



THEN SOMEHOW HE CAUGHT THE FEVER AND GOT HIMSELF A BEAT-UP ROWBOAT WITH AN EGG-BEATER KICKER—HE'S AN ADMIRAL OVERNIGHT!!



\$64,000 Question, Broadcasting's Most Celebrated Show, Starts 3rd Year

BY WILLIAM EWALD
United Press Correspondent
New York — The most famous safe deposit box in the world is a gray steel job numbered 1231.

It is a true magic box. It is a box that contains the queries and on the most celebrated quiz—broadcasting history, "The 4,000 Question."

This week the CBS-TV give-away marks the start of its third year on the air. It has behind it: contestants, \$1,427,880 and 19 million valued at \$5,200 each. It still is watched in 16 million homes each week.

It also has given rise to a body of questions—some naive, some pertinent:

Is the show fixed? Are "upbeat" guests allowed to win? "Downbeat" ones bumped? Is that question box really really guarded or is that just a production nonsense? What is a mysterious release from all contestants are forced sign?

The release form is the easiest answer. Contrary to published reports, it is not unobtainable. It is given one. It is a standard form used on most quiz shows.

The main, it prevents contestants from endorsing products to compete with the sponsor's competing on other shows.

The question box is an easy one, too. I was permitted to go into the vault. Ben Felt of James Agnew, bank of officials, have its only keys. Felt is the top key. Agnew, neither whom has ever received a cent on the program, say it is impossible for anyone to get into the vault without them—even producers of the show.

On two occasions, questions have been changed shortly before the show. One took place

Half-Size Fashion



9075
SIZES
14½-24½
by Marian Martin

Half-sizes will love the cool flattery of this pretty summer dress. Smartly simple—no frills to fuss over on hot laundering days! Easy to sew with a Printed Pattern—designed to fit the shorter, fuller figure perfectly!

Printed Patterns 9075: Half sizes 14½, 16½, 18½, 20½, 22½, 24½. Size 16½ takes 3½ yards 35-inch.

Printed directions one each pattern piece. Easier, faster, accurate.

Send FIFTY CENTS in coins for this pattern—add 5 cents for each pattern for 1st-class mailing. Send to Marian Martin, care of Medford Mail Tribune, Pattern Dept., 232 West 18th St., New York 11, N.Y. Print plainly NAME, ADDRESS with SIZE and STYLE NUMBER.

when Myrt Power, a baseball expert, was going for \$32,000. A columnist suggested a possible question for her and it almost hit her question on the button. Myrt's query was changed the morning of the show.

The second instance occurred when Redmond O'Hanlon, a cop-fond of Shakespeare, had gone to \$15,000. A Boston paper interviewed Bergen Evans, the man who writes most of the questions, and Evans inadvertently leaked part of the \$32,000

question. That question had to be changed, too. But it didn't matter much—O'Hanlon quit at \$15,000.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

horses who lose viewers.

The answer to whether a straight "fix" is possible—whether the producers knock off contestants they don't like and keep those they do—is tougher.

However, it boils down to this: If "controls" are present, it is to the advantage of the show to keep contestants who grab big audiences and kill off those

On The Side

(Distributed by King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

As the delicate rose
To the sun's sweet strength
Both herself unfolds
Breath and length,
So spreads my heart to you.
—G. Rossetti

Geoffrey Lawrence, brilliant barrister, who successfully defended Dr. Adams in that celebrated British murder case, received a fee equivalent to \$28,000. That is the highest fee ever paid a lawyer in a British murder trial. I don't know what the United States record is but the lawyers who represented Harry Thaw in the Stanford White case were undoubtedly paid plenty.

Please Note

What is the first name in the telephone book in your town? That is, name of a person not a firm. Anybody named "Aa" live in your city? That's a Dutch surname. Peter Aa was as well-known bookseller and publisher in Leyden, Holland.

Horses and Women

According to specialists in female psychology, the reason some waitresses, switchboard operators and saleswomen are inclined to be disagreeable is because they are frustrated. That is caused by their not liking their jobs or because they are unhappily married. So, if some saleswoman acts surly, or a waitress snaps at you and practically tosses your food on the table, or a telephone operator does not

give you the voice with a smile treatment, don't become angry. She is more to be pitied than censured. Perhaps some man has done her wrong. Maybe her husband hasn't turned out to be the "dream man" she thought he was.

Asides

The Duke and Duchess of Windsor make two round trips annually between their Paris home and New York. On these trips they bring along a secretary, a valet, two maids, three dogs and 140 pieces of baggage.

