

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily Except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO.

ROBERT W. RUHL, Editor. HERB GREY, Advertising Manager. GERALD LATHAM, Business Manager.

Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon, under Act of March 3, 1879.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: By Mail—In Advance: Per Copy 10c. Daily and Sunday—One year \$15.00.

By Carrier—In Advance: Medford, Ashland, Central Point, Eagle Point, Jacksonville, Gold Hill, Phoenix, Shady Cove, Rogue River, Talent, and on motor routes.

Official Paper of the City of Medford. Official Paper of Jackson County. Official Press—Full Leased Wire.

MEMBER OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATION. Advertising Representative: WEST-HOLIDAY COMPANY, INC.

Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION AFFILIATE MEMBER. OREGON NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION.

Flight o' Time. Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO. Oct. 31, 1946 (Thursday). Jackson County Pomona Grange opposes the 3 per cent gross income tax bill, the Townsend bill.

From Arthur Perry's Ye Smudge Pot column: Snow now adorns the higher elevations. The outdoor lovers, in a few more Sundays, aboard skis, will be confronted with the problem of dodging one tree, to hit a bigger one.

20 YEARS AGO. Oct. 31, 1936 (Saturday). Double counting boards will function in next Tuesday's general election in 43 of Jackson county's 70 election precincts.

Turkey production this season in Jackson county will total 60,000, about 15,000 more than last year, according to County Agent Bob Fowler.

80 YEARS AGO. Oct. 31, 1926 (Sunday). Medford will have a new \$150,000 fruit packing plant if tentative plans are carried through, according to L. A. Banks.

Little local interest taken in the general election next Tuesday.

40 YEARS AGO. Oct. 21, 1916 (Tuesday). Mill machinery for Applegate Lumber company, which will operate in Medford, will arrive here within three weeks.

Four cars of Rogue River valley Newtowns sold in London at 11 to 14 shillings per box.

10 YEARS AGO. Oct. 31, 1906 (Wednesday). Medford branch of First National bank expects to open Monday at new location.

Heating plant being installed at Ashland normal school will be ready for use soon.

What's the Answer? Can You Get 4 of the 7? Copr. 1955 Editorial Research Report.

1. If the Eisenhower-Nixon ticket wins on Nov. 6, Nixon will or won't be the first GOP Vice President ever reelected?

2. Unesco is a UN agency on disarmament, racial equality, scientific-educational-cultural matters, or labor conditions?

3. Largest Communist party in western Europe is in Great Britain, France, Italy, Spain or Sweden?

4. Most Southern states voted for Stevenson or Eisenhower in 1952?

5. What the British calls "draughts" is called in the U.S. chess, checkers, bingo, duplicate bridge, darts or parcheesi?

6. Auto tags of which state carry the legend "Heart of Dixie"?

7. Stevenson, losing all these large states in 1952, ran best in which: New York, Pennsylvania, Illinois, California, Ohio, Michigan, Texas?

The answers: 1. Will be, 2. Scientific-educational-cultural matters, 3. Italy, 4. Most for Stevenson, 5. Checkers, 6. Alabama, 7. Had highest percentage of votes in Pennsylvania.

Local Measures

No. 51—"yes." No. 52—"yes." No. 53—"yes." No. 54—"yes." No. 55—"yes." No. 56—"yes."

Annexation proposals to be voted on by residents of Berrydale and Grandview - Kenwood districts—"yes."—E.A.

For a Progressive City

The Mail Tribune recommends a "yes" vote on each of the four proposals (Nos. 51 to 54, inclusive, on the ballot) which go to make up the "capital improvement program."

No. 52 is for an arterial street program. It is badly needed to provide, now and in the future, faster and easier cross-town and through-town driving. The situation is bad now. With predictions that traffic will be doubled in the next 10 to 15 years (and earlier predictions erred on the conservative side), the program is almost a "must" if Medford is to be well-served in the matter of arterial streets.

The cost will amount to a number of dollars a year for each property-owner, but much, if not all, of that will be offset by savings in gas, oil, tires and wear and tear on automobiles, to say nothing of drivers' nerves.

NO. 51 is the off-street parking measure — which again is a badly-needed step toward easing downtown congestion, and which again is needed now, but will be needed even more in the future.

