

The Family Council

Editor's note: The Family Council consists of a judge, a psychiatrist, a newspaper editor, a women's page editor and newspaper writers. These consult with clergymen of all faiths and denominations. All letters are held in complete confidence.

MRS. R. J. T. He can't afford a helpless girl.
TOM—I won't shop for a wife.

MRS. R. J. T.—I have been a widow for many years and have struggled hard to raise my children and give them a decent start in life. Now, my oldest boy tells me that he is ready to marry. He is 24, and I have no objections to his marrying. The girl he has chosen however, comes from a poor family, yet has not been trained to work. I am afraid that in such a marriage, one misfortune would make things hopeless for the whole family.

I have always hoped that my children would marry well, so if death or serious illness should strike, there would be some reserve to keep the family together in decent style.

I could overlook the fact that Tom's girl comes from a poor family if she had been trained at some skilled trade or profession so that, in the event of misfortune, she might not be as helpless as I was when my husband died. I have since become a saleswoman, but the first few years when I was qualified for nothing, was horrible.

TOM—I do not see why Mother should assume I will not be able to provide well for my family. I have been out of the army a few years, but I feel I am on the way up in my company. I am earning enough now to provide a decent home. I have an officer's rank in the Reserves, welfare protection with my company and a little money in the bank. If I should die, I feel that Jenny would be provided for at least for a few years, so she could train herself for the future.

My mother wants me to give up Jenny, whom I love very much, and go shopping for a girl who offers better terms. I cannot control my emotions so cold-bloodedly, and I think that my mother is trying to put me back in the Middle Ages with her mercenary attitude towards marriage.

THE COUNCIL: Tom fails to acknowledge that his mother makes a good deal of sense. He would have a much easier time getting her to understand him if he made a better effort to understand her.

She is being not at all "Middle Ages" in her point of view when she wants her son to look ahead to the dangers of life. On the contrary, she is very much up-to-date.

This age, far more than that of the pre-war age, is one that requires women to have the capacity for economic self-sufficiency. Families do not stick to

McGuffey Reader Successors Lauded

New York — (UP) The modern successors to the famed McGuffey Reader of a century ago can be just as useful in instilling proper moral values in the younger grammar school set, and they also can aid in proper presentation of the American way of life, according to a textbook authority.

L. L. Bruggeman, a leading expert on foreign school systems and head of the international department of the American Book Co., returned recently from inspecting school systems in Europe and the Far East impressed with the need for offsetting Communist propaganda that distorts American life and education.

"The Communists are constantly trying to depict the United States as a nation of crime and gangsterism, without morals or standards, where even the education of children takes place in a blackboard jungle atmosphere of violence and terror," he said.

He cited the new Golden Rule Series of readers as one type designed to "stress character building and the basic principles of morality" and thus give "the best possible answer to these cunning slurs."

Bruggeman added that the readers also aid the cause of individual freedom and democracy throughout the world by their use of the most advanced American elementary teaching techniques.

These techniques, he explained, use the child's own initiative and individuality — rather than the unquestioned authority of the teacher — to teach the basic moral, spiritual and human relations values.

"Democracy depends for its ultimate survival on a citizenry educated to assume the responsibilities of — and actively participate in — the democratic way of life on an individual basis.

gether now as they did generations ago, when larger homes embracing grandparents and unmarried relatives were the rule. Nowadays, there is a strong tendency to institutionalize all family problems and to narrow the family unit to exclude the older generation and the uncles, aunts and cousins.

A young woman marrying these days must think of the possibility that she may have to help a chronically sick husband or support children as a widow.

Tom should, therefore, concede to his mother that her concerns are well-founded. Once he grants that, his mother may give more weight to his arguments for marrying Jenny. He does appear to have good prospects, and perhaps Jenny can further reassure their future by mapping some program of training for herself.

Tom, instead of resenting his mother as being "old-fashioned," should attempt to persuade her that he is not being extremely rash in making this love marriage.

