

MEDFORD MAIL TRIBUNE

AN INDEPENDENT NEWSPAPER
PUBLISHED EVERY AFTERNOON
EXCEPT SUNDAY BY THE
MEDFORD PRINTING CO.

Office Mail Tribune Building, 25-27-29
North Fir street; telephone 70.

The Democratic Times, The Medford Mail, The Medford Tribune, The Southern Oregonian, The Ashland Tribune.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
One year, by mail \$5.00
One month, by mail \$1.00
Per month, delivered by carrier in
Medford, \$1.00. Jacksonville
and Central Point, \$1.00
Saturday only, by mail, per year, \$2.00
Weekly, per year, 1.50

Official Paper of the City of Medford
Entered as second-class matter at
Medford, Oregon, under the act of March
1, 1891.

Sworn Circulation for 1914, 2558.

Full leased wire Associated Press Dis-
patches.



- Subscribers failing to receive
- papers promptly, phone Circu-
lation Manager at 250R.
-
-
-
-



"It usually takes a young fellow
four or five years to find out that
the 'chicken' business doesn't pay."

LAUGHS

Selective Method
"In your client going to plead
insanity?"

"I haven't decided," replied the
lawyer. "He wants to look the
ground over and see which is the
easiest to escape from, the prison or
the asylum."—Birmingham Age-
Herald.

In Difficulties

"How's the family?" a fond par-
ent was asked.

"Well, my children are at a diffi-
culty now."

"Difficult? Why, they've all passed
the measles and teething stage,
have they not?"

"Long ago. But you don't know
a father's troubles. My children are
at the age where, if I use slang, my
wife says I'm setting a bad exam-
ple, and if I speak correctly the
kids think I'm a back number. Which
would you do?"

Wanted: A Man

Mistress—Why, Mary, isn't this
your Sunday afternoon out? Aren't
you going for a walk this lovely
day?

Mary—Please, mam, I'd rather
stay in. You see, most of the people
out on a Sunday is couples and I
don't like to be conspicuous.—Lon-
don Punch.

Needed Inspiration

The witness in a law case was an
old country-woman, and her reply to
every question was, "I think so."
Finally counsel became angry.

"Now, look here!" he said. "Stop
that thinking business and answer
her, we're in no way involved."

"Ah," said the witness, "you will
have to 'see me! I ain't like you
lawyers, I can talk without
thinkin'!"

In Sky Terms

He—is aviation very expensive?
Aviation—Why, yes—the overhead
expense is very high.

Economical

"Snuggles says the principal rea-
son he married Miss Bilyuns was
that they would have so much in
common."

"Sure! Her money."

**ANARCHISTS TRIED
FOR MAKING BOMB**

NEW YORK, March 29.—Frank
Abaro and Carmine Carbone went on
trial in the supreme court here today
on the charge of making a bomb and
placing it in St. Patrick's cathedral
March 2, when 700 worshippers were
at their devotions in the edifice. Indi-
cations were that little time would
be lost in selecting the jury.

A special squad of detectives was
stationed in the criminal court build-
ing to scrutinize anarchists and other
radicals who might come to attend the
trial. The special sessions courtroom
was guarded. The detectives had
orders to halt any man who at-
tempted to carry into the building a
package of suspected appearance.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

GOVERNMENT OWNERSHIP OF RAILROADS

UNDoubtedly the next great issue before the people
of the nation will be government ownership of rail-
roads.

The financial condition of the railroads is proof that
private ownership has broken down.

Financial and railroad influence has broken down gov-
ernment regulation and the interstate commerce commis-
sion is becoming an instrument, not of relief to shippers and
consumers, but for the guarantee of profits for rail-
roads.

When Bryan declared for federal ownership in 1900
he was abused, maligned and ostracized. Now the rail-
roads themselves are for government ownership to avert
bankruptcy—many of the railroad magnates are openly
advocating it. Bryan was in advance of his time.

Transportation exists primarily for the benefit of the
public rather than for the creation of dividends or financial
exploitation by masters of Wall street. To avoid
economic waste it, like other public utilities, should be a
monopoly, but a government monopoly.