The cost will be born by downtown merchants and property-owners (one-third of the total), and by those using downtown parking facilities. It will not become an added tax burden under foreseeable circumstances. It is designed to be entirely self-liquidating, with parking meter revenues paying most of the bill.

Selection of the sites for the parking lots will be left up to the capable professional city engineering staff because, first, they are in the best position to decide where they are most needed, and second, to prevent any possibility of real estate speculation in connection with the program.

NO. 53 and 54 are "good housekeeping" measures, to improve and develop the city's storm and sanitary sewer systems.

The cost is relatively low, the improvements are vitally needed (just ask anyone who lives in areas where high-water occurs regularly), and the entire community has a stake in seeing that one area is not favored over another where such improvements are needed.

THE entire, four-point program has been well-thought-out, and is sound. The tax cost for all four of them amounts to 10 mills (1 cent) per dollar of assessed valuation per year. This will decrease as valuation in the city increases, and as the programs are concluded — six years in the case of the sewer program programs and 10 years for the arterial street program.

The four should be thought of as a "package" for progress in the city, although they will be voted on separately. Each one deserves approval on its own merits.—E.A.

Little Annexation

A small area in east Medford voted against annexation to the city when the big Southeast Medford area voted to join the city. About three blocks are involved. Voters in the city, under state laws, can vote to bring in to the city any area entirely surrounded by the city—as this is.

It's undesirable to have a small "island" of county area within—but not part of—the city. It causes administrative confusion and difficulties. City voters should vote "yes" on this little annexation.—E.A.

Big Annexations

Citizens of the Berrydale and Grandview-Kenwood districts have petitioned to join the city—mostly because it is the easiest and fastest—and perhaps only—way to get sanitary sewers.

Other benefits of city services, of course, go along with this—as well as the necessary regulations which are part of city life, which some people do not like.

We believe residents of the two areas would gain benefits from annexation not otherwise obtainable. If they approve it, we would recommend to city voters (who sooner or later will have to vote on it, too) to make it possible.—E.A.

Fluoridation

Fluoridation is a health measure—and a proven one.

Opponents—for reasons best known to themselves—have attempted to scare and confuse voters by calling it poison, socialized medicine, dictatorship, and so on and so on.

Supporters—including a vast majority of doctors, dentists, public health officials and others who should know what they're talking about—point out that it is a proven way of reducing dental decay in children, and that it is safe and economical.

It's a question of who to believe, and whether the dental health of the youngest generation is worth a dime a month to you.

We recommend a "yes" vote.—E.A.

Editor's note: The pre-election volume of letters to the editor has become so great that it obviously will be impossible to publish them all prior to election day. An attempt is being made to select those of greatest interest, and on subjects not previously and repeatedly discussed. Letters which are brief and to the point will have the best chance of being published.

Duncan Explains Position

To the Editor: A recent letter suggests my disqualification for the legislature because our law firm is local counsel for Southern Pacific. No wrongdoing is charged. If this is the worst that can be offered against my candidacy, I have no fear of the outcome.

It is no secret, and I have publicly discussed the fact, that our firm represents Southern Pacific. Regardless of the merits of the train controversy, they are entitled to legal representation as is every other citizen. As their attorney I have tried to represent them honestly and to the best of my ability. I owe none of my clients any allegiance as a legislator. Southern Pacific has contributed nothing towards my campaign. I have the same limited pass on their trains that other local counsel carry. It has been of no value to me for some time.

Southern Pacific is only one client. We also represent lumber mills, fruit growers, truckers, carpenters, farmers, laborers; people who are potential passengers and shippers on Southern Pacific. Even were I so disposed, self interest would dictate against a course of unfair bias in favor of one client over another.

Every group in this state has interests and legislative problems peculiar to them. I have told labor groups, teachers, veterans and farm groups the same thing—that if elected I would support their legislative proposals when I thought them in the public interest and would oppose them when I thought them wrong. My position on any railroad problem will be exactly the same.

Our Republican state senator is a lawyer. Some of his clients have legislative problems. Both Republican representatives are businessmen. Business lobbyists are active in Salem. No one can give up his livelihood to be a legislator. Each has, I am certain, made decisions honestly, whether right or wrong.