(COPYRIGHT 1956, GENERAL FEATURES CORP.)

LEGAL NOTICES

THE CALIFORNIA INSURANCE COMPANY
of San Francisco, in the State of California, made to the Insurance Commissioner of the State of Oregon, pursuant to law.

ADMITTED ASSETS

Bonds	\$ 4,894,823.80
Stocks	3,058,735.00
Mortgage loans on real estate	0
Real estate, less encumbrances	495,705.08
Cash and bank deposits	777,644.56
Agents' balances or uncollected premiums	722,783.73
Interest, dividends and real estate income due and accrued	41,179.75
Other assets	33,948.90
Total admitted assets	\$10,044,820.82

LIABILITIES, SURPLUS AND OTHER FUNDS

Losses	\$ 720,189.00
Loss adjustment expenses	40,187.00
Unearned premiums	4,214,576.44
All other liabilities	428,473.23
Total liabilities, except capital	\$ 5,403,425.67
Capital paid up (Deposits)	\$1,000,000.00
Special surplus funds	87,250.00
Unassigned funds (surplus)	3,556,145.15
Surplus in regard to policyholders	\$ 4,643,395.15
Total	\$10,044,820.82

STATEMENT OF INCOME

Premiums earned	\$ 3,913,374.24
Losses incurred	\$ 2,009,890.71
Loss expenses incurred	195,296.01
Other underwriting expenses incurred	1,818,377.77
Total underwriting deductions	\$ 4,023,564.49
Net underwriting gain or loss	\$ -110,185.25
Investment income	\$ 202,584.08
Other income	\$ -3,004.96
Total, before federal income taxes	\$ 207,393.85
Federal income taxes	\$ 35,229.49
Net income	\$ 172,164.36
Dividends to stockholders	\$ -125,000.00
Dividends to policyholders	0
Capital changes (net)	0
Other items affecting surplus (net)	166,506.44
Total capital and surplus items (net)	\$ 415,064.44
Increase in surplus as regards policyholders	\$ 213,870.80

BUSINESS IN OREGON FOR THE YEAR

Net premiums received	\$ 55,907.32
Net losses paid	\$ 27,005.51
Dividends paid or credited to policyholders	0
Principal office in Oregon: Lyle A. Heer, Board of Trade Bldg., Portland 4, Oregon.	
R. A. Holmes Insurance Agency, 116 South Central Avenue, Medford, Oregon.	

THE OCEAN ACCIDENT AND GUARANTEE CORP., LTD.
of ONE PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK 16, N.Y., in the State of NEW YORK, made to the Insurance Commissioner of the State of Oregon, pursuant to law.

ADMITTED ASSETS

Bonds	\$19,436,065.72
Stocks	15,891,720.00
Mortgage loans on real estate	0
Real estate, less encumbrances	495,705.12
Cash and bank deposits	2,193,211.22
Agents' balances or uncollected premiums	1,928,534.50
Interest, dividends and real estate income due and accrued	131,413.24
Other assets	1,982,710.50
Total admitted assets	\$42,039,360.30

LIABILITIES, SURPLUS AND OTHER FUNDS

Losses	\$13,056,426.00
Loss adjustment expenses	1,254,732.00
Unearned premiums	10,256,857.00
All other liabilities	2,338,670.61
Total liabilities, except capital	\$27,876,685.61
Capital paid up (Deposits)	\$1,000,000.00
Special surplus plus funds	31,000.00
Unassigned funds (surplus)	13,131,674.69
Surplus as regards policyholders	\$14,162,674.69
Total	\$42,039,360.30

