

Letters to the Editor

Roberts doesn't quit

To the Editor:
Last February a courageous Oregonian announced her candidacy for governor of the state of Oregon. She had no million dollar campaign fund, she had no detailed campaign plan hatched over the two years, and she had no statewide network of campaign offices. But she did have a clear vision for Oregon and the willingness to work hard to let Oregonians know about that vision. Running for governor was not the safe choice nor was it the easy choice for she was starting from way behind. But Barbara Roberts has grit, she's been against the odds most of her professional life and she's beaten those odds time and time again and besides this time the future of Oregon was at stake.
Barbara Roberts cares deeply about people, she is a working woman of humble beginnings who knows that the most important value that people want in their leaders is to tell them the truth no matter how

unpopular that truth may be. I think very few would dispute that Barbara Roberts is a hard worker or that she's willing to take on the tough jobs and as this campaign has shown she certainly doesn't try to hedge her positions.
Last April, Barbara Roberts stopped in Pendleton on her first statewide campaign swing, at that time most people were saying she couldn't win, but Barbara has proven them wrong. Through her toughness, ability and sheer determination she has gained the broad based support of Oregonians so that now she can win. I believe Barbara Roberts should be our next governor, she will be a governor of all the people, she will never sidestep the tough choices and she will be one of the hardest working governors in our state's history. Barbara Roberts is a courageous leader who will always put Oregonians first, I urge you to cast your ballot on November 6 for Barbara Roberts, governor.

(s) Stan Foster
Weston Mountain

Vote yes on Measure 8

To the Editor:
We face an issue that has everything to do with right and wrong and the decency of the human race. More than a million and a half abortions are performed in the United States each year, while the National Committee on Adoption reports over three million couples waiting, many times for years, for the opportunity to adopt. A million and a half abortions. Do that many people truly lack all natural feeling toward their own flesh and blood? It seems completely incomprehensible.
If a strict abortion law passes, we are told some women would turn to "back alley" abortions and some could possibly have severe complications from it. We hope that would not happen, but they have a choice. We hear so much about choices. The unborn child has no choice in an abortion and its fate is certain death. Maybe legislation will help women to see it's not okay, like

we've been told by so many propaganda sources. Are we a brain-washed people as those Hitler convinced that Jews were really nonhumans and worthy of extermination? Wrong is not right just because someone says it is.
The unborn person cannot be born into our advanced and civilized society where doctors are trained to take lives rather than save them. And the temporary home of their own mother's womb is no longer a safe place to be.
We cannot condone this crime nor look the other way in apathy. Our cause for human rights for all human beings is not lost. The race will be close. Voting yes on Measure 8 will show your support for solving social problems through positive methods. Killing innocent life is not an acceptable solution.

Signed,
(s) Dr. and Mrs. Steven Neal
Pendleton

Roberts will work for all

To the Editor:
Oregon is at a crossroads, our economy is changing from a resource driven economy to a service and value-added economy, which requires new ways of thinking and new approaches to meeting old problems. Leadership in Salem must put results ahead of politics and work for real solutions to our country's problems. This takes an individual who is willing to tackle our problems head-on and take tough stands on issues and move this state forward into the 21st century with a plan and vision for our future.
Barbara Roberts is such a person, she knows how to be an advocate, she is willing to take the heat for un-

popular stands and she knows that broad-based consensus can only come from broad-based debate. Barbara Roberts will be an Oregon governor that tackles the problems of this state and proposes the solutions to all the people. Barbara Roberts will listen, talk with and be in touch with Oregonians. Barbara Roberts is one of us, she knows what the state stands for and she will not sell us out to the highest bidder or let the federal government dictate our future.
If you've followed this election, you know the difference between Barbara Roberts and her main opponent. Barbara comes from the grassroots blue collar community of Sheridan, Oregon. She wasn't born into a family of influence or privilege, she started at the bottom and got her success the old-fashioned way—she earned it one step at a time.
Oregon is a state built on this independent tradition, a place where hard-work is respected and honored, where everyone has the chance to succeed if they have the vision, the courage and the toughness to stick with it long enough. Barbara Roberts is just such an Oregonian, she will truly be a governor of the average person not just the rich and powerful and she will never take Oregonians for granted. I want a governor that will listen to all Oregonians that is why on November 6, I urge you to join me and cast your vote for Barbara Roberts for governor.

