

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Everyone in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune... PUBLISHED DAILY EXCEPT SATURDAY BY MEDFORD PRINTING CO.

Subscription Rates: By Mail—In Advance Per Copy 10c. Daily and Sunday—One year \$15.00. Daily and Sunday—Six months \$8.00.

Official Paper of the City of Medford, Oregon. Official Paper of Jackson County. United Press—Full Leased Wire.

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION AFFILIATE MEMBER. OREGON NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION.

Flight o' Time. Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30 and 40 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO March 25, 1947 (Tuesday). First flight of the Southwest Airways between Los Angeles and Medford will be made April 1, according to Postmaster Frank DeSouza.

20 YEARS AGO March 25, 1937 (Thursday). Mayor Joseph K. Carson of Portland will speak at next week's Rotary club meeting.

30 YEARS AGO March 25, 1927 (Friday). A shipment of fir trees for reforestation in the Innaha district of Crater Lake national park arrives from government nursery at Wind River, Wash.

40 YEARS AGO March 25, 1917 (Sunday). Reduction of freight rates from San Francisco to Klamath Falls and other southern Oregon points is delayed due to restraining order issued by Federal Judge Frank Ruskin of San Francisco.

University of Oregon symphony performs at Hunt's Craeterian theater.

40 YEARS AGO March 25, 1917 (Sunday). Reduction of freight rates from San Francisco to Klamath Falls and other southern Oregon points is delayed due to restraining order issued by Federal Judge Frank Ruskin of San Francisco.

Movement is started in city schools by Mrs. Alan Brackin reed to form clubs to work with local branch of American Society for Relief of French War Orphans.

What's Your I.Q.?

- 1. Martini cocktails are made with bourbon whiskey, rye whiskey, or gin? 2. The British pound sterling contains 20, 25 or 30 shillings? 3. Bible: Which people "built for Pharaoh treasure cities, Pithom and Raamses"?

Answers: 1. Gin; 2. Twenty; 3. The Israelites, ("Children of Israel"); 4. Twenty-six; 5. Gibraltar (2 sq. miles); 6. "Last of the Mohicans"; 7. Prince Albert; 8. Movement of larva within the pod; 9. Dante.

SENTENCED. Portland—(U.P.)—Thomas D. Rishworth, 50, former television official here, Saturday was sentenced to three years in federal prison for sending obscene letters through the mail.

What Is "Delinquency"?

The report given by Police Chief Charles Champ-lin last week to the effect that nearly half of all major crimes committed in Medford are by juveniles is a shocking one.

The fact is that this series of robberies, burglaries, rapes, thefts, and so on, is done by a TINY MINORITY of our young people.

When we recognize this, and the related fact that the majority of young people are decent, respectable and well behaved, then we can come face to face with the unsavory conclusion that, percentage-wise, more crimes are committed by young people than by those in any other age group.

Why? We doubt that there is any single answer. There are, rather, a number of contributing factors. Urban living, in our increasingly communal lives, throws groups of young people into closer contact than ever before in history.

The automobile is another factor, and a big one. They are almost universal, now, and permit a mobility unprecedented in history. The overall tenor of society has changed in the last 40 years. Partly this is the result of two world wars, a great depression, an economic "boom," and an unsettling "cold war," which changed patterns of living and thinking; changed habits of behavior; changed the future outlook both for individuals and for nations.

Time magazine's "new normalcy" notwithstanding, it is not a set and stable society in which we live these days. It is a society in flux; a civilization growing and changing from day to day.

Throughout history young people have been the seekers, the dreamers, the idealists—and the rebels. No generation has been without qualms about its own "younger generation."

And, as in everything else, external factors make it more difficult for some than for others. Things like broken homes; lack of parental interest, care or affection; lack of constructive suggestions in answer to the age-old complaint "I don't have anything to DO"—these are contributors to lack of security. And so, by golly, is a lack of discipline.

