

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

Published Daily Except Saturday... MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 27-29 North Fir St. Phone 2-6141

Subscription Rates: By Mail—In Advance: Per Copy 10c. Daily and Sunday—One year \$12.00

Official Paper of the City of Medford... MEMBER OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATION

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION AFFILIATE MEMBER... NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

Flight o' Time: Medford and Jackson County History from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30 and 40 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO Feb. 13, 1946: (It was Wednesday) Henry G. Enders of Ashland elected president of Jackson county Lincoln club.

From Arthur Perry's Ye Smudge Pot column: A Berkeley professor reports Crater Lake is in no danger of eruption, but one would ruin its beautiful blue color.

20 YEARS AGO Feb. 13, 1936: (It was Thursday) Frank J. Van Dyke, city attorney of Ashland, elected president of the Lincoln club of Jackson county.

Posses of 50 CCC men join intense search for William Reed, 80, who is believed lost in Carberry creek district; missing from home for several days.

80 YEARS AGO Feb. 13, 1926: (It was Saturday) Sams Valley man arrested and jailed for having possession of illicit prune mash; small amount of moonshine destroyed.

C. A. Malone of Ashland elected president of the Jackson county Lincoln club at annual banquet at which E. B. Piper, editor of Oregonian, speaks.

40 YEARS AGO Feb. 13, 1916: Medford's Drama League center plans celebration of Shakespeare's ter-centennial.

From Local and Personal column: Travel on the Southern Pacific, as is the case no doubt, on all rail lines at this time of the year, has been limited to a few who apparently had to go somewhere; but it is increasing during the past few days.

What's the Answer? Can You Get 4 of the 7? Copr. 1955, Editorial Research Report

- 1. Republican presidential primary in New Hampshire in 1952 was won by Eisenhower, Taft, Stassen, MacArthur, Dewey or McCarthy? 2. Greatest wheat-producing state is Iowa, Kansas, Montana, N. Dakota or Washington?

London — (U.P.) — Earl Attlee's doctor said today the retired Laborite leader suffered two broken ribs in a highway crash Friday night. Attlee was injured when a car driven by his wife skidded on an icy road and collided with another car.

Pointless Arguments

Hand some people a shovel and they'd be tempted to rush out and start digging the foundations for a high dam across the Rogue river.

Hand some others a shotgun, and they'd be tempted to rush out and start shooting at whoever was digging these foundations.

The way we figure it, neither of these attitudes is justified—not now, anyhow.

IN THIS space on January 4 it was said that the people of the Rogue valley should seek:

"... a sound determination of what action is needed to prevent recurrent and even more disastrous floods. "When this is done we can, if necessary, choose up sides and fight out the question of whether fishing and recreation are more important than lives and property.

"But such a fight may be unnecessary if a plan can be devised which will protect both values."

This is still true. Nobody knows for sure what the best plan for flood control is—although a lot of them may think they know. As a result, various and sundry individuals are getting hot under the collar and are choosing up sides about how it should be done without having any idea whatsoever about a sound program.

THIS is, of course, understandable. It is also regrettable. In this newspaper Sunday was an article from Washington, D. C., quoting the congressman from this district who pointed out the procedure which must be gone through before any action of flood control—or any thing else—can be taken.

The facts, however unsavory to the people with the shovels in hand, indicate that at best it would be several years before any concrete could be poured for flood control structures.

As for the people with the shotgun, they are in a position of saying "a high dam be damned" before they know whether or not they're going to be hurt.

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

First, our representatives in Congress should be able to have unified support, when they call for it, for an Army engineers study which will let us know just what the problem is. Secondly, we shouldn't get into a fight until we know what we're fighting about. And, third, unity of action should not be marred by geographical rivalries and petty bickering about who's going to run what.

With population growing and floods in the future a virtual certainty, flood control is a necessity. Let's not mar our chances by getting into pointless arguments about what we're going to do until we find out just what needs to be done.—E.A.

Crusade for Freedom

Such disparate organizations as the AFL-CIO, the Girl Scouts, the Hotel Greeters of America, the National 4-H Clubs Foundation, the Grand International Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, and the National Association of Retired Civil Employees—all of which would have little if anything in common ordinarily—have joined in support of one movement this year.

This is the Crusade for Freedom. It is also supported by the Civil Air Patrol, the Chamber of Commerce of the United States, and the Fraternal Order of Eagles.

