COMMUNICATIONS

Letters to the Editor must bear the name and address of the writer, although under certain circumstances the use of a pen name or initial for publication is permissible. The Mail Tribune reserves the right to edit all letters with a view to clarification and condensation. Letters submitted for publication must not exceed 400 words.

Against Cordon & Ellsworth

To the Editor: Dec. 16, 1952, at a Jackson Hotel dinner-meeting Richard Smith, Secy. of the U. S. Chamber of Commerce, issued practically an ultimatum-threat to dismember and divide America's National Forests, Parks, Monuments, Grazing lands, etc. among private operators for exploitation.

1953,—June 19, The Southern Oregon Chapter No. 134, National Assn. Retired Civil Employees, passed some resolutions in which the threats of the USCC and some big timber and lumber companies were condemned. We still have a few copies, and responses from all over the U. S.

1953,—Sept. 20, Congressman Ellsworth addressed our NARCE chapter meeting, when among other things he condemned the U. S. Forest Service management for cutting only 4 billion bd. ft. of timber a year when it should be supplying 6 billion, etc. etc. Yet he said nothing about the 12 billion lost in the Tillamook burn, or scores of billions wasted and destroyed yearly by private operators.

When told of the USCC threat to our Forests, Parks, etc., and the plan to "educate" the American school children by flooding the schools with USCC propaganda, Mr. Ellsworth said, "Oh, they can't do that." When told "they have been doing it, and are doing it right now," Mr. Ellsworth replied that "I am to address the Chamber at San Francisco in a few days and I will see about that."

Sept. 30, 1953, Congressman Ellsworth addressed the U. S. CC at San Francisco. He did criticize a few of the USCC proposed policies, spewed venom on the U. S. Forest Service, praised the Forest Exchange and other bills "pending in Congress which contain at least in part recommendations by the National Affairs Committee of the U. S. Chamber of Commerce." If passed, that measure would have wrecked our Natl. Forests, and evenually Natl. Parks and every other federal land management system. Our Ex-Chief Forester, Lyle Watts, pronounced the bill "Special Interests' legislation."

Had private operators practiced 10% of forest protection urged by U. S. Forest Service from Gifford Pinchot's crusading in 1900 to Lyle Watts' condemnation of the Higgins Lake committee, 1946, we'd not be in this timber famine, and there'd be forests for our grandchildren. Vote and retire Ellsworth and Cordon.

John E. Gribble
139 Kenwood Ave.,
Medford, Ore.

A Boost for Ralph James

To the Editor: In the midst of all the campaign confusion, mud slinging and name calling we would like to mention a candidate who said when he decided to enter the race there would be no mud slinging or underhanded insinuations on his part or from any one working in his behalf if he could prevent it. And so far this promise has been kept.

The subject of this communication is Ralph James, of Sams Valley, prominent Granger and Democratic candidate for county commissioner. I have known and done business with Mr. James for many years and have found him to be honest, straight forward, energetic and reliable, with a wide knowledge of many vocations such as farming, dairying, construction road work, clerical, and many others.

During my twenty years as Grange deputy it was my duty to visit and instruct Granges, and in this way I got to see the different masters at their work, and found Ralph James among the best. He seemed to have a knack of keeping friction out of his membership, but at the same time encouraged discussion. As an example, Ralph opposes a general sales tax, as in his opinion it places the burden of taxation upon those least able to bear it. Yet as master of his Grange he encouraged discussions on both sides of the issue.

During my term as county commissioner I learned among other things that members of the court should listen to and give consideration to those who came before them with suggestions or pleas for help. It is their job to be courteous, and business like and be able to say "yes" as well as "no" at the proper time. Ralph James will meet these requirements and is physically and mentally fit for the task. He will conduct the office with honor and dignity, giving all of his time to its operation and will try to encourage a closer relationship between the people and the court.

We all can agree with his idea that the vast area in the north half of the county, which hasn't had a commissioner in some 20 years, is entitled to one at this time, and the 13,000 democrats in the county should have representation on the court. Considering the above statements I can in all sincerity recommend Ralph James for your vote which he will appreciate.

R. E. Nealon
Central Point, Ore.

Give Oregon Two Parties

To the Editor: Real competition between the two political parties in either a state or nation is the energizing force of a Democracy. It is the two-party system that has made the United States the great nation that it is. Then why has Oregon, for the past generation, slumbered on as a one-party state?