Government regulation has served its purpose by end-
ing the era of unfair discrimination, checking criminal infla-
tion and exploitation, curbing waste and extravagance,
and providing equal rights to all. But the financial and
economic construction of the railroads is such that many
of them cannot maintain the waste of competition and pay
interest upon inflated capitalization in lean years. Mon-
opoly is necessary to eliminate this waste, and monopoly
of public utilities is intolerable unless controlled by the
state.

The railroads have proven too powerful for regulation.
The interstate commerce commission cannot, or at least
has been unwilling to, adjust the rates to cripple the rail-
roads by squeezing out the water, and as long as dividends
must be paid on speculative and promotive water, rates
will continue to go up. In other words, the regulated is
becoming the regulator.

Relief for the public can only be secured by government
ownership.

**WOMAN WHO SUED
OSBORN ADMITS
NEVER SAW HIM****NO ONE WANTS
DUKE OF ORLEANS
AS FIGHTING MAN**

NEW YORK, March 29.—Miss Rae
Tanner, a milliner who filed a \$50,000
damage suit against James W. Os-
borne, former assistant district at-
torney of New York, went on the arm
of a new lawyer today to the office
of United States District Attorney
Marshall and took back everything
she said recently identifying Mr. Os-
borne as the man who courted her
under the name of Oliver Osborne.

Before Mr. Marshall would take
her statement he prepared a waiver
of immunity for her to sign. She
affixed her name and her signature
was witnessed by her new counsel,

Harold A. Spielberg. Then she sat
down in the office of Assistant Dis-
trict Attorney Wood and began to
tell her story. It was said she would
be at it all afternoon.

Miss Tanner, according to her law-
yer, simply made a mistake in identi-
fying James W. Osborne as Oliver
Osborne, her admirer. No one else
was to blame for her error. Mr.
Spielberg said: the firm of Slade &
Slade, the lawyers who represented
her, were in no way involved.

Friends of James W. Osborne claim
that he has been made the victim of
a conspiracy to discredit him and ex-
pect that other names will be drawn
into the investigation.

Assistant District Attorney Wood,
who came from the examination room
at 1 o'clock, said Miss Tanner insis-
ted that her act in connecting Jas.
W. Osborne with the case was an
honest mistake.

Miss Tanner told Mr. Wood that
she became aware of her mistake last
Thursday. While she was sitting in
the office of United States Commis-
sioner Houghton awaiting the signing
of her bond, she said, Mr. Osborne
came in and she saw him "under a
strong light" for the first time.

When she realized her error, Miss
Tanner said, her first impulse was to
tell Commissioner Houghton. An in-
terruption prevented her from doing
so, and she told her brother when she
went home. Her brother then told
Mr. Spielberg.

**PROGRESS ON LINE
REPORTED BY FRENCH**

PARIS, March 29.—The French
war office today gave out a report
on the fighting of yesterday, which
reads:

"In the region of Ypres a German
observation post was blown up by the
French with mine. At Eparges the
Germans attempted to regain the
trenches lost by them March 27. After
a violent struggle the French
gains on the whole were maintained.

The Germans obtained a footing in
a few sections of their old trenches,
but on the other hand the French
made progress at many points."

PARIS, March 29.—The French
war office today gave out a report
on the fighting of yesterday, which
reads:

"In the region of Ypres a German
observation post was blown up by the
French with mine. At Eparges the
Germans attempted to regain the
trenches lost by them March 27. After
a violent struggle the French
gains on the whole were maintained.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

PARIS, March 29.—The French
war office today gave out a report
on the fighting of yesterday, which
reads:

"In the region of Ypres a German
observation post was blown up by the
French with mine. At Eparges the
Germans attempted to regain the
trenches lost by them March 27. After
a violent struggle the French
gains on the whole were maintained.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was concocted
by the detective, who obtained
their confidence and who was chiefly
instrumental in their arrest.

The defense holds that the two men
were victims of a police "frame-up"
and that the whole plot was conco