I ask the voters of this county to consider who would better represent them: a lawyer whose knowledge of our county's problems is as broad as the interests of his varied clients, who has a growing family and knows the current school problems, who is a veteran, who has spent much time working on community betterment projects, or men whose lives have been devoted to one business, whose children are grown, and who are more apt to look upon change with suspicion rather than with hope for progress and a better future. This is the real issue of this campaign.

I am running for representative because of an interest in government and because I feel that our democracy is strong and will remain strong only if we are willing to make sacrifices to work at the job. If your readers feel that I would make a good representative, I would appreciate their votes and promise to do my best to justify their confidence.

Robert B. Duncan, Democratic Candidate for State Representative

He Supports Duncan

To the Editor: The public is entitled to know that the letter which appeared in your issue of Oct. 29, signed by Jerry A. McGee, was the work of two Republican lawyers, whose interest in the Southern Pacific is small, but whose interest in maintaining Republican monopoly of the State Legislature is large. If Mr. McGee insists, I will be glad to furnish names and details.

The plain fact is, as we all know, that during 18 years of Republican state administrations and Republican dominated legislatures, the laws relating to the powers of the Public Utilities Commissioner have been kept weak and ineffective. As a consequence, when any railroad discontinues service, months can expire in hearings with the Commissioner powerless to act until the hearings are concluded. The public no doubt noted the contrast recently when the Southern Pacific attempted to curtail a service which affected the State of California. The attempted curtailment was quickly blocked by action of the California Railroad Commissioner, simply because California state administration and legislature had given the Commissioner power to act immediately.

It is amusing that Mr. McGee's spokesmen would try to make a big deal out of the fact that Representatives Mann and Littrell appeared at a hearing. The public, no doubt, has greater interest in what Mann and Littrell did at the last session of the legislature, in the way of introduction of bills and votes on legislation, in regard to this and similar issues. I do not recall either Mann or Littrell introducing any legislation of any nature in reference to railroads. If they feel so strongly about curtailment of service, they had

Communications

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words.

the opportunity to do something about it in the 1955 legislature. Their failure to do so is the best indication of their effectiveness.

The truth is that so long as the voters continue to support the same type of state administration we have had for 18 years, nothing effective will be done. The hope lies in the election of legislators and state officials who have the courage to back their words with action. Such men are Robert B. Duncan and Robert A. Boyer.

The implication that Bob Duncan is a hirling of Southern Pacific is an insult to the intelligence of the voters. I know of no man in Jackson county who is more conscientious and would do a better job in the entire public interest than Duncan.

Let us have no more hidden spokesmen in this campaign, but let it be decided on the real issues.

Edward C. Kelly, 906 West 4th St., Medford, Ore.

Sees Morse Victory

To the Editor: Six years ago the powerful Republican dictators did all possible to defeat Senator Wayne Morse at the primaries because they could not bluff nor buy him and his influence. He was then running as a Republican. Like T.R., Norris, LaFollette, et al, he could not bear the bosses and their "persistent pertinacious selfish special interests" policies, so he quit the party, as many other good men have done. Independent-minded Democrats and Republicans, and others who do their own thinking, supported and elected Sen. Morse. Again, looters of the public domain, would-be grabbers of the American Indians' miserly "allotted," then mostly swiped, reservations, are sticking the dagger into Senator Morse in the dark of the night. That little radio "spot" after the 10:30 p.m. news is a sample: "Did you know that Wayne Morse was absent 100 times from voting in the Senate? I wouldn't want him to represent me, would you?"

Wayne Morse and ten other Western Democratic Senators voted against the U.S. Chamber of Commerce-prepared Land Exchange bill, designed for more looting of the public domain. Eleven Western Republican senators voted for the bill. Morse also voted against S. 3444, the Long bill, planned public land grabbing. Senator Morse voted for the housing project, Social Security improvements; worked hard and voted for Civil Service retirees benefits; forest access roads; soil conservation; forest, wildlife, recreation facilities improvements; flood control measures; American Indians protection and better treatment; Hells Canyon dam; harbor and waterways improvements; coastal oil for all the people of the U.S. benefits, etc.

