

Medford Mail Tribune

Published Daily Except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 27-29 North Fir St. Phone 2-6141

HERB GREY Advertising Manager GERALD LATHAM Business Manager ERIC ALLEN JR. Managing Editor EARL H. ADAMS City Editor HARRY CHAPMAN Telegraph Editor RICHARD JEWETT Sports Editor OLIVE STARCHER Society Editor DALE ERICKSON Circulation Mgr.

Entered as second class matter at Medford Oregon under Act of March 3, 1879

Subscription Rates: By Mail—In Advance Per Copy 10c Daily and Sunday—One year \$15.00 Daily and Sunday—Six months \$8.00 Daily and Sunday—Three months \$4.25

Official Paper of the City of Medford Official Paper of Jackson County

Advertising Representative WEST-HOLIDAY COMPANY INC. 400 N. Michigan St. Detroit, Mich.

10 YEARS AGO Feb. 7, 1947 (Friday) County March of Dimes campaign brings in \$12,955, according to Ralph Sweeney, county treasurer.

20 YEARS AGO Feb. 7, 1937 (Sunday) Frank Jenkins, Klamath Falls newspaper editorial writer, is principal speaker at Jackson County Lincoln club banquet.

30 YEARS AGO Feb. 7, 1927 (Monday) PTA of Oak Grove school arrange to have Jay Grogan put on a performance in Leonard and Madison.

40 YEARS AGO Feb. 7, 1917 (Wednesday) Temporary injunction is filed against Medford to prevent sale of bonds under Hansen plan, according to City Attorney Mears.

What's Your I.Q.? Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; five or six is good.

1. The one-cent postal card was introduced in the U.S. in 1863, 1873, 1883? 2. In Shakespeare's "Lea'r" Obdicut is a fiend. What is Filiber-tigibbet?

3. Bible: "Men, brethren, and fathers." Was this the beginning of an address by Paul or Theophilus? 4. Barring severe weather conditions, do canaries thrive in any climate?

5. A bald-headed person and a species of North American ducks have what name in common? 10. "I never spoke to God; Nor visited in heaven; Yet certain am I of the spot / As if the chart were given." By Emily D...

Tillamook Woman Killed In Wilson River Wreck Tillamook (U.P.)—A 50-year-old Tillamook woman was killed last night when her car plunged off the Wilson River highway about a quarter mile east of here and struck a tree.

Flight o' Time Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

Don't Say It Out Loud, But—!

As usual with "Herblock" his cartoon in Monday's Tribune was both pertinent and amusing.

It pictured Secretary of State Dulles wearing a large "Ike Likes Me" button, complaining to Secretary of Defense Wilson:

"The trouble with you Charlie, is that you say what you think."

That IS the one big trouble with "Charley", and always has been.

IT IS also the trouble with another stalwart and conservative Republican, Representative Clare F. Hoffman of Michigan, who in the recent campaign in Oregon was such an explosive defender of the Al Sarena deal.

During his speech in the House on Monday, for example, Hoffman remarked as follows, quote:

"The President and his left-wing, free-spending, international one-world advisers propose to disinfest, fumigate, purify, renovate, unify and remake the Republican party."

As a Democrat of course.

CONGRESSMAN Hoffman, therefore, was also guilty of the unpardonable sin,—at least unpardonable from the standpoint of the inner circles of the "We like Ike" club—of saying what he thinks instead of saying what would reinforce the delusion that there is no opposition within the Grand Old Party to President Eisenhower and his program.

The Big Boys definitely don't like it. They resent it as a return to the heresies of the late—and to them —Unlamented Franklin Delano Roosevelt.

But, for the sake of party harmony and retention of G.O.P. control—in other words political expediency,—they don't say, as a group, what they THINK—but keep their thoughts to themselves for fear of an intra-party strife that might lose them the greatest vote-getting asset the party has had in some fifty years.

WELL, who can blame them? We can't. Being out of power is no fun, and bears no 8 per cent interest. Being in power may do both.

So why not be smart, instead of following the examples of Messrs. Wilson and Hoffman—particularly the latter,—and indulging in the unprofitable luxury of telling the truth?