By "discipline" we mean not only a parent's control, but a youngster's control over himself. These are some of the factors involved. Who's to blame? Well, that could be answered by saying "no one," which isn't quite true, or by saying "every-one," which isn't quite true either.

(Have you sat in a movie theater recently when a lot of young people are attending? We did last week, and were astounded at the lack of courtesy and consideration, and the downright thoughtlessness and rudeness exhibited by many of the kids. We wondered if their parents act when free from control. And we wondered how many know the theater management has been forced to hire an off-duty police officer to patrol the aisles just to keep order.)

The situation with which we are confronted, we are convinced, is not one where the "younger generation is going to the dogs." But it is a serious reflection on a rather large—too large—percentage of families, and of the youngsters themselves. It may even be, as Chief Champlin rather gloomily remarked, that there is a "breakdown in the American home," and that "many parents expect teachers, police officers and ministers to rear their children."

Those that care about this problem care deeply. But it is among those that couldn't care less that the problem itself lies.—E.A.

Trees

Why do people like trees? They do—even those who don't make much fuss about it. Almost every residential yard in town has one or two, to break the bleakness of man-made buildings, to give shade and color and greenery.

People appreciate parks, where branching trees add so much. They like the tunnel-like arches which trees provide for a few of our streets, and which at this time of year are just beginning to come alive.

This may account for the quiet and generally favorable acceptance of the recently-passed city ordinance which will encourage the orderly and cooperative planting of trees, and which will serve to enhance the beauty of our city.

THE project's most ardent and vocal supporter foresees the day when long, sweeping vistas of color will grace the residential parts of town; when foresight will make possible a unity and symmetry in adornment for some streets, and a blending and contrast for others.

The measure is practical (it provides for protection of mundane but essential facilities), but it is also idealistic, asking for voluntary cooperation from homeowners who are going to plant trees to insure their plans will conform to an over-all grand design for beauty.—E.A.

More Involved in Cutting Budget Than Chopping Appropriations

By RAYMOND LAHR United Press Correspondent Washington — (U.P.) — While Congress flails away at President Eisenhower's budget, the treasury will wait until mid-summer of 1957 to estimate—and until July of 1958 to report—what was accomplished.

To achieve real budget cuts, much more is involved than congressional votes reducing appropriation bills below administration requests. When Mr. Eisenhower submitted his budget in January the \$71.8 billion total was only an estimate of what the government will spend in the fiscal year starting next July 1.

For example, the requested fiscal 1958 defense budget was up somewhat more than \$2 billion over the sum requested for the current year. This is a lot less than the \$6 billion increase which Gen. Nathan Twining, Air Force Chief of Staff, said last year would be necessary to maintain current Air Force strength.

It is too early to make any precise predictions about the defense budget which will eventually emerge from Congress. But for the best guess of the best guessers is that defense, which was increased by \$900 million by the Senate last year, will be cut back this year by between one and two billion. This is close to what Humphrey wanted in the first place.

AGAIN, it is no secret that Humphrey is less than an enthusiast for foreign aid. He was no doubt disappointed when the Fairless committee, which was largely his creation, failed to propose cutting the liver and lights out of the program. But again, Humphrey seems likely to have his way in the end.

Or take the Eisenhower-sponsored program for Federal aid to schools, with a price tag of just under a half billion dollars in the Eisenhower budget. The school aid bill is probably the most important item in the Eisenhower program of "new Republicanism."

A few weeks ago, it looked as though the school bill would pass rather easily, if the civil rights hurdle could be overcome. Now, there is an increasing tendency in both parties to shy away from the bill. The present prospect is that it will pass only if the President himself is prepared to make a real fight for it.

If the bill does not pass it is exceedingly unlikely that George Humphrey, who is hardly an evangelical "modern Republican," will shed tears for it. Nor will he weep very bitterly if the costly farm program, another "modern Republican" item, is cut back sharply, as also seems possible.

