

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE
 "Everyday in Southern Oregon
 reads the Mail Tribune"

Daily Except Saturdays
 Published by
 MEDFORD PRINTING CO.
 25-27-29 N. 3rd St. Phone 15

ROBERT W. RUIH, Editor
 E. L. KRAVY, Manager

Entered as second class matter at Medford, Oregon, under act of March 3, 1879.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
 By Mail—In Advance
 Daily, year, \$7.00
 Daily, month, \$1.00
 By Carrier, in Advance—Medford, Astoria, Jacksonville, Central Point, Florence, Talent, Gold Hill and on highways.
 Daily, month, \$1.50
 Daily, six months, \$7.50
 All terms, cash in advance.

Official paper of the City of Medford.
 Official paper of Jackson County.

MEMBER OF THE ASSOCIATED PRESS
 Receiving Full Licensed Wire Service
 The Associated Press is exclusively entitled to the use for publication of all news dispatches credited to it or otherwise credited in this paper and also to the local news published herein.
 All rights for publication of special dispatches hereby are reserved.

MEMBER OF UNITED PRESS

MEMBER OF ADVERTISING BUREAU OF CIRCULATIONS
 Advertising Representatives
 M. C. MOOREHEAD & COMPANY
 Offices in New York, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland.

MEMBER
 10 ON THE GREAT PACIFIC COAST

Mr. Enright "Explains"

WE had no intention of reviving the very bewildering question as to whether Messrs Goschen and Smith, witnesses in the much discussed Dahack case DID or DID NOT attend the coroner's inquest, but as the following communication shows, their attorney T. J. Enright, INSISTS upon it:

To the Editor:

In a recent issue of The Mail Tribune you had an article which, to say the least, was very unfair to me and insinuated that I had falsified about a matter in connection with the coroner's inquest. Now the truth of the matter is Mr. Banks called me and asked me if I was present which I answered affirmatively. He then asked me if I defended Smith and Gochen, which as the court records will show, I did. He asked me if Smith and Gochen were present at the inquest and I said I didn't see them, which I didn't. I told him they might have been there with some of the officers but if they were I didn't see them. Later Ike Dunford told me that he brought them over from the jail to the hearing, which I presume he did or he wouldn't have said so and that he had them in a little room off to the side. In the first place I was not there as their attorney and I wasn't looking for them and in fact at that time I was not interested in them in any way as it was at a later date, in fact just a few days before the trial that they retained me to defend them. It has also been said that I saw them there, which is a deliberate lie, no matter who says so, as I did not see them at any time and in fact was only there myself, more or less, out of curiosity as I had never attended a coroner's inquest in Oregon before. So if Mr. Ruhl is attempting to lead people to believe I lied about the matter he is all wet, and doesn't know what he is talking about. Besides, what is the difference whether they were present or not? Who cares? Why start a tempest in a teapot or attempt to make a mountain out of a mole hill?

I will say that after Mr. Banks called me up I called up Raleigh Mathews and asked him if he was present at the inquest and he said he wasn't and didn't think the other boys were, but didn't know because they were in jail and he was out on bond. Hereafter I will appreciate it if Mr. Ruhl will at least call me up and get my version of matters before attempting to quote me and I will appreciate just a little more fairness to myself on the part of his newspaper. If he had looked over the article in Mr. Bank's paper he would have seen that I didn't say they were not present but only that I did not see them.

I wonder if the fact that I have been one of Earl H. Feh's attorneys, and have assisted in the trial of the cases trumped up against him has anything to do with the Mail Tribune's unfair attitude?

I wonder if this will receive the same prominent space as the other article did.

Well, there is the communication, granted even more "prominent space" in the same column in which the "article" referred to was printed. So much for our correspondent's "wonderment."

WE are frankly surprised however, that Mr. Enright should accuse the Mail Tribune of unfairness toward him, for our files will show that the aforesaid article has been the only editorial comment ever made, regarding Mr. Enright or any of his actions.