IT IS a safe guess that Congressman Hoffman expressed the secret sentiments of every charter member of the G.O.P. "Old Guard." But why rock the boat and spill the beans when from a party standpoint "we never had it so good?"

If compulsion to speak out against the "New Deal" in elephant's clothing becomes too strong to resist, then by all means seek the shelter of the nearest "Union League club" and utter the "We don't like Ike" blasphemies there. But in any event DON'T set off such a chain-reaction in public, and of all places not in the halls of the U.S. Congress, which as everyone knows, are wired for sound!

However, as noted, the congressman from Michigan did it, and on a less damaging scale, the former head of General Motors expressed his inner and honest feelings regarding the politically potent National Guard, regardless of political consequences.

According to the Herblock cartoon the latter was chided by the administration's "second-in-command" Secretary Dulles. Who will take on the redoubtable and fiery Mr. Hoffman, is not known at this writing, but someone in authority surely will and this much is as certain as anything in American politics can be,—neither of these worthy gentlemen will ever be guilty of exactly the SAME indiscretion again.

HOWEVER, for both there will undoubtedly be compensations.

These will probably not appear in the public prints but there will be plenty of influential members of the administration, who will "off the record," agree entirely with Secretary Wilson regarding the National Guard, and commend him for his courage in saying it, and there will be even more of the "Older Boys" in both houses who, when they can get "Clare" off in a corner alone will pat the stormy petrel on the back and paraphrase Voltaire somewhat as follows:

"I agree with everything you say, but how did you have the NERVE to SAY it!" —R.W.R.

Ike Saved The G.O.P.

When the history of President Eisenhower is finally written we predict it will be generally conceded, that he not only saved Europe from the German Nazis, but he saved the Republican party from extinction.

From a personal standpoint, we have, and always have had, the highest regard for the late Senator Taft of Ohio, but we believe that if the so-called Taft wing of the G.O.P. had prevailed during the first three years of the present administration, and what is generally known as the "welfare state" had been discarded and sunk without a trace, not the G.O.P. but the Democrats would have won the landslide of November 1956.

That can't be proved, of course,—nothing in the past that did not happen, CAN be.

But that is the strong conviction of this department. By taking over the "New Deal"—at least its basic principles—from the Democrats, was like tak-

Matter of Fact By Joseph Alsop

Aleksandr Nikolaievich Vassiliev is a large, solid, slow-spoken man with a grayish, lined face



Joseph Alsop

His plant is about what you might expect from textbook study of Soviet industry—a huge complex of rather dark, rather badly constructed buildings, in which the newer units hardly seem much better than the former horse barns.

With almost equal enthusiasm, he described and exhibited his ambitious program for housing his workers, and providing them with every other need from groceries and education for their children to pastimes on their days off and vacations, for Soviet factories are semi-feudal units, in which the workers not only work but also live and have their being.

Altogether a few hours with Aleksandr Nikolaievich Vassiliev threw an immense amount of light on the industrial progress which this country has achieved. But he seemed curiously less politically inclined than most American payroll meeters of comparable status.

HE WAS on the factory's engineering staff when the Germans attacked. So he shared in the grim drama of the flight from Moscow, watching over his plant's machinery on the long, hard journey to Kuibyshev, and the working desperately to get partial production started again in some abandoned horse barns.

Copyright 1957 New York Herald Tribune Inc.

In the Day's News By FRANK JENKINS

I suppose that by this time everybody is familiar with the case of the Mystery Man of Moscow. All that was known of him yesterday was that a blood specialist had been summoned to Moscow from West Germany to treat an obviously important patient whom Soviet officials refused to name.

Today it appears on reasonably good authority that it is a former deputy premier, name of Malyshev, who has been shuffled around from pillar to post in recent years until he finally wound up in the more or less inconspicuous post of Soviet minister of machine building.

That's what always happens when news is suppressed. When the facts are suppressed, rumors fly like snowflakes in a blizzard. Tom tells Bill. Bill tells Harry. And Jane tells Jill. Every time the tale passes from somebody's mouth to somebody else's ear, it GROWS. It grows like mushrooms on a ditch bank on a hot morning after a spring rain.