Real competition between the two parties produces more alert office holders, better government, and more progressive programs. The voters of Oregon this year have it in their power to give Oregon a two-party government; to give Oregon a more progressive outlook, and to place Oregon in a national position where our national leaders will pay attention to Oregon.

The mere fact that this year Oregon Democrats have produced a full slate of top-flight candidates has brought national attention to Oregon during this campaign. Witness the visit to Oregon of Vice-President Nixon, Speaker Joe Martin, and a staggering shower of Republican senators during the past few days, all in an effort to turn the tide that was running in favor of Democrat Richard Neuberger in the Democrats' effort to unseat the atrophied Senator Guy Cordon.

When a state, for year after year, is either solid Democrat or solid Republican, the national political leaders ignore it. That is why Oregon has fallen so far behind California and Washington in federal development. It has been assumed the state would go Republican, so why bother!

It is true that in some past campaigns Oregon Democrats have not put their best foot forward in the form of candidates, but this year the Democratic candidates in every instance surpass those of the Republicans. The candidates have background, they have progressive platforms, and they are able. This year there is no excuse for dogmatic voting.

Vote the Democratic ticket Tuesday, not only because the Democratic candidates are superior in every way, not only because they represent an awakened and aroused Oregon, but to help lift Oregon out of the swamp of a "one-party" government.

Mrs. Harvey Wyatt,
1335 Beekman,
Medford, Ore.

Opposed To New Dog Law

To the Editor: Checking with both the city attorney's and the district attorney's offices here, we find that this Dog Measure, if passed, is a matter for Jackson county to enforce and the taxpayers of Jackson county must stand for the cost of this enforcement.

As stated in a letter to the editor on October 29, the Medford police force cannot, as a matter of law, deal with this proposed Dog Measure. However the city police under already existing ordinances can protect citizens against destruction of lawns, etc., and also under its ordinance on disturbing the peace, protect them from excessive barking of dogs.

But neither of these nuisances of which dogs are sometimes guilty, can necessarily be taken care of by the county under the proposed measure. If the enforcement of this Dog Measure can do nothing more for the average Medford citizen than can already be done by existing ordinances, why burden us with just another law?

Since this Dog Measure if passed, has to be enforced by Jackson county and no provision is made for its enforcement, it will be up to the county court to appropriate the money out of our taxes to pay for the policing force necessary to patrol the streets of Medford to see that all dogs, good and bad, are muzzled and attended by their keepers.

Do we want our tax money used for enforcement of this Dog Measure under which only the Oregon code will control and which deals with protecting owners of domestic livestock, and not with the nuisance of barking dogs, damaging of lawns or any destruction other than that of domestic livestock?

Mrs. Sidney W. Richardson,
P.O. Box 984, Medford, Ore.

Resents Cordon Smear Attack

To the Editor: I am writing this letter to protest against the ugliest "smear" yet to appear in Oregon politics.

In the September issue of the Oregon Legionnaire, Guy Cordon and his political henchmen took a paid ad to accuse Richard L. Neuberger of wangling "a soft safe berth" for himself during World War II.

What are the facts?

Richard L. Neuberger served in the Army from July 15, 1942, until August 12, 1945. He was on duty nearly half that time in the Far North, General James A. O'Connor, builder of the famous Alcan Highway, said this of Neuberger:

"Richard L. Neuberger served under my command in the Alaska-Yukon Theatre of War . . . I consider him a person of integrity, loyalty and ability. He is a patriotic American citizen. I regard him as a leader of men."

Guy Cordon, who has taken an ad to attack Neuberger's war record, was in military service from September 2, 1918, until November 26, 1918. During that time Cordon never gave up his political job as Assessor of Douglas County.

Why do you think a man who served in the army less than three months, all stateside, would take a paid ad to attack the record of a man who served more than three years?

Doesn't this indicate more smoke screen to becloud the real issues and hide Cordon's record as Senator, that from the standpoint of the public's best interest, at least, is no more impressive than his rather short service record?

Attack of the political record of a candidate is one thing. But personal, below-the-belt attack is quite another. Abominable campaign tactics to say the least!