These are but a few of the many Morse activities in the interest of the people; and show that he is not a slave to the special interest politician dictators — the "persistent pertinacious selfish special interests," the likes of which George Washington wrote to Patrick Henry on Jan. 15, 1779. With Senator Morse and enough more good public-spirited, far-sighted representatives in the House and Senate, Oregon and the U.S.A. will be protected against the looters. Senator Morse was elected by the people of Oregon, not just those labeled "Republican," and Oregonians, all of us, will reject him Nov. 6.

John E. Gribble, 139 Kenwood ave., Medford, Ore.

On Berrydale Annexation

To the Editor: As E.A. so aptly stated in his editorial dated Oct. 29th, 1956, a choice is given us in the Nov. 6 election between "remaining in the country" (with all its advantages and disadvantages) and "coming into the city" (with all its advantages and disadvantages). Almost all of us have already carefully weighed this matter and find the advantages in country living much greater, or we would not live there.

After studying Mr. Duff's very beautiful map and becoming more and more confused with costs for water rates, fire protection, assessments, etc., of the many districts, I still must go back to the old ideas given us by the Medford Mail Tribune and the statements made at the City Council meeting. It was then stated that our tax rate would be from one-third to one-half higher than it now is. WOW! With costs already pretty rough, I had better find out what I get for these added taxes.

1. I get added Police protection. "I don't need it. I'll call 2-6113. They are very efficient fellows and much much closer."

2. I get added Fire protection. "I don't need that either. Central Point Rural Fire District, for which I now pay taxes are in my opinion outstanding."

3. I get street lights. "Thank you, but for the more than \$100 increase in my tax rate per year maybe my neighbor and I could

afford to put up a pole ourselves and put a light on it."

4. I get roads maintained at their present level. — THAT ONLY — If my roads are paved I, as a property owner, pay for them and that is not included in the increased taxes I pay.

Then let's face it, our big problem is sewer. That problem is "vital" to a small area to be annexed. We know of their great need, just as do the city planners. How can one group put themselves up as saying, "It is a policy—you must be annexed?" Remember this — at no time have we been guaranteed a sewage system. If we are annexed we can then, again, petition for a sewer. It is then up to the city to accept or reject us. These sewers will be a direct assessment to each property holder and not to be paid by our increased tax rate.

Also is it fair that I help you pay for downtown storm sewers, street paving, and parking area that I, now outside the city limits can't vote on but will help pay for, if I am annexed to the city? A gentleman stated at a meeting at Howard School recently, "We are fully aware of the need of some of the residents in the Berrydale area for a sewer. We doubt that these people could be stopped if the protests were loud enough. If, then, annexation is not a fair thing to all people concerned, let's vote NO to this proposal. Then pledge ourselves as a unit to help these people without giving up our country way of living." I thank this gentleman.

Mrs. Eldred F. Charley, Route 2, Box 377, Medford, Ore.

For Judge Burns

To the Editor: I believe that the American voter always welcomes the opportunity to learn more about a candidate for office, however large or small. Judge Nelle W. Burns of Ashland, has for 14 years filled the office of Justice of the Peace. She has enjoyed an excellent reputation for efficiency, fairness and honesty, both in public and private life. Her character is of sterling quality.

In the primary election, Judge Burns won by a large majority, in the Ashland precincts. This bears out the fact that the people who know her have faith in her ability as an administrator. Many a decent, honest candidate has gone down to defeat. Let's not let this happen to Judge Burns.

tion that fluorides in the correct quantities are absolutely safe for everyone.

Each year, every day, there are more parents who are asking their communities to help them safeguard the health of their children. This year we are asking you.

The Natural Foods association wants to accomplish the same purpose by changing our eating habits. I too am for raw carrots, and down with toothless rolls. But I don't want to make a career of nutrition, and I certainly don't intend to grind my own whatever-it-is they grind. I want raw carrots and fluoridation.

Incidentally, no matter what their bonemeal supplier says, fluorides in the right quantity are safe.

A number — 56 — is an odd symbol for an issue that involves a fundamental emotion, the determination of parents to protect their young from harm. That is the stuff that makes lionesses charge on blazing rifles to save their cubs. It is the reason I am writing this letter to the editor and asking you to vote for fluoridation.