IN OTHER words, it has wide support which is limited to no group, for all join in a common love of freedom and a hope that it can be kept alive in the minds and hearts of those living in the satellite nations behind the Iron Curtain.

Crusade for Freedom, as most of us know, is the organization which supports broadcasting stations in Europe, which send news, music and pro-western propaganda through the Iron Curtain; which sends little newspapers across, carried by balloons, and which is one of the most effective voices for freedom in the world.

WE mention this now because the period between the birthdays of Abraham Lincoln and George Washington is being devoted to raising funds in Oregon to assist Radio Free Europe, Free Europe Press, and the other activities of the Crusade for Freedom.

The observance here is sponsored by the American Legion, the mayor has made it official with a proclamation, and anyone interested can mail his contribution in care of the Medford postmaster.

Actress, 77, Wins 'Oscar' in Britain

London — (U.P.) — A 77-year-old actress who returned from retirement to make her first major movie shared honors with Sir Laurence Olivier, Ernest Borgnine, Betsy Blair and Walt Disney in the award of Britain's "Oscars" today. Katie Johnson won the British Film Academy's "best British actress" award for her performance in "The Lady Killers," a British film starring Alec Guinness.

U.P. Correspondents Suggest Where Headlines May Show Up

By UNITED PRESS: United Press correspondents around the world look ahead at the news that will make the headlines.

Nixon Set To Go: Friends of Vice-President Richard M. Nixon believe he will be off to a quick start in the Republican presidential primaries if President Eisenhower decides not to run.

New Role For Dulles: Secretary of State John Foster Dulles may be asked to mediate the South Korean-Japanese fisheries dispute when he visits those countries next month after the Southeast Asia conference in Pakistan.

Formosa Blowup: Observers in Nationalist China say the Formosa Strait situation may blow sky high if the Reds attack Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek's islands outposts.

Operation Election: The Democratic-controlled House of Representatives Government Operations Committee is set to get a record-breaking sum for election-year investigations.

Missile Might: Worried Western military men in Europe are watching for a possible hint of Russia's progress in guided missile development to come out of Tuesday's Communist Party congress in Moscow.

Germany First: Insiders in Bonn, the West German capital, say Chancellor Konrad Adenauer is angling for an invitation to visit London before Bulganin and Khrushchev go there in April.

Oil trouble may be coming up in Iran. The Iranian government would like to increase its output to get more royalties.

Baseball may try to solve its newest problem by borrowing a trick from professional football. TV sponsors offered big money to take games into the living rooms of big league cities.

France slightly less. The Allied governments have asked for negotiations on the issue. They probably will start early next month.

Schaeffer has the support of nearly all members of the West German cabinet. Foreign Minister Heinrich Brentano, who has to think about the diplomatic angles, opposes Schaeffer's stand.

What happened is this. When West Germany became a sovereign nation on May 5, 1955, it agreed to pay \$762,000,000 toward the costs of the Allied armies during the year which ends next May 5.

It was agreed that, at the end of the one-year period, the Allies and West Germany would get together and negotiate the upkeep issue, "giving due consideration to the needs of the German armed forces."

Before West Germany became sovereign, the Allies billed it for the upkeep of their armies as occupation forces. Now they are in Germany under the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, of which West Germany is a member.

Schaeffer says he just can't afford to pay any upkeep. What makes it especially annoying to the Allies is that Schaeffer is sitting on a cash box containing a reserve of \$1,800,000,000—the biggest ever accumulated by a German Finance Minister in modern times.

West German spokesmen say Schaeffer is not running the whole show and that he may be overruled. So far, however, he is sitting tight on his cash box, ready to yell "murder" if anybody tries to open it.

But even here they may be disappointed. The present expectation is that 90 per cent of party will be tacked onto the Administration farm program, with the help of Republican votes.

Thus even this favorite Democratic issue may be aborted. And if Secretary of Agriculture Ezra Benson then resigns in protest—as he has indicated—it will cause remarkably little pain in the breasts of farm state Republicans.

Finally, the Democrats are somberly convinced that the Administration will spring a vote-getting tax-cut towards the end of the session. To be sure, the shrewdly-led Democrats may also have a trick or two up their sleeves.

THE Republican House leaders, Joe Martin and Charles Halleck, passed the word to support the Powell amendment, thus almost assuring its passage—and also, of course, assuring the bill's being filibustered to death in the Senate.