STATEMENT OF INCOME

Premiums earned	\$16,787,996.91
Losses incurred	\$ 7,230,674.57
Loss expenses incurred	1,816,287.14
Other underwriting expenses incurred	7,321,871.08
Total underwriting deductions	\$ 16,368,833.19
Net underwriting gain or loss	\$ 399,163.72
Investment income	\$ 1,274,736.94
Other income	\$ -21,976.53
Total, before federal income taxes	\$ 1,651,924.13
Federal income taxes	\$ -338,474.14
Net income	\$ 1,313,449.99
Dividends to stockholders	\$ -1,210,388.48
Dividends to policyholders	0
Capital changes (net)	\$ -222,071.04
Other items affecting surplus (net)	\$ 1,656,997.42
Total capital and surplus items (net)	\$ 424,537.90
Increase in surplus as regards policyholders	\$ 1,737,987.89

BUSINESS IN OREGON FOR THE YEAR

Net premiums received	\$ 49,004.02
Net losses paid	\$ 66,774.70
Dividends paid or credited to policyholders	0
Principal office in Oregon: Lyle A. Heer, Board of Trade Bldg., Portland 4, Oregon.	
R. A. Holmes Insurance Agency, 116 South Central Avenue, Medford, Oregon.	

CONFSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FOR RENT

• Motor Cranes • Back Hoes • Motor Graders
• Draglines • Clamshells • Shovel Fronts
• Crawler Type Tractors with Dozers
• 105 Air Compressor • 315 Air Compressor
• Wagon Drill - Paving Breakers
• Jack Hammers

MACHINE TRENCHING
Gas - Water - Sewer - Drain Installation or Repair

E. C. WENINGER & SONS
MEDFORD, OREGON PHONE 2-5336 or 2-5897

The National Highway Users Conference estimates inadequate roads have cost the motoring public \$5,300,000,000 in accidents, loss of time and operation costs.

LEGAL NOTICES

SUMMONS FOR PUBLICATION
In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Jackson
EVELYN H. JAGERS, Plaintiff,
vs.
EVELYN JAGERS, Defendant.
To Evelyn Jagers, the above named defendant—
IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON: You are hereby summoned to appear in the above entitled court and cause and answer the complaint of the plaintiff on file herein against you within such weeks from the date of the first publication of this summons, which first publication is April 12th, 1956, and you will hereby take notice that if you fail to so appear and answer as herein required that plaintiff will apply to the court for a decree of divorce.
This summons is published, and you are required to appear and answer as herein provided, under and by virtue of an order duly made, rendered and entered by the Hon. H. K. Haan, Circuit Judge of Jackson County, Oregon, under date of April 12th, 1956.
Robert Kellington & Branchfield, Attorneys for Plaintiff
Address: 201-5 U.S. National Bank Bldg., Medford, Oregon.

ORDINANCE NO. 6383
AN ORDINANCE declaring the assessment on the property benefited for the cost of laying an eight (8) inch water main on Stevens Street from Oregon Terrace to Crater Lake Avenue and four (4) inch water mains on Mae, Marie, Effie, Bessie and Pearl Streets from Saling Avenue to Stevens Street and a six (6) inch water main on Mary Street from Stevens Street to the City of Medford, Oregon, and directing the Recorder to enter a statement thereof in the City of Medford, Oregon, and publish a notice thereof as required by the Charter.

