

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily Except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 27-29 North Fir St. Phone 2-6143

ROBERT W. RUIHL, Editor. HERB GREY, Advertising Manager. GERALD LATHAM, Business Manager. ERIC ALLEN, Jr., Managing Editor.

Subscription Rates: By Mail—In Advance Per Copy 10c. Daily and Sunday—One year \$15.00. Daily and Sunday—Three months \$4.25.

Official Paper of the City of Medford. Official Paper of Jackson County. Official Paper of Jackson County.

Advertising Representative: WEST-HOLIDAY COMPANY, INC. Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION AFFILIATE MEMBER. OREGON NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

Flight o' Time: Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO: Jan. 21, 1947 (Tuesday). Jackson County Chamber of Commerce will be headquarters for the March of Dimes this month.

From Arthur Perry's Ye Smudge Pot column: The old fashioned oyster supper is the vote in the rural regions hereabouts.

20 YEARS AGO: Jan. 21, 1937 (Thursday). Farmer-stockholders of the Medford National Farm Loan Association elect C. W. Isaacs, president of board of directors.

30 YEARS AGO: Jan. 21, 1927 (Friday). Work is progressing on the city's new water pipe line from Big Butte Springs to Medford.

Three D'Autremont brothers, wanted for the holdup in 1923 of an SP train in southern Oregon and the murder of four men, are still at large.

40 YEARS AGO: Jan. 21, 1917 (Sunday). Southern Pacific Station Agent Vanwarming will have for his guests Sunday five ticket agents of the SP system.

A. C. Allen shows films at Page theatre pointing out irrigation to fish of screening irrigation ditches.

What's Your I.Q.? Nine or ten correct is superior; seven or eight is excellent; six or five is good.

1. In 1845 Congress reduced the single postage to 5 cents on a rate of letter to 3 cents on 300 miles; 10 cents over that distance; true or false?

2. Were France and England, or France and Australia, the principal nations engaged in "The Hundred Years' War"?

3. Does the Book of Jeremiah mention the overthrow of Sodom and Gomorrah?

4. Did President Truman embark at a Belgian, English, or German port on reaching Europe for the Potsdam Conference?

5. Is an apirary a place where birds are kept?

6. In Dickens' "Oliver Twist" was the Artful Dodger an adept in villainy, a young thief or an old swindler?

7. Was Joseph M. W. Turner a landscape painter or composer?

8. What is the English equivalent of the Roman numeral MDCCCXXX?

Who Is Parcel Post For?

About a week before Christmas, when the "mailing rush" was still under way, we toted a hefty package down to the Post Office to be mailed to a California city in time for Christmas.

We waited patiently in line for the 10 or 15 minutes it took (it seemed more like half an hour) to get to the window. By the time we got there, the strings on the package had cut into our fingers, we were late back to work, and our humor was not of the best.

The clerk at the window was nice enough. He accepted the package, weighed it, then said, "Sorry, it weighs 22 pounds. Twenty is the limit."

We trudged back to the office, still totting the darned package, seething. We also recalled distinctly having mailed larger and heavier packages in the past.

IT DIDN'T take long to find out the reason for what had happened. Postmaster Moore Hamilton reported the ruling is the result of Public Law 199, which we later found out was passed in 1951 at the behest of the Railway Express agency, a monopoly owned by the nation's larger railroads.

Further data was forthcoming from Dave Holmes of Harry and David, one of the largest users of parcel post and Railway Express services in the nation.

We learned that exasperation at the law has been mounting, ever since it became effective in 1952, on the part of the public, large users of parcel post, and postal employees themselves.

HERE are a few of the provisions of the law:

From a first class post office you may not mail a package to another first class post office if it weighs more than 20 pounds or if it measures more than 72 inches in combined length and thickness.

BUT you may mail a package weighing up to 70 and 100 inches—the same as it was everywhere between first class.

If it is going not more than about 150 miles, however, it can weigh up to 40 pounds, between first class post offices.

On the other hand, if you mail from a post office other than first class, the limitation is 70 pounds and 100 inches—the same as it was everywhere before the law was passed. (We could have taken that package to Phoenix and mailed it, no questions asked.)

THE question is, why this silly business of different weights and measures for different post offices and different distances—things which the average postal patron can't possibly keep in mind, and shouldn't be expected to?