We are even MORE surprised, that Mr. Enright should base his charge of "unfairness" upon the fact that we did not call him up and get his version of the case, before attempting to quote him.

The truth is we never "attempted" to quote him. We merely reprinted Mr. Enright's OWN VERSION OF THE CASE, as published on the front page of the morning paper, signed by his own name.

Had we called up Mr. Enright, would he have repudiated that statement, would he have denied he signed it, would he have corrected any portion of it? Here is the statement:

"I was the attorney for Ted Smith and Albert Goschen at the time of the Dahack inquest. I was present at the coroner's inquest and heard the entire proceedings. If Ted Smith and Albert Goschen were present at this hearing I did not see them, nor were they heard at such hearing. One of the three just telephoned me that none of these three witnesses were present. I did not advise my clients not to testify at the inquest. (Signed) "T. J. ENRIGHT"

It was that signed statement that started this entire controversy. It was that statement that was used as Exhibit No. 1, to support the charge against District Attorney Coddling, Coroner Conger, Sheriff Ralph Jennings and other law enforcement officers in Jackson County, that the Dahack inquest was a "WHITEWASH"—that these officers were guilty of not even calling these defense witnesses to the inquest, did not give them a chance to testify, heard only one side of the case, suppressed the other.

Only when the officials involved denied the truth of this criminal charge, only when they declared these two witnesses were taken from the jail to the inquest, were invited to testify but on advice of counsel REFUSED TO TESTIFY, did we call upon Mr. Enright for an explanation of that signed statement.

Was that being unfair to Mr. Enright? Wasn't it on the contrary eminently fair to him, to be offered space in which to prove that when he issued that signed statement, he was telling the truth?

That was what we did—and ALL we did. And after over a week of silence, during which no doubt Mr. Enright carefully considered the matter he takes advantage of that opportunity in the communication above.

We trust we won't again be accused of "unfairness," if, for the sake of clarity, we compare what Mr. Enright said in that signed statement with what he now says over his own signature:

Signed Statement
 I was the attorney for Ted Smith and Albert Goschen at the time of the Dahack inquest. I was present at the coroner's inquest and heard the entire proceedings. If Ted Smith and Albert Goschen were present at this hearing I did not see them, nor were they heard at such hearing. One of the three just telephoned me that none of these three witnesses were present. I did not advise my clients not to testify at the inquest. (Signed) "T. J. ENRIGHT"

Communication
 I wasn't there as their attorney and I wasn't looking for them and in fact at that time I was not interested in them in any way. Later Ike Dunford told me that he brought them over from the jail to the hearing, which I presume he did or he wouldn't have said so and that he had them in a little room off to the side.

Now far be it from us to attempt to lead people to believe Mr. Enright "lied about the matter."

His communication has the ring of sincerity. We do not, in any way, dispute its essential truth.

But we do maintain—and we believe Mr. Enright will agree,—that IF his communication states the truth, his signed statement DOES NOT. For by direct statement in the latter he maintains he WAS the attorney for these men at the inquest, and in the other he maintains he was NOT. By direct statement and by intimation he maintains in one declaration, NONE of these witnesses were there, and in the other that two of them WERE THERE. Is it unfair to Mr. Enright to ask him to explain that?

MR. Enright says he had never attended a coroner's inquest in Oregon before which perhaps accounts for the fact that he did not know it is customary to keep witnesses in a side room while other witnesses testify, and perhaps that accounts for the fact, that in his effort to support the charge that this inquest was a "white wash," he took the word of a

defendant out on bail he knew WASN'T THERE in preference to the word of Ike Dunford, or any of the other county officials who WERE THERE!

STRANGE, VERY STRANGE! Small wonder that Mr. Enright concludes:

"Besides what is the difference whether they were present or not. Who cares? Why start a tempest in a teapot or attempt to make a mountain out of a mole hill?"

"WHY!" Mr. Enright! WHAT'S the difference! WHO cares!

Well WE care Mr. Enright, and we believe the people of Jackson County care. We care whether the charge against the law officers of Jackson County, that they "whitewashed" this Dahack case was true or false. We care whether they heard all the evidence they COULD SECURE, or suppressed that evidence. We care whether they have served the people faithfully or betrayed the people. We care a lot.