W. W. Campbell,
Chairman
Committee of Veterans
for Neuberger
Portland, Ore.

She is Against the Dogs

To the Editor: In regard to the dog measure, drastic "Yes." but it seems the only way to make some people realize the rights of their fellow man. Some invest in expensive cars, vacations, hobbies, etc., others in beautiful landscaping for their homes. Why should they have to put up with unsightliness on lawns and flowers which are killed by repeated stopping of dogs at the same spot. To bend to pick a flower or produce you have worked hard to grow and find you cannot because of the filth deposited there makes one question what kind of people own these dogs? Other types of pets & livestock are confined to ones own premises why not dogs? A Mr. Lowd once wrote in the Tribune that dogs are their own doctors, pish posh! Anyone should know that an animal or pet fed clean wholesome food and water is healthier than one allowed to roam, tipping over garbage cans & eating all sorts of spoiled food. This measure will not affect anyone who truly loves and cares for their dogs because they keep them confined anyway but it may stop the people who have no regards whatsoever for the rights of their neighbors.

R. L. I.
(Name on file)

Why Doesn't Ellsworth Speak?

To the Editor: I have made careful inquiry among my Republican friends as to the reasons for the silence of Mr. Ellsworth in this campaign. I find the following:

Q. Why has Ellsworth refused to debate with Porter?

A. Porter is a trained and skillful debater, and Ellsworth would be at a disadvantage.

Q. This is understandable, but why has Ellsworth refused to appear on the same program with Porter?

A. Harris is not a good public-speaker either.

Robert Peebles,
1311 Crake Lake Ave.,
Medford, Ore.
P. S. I WAS a Republican.

Back to the Dies Committee

To the Editor: Some of the Democrats would like for us to believe that Communists in our government was just a fairy tale that the Republicans are using. Have we forgot the FBI report? Have we forgot Martin Dies, a Democrat from Texas? If so, then read what Martin Dies has to say in the Aug. 20 issue of the U.S. News and World Report. He tells you where the New Deal stands with Communism.

Cora Train
Shady Cove, Ore.

And Children Too.

To the Editor: After reading the letter in Thursday's MT, I being a firm believer in the rights of all living creatures, felt it only fair to call a special meeting of my dogs and various members of the cannine population in the neighborshood.

After discussing the problem, in question, the dogs, being the judges, reached the conclusion that they object, very strenuously, to another "specific type of pet" or "piece of property," namely children, including my own, who generally happen to be the rightful owners of the animals in question.

It was decided, by the dogs, that the youngsters, also, have the ability, at times, to "range far and wide" beyond the control of their owners, not only trespassing on the neighbors' yards, cluttering the streets and making an extremely obnoxious amount of noise, but also, throwing rocks, leaving "trash" lying about, and sometimes just being nuisances in general.

So, in all fairness to "composure necessary for responsible citizens," it has been decided that we will, not only leash, muzzle, and restrict our children's beloved canines, but also our children.

It was also suggested, as an afterthought, by the canines, that there have been inumerable references to incidents that "shouldn't happen to a dog." In many cases, had the dogs had the upperhand, the incident wouldn't have happened, such as the "necessity for composure because of world-conflicts. There has not, to the best of our "dog-gone" knowledge, ever been a world-conflict in the canine population, which, beyond a doubt, assures our serenity and neighborliness, especially toward the noisy, name-calling, rock-throwing, trespassing, yet very dear, little "personal properties" we refer to as "kids."

Ecclesiastes — chapter No. 3, paragraph 19:

"For that which befalleth the sons of men befalleth beasts; even one thing befalleth them; as the one dieth, so dieth the other; yea, they have all one breath; so that a man hath no preeminence above a beast; for all IS vanity."

Most respectfully and humbly yours, Bete Noir Du Bais—Registration No. N119428, Black poodle and children.

Personal property of:
Mrs. Dutch Farfan
723 So. Newtown St.
Medford, Oregon

Why?

To the Editor: We read in the newspaper advertisements, and hear on the radio, a continual harrangue by Republicans about what a wonderful U. S. Senator Guy Cordon is. Some hysterically go so far as to call him the "greatest."

That, of course, is a matter of opinion but will some of these Republicans please explain to me why the newspaper correspondents who report the activities of the U. S. Senate in Washington — and that means ALL of them—for Democratic and Republican newspapers alike . . . rate Senator Cordon among the BOTTOM 15 from a standpoint of effectiveness, ability and usefulness.

If Senator Cordon is so brilliantly outstanding why has it escaped the notice of the reporters who watch every day Senate is in session.

Marion Lusk,
10 Portland ave.,
Medford, Ore.

