

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

"Everybody in Southern Oregon Reads The Mail Tribune"

Published Daily Except Saturday by MEDFORD PRINTING CO. 27-29 North 1st St. Phone 2-6141

ROBERT W. RUHL, Editor

HERB GRAY, Advertising Manager

E. C. FERGUSON, Managing Editor

ERIC ALLEN JR., City Editor

HARRY CHIPMAN, Telegraph Editor

RICHARD JEWETT, Sports Editor

OLIVE STARCHER, Society Editor

JACK JACKSON, Sunday Editor

GERALD LATIAM, Circulation Mgr.

An Independent Newspaper

Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon, under Act of March 3, 1879

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

By Mail—In Advance: Per copy 15c.

Daily and Sunday—One year \$12.00

Daily and Sunday—Six months \$6.50

Daily and Sunday—Three months \$3.50

Daily and Sunday—One month \$1.25

Sunday Only—One year \$5.00

By Carrier—In Advance—Medford, Ashland, Central Point, Eagle Point, Jacksonville, Grants, Hillsboro, Shady Cove, Rogue River, Talent and on motor routes:

Daily and Sunday—One year \$15.00

Daily and Sunday—One month \$1.25

Carrier and Dealers—5c per copy

All Terms Cash in Advance

Official Paper of the City of Medford

Official Paper of Jackson County

United Press—Full Leased Wire

MEMBER OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATION

Advertising Representatives:

WEST-HOLLIDAY COMPANY, INC.

Office in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, St. Louis, Atlanta, Vancouver, B.C.

Flight o' Time

Medford and Jackson County history from the files of The Mail Tribune 10, 20, 30 and 40 years ago.

10 YEARS AGO

Nov. 11, 1944

(It was Saturday)

Officers of prisoner of war camp at Camp White lead Medford Armistice day parade; Col. W. H. Paine, chairman of Armistice day committee.

From Arthur Perry's Ye Smudge Pot column: Citizens have started getting over their disgust or joy over the election results, with both sides hoping for the best.

20 YEARS AGO

Nov. 11, 1924

(It was Sunday)

Coach D. K. Burgher's Medford Tiger football team to play Chemawa Indian school of Salem Monday afternoon in Armistice day contest.

Commander Earl Foy of Medford American Legion Post 15 to lead Armistice day parade on horseback; Emerson Merrick in charge of annual Armistice day dance.

30 YEARS AGO

Nov. 11, 1924

(It was Tuesday)

"Longest and best Armistice day parade ever held in Medford" includes veterans of Civil war, Spanish-American war and World war. Parade stretches from Oakdale ave. to Riverside ave. and back to Oakdale. Ceremonies open with firing of dynamite bombs and blowing of fire siren, followed by taps and two minutes of silence throughout the city.

Medford and Ashland high schools to play annual Armistice day football game at Holly st. football grounds.

40 YEARS AGO

Nov. 11, 1914

(It was Wednesday)

Pacific highway from Central Point to Farnham hill to be completed and ready for traffic tomorrow; speed limit in all Jackson county towns raised to 16 miles an hour.

From the local and personal column: A gang of seven IWWs who make a specialty of whipping police officers who attempt to subdue them are headed this way, their last point of attack being Riddle. A warm reception is promised them upon arrival by the local authorities.

What's the Answer?

(Can You Get 4 of the 7?)

Copr. 1954, Editorial Research Report

1. A peace treaty now is or isn't in force between the U.S. and Italy?

2. A former Secretary of Agriculture was running for Senator this year: C. P. Anderson, C. F. Brannan, Claude Wickard, E. T. Benson, or Henry A. Wallace?

3. Of graduate nurses in active service, many more or many fewer than half, or about half, are employed by hospitals?

4. The Government now turns back to the states in highway aid a sum about equal to federal gasoline collections; right or wrong?

5. If a house has a federally-insured mortgage and sells for \$12,000, minimum down payment is \$1200, or over or under \$1200?