Mrs. John Brandenburg, 824 Brookdale rd., Medford, Ore.

Economy

To the Editor: Let's consider the economy of fluoridation.

The opponents of fluoridation consider such a program wasteful because only a small percentage of the city water supply is used for drinking. Other uses for water such as bathing, washing, and irrigating are brought to our attention. I would like to restate a point made by Dr. Dotter on the television panel.

"Do you consider your automobile brakes useless when you don't actually have your feet on the pedal? Do you think your place of worship is wasted on Monday Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and Saturday?"

Even though we do drink only a small percentage of the water, it is a vital part and, if it contains the proper elements, can mean the difference between good teeth and poor dental health.

Fluoridation is a long range program. True, children benefit most, but the children of today are the adults of tomorrow and the effects of good teeth are lifelong. In time 100 per cent of the population will benefit.

Poor dental health results in poor general health. Don't be misguided by false economy. Vote yes for fluoridation.

Mrs. Ruth E. Frederick, 712 Whitman st., Medford, Ore.

Leave Water Sweet and Pure

To the Editor: I surely do agree with the doctor and two ladies who do not want to drink "teeth." How silly and glib can the public get? Most of the children with poor teeth come from families who have too many payments for "automatic" everything to purchase nourishing meals on the table.

My husband's wages have never been up to our government's standard of common living, yet our two sons were born in eastern Montana, a place noted for bad teeth and goiter, and raised from 11 and 3 1/2 years old, right here in the Rogue River valley, in fact right on the same road, and neither one has ever had a cavity or dental bill. They are now 30 and 23 years old. Of course they were raised on that poison, raw cow's milk, you know the kind that folks really gave. Not homogenized, pasteurized, decreamed and demilked liquid that is about as nourishing as the warmth one could get from trying to burn ashes.

They had all of the crude home made bread and cookies made with pure home grown lard and lots of eggs. At all the candy they wanted, after a good breakfast and dinner. Drank soft drinks if they could hold them after eating meals at regular hours.

As one of my daughters-in-law said, "Mom, you never talk much about the doctor bills you had for your boys. What did you do for your babies?" I said, "Honey, I wouldn't know what to do with the 'hybrids' you girls are raising these days. In my time people just had regular babies."

It's hard to imagine anyone being stupid enough to spoil the wonderful clear, cool, mountain water that we are blessed with here.

Just up your food bill and down your payments and leave the sweet pure water just as God intended it and your dental and doctor bills will be few and far between.

Harriet Hagerman, 1375 South Columbus Medford, Ore.

Doomed, By Golly!

To the Editor: Fluorine, fluoride, chlorine, chloride; what lethal sounding chemical terms assail and confuse us in the issues of today! A dusting-off of school textbooks would seem in order, that we might in some manner forestall the slow, green death that confronts us.

Fluorine, we learn, is an element of the chlorine family. This sounds wicked enough indeed, since chlorine is described as: "an element isolated as a heavy, greenish-yellow, irritating gas of disagreeable, suffocating odor." That's enough. Oh, deliver us from the diabolical schemers who would foist upon us any brackish brew of such toxic content!

Let's recall more about this potential exterminator. Chlorine, Sodium Chloride. What! Shades of Socrates quaffing the hemlock, that's table salt! Oh no! But wait, let's face facts. Thousands of people die in the U.S. each day. Each has regularly consumed dosages of sodium chloride, containing a derivative of the chlorine-fluorine family. Draw your own conclusions! We are doomed by the subversive plot of capitalistic manufacturers who falsely label this obviously harmful compound as simple table salt!

C. M. Stockard, 3484 Forest ave., Medford, Ore.

As a direct result of the matchless "brinkmanship" of John Foster Dulles, (who has been aptly described by a California news writer as a person "who was born with a silver foot in his mouth") we are now in a most unenviable position. Having championed Israel against the Arab world from the time of its inception as a nation, and having persistently thumbed our official nose at Egypt ever since our refusal to finance the Aswan Dam, we now stand poised to fly to her aid if the U.N. Security Council determines Israel to be the aggressor, as the superficial evidence seems to indicate that she is. If this is supposed to indicate a consistent policy for Middle Eastern dealings, it has me too confused to figure it out. The one thing I am sure of is that I do not want more of the same for the next four years.