We don't know for sure, but the Parcel Post Association blames it directly on the Railway Express and no one else. They claim it was designed to protect this "monopoly" from the "competition" of the Post Office.

They say the result has been that the postal deficit has increased; that parcel post rates have gone up as patronage has gone down; that Post Office administration is more difficult, and that the ultimate objective is to kill parcel post (it was only established in 1912) altogether.

Question: Who is the Post Office supposed to benefit, the taxpayers that support it, or the express agency which fears its competition?—E.A.

Scenery vs. Signs

We have been watching with interest the comments of Willamette valley newspapers on the new Baldock freeway between Portland and Salem, and how gradually, little by little, billboards are taking over what was once a beautiful, pastoral section of highway.

They don't like it. Neither do we.

At the last session of the legislature a law was passed limiting, but not banning, billboards on Oregon's freeways. Billboards are allowed not more frequently than every 1,000 feet. But at 60 miles per hour, that's one billboard every 12 seconds.

THE Oregon Statesman says:

"We do not oppose outdoor advertising; but do object to it where it mars the scenic view or creates traffic hazard."

That puts it in a nutshell. The fact is that with the new federal highway bill, much of the wide new highways will be through unspoiled, uncrowded areas, which will be both a delight to the eye and a temptation to billboard advertisers.

Bills to protect the freeways from billboard encroachment will be under consideration in both the state legislature and the federal congress. It is to be hoped that members of either or both can resist the pressures of the billboard lobbies and offer protection to areas which are more valuable for their scenic beauties than they are for gargantuan pictures extolling the virtues of beer, cigarettes or mayonnaise.

Let us close with that Ogden Nash verse we have quoted before:

"I think that I shall never see a billboard lovely as a tree.

"Perhaps unless the billboards fall, I'll never see a tree at all."

May that verse not be prophetic!—E.A.

Snow Threatens Disaster Along Coast of Spain

Valencia, Spain — (U.P.) — Falling temperatures and freak snow, the first in 30 years along this orange-producing coast, have threatened economic disaster for the second time in 12

months. Farmers watched anxiously for a repetition of last February's disastrous freeze which cut orange exports for an estimated 85 per cent and cost Spain \$80 million.

Today and Tomorrow

By Walter Lippmann

Big Budget Big Country

Looking at the big budget which the President has just submitted to Congress, the obvious question is why with Mr. Eisenhower in the White House, with a stalwart like George Humphrey in the Treasury, Federal spending has risen so much. There can be no doubt about what Eisenhower meant to do when he took office four years ago. He promised then that in two years he would reduce the last Truman budget, which reflected the Korean war and rearmament, down to \$60 billions, a reduction of some \$14 billions, and he certainly hoped that the reduction would "steadily continue."



Walter Lippmann

In his first two years he did reduce expenditures by some \$10 billions, mainly by savings on national defense. But since then he has felt he had to ask for more and more money. The new estimates are not much below the high Truman budget of 1953 and, if expenditures for federal highways are included, they are higher by nine billions than the first Eisenhower budget. The bulk of the increase is for defense. But there is a not insignificant increase of three billions in what are called "civil benefits," a term which covers the veterans, agriculture, housing and natural resources.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

ALL this has happened under Eisenhower and Humphrey, and in the face of an Eisenhower landslide, which removes any need to bid for votes. Mr. Humphrey makes no bones about his personal unhappiness at the size of the expenditure. What, if he had had his way and not been overruled by the President, would he have done to reduce the budget?

He has not said plainly what he would have done. But reading between the lines, it appears that he would have altered our present military policy on the one hand, and on the other, he would have resisted sternly the various demands for federal aid. In the military establishment he would, it appears, have concentrated on the new big strategic armaments, and he would then have made substantial economies in the conventional sea and ground forces. This would mean an establishment designed to deal only with overt Russian aggression, but unprepared to do much about local and limited disorders.

In the field of "civil benefits," he would have fought off the advance of the welfare state. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

For the new budget is big because of the big policies to which the President has committed himself. In other words, the substantial reduction in the Eisenhower budget, which Mr. Humphrey regards as so necessary to our economic health, could not be made without fundamental changes in policy. It would mean a change in the Eisenhower foreign policy, which is one of containment on a global scale, and requires the support of conventional forces. It would mean an abandonment of the Eisenhower domestic policy, at least that part of it which is called the new Republicanism.

</