Farmers and Hunters

To the Editor: The hunter buys a license to hunt pheasants and then finds he has no place to go hunting except on the farmers' ranch where he's often chased off. Most of the hunters are business men, doctors, lawyers, merchants, who enjoy a few days hunting away from their business in the city.

Some call them "city slickers" or "dudes." They don't deserve to be called slickers. Most of them are gentlemen of good character. Some are not so good and break down fences, shoot in one's back yard, kill poultry and sometimes a calf, sheep or cow. That's bad.

The farmers need the city hunters where they must go for their farm machinery and tools, groceries and medical care. On the other hand, they can't tolerate the damage done or time spent repairing fences, gates, etc. I think there should be no licenses to buy for hunting. Then hunters wouldn't be able to show anything and there might be peace in the family.

Name on file.

Party Publications

To the Editor: I recently received in the mail a copy of a newspaper entitled The Times. This, the initial issue of the publication, was supposedly for the purpose of determining whether or not there would be sufficient interest in a newspaper of this kind to warrant weekly distribution in Medford. However, because of the amount of Republican sponsored advertising, I cannot help but think that this was strictly a "single shot" affair to further the campaign of the Republican party. In effect, I believe that for this one issue The Times became an official publication of the Republican party in Jackson county.

The front page of The Times carried photographs of the two candidates for United States senator. These photographs further serve to illustrate the depths to which the supporters of Cordon have descended. The picture of Neuberger is obviously a candid shot which caught him in an extremely unfavorable pose while the picture of Cordon is apparently a studio portrait, carefully posed and lighted. How many of us have snapshots in the family album that are a constant source of embarrassment, yet do nothing to detract from our ability and intelligence? Surely, none of the voters of this county will be taken in by a feeble effort of this type to gather votes for Cordon.

In this country we are taught from childhood that fair play and sportsmanship are qualities to be desired and are a part of our heritage. The printing of two photographs such as these would seem almost un-American, for the two above mentioned qualities are conspicuously absent in this presentation of the two candidates.

Large advertisements are in evidence by firms that are owned by Republicans, one of whom is the President of the Oregon Republican Clubs. Could it be that they consider this expenditure to support The Times, and the candidates The Times is endorsing, as an investment? If such is the case, Mr. Average American should beware for every business man expects to show a profit on an investment. It would seem the average voter would be in a far better position if he were represented by a man that had made his commitments to the people rather than big business firms.

Mary Shaw
1605 Crown Ave.
Medford, Oregon

Likes Money Cordon Got

To the Editor: I am afraid that I am getting very resentful of the fact that some of the Democratic candidates, and some editors, take such a low view of the intelligence of the people.

They say over and over that a vote for Guy Cordon will be a vote against Federal projects for Oregon, and that the only way of getting such projects is to vote for his opponent.

Just what are the facts? The facts are that Guy Cordon has been a member of the Senate Appropriations Committee for quite a number of years and during that time some of the most important projects on the Columbia and elsewhere in Oregon have been authorized and/or money appropriated to build them. During that time, the proportion of public-works money spent in the Northwest has increased so much that people like new-deal Democratic Senator Kennedy warned that they would fight further increases.

They did fight the Talent project this last session until one vote on it was very close, but all Jackson County knows that it was Cordon and Ellsworth that initiated it and fought it through to approval by the Congress and the Republican president. It was not Neuberger or his pal, Wayne Morse, but Cordon and Ellsworth. Can a person make charges like that in the face of facts of record unless he is either stupid or dishonest? I cannot help but feel that the campaign of lies will react against those who tell them, and in favor of those who are slandered.

D. H. Barber,
Star Route,
Trail, Oregon.

The People's Choice

To the Editor: I should like to quote Michael Marsh on his recent article in a national publication: "The People's Choice!" that's how every congressman will feel about himself after he wins in November.

But how free a choice do the people have?

Some candidates have hundreds of thousands or even millions to spend with radio and television programs, with billboards, with big newspaper ads.

Other men, with no big business backers, have to face this barrage with only a few thousand dollars, plus their own legs and voices, to convey their messages to the voters.

In Oregon, a responsible source predicts a large amount of Texas oil patrons' cash will be thrown against young Dick Neuberger in his fighting effort to unseat one of the Senate's worst Tories, Guy Cordon.

That's what a well known authority says of Guy Cordon and his campaign.

I ask, do you people of Jackson county believe in a two-party system?