They will be able to claim credit for supporting the Powell amendment with Negro voters. At the same time, they will be able to pin the blame for murdering the school aid bill squarely on the Democrats.

The same kind of paralysis affects the Democrats on other issues. The President has announced that he means to ask Congress for legislation to establish a civil rights commission, to inquire into racial discrimination.

The Southerners immediately made it clear that they would filibuster this modest proposal to death—Sen. Strom Thurmond of South Carolina, for example, wrote his constituents that he would speak on the issue, while there was "breath in his body."

Matter of Fact

By Joe and Stewart Alsop

"THAT DO-NOTHING DEMOCRATIC CONGRESS" Washington—At this point in the current session of Congress, one thing is already apparent. The Eisenhower administration's legislative program is a masterpiece of political strategy in an election year.

The program is brilliantly calculated to exploit the deep divisions in the Democratic party. As a result, the Democrats, in control of both Houses, are paralyzed on a whole series of politically potent domestic issues.

Hill has remarked, "The Republican candidate is going to have a dandy time denouncing 'that no-good, do-nothing, Democratic 84th Congress.'" Take the school issue. The Democrats were prepared to exploit this emotionally charged issue, by passing the Democratic school-aid bill as almost the first order of business in the House.

But the Administration came up with a bill of its own, thus preventing the Democrats from claiming the sole glory for helping the school children. At the same time a Democrat, Adam Clayton Powell of New York, threatened to introduce an amendment forbidding Federal aid to non-segregated schools.

THE Republican House leaders, Joe Martin and Charles Halleck, passed the word to support the Powell amendment, thus almost assuring its passage—and also, of course, assuring the bill's being filibustered to death in the Senate.

They will be able to claim credit for supporting the Powell amendment with Negro voters. At the same time, they will be able to pin the blame for murdering the school aid bill squarely on the Democrats.

The same kind of paralysis affects the Democrats on other issues. The President has announced that he means to ask Congress for legislation to establish a civil rights commission, to inquire into racial discrimination.

The Southerners immediately made it clear that they would filibuster this modest proposal to death—Sen. Strom Thurmond of South Carolina, for example, wrote his constituents that he would speak on the issue, while there was "breath in his body."

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

First, our representatives in Congress should be able to have unified support, when they call for it, for an Army engineers study which will let us know just what the problem is.

Secondly, we shouldn't get into a fight until we know what we're fighting about. And, third, unity of action should not be marred by geographical rivalries and petty bickering about who's going to run what.

With population growing and floods in the future a virtual certainty, flood control is a necessity. Let's not mar our chances by getting into pointless arguments about what we're going to do until we find out just what needs to be done.—E.A.

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

First, our representatives in Congress should be able to have unified support, when they call for it, for an Army engineers study which will let us know just what the problem is.

Secondly, we shouldn't get into a fight until we know what we're fighting about. And, third, unity of action should not be marred by geographical rivalries and petty bickering about who's going to run what.

With population growing and floods in the future a virtual certainty, flood control is a necessity. Let's not mar our chances by getting into pointless arguments about what we're going to do until we find out just what needs to be done.—E.A.

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

First, our representatives in Congress should be able to have unified support, when they call for it, for an Army engineers study which will let us know just what the problem is.

Secondly, we shouldn't get into a fight until we know what we're fighting about. And, third, unity of action should not be marred by geographical rivalries and petty bickering about who's going to run what.

With population growing and floods in the future a virtual certainty, flood control is a necessity. Let's not mar our chances by getting into pointless arguments about what we're going to do until we find out just what needs to be done.—E.A.

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

German Finance Chief Balks at Allied Costs

By CHARLES M. MCCANN: United Press Correspondent: Peppery Fritz Schaeffer, West German Finance Minister, is involved in another big argument. Schaeffer says stubbornly that West Germany will make no more contributions to the upkeep of American, British and French armies in his country after May 5.

Unless he changes his mind—or Chancellor Konrad Adenauer changes it for him—it would cost the United States about \$300,000,000 a year. It would cost Britain \$250,000,000 and France slightly less.

The Allied governments have asked for negotiations on the issue. They probably will start early next month. Schaeffer has the support of nearly all members of the West German cabinet.

Foreign Minister Heinrich Brentano, who has to think about the diplomatic angles, opposes Schaeffer's stand. Schaeffer and Brentano are the leading candidates to succeed Adenauer when the 80-year-old chancellor retires.