CITY OF MEDFORD DOTH
Section 1. Whereas the City Council did by Resolution No. 3228, its intention to lay an eight (8) inch water main on Stevens Street from Oregon Terrace to Crater Lake Avenue and four (4) inch water mains on Mae, Marie, Effie, Bessie and Pearl Streets from Saling Avenue to Stevens Street from Stevens Street, 250 feet South in the City of Medford, Oregon, and to assess the cost thereof on the property fronting on said portion of said streets in proportion to the frontage of said property, and did at a time and place for hearing protests against the laying of said water main on said streets, and the assessment of the cost thereof as follows:
And Whereas said Resolution was duly posted and published as required by Section 116 of the Charter of said City.
And Whereas a meeting of the Council was held at which the effect of said Resolution was discussed, and time made to or received by the Council to the laying of said water main or to the assessing of the cost thereof, and said Council, having considered the matter and deeming that said water main was in the public interest, and that the laying of said water main and the assessing of the cost thereof would be beneficial to the extent of the probable amount of the cost of said assessments to be levied against said property, did order said water main laid, and the cost thereof assessed as follows:
SUMMARY
Construction Cost \$14,744.97
Advertising assessment 32.29
Engineering assessment 71.52
Interest on Warrants 156.57
Amount to be Assessed \$15,813.13
Property frontage assessed 7,402.02 feet
Cost per linear foot of frontage to be assessed, \$2.14
Total of said water mains to be assessed has been and hereby is declared to be the sum of \$15,813.13.
And Whereas the Council has further determined that the proportionate share of the cost of laying said water main on each parcel of property fronting on said portion of said streets is the amount set opposite the description of each piece or parcel of land below and that each such piece or parcel is benefited by the laying of said water main to the full extent of the amount so set opposite the description of such piece or parcel and that the respective amounts represent the proportionate benefits of said water main to said respective parcels of property and also the proportionate share thereof on said portion of said streets, and the Council does hereby declare each of the parcels of property in the City of Medford as described below to be assessed the amount set opposite each respective description for the cost of laying said water main.
ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE
F. E. & Ardis Kimmey
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 325, Page 29, in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—136.8 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$291.25.
Assessment No. 2.
Lucille A. Baker
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 328, Page 338 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 3.
Clarence A. & Marjorie L. Pierce
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 398, Page 421 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—11.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$23.50.
Assessment No. 4.
Roy T. & Ella B. Osborn
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 367, Page 296 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—19.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$40.64.
Assessment No. 5.
Frank E. & Althea J. Severly
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 415, Page 75 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—80.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$170.91.
Assessment No. 6.
Harold H. & Joan M. Sharp
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 371, Page 428 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—12.5 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$26.75.
Assessment No. 7.
Ellen R. Doran
Property described in Jackson County

Deed Records, Vol. 355, Page 280 less that property described in Vol. 372, Page 428 in the City of Medford, Ore. Frontage—15.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$32.10.
Assessment No. 8.
Charles D. & Ruth M. Craig
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 288, Page 319 less that property described in Vol. 324, Page 13 in the City of Medford, Ore. Frontage—81.5 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$174.11.
Assessment No. 9.
Charles E. & Luanna Craig
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 324, Page 13 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 10.
Frank C. & Lila E. McDowell
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 285, Page 324 less that property described in Vol. 273, Page 47 in the City of Medford, Ore. Frontage—397.8 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$849.83.
Assessment No. 11.
George E. & Emma Manning
Property described in Jackson County Deed Records, Vol. 273, Page 47 in the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—40.5 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$86.79.
Assessment No. 12.
J. R. & Ethel M. O'Neal
Lot 1, Block 1, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 13.
Alroy M. Lehman
The West 75.0 feet of Lots 23 and 24, Block 1, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 14.
Mary Carrara
Lot 22, Block 1, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 15.
Richard & Hazel M. Borch
Lots 20 and 21, Block 1, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 16.
The East 25.0 feet of Lots 23 and 24, Block 1, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—25.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$53.43.
Assessment No. 17.
Alvin G. Lemings
Lot 1, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 18.
C. Jackson
Lot 2, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 19.
City of Medford
Lots 3, 4 & 5, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—150.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$321.00.
Assessment No. 20.
Albert Stevens
Lots 23 & 24, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 21.
Charles H. & Orpha Leschensky
Lots 20, 21 & 22, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—150.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$321.00.
Assessment No. 22.
Jennie Estes
Lot 19, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 23.
John S. & Caroline E. Goodwin
Lots 17 & 18, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 24.
Beni R. & Jessie Rojas
Lot 16, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 25.
C. B. Otto Deter & Marie Schumacher
Lot 15, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 26.
Eleanor M. & L. R. Einbaur
Lot 14, Block 2, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 27.
Bel B. Noy
Lot 1, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 28.
Raymond S. & Naomi Darby
Lot 2, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 29.
Raymond S. & Naomi Darby
Lot 4, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 30.
South 37.5 feet of Lot 4 and the North 25.0 feet of Lot 5, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—62.5 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$133.52.
Assessment No. 31.
Laura Schellenberg
South 25.0 feet of Lot 5 and Lot 6, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 32.
C. J. & Lillian M. Jensen
Lot 7 and the North 25.0 feet of Lot 8, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—11.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$23.50.
Assessment No. 33.
W. P. & Berda Manning
South 25.0 feet of Lot 8 and Lot 9, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 34.
Charles H. & Freda Hollis
Lot 10 and the North 25.0 feet of Lot 11, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 35.
Irvin Dahack
Lot 24, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 36.
Sarah H. Swift
Lots 22 and 23, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.