"A mountain out of a mole hill!" Is it making a mountain out of a mole hill to maintain that a law officer or law officers, have not only aided and abetted criminals, have not only whitewashed murderers, but have been guilty of crimes themselves! That may be making a mountain out of a mole hill to those who are making false charges against public officials for political purposes ONLY, but we can't understand how a lawyer of Mr. Enright's standing, can so regard them.

Is that your idea of a tempest in a teapot? Well it isn't OURS. That is one of the most serious charges that can be brought against any public officer in this country,—that he betrayed his solemn trust,—that he suppressed evidence so that "the slayer of an innocent unarmed man might go free."

What difference does it make? Only this difference Mr. Enright. The difference between what is true and what isn't. The difference between what is right and what is wrong.

So Mr. Enright PLEASE explain if your signed statement published on the front page of the morning paper June 1st, was or was not true, and if it WAS true, then please explain your communication above.

THAT'S ALL!

Personal Health Service

By William Brady, M. D.

Signed letters pertaining to personal health and hygiene, not to disease, diagnosis or treatment, will be answered by Dr. Brady if a stamped self-addressed envelope is enclosed. Letters should be brief and written in ink. Owing to the large number of letters received only a few can be answered here. No reply can be made to queries not conforming to instructions. Address Dr. William Brady in care of The Mail Tribune.

SAD CASE OF THE DENTIST WHO COULDN'T EAT EGGS

We medical men admit that most of the medicinal nostrums of the day are the discarded formulas or prescriptions of regular physicians of an earlier day. Just as the popular conceptions of disease are the theories held by the profession fifty or a hundred years ago. We're a little ashamed of it, too, for the very fact that so many laymen of good education still cherish these obsolete ideas of pathology and therapeutics is a reproach to the profession. It shows that we have failed in one of the first duties of medical ethics, namely, the instruction of the public.

Then recalled buying them for 7 cents a dozen. "My stars," I said, "that was cheap—I suppose you ate lots of eggs then?" He confessed he had, and he wondered if that might have had anything to do with his kidney trouble. Of course, he exclaimed, he had cut eggs out altogether as soon as he discovered he had albumen.

Milk, meat, fish, peas, beans, cheese and some nuts contain considerable protein or albuminous material. If albumen in food had anything whatever to do with such kidney disease, all these items should be excluded, too.

Of course there is no reason whatever to imagine eggs or other albuminous foods cause such disease or are more injurious to the kidneys than other foods which contain no albumen.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Drinking Water
 Does drinking water between meals reduce weight? Does drinking water after a hot bath reduce of increase weight? (Miss S. R. W.)
 Ans.—No. Any variation of weight from drinking water or not drinking it, or from taking hot baths or not taking them, is temporary and the original weight is restored in a few hours at most.

Cramps in Legs
 For years I have had cramps in my legs almost every night. I have had to get up and walk the floor on my toes. The practice has made me a pretty good toe dancer, but sometimes it is an hour or more before I get relief. Recently I saw in your column the suggestion to press the balls of the feet against the foot-board of the bed. I tried this, and do you know that it stopped the pain at once. This simple idea is giving me hours of better sleep every night. (G. H.)
 Ans.—This suggestion was sent in by one of our readers. If all our readers would contribute when they have helpful suggestions, this would be a far more valuable health service.

Sanitation
 Relative died of cancer of stomach recently. Is it safe to wear his suits, shirts and linen? And to smoke his pipe? (C. P.)
 Ans.—As safe as it would be if he had died of old age or a fractured skull. In fact, I should not hesitate to use or wear any such things, including the bed linen, that had been used or worn by a person with any known disease, provided the things are laundered, dry cleaned or otherwise simply washed.

(Copyright, John F. Dille Co.)

Today

By Arthur Brisbane

6 Cents a Day.
 One Wheel Gone.
 Wet Florida News.
 Sales Tax Comment.

Copyright King Features Synd., Inc.