It may be necessary for Adenauer himself to make the final decision between them now. What happened is this. When West Germany became a sovereign nation on May 5, 1955, it agreed to pay \$762,000,000 toward the costs of the Allied armies during the year which ends next May 5.

It was agreed that, at the end of the one-year period, the Allies and West Germany would get together and negotiate the upkeep issue, "giving due consideration to the needs of the German armed forces."

Before West Germany became sovereign, the Allies billed it for the upkeep of their armies as occupation forces. Now they are in Germany under the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, of which West Germany is a member.

Schaeffer says he just can't afford to pay any upkeep. What makes it especially annoying to the Allies is that Schaeffer is sitting on a cash box containing a reserve of \$1,800,000,000—the biggest ever accumulated by a German Finance Minister in modern times.

West German spokesmen say Schaeffer is not running the whole show and that he may be overruled. So far, however, he is sitting tight on his cash box, ready to yell "murder" if anybody tries to open it.

But even here they may be disappointed. The present expectation is that 90 per cent of party will be tacked onto the Administration farm program, with the help of Republican votes.

Thus even this favorite Democratic issue may be aborted. And if Secretary of Agriculture Ezra Benson then resigns in protest—as he has indicated—it will cause remarkably little pain in the breasts of farm state Republicans.

Finally, the Democrats are somberly convinced that the Administration will spring a vote-getting tax-cut towards the end of the session. To be sure, the shrewdly-led Democrats may also have a trick or two up their sleeves.

THE Republican House leaders, Joe Martin and Charles Halleck, passed the word to support the Powell amendment, thus almost assuring its passage—and also, of course, assuring the bill's being filibustered to death in the Senate.

They will be able to claim credit for supporting the Powell amendment with Negro voters. At the same time, they will be able to pin the blame for murdering the school aid bill squarely on the Democrats.

The same kind of paralysis affects the Democrats on other issues. The President has announced that he means to ask Congress for legislation to establish a civil rights commission, to inquire into racial discrimination.

The Southerners immediately made it clear that they would filibuster this modest proposal to death—Sen. Strom Thurmond of South Carolina, for example, wrote his constituents that he would speak on the issue, while there was "breath in his body."

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

First, our representatives in Congress should be able to have unified support, when they call for it, for an Army engineers study which will let us know just what the problem is.

Secondly, we shouldn't get into a fight until we know what we're fighting about. And, third, unity of action should not be marred by geographical rivalries and petty bickering about who's going to run what.

With population growing and floods in the future a virtual certainty, flood control is a necessity. Let's not mar our chances by getting into pointless arguments about what we're going to do until we find out just what needs to be done.—E.A.

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

WE HAVE no intention of opposing anyone's determination that action be taken on flood control. But we do wish to point out a few realities as to what lies ahead.

First, our representatives in Congress should be able to have unified support, when they call for it, for an Army engineers study which will let us know just what the problem is.

Secondly, we shouldn't get into a fight until we know what we're fighting about. And, third, unity of action should not be marred by geographical rivalries and petty bickering about who's going to run what.

With population growing and floods in the future a virtual certainty, flood control is a necessity. Let's not mar our chances by getting into pointless arguments about what we're going to do until we find out just what needs to be done.—E.A.

THE Mail Tribune's Washington correspondent, A. Robert Smith, reports that, what with floods in both Atlantic and Pacific states last year, Congress has never been more favorable toward flood control action.

Even at that, however, it must be remembered that the Rogue river flood, disastrous as it was locally, was only a drop in the bucket compared to what happened at Marysville, Yuba City and Klamath, Calif., and to a dozen cities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.

Quotes From the News

By UNITED PRESS: Tehran—Radio Tehran in answer to Radio Moscow when the Red radio voice complained about the city cleaning up a Communist spy ring:

"How would your chief of police in Moscow, General Popov, deal with common crooks and criminals like these? The whole world knows how you treat such people."

Santa Monica, Calif. — Sen. Barry Goldwater (R-Ariz.) in a politicking speech saying that ex-President Truman should run for president this year:

"They should nominate him. Let's not hide the Socialist program fathered by Truman under a Stevenson wisecrack, a Harriman homburg or a coonskin cap."

New York—Governor Averell Harriman of New York in criticizing the Eisenhower administration for not acting to enforce the Supreme court desegregation ruling in the University of Alabama case:

"I was unhappy that the President seemed to take it so lightly in his last press conference. Those people ought to be brought to justice and the attorney; general should be active in the case. And I haven't seen activity which I think the situation demands."

