill.

**HUNGRY HORSE**

New York—(UPI)—Came lunchtime and the horse hauling a junk wagon got hungry. Edward Kaplan of Irvington, N.J., walked up to his 1958 convertible to find the horse biting the car trunk.

**KING-SIZED LOOT**

London—(UPI)—Thieves who hijacked truck have king-sized loot on their hands. The truck contained men's clothing that included trousers with 56-inch waistlines and size 60 coats.

**"I Can Do Anything..."**

In the musical, "Annie Get Your Gun," there is a song, part of which goes as follows:

"Anything you can do I can do better,  
"I can do anything better than you..."

Up to this point, this would well serve as the theme song for the campaigns of the opposing candidates for governor of Oregon.

No great issues have developed, and the campaign has not been marked by any amount of edifying debate. (One Oregon editor referred to it, graphically, as a "snarling match.") Some observers go as far as to think that Bob Holmes is the Democratic Tweedle-Dee to Mark Hatfield's Republican Dwee-dle-Dum.

**BOTH** men are relatively young, both are personable and presentable, both have a considerable following, both are "liberal minded" on most issues, both are qualified for public office both through temperament and experience.

The two do differ in their positions on some questions. And while such differences are germane to the campaign, none of them are of any earth-shaking import.

Their positions on the important issues—taxation, power development, attraction of industrial payrolls to the state, and so on—are generally similar if not identical.

**BUT** a choice must be made by voters.

Our vote will go to Governor Holmes. First let it be admitted that Bob Holmes has made mistakes during his first two years as governor. He has alienated quite a number of people, some of them politically powerful.

But there is an old saying, which has much of truth to it, that the only man who makes mistakes is the man who does something. And Bob Holmes has done things.

He has been vigorous and decisive, and while some of his decisions we believe to have been the wrong ones, he must be given credit for being willing to stick his neck out.

**GENERALLY** speaking, his appointments have been excellent. He has called on many top-flight people to staff the boards and commissions of the state (sometimes, it is true, at the cost of replacing equally well-qualified men whose terms had expired.)

He has not hesitated to speak out on almost any given subject, and has provided a leadership in the executive department that gives the feeling that things are getting done.

All in all, we believe he has earned the right to a full term in the governor's office. He has grown as governor, and we feel that a four-year term would give him the chance to accomplish the things which in the past two years have just gotten under way.

**AS FOR** Mark Hatfield, he is still a young man, and has many productive years ahead of him. If defeated, he still will have two years more to serve as secretary of state. He, too, will continue to grow.

In sum, and by-passing the "snarling match" campaign, the contest is not Tweedle-Dum vs. Tweedle-Dee. It is a vigorous, ambitious and active governor, only now well started on his program, on one hand, and an ambitious and able secretary of state on the other.

Bob Holmes deserves reelection.—E.A.

**The Samaritans**

An attractive, gray-haired woman came in to the office one day recently to discuss what was to her a matter of importance and concern. She had recently lost a son in a tragic accident (she was in careful control of herself, but her eyes betrayed the shock she still felt) and the role of the many people who had come to her aid when she needed them, although they had no personal connection with either her or her son.

"I AM trying to thank every one of them," she said, "but that isn't enough. People should know how kind, how understanding these people were, when they really didn't have any reason to be. They helped, just because another human being needed help."

In particular she mentioned those who went far beyond the usual call of duty in helping to locate relatives (some of them were on a hunting trip) all over the Pacific coast.

Telephone operators, forest service employees, state police, receptionists and announcers at the TV and radio stations, and those employed at other business establishments—all did far more than they needed to in the ordinary course of events.

**WE** agreed with this woman, who took time from her grief to make it known how much she appreciated the humanity of humans. There are good people in the world. There are kind people. There are people who will do anything they can to help another in need.

And perhaps it is well for us to be reminded of this, from time to time, as the attractive woman with the sorrow-shocked eyes firmed up.

We see too much of man's inhumanity to man, and sometimes tend to forget that it is also the nature of man to be kind.—E. A.