The Associated Press reports "resources for feeding the thousands of veterans at Washington are about exhausted," and "Police Superintendent Glassford prepared a notice to the bonus seekers that the authorities will gladly furnish transportation out of the city,

for those ready to return home."

In other words, "Here is your hat; what is your hurry?" The government's attitude was different when men were needed to fight the country's battles.

Those men that went to Washington to ask for justice are requested to go about their business, because the fearful drain on national resources that would involve could not be endured. The government has been spending six cents a day to feed them. That would be \$300 a day for 3000 men. It makes you laugh to remember what the government spent to feed Belgian children, Turkish children, Armenian children and the deserting of Mesopotamia.

What, by the way, are the Red Cross and the Salvation Army doing, except collect money, in these

interesting circumstances? These men, now out of work and penniless, are the same that paid first class prices for cigarettes at benevolent canteens in France, and for everything they got.

It seems strange to read in an Associated Press dispatch that near Washington "military posts have been restricted, officers and men understand that they are to stand ready for any emergency duty that they may be called upon to perform." This, of course, refers to the presence of veterans in Washington.

It was said after the Civil War that it would have been cheaper if the government had bought the slaves and freed them, instead of fighting about them.

It certainly would be cheaper, also more decent, to feed the former soldiers demanding justice than to use today's standing army to suppress them.

Near a powder magazine one should not play with matches.

Cyrus H. K. Curtis, whose genius never fails him, prints a picture of the national water wagon with the rear hind wheel falling off, labeled, "Rockefeller."

Some one has said "To be poor is a—." The withdrawal of John D. Rockefeller, Jr., from financial support of the Anti-Saloon League is disturbing.

To have the richest friend of prohibition declare the amendment a failure, just after the failure of a bank containing Anti-Saloon League funds, is almost enough to make you think Providence itself is no longer "dry" and has gone back to the days when water was changed to wine for the wedding feast.

However, it takes thirty-six states to take anything out of the constitution, and the wets should not rejoice prematurely.

It is believed by some wets usually well informed that another very conspicuous American, also absolutely dry hitherto, as well as a total abstainer, will in a day or two confirm Mr. Rockefeller's opinion that prohibition has failed.

Most distressing to prohibitionists who still believe prohibition a success is news from Jacksonville, Fla., that Mark Wilcox, West Palm Beach lawyer, in favor of repealing the 18th amendment, will probably go to congress in place of Mrs. Ruth Bryan Owen, who is dry like her father, the late William J. Bryan.

When you read about the sales tax, used successfully in Canada and elsewhere, and find in this country newspapers opposing it, ask yourself what causes the opposition.

Newspapers would have to pay tax on heavy purchases of white paper and black ink. For that reason some, under one virtuous pretext or another, are opposed to the tax.

On the basis of the Canadian sales tax of 6 per cent, W. R. Hearst would be taxed one million dollars a year as an additional charge for the white paper, of which he uses more than any other publisher in the world.

A friend sends to this column some comments on the tax that may interest you.

The suggestion that Russia might refuse to recognize the United States because we are TOO bolshevist will appeal to you.

"A tariff is a sales tax, but a sales tax imposed on imported articles.

"Our consumers pay a 50 per cent or 60 per cent tariff sales tax without ever knowing it.

"Why should we hesitate at a 4 or 5 per cent manufacturers' tax? It would be absolutely unnoticeable and it would bring in billions to the government.

"In fact, with a 4 or 5 per cent sales tax we could cut down the income tax, which is an economically destructive and wholly un-American tax, being purely class taxation, and consequently a stimulus to the very bolshevism which we consider so fundamentally opposed to our American democracy.

"The latest excesses of this communistic confiscatory income tax are so great that it is no longer a question of whether the United States would be willing to recognize Russia, but whether Russia would be willing to recognize the United States, in view of the dangerous and disastrous excesses of our tax system.

"Nevertheless, we could doubtless endure the communistic quality of this discriminatory tax if there were any benefit to the nation back of it.

