# PROHIBITION UP-TO-DATE 

Maine adopted prohibition in 1846 and repealed it in 1856, re-enacted prohibition in 1858. You know how they enforce it.
New Hampshire adopted it in 1855 and repealed it in 1903.

Vermont adopted it in 1850 and repealed it in 1903.
Massachusetts adopted it in 1852, repealed it in 1868, readopted it in 1869, repealed it in 1875.
Rhode Island adopted it in 1852, repealed it in 1863, e-adopted it in 1866, repealed it in 1889.
Connecticut adopted it in 1854, repealed it in 1872.
New York adopted it in 1855, but it was declared unconstitutional.
Ohio adopted it in 1851. It was annulled by a license ag law.
Indiana adopted it in 1855 , but it was declared anconstitutional.
Michigan adopted it in 1855 , repealed it in 1875.
Illinois adopted it in 1851, repealed it in 1853.
Wisconsin adopted it in 1855 , vetoed by the governor.
Iowa adopted partial prohibition in 1855, full prohibiton in 1884, abrogated it by the mulct law in 1893.

Nebraska adopted it in 1855, repealed it in 1858. Kansas adopted it as a constitutional amendment in 1880.

North Dakota adopted it by constitutional provision in 1890.
South Dakota adopted it by a constitutional provision in 1890 and repealed it in 1896.
Georgia adopted it in 1907.
Oklahoma adopted it in 1907.
Alabama adopted it in 1908.
Mississippi adopted it in 1908.
Tennessee adopted it in 1909.
${ }^{\text {Es }}$ North Carolina adopted prohiibtion in 1909.
Men and measures must stand on their record. Voters of Oregon, this is what you are calletupon to decide next Tuesday, November 8th.
The good general, when defeated, retires in order and gathers up his forces to charge again and regain his lost $\underset{\text { er, he hies himself to pastures new, where the grass is taller }}{ }$ and his game is not so well known.
And should anybody attempt to differ with him, be he preacher or layman, they immediately set up a howl of liar, thief, fraud -and prohibition is the biggest fraud perpetrated on the American voter
Prohibitionists claim thirty-eight millions of people in the United States are now living in dry territory, yet the consumption of liquor is on the increase? Could it be that the fellows in this dry territory have some in their cellars
NO. Liar again. Then the fellow in the wet territory must work overtime or a double shift.

Fifty years ago the leaders of prohibition thought they saw the dawn of the perfect day, when there wouldn't be a dram shop nor a drunkard ing all the land. They were a dram shop nor a drunkard intemperance which had perplexed and baffled mankind for thousands of years was as good as solved. The great dragon was about to be slain and his dead carcass hurled into the bottomless pit. But it turned out to be all a dream. The dragon was not slain; he was not even seriously wounded. If he disappeared
at all, it was only to betake himself to the cellar to await at all, it was only to betake himself to the cellar to await
the passing storm, which soon died out, and now the suethe passing storm, which soon died out, and now the sue
censors of the men that rallied around the standard of Neal cessors of the men that radicel around the standard of old
Dow are working the same promises that were made of old
They assure us that the present movement means busincs, and that this wave will not subside until it has swept over every foot of American soil, and has done to the rum traffic what Jehovah did to the Egyptians in the Red sea bone of an ass. They are still using the jawbone.

By its record prohibition must be judged. On every page of that record from Maine to North Carolina must be written, folly, failure
Neither a state-wide system, nor under local option, has prohibition ever made the slightest headway towards the solution of the liquor problem. The one solitary serv ice that it has rendered to society is that of furnishing a warning example of the supreme folly of attempting to legislate virtue into men's lives.
The long list of states which have tried and repudiated prohibition shows it to be destructive of moral welfare and prosperity. Well might we say with the poet:

## "better bear the ills we know than fly to those we know not of"

# VOTE <br> 328 X Yes <br> 343 X No <br> 345 X No 

## ANTI-PROHIBITION LEAGUE

(Paid advertisement.)



## Vote Yes For Deschutes County 350 X Yes

The huge counties of Central Oregon must be divided in order to facilitate the proper development of this vast Empire-the creation of Deschutes County is the first and logical step. The laws of Oregon make it impossible to create a new county in any way other than by a vote of the state at large-therefore the residents of the proposed Deschutes County ask your support.


The present Crook County is 84 miles wide, 110 miles long- 19 times the size of Multnomah County. The territory embraced is so large and the interests so divergent that it is next to impossibe to equitably administer to the needs of all sections.
Realizing these conditions, Prineville, the county seat of the present county, is willing to have Deschutes formed. vOTE 350 X FOR DESCHUTES COUNTY.
"It's a step for progress in Central

## .

Deschutes County Executive Committee
Wm. G. Phoenix, Treas., Redmond, Or Authors (Paid advertisement.)