GINA GIVES ME A PAIN WITH THAT 'ARRIVEDERCI' STUFF!

**Washington Report**

By William S. White

**BIPARTISANSHIP**

Washington—A half-dozen high-level arguments involving the Congressional campaign—and thousands of little ones across the country—are going on about something called bipartisanship in a foreign policy.

Some persons are accused of breaking bipartisanship. Some are declared to be supporting it. But not everybody is talking about bipartisanship in making very plain sense. So the public is hardly in position to decide who is guilty of what, because it can have no very definite idea of what bipartisanship is and is not.

Here is a volunteer effort, by an eye-and-ear witness to the development of the concept, to clear away at least some of the confusion. First of all, "bipartisanship" is a product of sloganeering, though intended to express a noble ideal. And, like all such products, it is highly elastic, if not actually rubbery.

**THE** term began to be largely used about the time the late Senator Arthur H. Vandenberg of Michigan underwent a great conversion toward the close of World War II. "Van" had been a powerful isolationist; now he became a powerful internationalist.

He preferred the term "unpartisan." By this he meant to suggest that in high and urgent foreign matters there should be no partisanship at all—that is, no fishing about for the sole purpose of domestic political gain. Somehow "unpartisanship" never caught on; people preferred the more woolly prefix "bi."

At all events, the original unpartisans—who are the veteran bipartisans of today—meant then, and mean now, these things:

1. That it is impermissibly petty and bush-league and dangerous for politicians to set up endless howls designed simply to harass any administration in its conduct of this country's business abroad.
2. That the party in opposition to the White House—and indeed individual members of the White House party itself if they so choose—has, however, a right and duty to criticize. The line drawn is about this: criticize anything and everything, yes; but only up to the point where it is obvious to any sensible man that you will enjure the country itself abroad if you go any further.

**THUS** it is fair and desirable to criticize any White House in foreign policy so long as that policy is simply in preparation and so subject to change if debate shows it to be unwise. But it is neither fair nor desirable to carry on these attacks once this country is finally involved and committed to a grave course, even an unwise one.

Bipartisanship does not mean, and never did mean, that the opposition has no right at any point to pick holes—and at some points violently to pick them. It only means that the opposition has a duty to show some responsibility and some common-sense.

When an administration is only preparing a policy it is only that administration's policy. But when it has actually made the policy and pledged the country's honor and interest to it abroad—in genuine crisis and not mere academic matters—everybody in public life will go along if he is a real bipartisan.

**TRUE**, this puts critics on a thin diet and especially if

they honestly believe the policy to be disastrous. All the same, by this point it is no longer an administration's policy. It is then the declared policy of the United States of America since we really can have only one President at a time. And, anyhow, the critics will later have their chance. Once the national crisis has passed they will have opportunity to turn out the administration for what it has poorly done.

Thus, it is easier to illustrate what is not bipartisanship than what is. Here are three examples of grave bipartisanship:

- (1) The almost general Republican attacks on the Korean War while the guns were still going. (2) The far less severe and far less general Democratic attacks on Mr. Eisenhower's dispatch of troops to the Middle East—attacks that went on after the troops had landed. (3) Such of those recent assaults on Mr. Eisenhower's Formosa policy as offered no constructive proposal and simply implied to the world that we might not stand with him if, right or wrong, he got into war.

**Editorial Comment**

**DIRTY, HARMFUL POLITICS**

Events, with a bit of behind-the-scenes boost, have conspired to give a public impression that Oregon's driver improvement division is a lousy outfit.

Two legislators, both with personal, selfish motives, have attacked it. A confused fellow from Portland has been parading around the state with a sandwich board attacking it. The well-publicized hearing for a rebellious state policeman has cast indirect doubts about the division. Broad, all-encompassing statements have been made by political candidates about the division's parent department.

Although much of this has been done by disenchanted Democrats, a good part of it has been helped along by Republicans who hope it will reflect on Gov. Robert Holmes.

This is dirty politics. Political victory isn't worth destruction of a necessary function. Republicans, if they win, just might have a tough time controlling highway safety with a division which might remain discredited in public eyes for some time to come.