"But the fact is that the diversion of capital from productive industry into idle investment and tax-exempt securities means positively a definite and serious diminution of wealth-creating and job-creating industry.

"We are apparently knowingly and purposely reducing industry and employment through providing tax exempt securities and liberately diverting capital into such idle investment.

"Bolshevism, with all its faults, is more intelligent than this because it does not encourage capital to be inactive.

"It confiscates capital and com-

pels it to work for the benefit of the community.

"Our confiscatory income tax system is not merely communistic. It is communism gone mad.

"Democracy is probably doomed in Germany, and either fascism or bolshevism will take its place.

"Why not send Floyd Gibbons to Italy to report on what fascism has done for that nation and then to Russia to see what bolshevism has done for Russia?

"I believe we are going to have communism or fascism in this country if we abandon democracy, and we are abandoning democracy.

"The 'soak the rich' program is a definite abandonment of the policy of equality before the law and in government preached and practiced by the founders of our republic.

"It is not dangerous to the rich. They evade it. But it is destructive to the fundamental principles of our republican institutions.

"With liberty violated by prohibition and equally violated by taxation, we are wandering far from the sound democracy on which this nation was founded.

"If we are not careful we will witness another Boston tea party."

WASHINGTON GRANGE TO MEET IN YAKIMA

TAOOMA, Wash., June 9.—(AP)—Yakima was selected as the 1933 convention city for the Washington state grange by delegates to the 44th annual meeting here today. W. W. Drake of Yakima was reelected for a three year term on the executive committee.

Speakers at the morning session included Bay McKay, past master of the North Dakota state grange, and C. C. Hulet, past master of the Oregon grange.

Canon Funeral Friday at 2 P. M.

Funeral service for Fay Jessup Canon will be conducted from the Perit Funeral Home tomorrow at 2 p. m., Rev. E. Iverson officiating. Interment will take place in Skiatyu Memorial park.

"We Know Chevrolets—You Know Us"

Betty: "How did Mama find out that you really didn't take a bath?"
 Tommy: "Because I forgot to wet the soap!"
 Be sure and don't forget that a stitch in time saves nine. The maintenance of your Chevrolet is kept at a minimum if you'll let experts at this shop rectify minor troubles before they reach serious and costly stages. A general and thorough overhauling now will spare you many regrets later.



FISHING FOR TIME

High school graduation class given diplomas at Page. Commencement address delivered by Bishop Sumner.

Shower weather annoys farmers with hay down.

Mayor Gates is kidnaped by Portland Shriners on way to south.

President and supreme court attacked by union chieftain.

Citizens warned against fake solicitors.

Fifty-eight people in 17 cars guests at city auto camp.

Passenger on Medford-Coast railroad street car hit by auto when he steps from street car.

Twenty years ago today (it was Monday) Espee trains change time again.

Rev. W. F. Shields of Presbyterian church resigns local pastorate.

Motorcyclist falls to beat train to Jackson street crossing, and lands on the cowcatcher, to escape injury.

First tourists reach Crater lake rim, after four days' battle in snow.

Talent store robbed of 17 pairs of shoes.

Seattle auto club to visit city soon.

Jenkins' Comment

(Continued from Page One)

THE copper industry is in a bad way, as you know without being told if by any chance you have visited any of the big copper-producing regions lately.

All of its troubles, of course, aren't due to stock-jobbing in the now past and gone days of the big boom in stocks. But if the copper industry along with a lot of other industries, had devoted more time and thought back in those days to sound production and honest merchandising and LESS time to gambling on the stock exchanges, it would be far better off now than it is.

If this depression has done nothing else, it has taught us that sound, conservative, able, HONEST business methods pay in the long run and that wild and unsound, get-rich-quick methods bring a headache sooner or later.

That is something.

Roxy Ann Grange Meeting Friday

Roxy Ann Grange will conduct its regular meeting tomorrow evening at 8:00 o'clock, and Woodrow Murphy, newly elected lecturer, has arranged an interesting program. All members of the Roxy Ann Grange, as well as other Grange members in the valley, are urged to attend.