People who order their steaks well done or their eggs boiled only two minutes are usually difficult customers to handle and notoriously poor tipsters. So says a waitress of long experience.

Mixed Cover

David's talk about "the deal" and the bargain" reflect his real attitudes. What he wanted was a "steady" girl friend who would not really be steady. What he wants now is to prove that he can still get out of the "deal."

His talk about loving Stella is nonsense. This "love" didn't appear until he began to get scared that he was stuck. His talk about his parents getting him "tied up" is also nonsense. They couldn't have forced this marriage on him if he had been willing to accept an adult's responsibility for his own actions.

David and Irene are now up against the real facts of married life. Marriage isn't something that can be turned on or off at the whim of either partner. This particular marriage hasn't yet been given a chance.

It wasn't a real marriage in the first place because neither partner accepted it as a permanent relationship. David and Irene should get some special guidance from their clergyman or a qualified marriage counselor. They may still become adult enough to be able to love.

(Copyright 1957, General Features Corp.)

Honolulu Resident Joins Manor Here

Mrs. Irene H. Fussler, Honolulu, Hawaii, has become a member of the Rogue Valley Manor and will have an apartment on the sixth floor when the manor opens in the fall of 1958.

Mrs. Fussler has been a resident of Honolulu since 1952, when her husband retired from teaching at the University of North Carolina and they moved to the Islands. She is a long time Methodist and has been active in woman's society work. She has written and produced several Christian plays. Her active hobbies include painting and weaving and she is a member of both the Honolulu Artists and Weaver's guilds.

She has two children, Mrs. Julia Lunsford, Hawaii, and a son, Dr. Herman Fussler, University of Chicago.

Let this clever doll keep your electric mixer spotless! Just sit her on top of it—her long, full skirt is its protective cover! Use colorful remnants—ideal gift!

Pattern 7199: Pattern, transfers, directions for mixer-cover doll. Easy! Fun to make—bazaar item!

Send THIRTY-FIVE cents in coins for this pattern—add 5 cents for each pattern for 1st-class mailing. Send to Medford Mail Tribune, Household Arts Dept., P.O. Box 168, Old Chelsea Station, New York 11, N.Y. Print plainly NAME, ADDRESS, and PATTERN NUMBER.

A bonus for our readers—two FREE patterns, printed in our new Alice Brooks Needlecraft Book for 1957! Plus a wonderful variety of designs to order—crochet, knitting, embroidery, huck weaving, toys, dolls, others. Send 25 cents for your copy of this exciting NEW needle book—now!

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

by Alice Brooks

7199

By E. V. Durling

(Distributed by King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

Among the Married
Does your wife understand bookkeeping? If not, send her to night school. A wife with a knowledge of bookkeeping can save you much money on household expenses and income taxes. If you live in an apartment your wife should have a desk of her own. If you live in a house she should have a room to use as an office. This should have a small artistic sign on it reading: "Home Manager."

Sidelights
Only one Englishman has crossed the seas from his native country and won a world's boxing championship in the United States. That was Ted "Kid" Lewis. . . . In New York City the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals was founded nine years before the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children. Henry Bergh was the founder of both organizations. First in 1866. The second in 1875.

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Tuesday, June 4, 1957

MEDFORD (OREGON) MAIL TRIBUNE—THREE

Rainfall Figures Given For Two Stations

Cave Junction — A total of 42.38 inches of rain has been recorded at the Redwood highway inspection station since Jan. 1.

Menu
Ever consume any roasted hedgehog for dinner? Neither have I. Wonder what it tastes like. Anyway, it was featured on the menu of the state dinner in Paris honoring Queen Elizabeth of England during her recent visit to the French capital. Also on the menu were cold trout, guinea fowl and iced peaches.

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

Intolerance
Why are so many vegetarians so narrow minded? Why do they persist in referring to those who are not in accord with their ideas as "flesh eaters"? That's nasty, intolerant description. Some non-vegetarians are nearly as bad as vegetarians. They refer to tobacco as "that filthy weed."

A total of 29.69 inches was reported at the Illinois valley resort station for the same period. Although February and March were unusually wet, they did not set a record, it was reported.

The springs of Bath, England, were the center of a Roman resort city which was developed during the first four centuries A.D.

Read and Use Classified Ads

SUMMER SPECIALS!

(Trial Period)

PRIVATE LESSONS
12 for \$25.00
Includes
FREE ACCORDION RENTAL

CLASS LESSONS
12 for \$10.00
Includes
FREE ACCORDION RENTAL