We hope party loyalists will lay off and that Mark Hatfield will stop sitting back and watching the slaughter. We presume he's for traffic

**Try and Stop Me**

By BENNETT CERF

**AFTER** SAMPLING his wife's cooking, hubby was in any thing but a happy frame of mind when his spouse suggested, "Let's go see a horror movie tonight."

"Why bother," asked her darling, grimly, "when I have you here?"

John Straley tells of a German couple who had 14 daughters, all of whose names began with a "B" except the very youngest. They named her Alice. "Run out of names beginning with 'B,'" smiled the census taker.

"Ach, no," sighed the mother, "but when they told Papa it was still another girl he cried, 'Das ist alles.'"

Walter Fontaine declares, "Middle age is that time of life when a fellow looks back and realizes the mountain he's been climbing is only a molehill."

George S. Kaufman's definition of grand opera: "First, the tenor sings, then he gets stabbed. Whereupon, instead of bleeding, he sings a half hour more."

**Communications Roscoe Drummond Reports . . .**

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initials for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words. The letters printed in this column do not necessarily represent the views of the paper; in fact the contrary is often the case.

**Alcohol Cost, Damage**

To the Editor: The following information is from the Quarterly Journal of Alcohol Studies, June, 1958:

1957 U. S. expenditures for alcoholic beverages, \$10,700,000,000.

1957 U. S. per capita expenditures for alcoholic beverages, \$62.82.

1956 estimated number of alcoholics in U.S.A.: Males, 4,243,000; females, 772,000; total, 5,015,000.

Would you use this information in the Mail Tribune? If the American people were thoroughly informed as to the cost of and the damage done by alcoholic beverages, more would be done to limit its use, thereby lifting many to a higher plane of living.

It is certainly disappointing that your policy is to carry alcoholic beverage ads when the use of it is so harmful as well as being costly.

Mrs. Milton Korstad, 408 North Ivy St. Medford

**Hits M.T. Porter**

To the Editor: The politically slanted comments which have appeared recently in the Medford Mail Tribune editorial column seem to be written as spur of the moment fillers of space rather than studied editorials.

The first noticed made reference to the qualifications of Democratic candidates. Immediately thereafter an important county position to be filled was changed on the Democratic ticket.

In the past Sunday's paper was another such editorial, headed "Piffing Charges." This was run most appropriately at the same time a letter from the present Congressman, Porter, was printed. From Mr. Porter's letter I quote one sentence which shows the character of another Democratic candidate.

Mr. Porter said, "What I had meant to tell you, and no doubt did in an ambiguous fashion, was that had the bill as it was then come up for a vote I would have voted against it."

Confusing? In the dictionary ambiguity is defined: "1, vagueness; indefiniteness; uncertainty; 2, an expression whose meaning can be taken in two or more ways."

Many of the voters in this district, Independents, Democrats, and Republicans, want a man to represent them in Washington who is not vague,

safety, but we haven't heard him say it.

And the whole fracas is hitting below the belt. We'd be remiss if we didn't defend the outfit because we have been perhaps the foremost editorial voice in urging the tougher program now being followed.

The division is manned by largely the same career people—with substantially the same procedures as evolved under Republican administrations.

The only principal change is one of degree. The point system, whereby a driver accumulates points for accidents and citations, is the same. But rather than being called in "after 12 points" a driver is called in "at 12 points." The difference of one point nearly doubles the number of drivers who are interviewed and greatly increases the number who lose their licenses for short periods.

These are people who are repeating, flagrant offenders—the people who can and do kill.

Smearing the driver improvement division is one means that doesn't justify the political ends.—Capital Journal, Salem.

**DOING SOMETHING ABOUT RISING CRIME**

Washington—With major crimes mounting yearly—one such crime for every sixty persons in 1958—Attorney General William P. Rogers answers questions on what the Federal government can and is doing about it.

**QUESTION**—What is the Federal government doing for its part in better law enforcement and in setting a standard which will help state and local communities to do a better job on their part?