6. John Roosevelt was working to elect Republicans to Congress, or Democrats, or certain Republicans and certain Democrats, or his brother James?

7. Jacques Fath is a French movie star, dress designer, tennis player, automobile, or Communist leader?

The Answers: 1. Is. 2. Clinton P. Anderson (D.-N.M.). 3. Many more than half. 4. Right. 5. \$1200. 6. Republicans only. 7. Dress designer.

From Politics To Football

Turning from politics to football—loud cheers from the pea-nut gallery!—the present season is demonstrating, once more, the validity of two of the Mail Tribune's time-honored contentions in the realm of this great outdoor sport.

No. I: The "Rose Bowl" classic New Years should be between the two BEST teams of the Midwest and the Pacific Coast for that year regardless of the previous year's winner.

Take this year for example. There is no doubt about the best team out here,—UCLA is a stand-out. But because it won the title last year it can't play this year. As a result a second-best team from the coast will meet the No. 1 team from the Mid-West conference. That just isn't good sense.

No. II: Why not have two football conferences on the coast instead of one — this would be something akin to the National and American baseball leagues for example. Call one the Northwest and the other the Southwest conference then have the respective winners of the two leagues meet around the last of November, for the all-coast title?

WE are getting a bit fed up having Oregon teams, year after year, serve only as punching-bags for the California behemoths. About as much sport in that as to have the National league play the Three-I league at baseball all through the summer!

The essence of good sport, outdoor or indoor, is equality in a matter of good matching — putting teams, or individuals, in relatively the same category, against each other.

How long would boxing last if heavy-weights were matched against light-weights all the time, or vice versa?

So why not have two college football leagues here on the coast and select the teams not ENTIRELY on geographical lines but consider their records and potential capabilities, also. It would, we think, add up to a great improvement.—R.W.R.

But It's Hard To Do

As indicated above, the Mail Tribune with the campaign over, would like to forget politics for a time—the purely partisan brand particularly.

But, judging by the general reaction of the Cordon press and more ardent supporters, as well as the nature of our own correspondence, that is going to be hard to do.

Consider the following for example:

To the Editor: Yes, sir, my house is for sale now. I can't afford to have my numerous friends and relatives in the Middle West kid me for the rest of my life about living in a state represented in the United States senate by Morse and Neuberger.

M. S. SHROCK,
2336 Lewellyn street,
Milwaukee.

The above clipping was sent in by one of our anonymous communicants, the assumption being that he—or she—feels the same way about it, and would like to have it printed.

Well, there it is, but we can't believe MANY of the Republicans hereabouts or elsewhere share such sophomoric sentiments,—or if they do, would care to advertise the fact.

For that sort of thing is really extremely silly and it is UnAmerican!

We have only to call on two of the most famous Republican Presidents in our history,—Abraham Lincoln and Theodore Roosevelt,—to prove the point. Said President Lincoln:

"The corner-stone of this democracy and fundamental to its perpetuation is a willingness to peacefully abide by the will of the majority regardless of whether that verdict is approved or disapproved by the individuals concerned."

THEODORE ROOSEVELT contended all through his "strenuous life" that the essence of good citizenship was good sportsmanship, that one of the distinguishing characteristics of the true American, was, win or lose, to be always a good sport.

WE commend this Republican philosophy to those who, because a majority of the people of this state failed to approve THEIR choice for U.S. Senator, are going to "sell out," and move out. And we presume, settle down somewhere, where the will of the majority at the polls is not accepted and NOT upheld!

We could name the country but we won't.—R.W.R.

A Good Sport

Along the line of the above one of the finest post-election examples of good sportsmanship, in high places, was President Eisenhower's frank admission, after the results of the election were known, that there would be no partisan "cold war" as far as HE was concerned, and he regretted having made such a statement during the last heated days of the campaign.

That admission took courage, and a truly magnanimous spirit.

FOR while the Democratic victory was not, as so many predicted, a SWEEPING one, the result was what the President did everything in his power, including that final air-swing around the circle, to prevent.