Friday, April 20, 1956

Assessment No. 36
Arelia Low, Plaintiff
vs.
Elizabeth West
North 25.0 feet of Lot 20 and Lot 21, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 37.
L. K. Sunderland
Lot 19 and the South 25.0 feet of Lot 20, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 38.
William C. & Anna B. Gray
Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 3, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—150.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$321.00.
Assessment No. 39.
Peter B. Borbon
Lots 1 and 2, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 40.
Jesse M. & D. C. Chancellor
Lots 9 and 10, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 41.
John L. & Myrtle L. Allen
Lots 23 and 24, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 42.
Bernard N. & Gertrude E. Lewin
Lot 22, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 43.
Roy Sunderland
Lots 20 and 21, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 44.
Yetta A. Flowers
Lot 19, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 45.
B. A. & Effie L. Sawyer
Lots 15, 17 and 18, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—150.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$321.00.
Assessment No. 46.
Marion Sutton
Lot 15, Block 4, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 47.
Theodore Daily
Lots 1 and 2, Block 5, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 48.
Sarah H. Swift
Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 5, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—150.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$321.00.
Assessment No. 49.
John R. Willett
Lot 6, Block 5, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 50.
Lot 7, Block 5, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 51.
S. P. & Gladys Culley
Lot 8, Block 5, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 52.
Marion N. & Elva S. Sutton
Lot 20, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 53.
C. E. & Alice I. Stelle
Lot 19, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 54.
Mrs. Lillie S. Sutton
Lot 18, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 55.
Alvera Hebert
Lot 17, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition

to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 56.
William O. & Mary G. Hunt
Lots 15 and 16, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 57.
Fred & Ella A. Basley
Lot 24, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 58.
George Renker
Lots 22 and 23, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 59.
George L. & Mildred M. Howard
Lot 21, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 60.
Mrs. Estie Roth
Lots 19 and 20, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 61.
S. P. & Gladys Culley
North 6.0 feet of Lot 16 and Lot 17 and 18, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—106.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$226.45.
Assessment No. 62.
Viola H. Moore
Lot 15 and South 44.0 feet of Lot 16, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—94.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$200.82.
Assessment No. 63.
Mary E. McCrary, Vera Washington
Lots 1 and 2, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 64.
Bernard & Vivian Harris
Lot 3 and the North 25.0 feet of Lot 4, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 65.
Wallace J. & Isis M. Monthie
The South 25.0 feet of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—75.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$160.22.
Assessment No. 66.
William O. & Florence J. Neal
Lots 6 and 8, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 67.
Svrena Gay
Lots 8 and 9, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 68.
Claude E. & Hene M. Atkins
Lots 10 and 11, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 69.
James & May Leeper
Lots 23 and 24, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—100.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$213.63.
Assessment No. 70.
J. W. Bynum
Lot 22, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 71.
Hazel Leslie
Lot 21, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 72.
Marion N. & Elva S. Sutton
Lot 20, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 73.
C. E. & Alice I. Stelle
Lot 19, Block 6, Laurelhurst Addition to the City of Medford, Oregon.
Frontage—50.0 feet, Rate per foot—\$2.14, Amount due—\$107.00.
Assessment No. 74.
Mrs. Lillie S. Sutton
Lot 18, Block 6, Laurelh