The best policy—in Moscow, U.S.S.R. or in Podunk, U.S.A.—is to make all the facts public just as soon as possible. I imagine even the Kremlin gangsters have a faint glimmering of that fundamental truth by this time—after yesterday's incident of the Mystery Man of Moscow.

BUT I doubt if they will change their ways. The Kremlin boys are despots. Being despots, they go on the theory that the less the public knows about what is going on the better it will be for the bosses who are running

Signs Indicate Chinese Premier Gives Up Hope for U.S. 'Deal'

By CHARLES M. McCANN United Press Correspondent

Colombo, Ceylon, Tuesday Chou spoke with some bitterness of continued American "hostility."

Commenting on statements by President Eisenhower that the imprisonment of 10 American civilians in China was an obstacle to better relations Chou said:

"Why should we always listen to the words of the President of the United States?"

This was a decided change from several statements Chou has made during his long tour of East Asia, which is just now coming to an end.

He has said that the time had come to establish better Chinese-American relations and has offered to meet Secretary of State

John Foster Dulles. He has said that the Red Chinese might release the American civilians they hold without imposing any conditions.

But Chou now has returned to the demand that, as a price for the Americans, the United States must send back to Red China 33 Chinese who, he alleges, are "illegally" held.

Actually, it is a fact that none of these Chinese wants to go to Communist China. There are 23 of them, not 33. All are serving prison terms for common crimes. All were offered repatriation to China. Twenty-one said they would rather stay in jail. Two said they would like to go to Formosa, seat of the Chinese Nationalist government. They are to be sent there.

Chou now has injected a new condition into the American prisoner situation. Dulles said in Washington Tuesday that the Red Chinese had offered to free the Americans if Dulles would permit American newsmen to visit Communist China. Dulles said he had refused to make such a deal.

Chou's outburst in Ceylon may have been due to a feeling that his big tour of East Asia has not amounted to much. He started out on it in November and resumed it after a visit to Russia, Poland and Hungary to help the Soviet government to establish a new line of policy toward the Communist satellite countries.

Chou seems to have accomplished little except to make propaganda speeches.

Ha, that's a laugh. To think that you would be protecting the trees before the people and their homes! Am I right or wrong? Just put yourself in the other fellow's shoes and see if you wouldn't think twice about it! Would you like to have the freeway route go right through your home, or so close that it would destroy the value of your property. Or would you rather it by-pass the town like it should?

So why not by-pass Medford like they do other cities and towns, and not destroy the residential property? As it stands now, we are very unhappy about the choice. So think it over and put your freeway route where it belongs like they do other places, and everybody will be much happier I am sure. You will too, in time to come.

Mrs. Julia Vakoc 519 South Riverside ave. Medford, Ore.

Ounce of Prevention To the Editor: I am a professional driver and so spend much time on our highways.

I have thought so many times that an ounce of prevention could save a school child's life.

In spite of the law requiring motorists to stop when a school bus is loading or unloading, I have seen a number of instances when someone failed to stop. I have also observed that seldom do the children stop and look before crossing the lane of traffic that is supposed to be stopped for them.

Live could be saved in the future, if in addition to the law making motorists stop, the children were taught to stop and look both ways before crossing the highways. A broken law won't save a life; let's be doubly sure. It could be the Weed schoolboy would be alive and in school today had he been properly impressed with the importance of looking both ways before crossing.

I am in favor of our schools using five minutes a day for a program to properly teach our children the importance of this safety measure.

I have three young children and it could be one of them who will be spared by "an ounce of prevention" in regard to this matter.

Rolf Holmstrom 1005 North Central ave. Medford, Ore.

Communications

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words.

Its All Very Simple To the Editor: Your editorial in the Tribune Sunday, Feb. 3, indicates you are somewhat confused concerning "Modern Republicanism" as indicated by Eisenhower. No doubt you are as deeply confused about "Democracy" as expressed by Wilson, Roosevelt, and Truman. It is really all very simple. In 1933 the "Democrat" party, the "Republican" party, and the "Republican" of the United States came to an end. The place of the parties was taken at that time by a series of "Deals"—the "New Deal," the Fair Deal, and the present Double Deal. The United States has been replaced by a United Welfare State.

There has been no "creeping" socialism involved in this evolution; it walked boldly in through the front door. It is here to stay by a "mandate" of the people. The benefits of this change in government is of small concern to the average man and woman.