And with equal candor the President admitted, what so few of his over zealous supporters have, that he was both surprised and disappointed, but that he would not only consult the opposition often but do everything in his power to cooperate with them.

The "Old Guard" didn't like that conciliatory attitude, but the American people regardless of party, we believe, DID!—R.W.R.

Matter of Fact

By Joseph and Stewart Alsop

McCARTHY AND HIS PARTY

Washington — There is not much doubt about what is going to happen to Sen. McCarthy — bar a miracle he is going to be censured by a heavy majority.

What is more interesting is what is likely to happen to the Republican party as a result of the censure fight.

In this connection, it is worth recalling something that happened at the funeral of Sen. Pat McCarran last Oct. 2. The funeral of Democrat McCarran, who used to be McCarthy's most powerful ally in the Senate, was attended by six Republican Senators—Sen. Knowland, in his capacity as Majority Leader, and Sens. Bridges, of New Hampshire, Welker of Idaho, Malone, of Nevada, Jenner, of Indiana, and Goldwater, of Arizona.

All five of the latter are considered McCarthy men. It was thus not surprising that they should discuss the prospect of the debate on censure. And, although they agreed that McCarthy would probably be censured, they were by no means unhappy over the prospect of a fight on the issue.

On the contrary, according to a reliable report, there was a good deal of feeling among this little band of McCarthy Republicans that the censure debate might provide an ideal time to show who was really boss in the Republican party. It might provide a fine time, in other words, to challenge the Eisenhower administration openly, with an eye to assuring eventual control of the Party by the pro-McCarthy right wing.

The strategy of such a challenge was fairly obvious. It called for attacking the censure resolution as a plot hatched in the White House. Presidential aide Sherman Adams was to be cast in the role of chief plotter, with the President as a willing accomplice. The President's letter to Sen. Ralph Flanders of Vermont, congratulating Flanders on his first speech criticizing McCarthy, could be used as evidence of the President's personal participation in the censure plot.

SUCH a direct, open, and concerted attack on the President, it was reasoned, would give the McCarthy Republicans at least a useful blackmail position in the party, and at most ultimate control of the Party. It may be that the attack will still be made. There was at least a hint of something of the sort in Sen. Welker's speech on the opening day of debate. McCarthy himself seems prepared to go to almost any lengths.

Yet McCarthy seems likely to have far fewer Republican allies in any battle with the White House than seemed possible a few weeks ago. The reason is quite simple. The President is too strong, McCarthy is too weak.

Before the election, after all, most McCarthy-minded Republicans were taking a much gloomier view of Republican chances than even the over-jubilant Democrats. McCarthy himself was widely reported as believing that the Republicans would not know what hit them on election day.

A Democratic landslide would have weakened, perhaps fatally, President Eisenhower's hold on his party, and by the same token, it would have strengthened McCarthy. The McCarthy men were counting with absolute confidence on blaming the President for losing the election because he did not play the game the McCarthy way.

Now the three leading McCarthyites in the House of Representatives have been soundly trounced. Clifford Case of New Jersey, the one Republican McCarthy tried to beat, has been elected despite Republican scandals in Trenton and the decayed state organization. Worst of all from the McCarthy point of view, Eisenhower himself has been given personal credit for stemming a Democratic tide once thought unstemmable.

UNDER the circumstances it is not surprising that very few even among the McCarthy-minded men in the Senate are eager to enlist under the McCarthy banner in any McCarthy-Eisenhower battle. Indeed, although McCarthy will probably get 15 or 20 votes in the end, the tendency even among the McCarthy men is now to shy away from the Wisconsin demagogue. Particularly since McCarthy, in apparent desperation, began personal attacks on the elderly Sen. Arthur Watkins, there has even been some quiet talk in the cloakrooms about changing the censure resolution to a motion to expel.

Unless McCarthy's behavior becomes insanely outrageous, this is likely to come to nothing. But the Wisconsin movement to recall McCarthy must be taken more seriously. Leroy Gore, leader of the movement, plans to start collecting signatures again after the first of the year, and he is completely confident of getting the 280,000 signatures for recall.