**ATTORNEY GENERAL ROGERS**—Traditionally the FBI has cooperated and aided state and local law enforcement agencies throughout the country. The FBI's vast fingerprint identification files and its scientific laboratory facilities are called into play daily by local and state police officials to aid them in local law enforcement. This service is rendered without cost. In addition, the FBI conducts training of local police at the FBI academy, as well as participating in local and regional police schools. On a national level, the Department of Justice has launched a drive to combat the overloads of organized crime operating on an interstate basis. This drive will focus its efforts on the top 100 hoodlums of the country. The program will be a joint effort, combining the resources of all Federal investigative and law enforcement agencies against the racketeering fraternity.

**QUESTION**—Why is it vital to get at the 100 top racketeers and cut down the success of the overloads of crime?

**ROGERS**—The figure of 100 is, of course, arbitrary and has only been selected for purpose of concentration. If we can reach these top overloads we can cripple their entire operations. It is the operation of these top leaders that is most difficult for state and local law enforcement agen-

**Mrs. Hopkins' Reply**

To the Editor: In reply to my opponent's communication in the Oct. 19 Mail Tribune, indicating a "Sept. 24" "news release" was "misleading," I would be more impressed with the sincerity of his concern in the matter had he called the "misleading" "release" to my attention the day he "read it with interest." Instead, he has teased it for one month in an attempt to gain political recognition for his astute discovery.

I have not received the letter; however, I wish to commend him for his expressed desire to cooperate with me in encouraging "maximum participation at the polls on Nov. 4th."

**For the Record:**  
1. Voters who have doubts about their eligibility should contact the county clerk at once. It is the elector's personal responsibility to keep his registration record up to date.

2. An elector who moves within his precinct or whose address is changed by official authority, though the residence remains the same, is entitled to vote without registering. He will be challenged if the poll book address does not correspond. The old address must be crossed out, the new address written in, before he may sign the poll book and receive his ballot. (Signature certifies correct address). It would have simplified the voting process had the voter re-registered as suggested.

3. An elector who moves to a new precinct when registration is closed may secure "Certificate of Registration" from county clerk, entitling him to vote in new precinct for all candidates and measures. He must re-register for next election.

4. An elector who moves out of precinct prior to close of registration, who does not re-register but whose name has not been removed from the poll book for non-voting, may return to his former precinct, sign an application for reregistration, receive an official ballot on which he is entitled to vote for state and district candidates and measures only.

Yours for a nice clean campaign free of misleading accusations.

Bereth P. Hopkins, County Clerk, Candidate for Re-election

**Another View**  
To the Editor: In regard to the editorial of Friday, Oct. 17, you were quite correct in saying no one would argue about the equality of Negroes and whites—that is no one with any sense at all. However, your reference to a particular individual in a particular place, I feel, was extremely narrow-minded and one-sided.

I have lived in the south and around Negroes all of my life, until four years ago, and have made recent trips back to visit my family.

Yes, some Negro schools are a shame and a disgrace, not only to their race but to all of us as well. But for every shabby, ill-staffed school for Negroes, you will find at least one of the same for white children.

When you meet one bum

**Husbands! Wives!**

**Get Pep, Vim; Feel Younger**  
Thousands of couples are weak, worn-out, exhausted because body lacks iron. For new younger feeling after 40, try Oxytone Tonic Tablets. Contains iron for new pep, vim; plus high-potency dose Vitamin B<sub>12</sub>. In a single day, Oxytone supports as much iron as 16 dried raw oysters, 4 lbs. of liver, 16 lbs. of beef. 3-day "get-acquainted" size only 69¢. Or get Economy size, save \$1.87. All drug stores.

**ABOUT RISING CRIME**

Washington—With major crimes mounting yearly—one such crime for every sixty persons in 1958—Attorney General William P. Rogers answers questions on what the Federal government can and is doing about it.

**QUESTION**—What is the Federal government doing for its part in better law enforcement and in setting a standard which will help state and local communities to do a better job on their part?