Oregon has not elected a Democrat to office in decades. This year the Democratic party has an outstanding slate of candidates.

In Oregon it's certainly time for a change. We should heavily endorse Joe Carson, Dick Neuberger, Charles Porter, Norman Nilsen, on the state level; Cecil Norris, Ralph James and Jim Perry on the county level, are also qualified to receive our

Lyle Hamilton,
Rt. 2, Box 468,
Medford, Ore.

Wants Milk Bill Beaten

To the Editor: I want to say "I agree" to James W. Tillery's letter with the facts in favor of repealing Milk Control Law. I think too many people don't know that repealing this wouldn't have any effect on the sanitation and pureness of the milk.

For people with children, the repeal is the only thing that will help parents buy enough milk for their children. Parents will buy more milk and the men who actually raise, feed, and care for the cows will get more money through the increased sale of milk. The only controls we consumers need for milk is in seeing that the cows are tested for bangs and T.B. and that the milk is handled safely in keeping it clean, cool and fresh.

The bottles and cartons from store tell us the very low butterfat content and the grade and the very high price of the milk but nothing about freshness—how long it has been since the milk came from the cow.

We don't need anyone telling the dairymen how much to charge—he knows how much to charge and yet feed his cows and make himself a living. If some dairymen know how to get more milk and richer milk for the amount of feed he puts into his cows, and figures he can sell his milk by the gallon jug he certainly has the right to do so. If each consumer is responsible for the cleanliness of his own jug it will surely be as sanitary as the consumer's own dishes that he eats off three times a day.

We now have a milk goat to help keep our children in milk, but we still need more milk, and the parents living in town and apartments can't keep a milk goat. They just have to cut down milk consumption by their children when it is so high. Every Mother and Father should look at their milk bill and then look up the amount each child is supposed to get daily for growth, good teeth, and good health and then knowing that repealing the Milk Control Law won't impair the pureness of milk, go down to the polls and vote "Yes" to 8.

Mrs. Loran C. Fowler,
Rt. 1, Box 332,
Talent, Ore.

The Great Barrier Reef of Australia lies only ten miles from the mainland in some parts, while in others it is 150 miles offshore.

The Log-Buyer Did It

To the Editor: Mr. William Hoxie's letter regarding the Al Serena mine controversy which was printed in last Friday's paper prompts an answer to the insinuations and implications contained in the first two paragraphs of his letter. Mr. Hoxie cleverly attempts to imply that Mr. Lausmann of Kogap Lumber Industries is obtaining pine logs from the Al Serena property as a result of his affiliation with the Oregon Republican Club and by the acquisition of such logs is profiting heavily at the expense of the public.

Such implications are entirely unfounded inasmuch as all purchases of logs by Kogap Lumber Industries are done under the direction of a log buyer. The purchase of pine logs from the McDonalds of the Al Serena property was begun in July of this year on the basis of a general log price list dated June 1, 1954 published by Kogap and distributed to all log sellers in this area. Since July the McDonnlds have seen fit to sell approximately 125M board feet of pine logs to Kogap on this price list and we understand logs have also gone to about six other mills during the same period. It is quite obvious that the volume of pine logs received by Kogap is very small and that Kogap has received logs from the McDonalds only when its log prices were in line with other mills in this area.

We hope this puts the record straight and answers Mr. Hoxie's cheap insinuations and perhaps in the future he will make an attempt to find the true facts before resorting to such innuendos.

Kogap Lumber Industries,
By S. V. McQueen.

Why?

To the Editor: Corporations stockholders are filled with good cheer. With the big tax slash and a decline of 7 per cent in sales General Electric Co. netted $140.6 million in the first nine months—a gain of 20 per cent above last year in the same period.

International Business Machines Corp. net $33.5 million against $24 million in 1953.

Continental Can. Co. net income increase 37.6 per cent over 1953, $17.2 million sales were up 11.1 per cent to $475.3 million.

American Telephone & Telegraph net income of $120.9 million, up from $105. million in 1953.

Deval & Reynolds Co. Inc. paint company and Kaiser Steel Corp. earnings were down sharply from 1953.

With no tax slash for farmers their income dropped 6 per cent and a price squeeze is headed his way again in the year of 1955. While farm taxes have been climbing steadily in the past 11 years, something is wrong with the economy of the country when farmers are feeding about 20 more people besides his own family and yet a union member laborer can have a bigger pay check than the farm family of equal size.