In this period of chaos and complexity in world history, for any political party to maintain that a vote for its candidates is a vote for peace is an insult to the intelligence of every thinking voter. True we are a great and powerful nation, but we do not live in a sort of blissful vacuum where our own decisions alone can determine our destiny. Instead we live in one world whose physical boundaries shrink with every passing day, and our fate, for better or for worse, is inextricably bound up with the fate of all mankind. It is sheer wishful thinking to imagine that our present greedy, wasteful standard of living can—or should be—maintained indefinitely when much of the remainder of the world never knows the experience of even having enough to eat.

We need to be reminded that our fabulous prosperity and our peace as well, are directly dependent upon a free flow of raw materials, most of which we neither produce nor control. Instead they come from many of the so-called "have not" nations—the economically underdeveloped countries whose darker skinned peoples are weary unto death of our arrogant ways and our vacuous theories of white supremacy.

Unless we can have the kind of national leadership that knows where it is going before it finds itself perilously teetering on "the very brink of war,"—one that can develop a foreign policy which combines vision for the future beyond our own selfish, nationalistic desires, with sympathetic appreciation of the dignity, the viewpoints and the needs of the Arab world and the vast multitudes of Asian and African peoples, we shall know neither peace nor prosperity in the next four years—nor will we deserve to do so.

Grace N. Pearson, Route 2, Box 50, Jacksonville, Ore.

Against Foreign Policy

To the Editor: With the Middle East pot boiling over all over the place, it begins to look as if the great American peace party will need a major miracle to keep us at peace even until Nov. 6!

As a direct result of the matchless "brinkmanship" of John Foster Dulles, (who has been aptly described by a California news writer as a person "who was born with a silver foot in his mouth") we are now in a most unenviable position. Having championed Israel against the Arab world from the time of its inception as a nation, and having persistently thumbed our official nose at Egypt ever since our refusal to finance the Aswan Dam, we now stand poised to fly to her aid if the U.N. Security Council determines Israel to be the aggressor, as the superficial evidence seems to indicate that she is. If this is supposed to indicate a consistent policy for Middle Eastern dealings, it has me too confused to figure it out. The one thing I am sure of is that I do not want more of the same for the next four years.


In this period of chaos and complexity in world history, for any political party to maintain that a vote for its candidates is a vote for peace is an insult to the intelligence of every thinking voter. True we are a great and powerful nation, but we do not live in a sort of blissful vacuum where our own decisions alone can determine our destiny. Instead we live in one world whose physical boundaries shrink with every passing day, and our fate, for better or for worse, is inextricably bound up with the fate of all mankind. It is sheer wishful thinking to imagine that our present greedy, wasteful standard of living can—or should be—maintained indefinitely when much of the remainder of the world never knows the experience of even having enough to eat.

We need to be reminded that our fabulous prosperity and our peace as well, are directly dependent upon a free flow of raw materials, most of which we neither produce nor control. Instead they come from many of the so-called "have not" nations—the economically underdeveloped countries whose darker skinned peoples are weary unto death of our arrogant ways and our vacuous theories of white supremacy.

Unless we can have the kind of national leadership that knows where it is going before it finds itself perilously teetering on "the very brink of war,"—one that can develop a foreign policy which combines vision for the future beyond our own selfish, nationalistic desires, with sympathetic appreciation of the dignity, the viewpoints and the needs of the Arab world and the vast multitudes of Asian and African peoples, we shall know neither peace nor prosperity in the next four years—nor will we deserve to do so.

Grace N. Pearson, Route 2, Box 50, Jacksonville, Ore.

High Fidelity Masterpiece—in fine furniture



THE BERKSHIRE AM-FM radio-phonograph. 25 watts, 12" and 15" bass plus two horn speakers. In mahogany \$495.

The magnificent Magnavox high fidelity radio-phonograph

PURUCKER PIANO HOUSE

Southern Oregon's Oldest and Finest Music Store

111 North Central Phone 2-5702