IN SHORT, something rather close to the budget Humphrey wanted in the first place seems likely to emerge. The main reason is the pressure on Congress, the most intense in years, to cut spending. The man chiefly responsible for generating the pressure is, of course, George Humphrey.

Humphrey's famous "curl your hair" press conference, in which he attacked the Administration budget head-on, was the real kick-off for the cut-spending campaign. The campaign, pushed by the Chamber of Commerce and similar organizations, has flooded Congressional offices knee-deep in mail.

While the pressure to cut is much heavier than ever, moreover, the defense of the President's budget is dishwasher-weak. Ordinarily, an Administration defends its budget with all the ferocity of a mother protecting a favorite child. But this Administration is acting toward its budget more like a mother who leaves an unwanted child on a strange doorstep.

IT is hardly surprising that the defense of the budget is weak, since the President himself, commenting on Humphrey's statement, seemed to agree with him. In the circumstances, the Republicans feel no obligation to defend the Eisenhower budget, and neither, naturally enough, do the Democrats.

Altogether, it is a queer situation, and a tribute to the power and pertinacity of George M. Humphrey, a man accustomed to getting his way. If Humphrey's getting his way means wrecking or watering down the whole Eisenhower program, in the defense, foreign aid, and domestic fields, no one seems to care very much, not even President Eisenhower.

Where will the 20 billions come from? I think I know. They'll come out of the taxpayers' pockets—for there's nowhere else for that much money to come from.

THAT leads to a chilling thought: Will any of us, after paying his taxes, have enough left to buy a ticket to go on one of these fabulous excursions?

By Roscoe Drummond THE STILL EXPLOSIVE MIDDLE EAST Washington — The candid judgment in Washington is that the Middle East crisis is going to become more explosive, not less, during the coming weeks.

Most U.S. officials take an increasingly gloomy view of Egyptian President Nasser's uncooperative, even "provocative," attitude since the withdrawal of Israeli forces.

Thus far President Nasser has done nothing to improve the climate for peace since the United Nations and the United States rescued him from the Anglo-French-Israeli invasion.

Cairo has "announced" that it will continue to deny the Suez Canal to Israeli shipping, which means that Egypt asserts the right to use the restored canal as an instrument of national politics. That would be a clear repudiation of the "six principles" for the operation of the Suez unanimously approved by the U.N. Security Council and accepted by the Egyptian government.

General Nasser appears to be persistently — and successfully — elbowing the United Nations forces out of the Gaza Strip from which so many harassing raids were launched against the Israeli frontier.

Although the U.N. General Assembly approved the function of the U.N. Expeditionary Force "to help maintain quiet during and after" the withdrawal of Israeli troops, General Nasser is doing everything he can to undercut the U.N. either as a means of helping to administer Gaza or to guard the border.

Either Nasser will prevail or the U.N. will prevail—and that is the nub of it right now. It is true that one Israeli freighter has sailed down the Gulf of Aqaba to the Red Sea undisturbed by either Egypt or Saudi Arabia in using its "right of innocent passage" through international waters.

But it is too soon to consider even this issue settled. Cairo continues to talk about barring Israeli ships from plying the waters through which they must pass to reach the Israeli port of Haifa.

United Press correspondents around the world look ahead at the news that will make the headlines. Feeler: You can expect Soviet Russian feelers at any time for a "summit" meeting with President Eisenhower and Prime Minister Harold Macmillan.

Meat-Axe: Some liberal Democrats fear the economy drive in Congress will get out of hand. They say it might produce an "irresponsible" slash in foreign aid and block school construction and other welfare projects the administration seeks.

Refinement: This year's atomic tests in Nevada are expected to start in mid-May and to go on through the summer. A dozen or more shots are scheduled—all small compared to the H-bomb. Purpose of the new tests is to refine atomic warheads for field weapons, anti-aircraft missiles and other tactical uses.

Botting: Don't look for early House of Representatives action on civil rights. True, the House Judiciary Committee has approved a bill much like that the administration requested. But it still must be cleared to the floor by the Rules Committee.