Instead of being citizens of a free republic they have become subjects of a welfare state. No person can have his name placed on a payroll without getting permission to do so from the state (Social Security number) and by paying tribute of one fifth of his earnings to the state.

In 24 years the American dollar has been deflated to a value of 20 cents. The national debt has passed the three hundred billion mark and still climbing. Let us not credit this change to any party, for the present powers that be are above and beyond all party restraint; they are "Liberals," Progressive, and Independent. They belong to the recently established World Federation presently headed by Russia and China with which we are united—the United Nations.

Now let us get back to tide-lands oil. Al Sarena, and the high Hells Canyon dam. Let us get back to "give aways" and subjects of vital concern to our welfare and peace of mind. Let us have faith that Eisenhower will get around to building dams all up and down the Pacific Coast when he has finished financing the rest of the world. It is going to take time and taxes, but we have the Industrial Workers of the World in charge of everything, and Eisenhower seems to have the ability to out deal both Roosevelt and Truman together with some cost plus from Wilson. For this privilege I thank you.

Joseph J. Hall, Shady Cove.

Write Your Congressman To the Editor: I do not know that the Mail Tribune favors either the Democratic or the

show. Despotism thrives on secrecy and suppression. It can't stand the light of day. Democracy thrives in an atmosphere of frankness and truth.

The Kremlin boys want nothing to do with democracy—or any of its ways.

Freeway Complaint To the Editor: While they are studying and discussing the location of the Highway 99 Freeway through and over our streets and homes in Medford, and always seem to come to the same decision, the Hawthorne park and Bear creek route, the madder I get. So I thought I'd tell you just how I, like many others feel about it, as I am interested in property on Cottage st., which means a lot to us, like many other owners in the area, and in our old age, after we have worked hard to own and pay for our homes. And now to think that any day somebody might come along and tell us we've got to move out, that they've got to have our home or place. Why and what for? I would say, I paid for it. Well, they'd say, we've got to put a road through here.

Now doesn't this sound silly to you? You, just like all of us, would say, why can't you put it some place else, where it won't destroy our valuable property? And they'd tell you, if we put it on the west or east side, and so by-pass the town (like it should be—they do every other place) we'd be destroying very valuable

property, the fruit trees. Ha, that's a laugh. To think that you would be protecting the trees before the people and their homes! Am I right or wrong? Just put yourself in the other fellow's shoes and see if you wouldn't think twice about it! Would you like to have the freeway route go right through your home, or so close that it would destroy the value of your property. Or would you rather it by-pass the town like it should?

So why not by-pass Medford like they do other cities and towns, and not destroy the residential property? As it stands now, we are very unhappy about the choice. So think it over and put your freeway route where it belongs like they do other places, and everybody will be much happier I am sure. You will too, in time to come.

Mrs. Julia Vakoc 519 South Riverside ave. Medford, Ore.

Ounce of Prevention To the Editor: I am a professional driver and so spend much time on our highways.

I have thought so many times that an ounce of prevention could save a school child's life.

In spite of the law requiring motorists to stop when a school bus is loading or unloading, I have seen a number of instances when someone failed to stop. I have also observed that seldom do the children stop and look before crossing the lane of traffic that is supposed to be stopped for them.

Live could be saved in the future, if in addition to the law making motorists stop, the children were taught to stop and look both ways before crossing the highways. A broken law won't save a life; let's be doubly sure. It could be the Weed schoolboy would be alive and in school today had he been properly impressed with the importance of looking both ways before crossing.

I am in favor of our schools using five minutes a day for a program to properly teach our children the importance of this safety measure.

I have three young children and it could be one of them who will be spared by "an ounce of prevention" in regard to this matter.

Rolf Holmstrom 1005 North Central ave. Medford, Ore.

Yours Free, Without Obligation "Facts every family should know about funerals and interments" is a helpful, unbiased bulletin published by the Association of Better Business Bureaus.

If you would like a copy, just let us know. DAY OR NIGHT — PHONE 2-8030

Chapel Mortuary Across from the Courthouse Frank Morgan — Harold Snodgrass FUNERAL DIRECTORS