At any rate, one way or another, McCarthy seems headed for some sort of final, fatal crash. No doubt he sees himself as a Samson in the Republican temple, bringing the whole party crashing down on him. Perhaps he could once have done just this. But it seems clear that he is too weak to do it now. For the McCarthy wing of the Republican party, which once threatened to dominate the party, looks like becoming an impotent minority.

(Copyright, 1954, New York Herald Tribune, Inc.)

Stewart Alsop

Joseph Alsop

Morse Says State Should Be Proud Of Congressmen

By WAYNE MORSE

Member of Congress

Washington (Special)—Oregon should be proud of its new Senator and Congresswoman who will serve the state and the nation with distinction. The election in our state was probably the most dramatic in our history and is excelled in nationwide significance only by the overnight upset in the presidential election of 1916. The eyes of the whole nation were focused upon Oregon from noon of the day after election day until the next morning when Senator Neuberger's election became definite.

More than suspense was involved. Now the whole country knows that the so-called partnership power policy of the Republican Administration was repudiated by the voters of our state. While the road ahead will not be easy, the great multi-purpose public dam program upon which so much of our economic future depends should be resumed in the coming Congress.

Authorization of the high Hells Canyon dam will continue to be the number one item on my agenda. Senator Neuberger and Congresswoman Green campaigned vigorously for this key project and their election should increase the chances of success for its authorization. The Senate Interior Committee, which has jurisdiction over such measures, will be headed by Democratic Senator James Murray, a cosponsor of my Hells Canyon Bill. All other cosponsors of the bill were also reelected and Senator O'Mahoney of Wyoming was added to our ranks.

Tens of thousands of Oregonians who voted for Republican candidates nonetheless will support our efforts in behalf of this indispensable dam. Now is the time to close ranks for the interests of Oregon, the Pacific Northwest and the economic and defense strength of the country.

Hollywood—(U.P.)—Film star Olivia De Havilland said today she plans to marry next February Pierre Galante, a writer for Match magazine in Paris. The actress said they would be married in Paris.

COMMUNICATIONS

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words.

Wants Milk Price Lowered

To the Editor: Now that milk control has been voted out, I see by Tuesday's paper that the Oregon producers are looking at federal marketing.

I suggest they read an article in the November Country Gentleman by George Laycock.

Milk surpluses? Not by a jugful. One housewife in Ohio is saving \$125 per year by using one gallon jugful of milk a day. Chicago milk sales went up 20 per cent.

A. M. Stutz and sons milk 30 holsteins and Akron processors buy it all and keep asking for more.

In 1953 when dairy farmers felt the price squeeze, the middleman in processing plants reported one of their best years.

Why should a farmer like Mr. Deetz have to have a license to sell his milk at his farm in gallon jugs that the customers could furnish? The cows pass the state TB and bangs tests.

The farmer who sells his fruit, hay, etc. from his farm doesn't buy a license. Why milk?

Why not find a way to equalize the quality of milk and let it be shipped into areas where

no surplus of milk is found? Why the state butterfat tax two months a year?

Milk control was repealed because people are tired of being gouged by the local prices for milk. 96 cents a gallon adds up to \$345.60 a year. No working man can afford to buy that much for his family. Lower the price to 60 cents a gallon and he can, and no surplus milk and the producers will make more money because all of his milk will be used.

Mrs. Gladys Hamilton,
Rt. 2, Box 468,
Medford.

SCHOOL ART

San Francisco—(U.P.)—Every new school should have a small art gallery, according to Mr. Karl With, professor of art at UCLA. He says that "there is a tremendous hunger among young people today to learn more about all kinds of artistic expression." School galleries, he emphasized, need not be large or expensive and displays should illustrate a central idea to educate as well as entertain.

Dead line Sunday Classified is at noon Saturday; 10 a.m. Monday for Monday; other days 5:30 previous day.