During the business session, several important questions will be discussed.

Ye Smudge Pot

By Arthur Ferry

It is now doubtful if a Lie would be believed, if it was the Truth, so let's proceed to the eulogizing of bullheadedness, as saintly firmness.

"WOMEN OF WIDE AREA HONOR MRS. C. BASS" (Hdline Salem Statesman)—"The editor should hide under the desk for bringing that up."

A Chicago millionaire has invented a cotton-picking machine, that does the work of 80 men, according to the Popular Science Magazine. This was real smart of the Chicago millionaire, who is probably worrying about the unrest among the workers, that his brain-child will make jobs for Labor-saving devices are the crowning stupidity of this age, which is said to be quite intellectual.

The Hinesville bank will appreciate the return of its long-handled broom, borrowed by some absent-minded friend—(Liberty (Ga.) Herald)—What the world wants to know is how the absent-minded friend, got out of the bank with the broom without putting up a mop and his right eye for security.

The weather will soon be sufficiently torrid, for hazy-cheated youths to roll around in pioneer 4ds, in bathing suits, and be ogled by the passing throng.

Our old friend, J. C. Barnes, seems to have the idea that the leading wags of the race and nation would be cured painlessly and instantaneously, if what the farmer raises, and cannot sell, was used for money. If a farmer can use a cabbage for a quarter, there is no reason why Jim Dinkens of Beagle, could be restrained from presenting a panther hide, in lieu of \$2, less the cost of the hide, wet and powder, used in exterminating the panther. It does not appear to a fair-minded juror that an ear of corn, or the pelt of a critter, would make a good substitute for the more or less well-known rod of evil, Mr. Barnes, we fear is going to get himself tangled up with the law of supply and demand, and it is something to kick loose from.

"SINGER EXHAUSTED BY OWN EFFORTS"—(Hdline Klamath Herald)—"There is justice left, my countrymen!"

Another agonizing proof of the depression, is the number of new autos parked adjacent to the high school.

Tests will be made, as soon as possible, to determine if a rainbow hued Indian blanket has as many nutritious calories, as a plate of hot beans.

F. Bybee, the Jville serf towened yesterday. He declared from force of habit that rain was needed, and followed it up at once with a retraction.

It was ten years ago next month, that a sheriff issued indictment for blowing cigarette smoke in the face of a newly created saint, an stepping on the straw hat of the Kil-grapp of the Province.

The tourist season has started and will not reach a climax until women show up on Main street, encased in khaki trousers with their lower shanks wrapped up in strips of wool, after the manner of an Egyptian mummy. There seems to be a pair of things a woman cannot do, viz: run across the street, or look like anything, but the dickens, in khaki trousers. For this reason, they insist on trying both.

OTHERWISE OK
 I was afflicted with many other diseases which seemed incurable. We were compelled to leave town on account of the asthma which I had for more than 10 years, had stomach and bowel trouble 14 years, inflammation of the bladder 15 years, had "flu" and pneumonia twice and the second attack left a place in each lung about three inches across that never healed, and it also left me with congestion of the spleen. I was a born anemic, having had to take iron all my life, and when I was eighteen years old, the physicians, after consultation, told me to get the iron tablets in bottles of 300 and take from fifteen to eighteen grains a day, which I did. The vertebrae in my spine had not stayed in place for over twenty years, and I had to take treatments much of the time, suffering terrible headaches, until the physicians could not understand how I had not lost my mind.—(Medicine ad.)

SAN FRANCISCO

Specialty finds a place in this city... HOTEL CALIFORNIAN TAYLOR AT O'FARRELL

STOMACH TROUBLE

Stop gastritis, sour stomach, belching, hyperacidity, indigestion and ulcers. Wallace's Tablets are pharmaceutical correct—bear the medical justification of physicians and are ABSOLUTELY GUARANTEED! Send TODAY for free booklet.

Wallace, Inc., Dept. 1, 100 N. Lombard St., Los Angeles, Calif. Send free booklet about your stomach trouble.