Threat Charged To Teamster Man Seattle — (U.P.) — Police today were investigating a complaint that a Teamsters Union employee made a threatening telephone call to the home of Howard Sylvester, who testified last Thursday before the Senate Rackets committee.

Sylvester, a Seattle real estate dealer and public relations man, said his wife received an anonymous call Thursday night after he had appeared before the committee.

"Never mind who's calling," Mrs. Sylvester quoted the caller as saying. "I just want to tell Howard he'll be sorry he ever testified and I'll get even with him some day."

Mrs. Sylvester contended she recognized the man's voice. However, she didn't report the incident to police until after her husband returned home Saturday. Sylvester said he had given police the name of the suspect and challenged him to take a lie detector test.

Sylvester said he had handled real estate deals for Teamsters President Dave Beck and Thursday told the Senate committee the teamsters wanted to dominate a campaign against a right to work measure defeated in this state during the last general election. He asserted the union owed him \$10,000 for his work in the campaign.

Elath. It may soon be more than talk because Egyptian troops are now reported to be moving toward Sharm el Sheikh where installations survey the Gulf of Aqaba.

President Nasser justifies these anti-Israel practices with the assertion that Israel "must not be rewarded for aggression." This is an empty justification. If the basis for discrimination against Israeli ships in the use of the Suez and the Gulf of Aqaba is the recent invasion, then there was no basis for such discrimination before the invasion.

Of course, Israel should not be "rewarded for aggression," but it is not "reward" for Israel to receive the fair and impartial treatment which she, in right, deserved to receive and the denial of which helped to bring on the invasion.

The United Nations Assembly has overwhelmingly condemned both the Israeli invasion and Egypt's discriminations against Israel. Now that Israel has obeyed the U.N. resolution, are we to accept the fantastic Egyptian contention that Egypt does not need to cease its provocations on the ground that to do so would "reward" Israel?

ALL of these are the reasons why the hardest tests in the Middle East still lie ahead for the United Nations and the United States. For years the U.N. "resolved against" but did nothing to stop the Egyptian provocations against Israel. Will it act effectively if these provocations are, in fact, resumed?

We shouldn't overlook the fact that the U.N. did not get Israel out of Egypt. It was the U.S. which persuaded Israel to withdraw after we assured Premier Ben-Gurion that we would actively and energetically work to realize the "assumptions" on which she was withdrawing: freedom for Israeli shipping, freedom from the Gaza raids.

In many ways Israel is today resting her safety and perhaps even her survival upon the good faith and good offices of the United States. If Mr. Nasser continues in his present course, the U.S., by itself and through the U.N., will be called upon to prove that these "assurances" are strong, not weak.

The peace and stability of the entire Middle East will be at stake. Copyright 1957 New York Herald Tribune Inc.

MISS IN MOTOR Detroit — (U.P.) — With Lois Winterberg it's a case of the car over the car. On completing a high school course in driver mechanics, Miss Winterberg said: "Now that I know what makes cars run, I think I'll learn to drive one."

Top Men Ask Prayer Cabinet meetings are always opened with prayer. Since becoming President, Mr. Eisenhower has missed church attendance but few times. Many Senators attend Devotional Breakfasts. "Church membership does not save you," not save you.

Only Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour counts. Good works are out so far as being saved has to do. When you accept Christ as having died for your sins, the Holy Spirit quickens you to do the work that pleases God. Being saved, then by daily Bible and Prayer, GROW UP. This Message sponsored by a Scappoose family.—Adv.

Funeral Home

FUNERAL SERVICES In Every Price Range

Since 1908 AT PERL'S every family may make funeral arrangements which are in keeping with its means. A selection of services in every price range is offered to satisfy individual preferences and to meet all financial circumstances. Convenient terms? Certainly!

PERL Funeral Home

Phone 2-6675

Copyright 1957 New York Herald Tribune Inc.