In The Day's News

By FRANK JENKINS

What with the aftermath of election and the McCarthy ruckus coming to a head in Washington, let's get as far away from home as possible today.

PRIME MINISTER NEHRU says he is aiming at giving India "definitely, ABSOLUTELY a socialist picture of society." Addressing India's National Development Council in New Delhi, he adds:

"I do not mean Socialism in a dogmatic sense—but in a sense largely that the MEANS OF PRODUCTION should be socially owned and controlled for the benefit of society as a whole."

LET'S put it this way: Socialism is probably EXACTLY SUITED to India's peculiar people and her peculiar institutions. As to these, let's cite two examples:

1. The health of the people of India is poor, and the death rate is staggering. The average Indian can expect to live only 27 to 30 years. Disease is rampant in India. Disease is spread by insects, which most Hindus refuse to kill for religious reasons.

2. To the Hindus who refuse to kill insects because they are sacred, cows are also sacred. They mustn't be interfered with in any way. They wander at will through the streets — info the stores, into the houses, dropping their dung as they go. In a land where starvation stalks by day and by night, cows (meaning cattle) mustn't be killed and eaten because they are sacred.

LET'S take a look at the "private enterprise" that Nehru is talking about.

In India, 87.2 per cent of the population is rural. The average Indian farm is only seven acres, and there are many farms of two acres or less.

The Hindu laws of inheritance require that at his death a man's farm must be divided equally among his sons, even though the share of each son may be only the size of a backyard garden. And, as death marches on, even that microscopic piece of ground must be divided and re-divided under India's laws of inheritance.

WE could go on and on, but these instances tell the story.

In a country such as India, socialism is about the only hope.

NEHRU—who is a do-gooder at the top GIVING the people down below what he thinks they ought to have: a Lady Bountiful who has EVERYTHING himself and likes to flatter his ego by scattering charity around among the unfortunate — concludes his speech with this statement:

"The dominance which private enterprise HAD throughout the world during a certain period IS COMPLETELY OUT OF DATE."

IS it I think not.

LET'S take a look at America, where the "means of production" of which Nehru speaks so glibly are coming increasingly into the hands of large corporations.

To Nehru and his ilk, that is a crying shame. But let's take a look at these big corporations of ours—which because of the need for bigness in a big country are coming increasingly into ownership of the means of production.

Who owns them?

HERE is a fact that is too little understood: In most of our big corporations—General Motors, for example—there is a surprisingly close balance between stockholders and workers. In many of them, the stockholders OUTNUMBER the workers.

The capital stock of these great concerns is daily offered for sale on the open bid-and-take markets that we call stock exchanges. Every worker is free to BUY THIS STOCK on the market.

If the workers of General Motors—using GM as an example—choose to do so they could put their savings into the purchase of General Motors stock and in a surprisingly short time they could OWN THE COMPANY.

THAT Mr. Nehru, is MODERN free enterprise. You're welcome, so far as I am concerned to your socialism in India. I think that FOR INDIA it is quite all right—far better than your present system. I wish you luck in your job of bringing socialism to India.

But FOR AMERICA I prefer our system of modern free enterprise.

A Navy hunter-killer unit consists of aircraft and destroyers—planes to seek out enemy submarines, and destroyers to sink them.

COMPARE The

With Any Other

ADDING MACHINE

CALL 2-4100

For a FREE Trial

Medford Office

Equipment Co.

41 South Grap St.

It is OUR responsibility to relieve you from the many details involved in arranging for a funeral service.

CHAPEL MORTUARY

Frank Morgan • Harold Snodgrass

Funeral Directors

Office of Deputy Coroner . . . Phone 2-8030

COOKED READY TO EAT

PICNIC HAMS lb. 39^c

We Will Slice Them Free of Charge

LUMAN'S

Week End Specials 4th and Front, Medford

DESCHUTES

PLEASE

Dog Food

10 cans 89^c

Potatoes

25 lb. U.S. No. 1 99^c