Why?

Gladys Hamilton
Rt. 2, Box 468
Medford, Oregon

Where is the Al Serena File?

To the Editor: In past elections other nations have seemed far away and concerned with problems of lesser importance to us. The situation today is changed.

The United States is hours away from Europe and Asia, and American youths are stationed in many nations. Whether we like it or not, problems from the rest of the world are being added to our own.

Considering the importance of the issues we face, we recognize that the United States must be represented by those who can analyze problems, and discuss them intelligently. These abilities Charles O. Porter has to a remarkable degree, and his opponent noticeably lacks. Ellsworth has chosen to remain silent during the greater part of this campaign, has refused either to debate with Porter or to appear on the same program, and has failed to meet the isues directly.

We should like to know more about Ellsworth's side of the Al Serena question. He has said the claims were legitimate and that the assays were made by a reputable firm; then he has asserted that his character was being assassinated, and that the mining laws should be changed to separate the surface and the mineral rights.

The mining laws as they stand were sufficient for the Al Serena patents to be refused several times when the Department of Interior was directed by Oscar Chapman, a lawyer of recognized ability and unquestioned integrity.

What has happened to the file in the Al Serena case?

The public has a right to know the answer to this and to other questions.

On the important question of foreign policy Porter's opponent has maintained silence. Porter has said he believed in a bipartisan foreign policy and that his philosophy is more in agreement with the President's than his opponent's; that this country should remain strong while trying every means possible for peace, and that he would not have voted for reducing the Air Force as did his opponent.

Porter's plan for peace includes strengthening the United Nations and admitting all nations to the United Nations, including Red China. "Although I do not forget," Porter has said, "what China has done in Korea and Indo China, I still believe it is better to talk directly with 600,000,000 Chinese than through an enemy — Red Russia."

These issues are important. The least anyone can do is to vote Tuesday according to his best understanding.

Bruce J. Manley,
Chairman, Jackson
County Committee for
Charles O. Porter,
Medford, Ore.

Clove trees usually begin to bear in the seventh year and may continue to do so for as long as one hundred years.

There's a Lot for You in...

Verde Hills

• LOTS OF BEAUTIFUL OAK TREES

SAMSON'S FEED PRICES

Watch for this ad each Sunday for Samson's money-saving Feed Prices

Samson's Spec. Egg Mash	cwt.	$4.80
Samson's Special Dairy	sk.	3.10
Triangle X-tra Egg Pellets	cwt.	5.10
Hen Scratch	cwt.	4.50
Grd. Oats & Barley	cwt.	3.50
Re. Barley	sk.	2.40
Wheat, recleaned	cwt.	4.40

ALFALFA HAY FOR SALE
By the Ton or By the Truckload

This Week's Special
DID YOU WIN THE 1,000 LBS. TRIANGLE FEED?
The Drawing was held 2:00 p.m. Saturday, October 30th and the numbers are posted at our store.
CHECK YOUR NUMBERS BY NOV. 11TH
YOU MAY BE THE WINNER

F. E. SAMSON CO., Inc.
Feeds & Seeds—Fuel Oil—Transfer & Storage
4TH & FRONT MEDFORD
Phone 2-5295

WHENEVER YOU NEED PLENTY OF HEAT!

Potent and Portable!

THERMADOR
Electric MASTER HEAT-FAN

230 volts, 60 cycle, available in 3000 to 4600 watt capacities

QUICK WARMTH WHEREVER YOU NEED HEAVY-DUTY HEAT in office — factory — school — home — or that seldom-used, unheated room

SAFE! No flames or fumes. Heavy, specially-designed safety louvers direct heat downwards to cold areas

CLEAN! No sooty flames ★ Clean, dependable electricity

QUIET! The quiet, high-speed fan brings you warmth during winter . . . refreshing circulated air during the hot summer.

ECONOMICAL! Low in cost ★ Inexpensive in operation

With or without thermostat ★ safety switch cuts off heater if air current is obstructed ★ dual purpose fan and heat switches ★ Dimensions: 19½" x 14½" x 7⅞" ★ rich Sun Bronze finish

Starts at only $48.75 (including excise tax)

Enjoy the warmth of a quick, safe, clean Thermador electric heater today!

Call Us For Free Estimate

TROWBRIDGE & FLYNN Electric Company

214 W. MAIN — PHONE 2-5211