**ATTORNEY GENERAL ROGERS**—Traditionally the FBI has cooperated and aided state and local law enforcement agencies throughout the country. The FBI's vast fingerprint identification files and its scientific laboratory facilities are called into play daily by local and state police officials to aid them in local law enforcement. This service is rendered without cost. In addition, the FBI conducts training of local police at the FBI academy, as well as participating in local and regional police schools. On a national level, the Department of Justice has launched a drive to combat the overloads of organized crime operating on an interstate basis. This drive will focus its efforts on the top 100 hoodlums of the country. The program will be a joint effort, combining the resources of all Federal investigative and law enforcement agencies against the racketeering fraternity.

**QUESTION**—Why is it vital to get at the 100 top racketeers and cut down the success of the overloads of crime?

**ROGERS**—The figure of 100 is, of course, arbitrary and has only been selected for purpose of concentration. If we can reach these top overloads we can cripple their entire operations. It is the operation of these top leaders that is most difficult for state and local law enforcement agen-

**No Slight Intended**

To the Editor: Since publication of a certain picture on the front page of your Sunday edition, several of my friends have asked me why I failed to attend the Candidates' Fair. I assured them, and would like to take this occasion to assure others, that I was there.

They need not be concerned about the apparent slight by the Mail Tribune. While my opponents, shown in the picture, sat glumly in the "corral" talking to each other, I was pleasantly engaged in meeting and talking with the voters.

Roy Wilkes, City Council Candidate, Ward 1, 1863 Crater Lake ave. Medford.

**with a chip on his shoulder who thinks the world owes him a living and lives accordingly, do you automatically consider him to be the example of all men?**

As far as Negro children and teachers being doomed, I find that hard to believe. No Negro is doomed unless he wants to be, just as a white person is doomed, unless he works to get ahead. Too many Negroes, southern ones at that, have proven that.

I feel your editorial is an example of the way most (not all) people in this area feel about this particular problem. It is easy to tell someone else how to improve and correct their faults when, having never had the problem, you don't know what you are talking about.

Anne J. McKibben, 3672 Bursell rd. Central Point

**to a determined and informed public opinion willing to cooperate with law enforcement agencies. Here are some specific ways the individual can aid in the struggle against crime:** (1) supply information about known criminal activity to the proper law enforcement officials; (2) testify as witnesses when trials arise; (3) serve as grand jurors and jurors when called; (4) exercise an informed opinion when voting for local law enforcement officials; and (5) provide a proper home and community environment in which young minds will gain a basic respect for the law.

(c) 1958, New York Herald Tribune Inc.

**QUESTIONS**

**QUESTIONS**—What further weapon for dealing with crime and the criminal does the Justice Department need from Congress in order to further its campaign?  
**ROGERS**—We shall urge in the next session of Congress new Federal legislation to give the Federal authorities additional weapons to curb organized criminal activities, particularly those that have interstate aspects.

**QUESTIONS**—Is there any role which the individual citizen can usefully play?  
**ROGERS**—The determination of the individual citizen that law enforcement be effective is our strongest weapon against the nation's criminal element. No criminal can operate for long in the face of a determined and informed public opinion willing to cooperate with law enforcement agencies. Here are some specific ways the individual can aid in the struggle against crime:

**Counsel With . . .**

**Mr. Insurance—Fred Brennan**

**PENNIES FROM HEAVEN!**

Makes a nice song title but we all realize it takes planned economy to accumulate those pennies. Call us for the lowest net cost on fire insurance or package policies on our budget plan.

Fred Brennan

Or Call Mr. Friendly Bill Fish

Phone SP 3-7343

**MEDFORD INSURANCE AGENCY**

27 NORTH HOLLY ST.

**QUESTIONS**

**QUESTIONS**—Isn't it far easier to identify these top racketeers and put them out of business?  
**ROGERS**—By publicly identifying these individuals they would be forewarned and might be able to sustain a claim of government-inspired prejudicial publicity at a resulting trial. It would, of course, be easier merely to identify these individuals. Identification alone would not