Portland—Adlai E. Stevenson on his belief that the school desegregation issue in the South should be kept out of the current political campaign:

"I still consider this not only possible but essential."

Washington Surprised At Norblad's Quick Jump at Governorship

By A. ROBERT SMITH: Mail Tribune Correspondent: Washington—The prevailing reaction here to the announcement of Rep. Walter Norblad (R-Ore.) that he plans to run for governor was one of eyebrow-lifting surprise.

His friends in and out of Congress had known for several years that he nursed senatorial ambitions. But Norblad had kept his thoughts on the governorship pretty much to himself.

One of his congressional colleagues even had placed a modest wager that Norblad would return to the nation's capital from his journey to Oregon without any plans to run for either governor or senator.

Father Was Governor: A factor in the veteran congressman's decision, some here say, was the sentimental factor that his father, A. W. Norblad, was governor more than 25 years ago. By curious coincidence, the elder Norblad became governor following the death of an earlier Gov. Patterson—I. L. Patterson in 1929. Now young Norblad has found an opening through the death of Gov. Paul Patterson.

While his father is not extremely active in state politics any longer, he has provided the congressman with almost daily advices from his home at Astoria on what is happening around the state politically.

Some believe that Norblad, who is 47, is now making a move that will ultimately lead him into the U. S. Senate—perhaps sooner than had he stayed out of the governorship contest this year.

Way To Top: According to this appraisal, Norblad is a good bet to win the governorship this fall and, thereafter, to be re-elected in 1958 for a full four-year term. This political achievement would make him as much top man in Oregon's Republican party as was Gov. Patterson before he died—and from that point he could pick his time and his opponent for the Senate, either in 1960 when Sen. Richard L. Neuberger's term expires, or in 1962 when the other Senate seat will be in contest.

Norblad came to Congress just a month over 10 years ago, winning a special election held in 1946 after the death of Rep. James W. Moti. That was the year of the election of the Republican-controlled 80th Congress, which brought a great

turnover in the membership of Congress. With Norblad in that "freshman class" were several newcomers who subsequently became his close friends socially but have since gone on to greater fame—Vice-President Richard Nixon, Sen. Charles E. Potter (R-Mich.), Gov. J. Caleb Boggs of Delaware and Assistant Secretary of State Thurston B. Morton of Kentucky.

Countering Forces: While gathering of this social clan in recent years served as a stimulating factor to Norblad's ambitions to go higher in public office, a countering force has been the recognition by many Democrats as well as Republicans that his congressional victory margins over the years suggest he could probably hold his congressional seat with little risk for life.

As one of Oregon's leading Republicans, Norblad in recent years has been more of a "loner" than an "organization or party man." This has been true both of his relationships with state party leaders and party leaders here in Congress. Norblad has steered his own course to a large degree.

His closeness to the state party organization has become closer, however, in recent months following the election of Wendell Wyatt as GOP state chairman. Wyatt has been associated with the elder Norblad in an Astoria law firm.

Lover Says Come: GEO. N. TAYLOR: Capt. Cornelius of the Roman Army was stationed near Jerusalem to hold the country for Caesar. Cornelius was a man of much prayer and on a day as he prayed, God told him to send for the Apostle Peter. So he did and when Peter arrived, he found the house full of Army men. Peter was telling them of how God was in Christ and that Christ died for men's sins. In the midst of Peter's talk, God gave them a sign. It was that the people of whatever land, might come into eternal life with their sins blotted out and Christ's eternal righteousness set opposite their name. When the Christian leaders in Jerusalem heard this they declared that God had opened the doors to all in any land, if they believed. Only so they had Christ in their heart as Lord and Saviour. Yes—God your Lover says to come. This message sponsored by a Scappoose dairyman.—adv.

FUNERAL SERVICES

In Every Price Range

Since 1908

AT PERL'S every family may make funeral arrangements which are in keeping with its means. A selection of services in every price range is offered to satisfy individual preferences and to meet all financial circumstances. Convenient Terms? Certainly!

PERL

Funeral Home

Phone 2-6675

PAUL J. DIX, D.D.S.

General Dentistry

Announces the Removal of His Offices on FEBRUARY 13th

To the Office formerly occupied by the Late DR. L. L. SANDERS

MEDICAL DENTAL BUILDING 832 EAST MAIN • PHONE 3-3000

Office Hours by Appointment