(s) Angela Jerome
Pendleton

Against Measure 5

To the Editor:
As a property owner, I am very concerned with the high property taxes I pay in Oregon. However, I do not see Measure 5 as the answer to the problem. I see Measure 5 as a tourniquet. We have a severe loss of blood (property taxes) and some property owners want to stop the flow with a tourniquet (Measure 5). Unfortunately, current first-aid techniques tell us that when you apply a tourniquet, you expect to lose any part of the body below it. Therefore, Measure 5 will stem the flow of property taxes, but by doing so, will jeopardize the programs now funded by property taxes, i.e., local schools, governments, higher education, etc. This is not a "solution" to the problem, but a stopgap measure creating several new problems.
Proponents of this measure will tell you that the state will make up the difference between current funding and what will be lost from property taxes if Measure 5 passes. This is not necessarily true. There is no dollar-for-dollar replacement guarantee in this measure. For the

state to even come close to replacement monies would take 68 percent of the general fund by 1996 and this is strictly maintenance funding without improvements. Also, taking this much out of the general fund would seriously deplete funding to other important state programs.
I think the effect of this measure that should most concern our local residents, however, is the fact that if the state takes over the majority of funding for local programs it will also take over the control. We, as taxpayers, will no longer have any significant say in how our schools and governments are run. The state will dictate what we will do or they will pull funding. Remember how the 55 mph speed limit was put in by the threat of pulling highway funding. In conclusion, I would like to say again that I am not happy with the high property taxes I have to pay, but I prefer this method of funding to one that will create more problems than it solves. Please join me and vote no on Measure 5.
Thank you.

(s) Donald A. Cash
Pilot Rock

Mobley only real choice

To the Editor:
If you are like me you like to hear good news. Well here is some: This election you and I have a chance to take back our state. Professional politicians and pompous bureaucrats have been telling us what to do for too long. "We the people" have become the servants of the government. It's time we change things back to the way they are supposed to be.

I support Al Mobley for governor because he will work to give the government back to the people. Mrs. Roberts, I feel, is very genuine and I applaud her integrity but I disagree with her liberal views. Mr. Frohnmayer-well, if he can't decide where he stands I sure can't. Just to cite one instance of many: At the October 23 debate Mr. Mobley stated: "I find many of the solutions that come forward in our government and with the candidates that are running for governor this time, right off the top of our heads. I don't think a proper analysis has been made to find out where we need to go. I think the first thing to do is to make an analysis of where we are." Frohnmayer's closing statement he said: "I'm the only candidate with the capability of saying, let's take a fresh look at every single program that government is doing. If it's serving us well then let's make sure it's nourished, if it's not it's time to start

over."
Mr. Frohnmayer obviously has a hard time divesting himself from his training as an attorney, so maybe he should stick to being one. On the other hand he already is a career politician, so if he doesn't win this election he has a lot to lose.

Mr. Mobley has nothing to lose. The salaries of the heads of Oregon's higher education institutions are higher than that of governor. Mr. Mobley isn't a career politician so his continued income and career status are not at stake. As a matter of fact I'm sure he would have much fewer headaches if he didn't win. He could just go back into retirement and if things go from bad to worse he could simply move out of Oregon if he chose.

Then why is Mr. Mobley seeking to become Oregon's next governor? Because like the rest of us he has felt the crunch of unrestrained bureaucracy and run-away government. He has grandchildren he wants to see grow up in a free, democratic society. He is a man of integrity and principles and we need him. Please join me in voting for Al Mobley on November 6. And, thank you Al for myself, for my children and for Oregon.

(s) Sandy Blewett
Pendleton

Supports Mobley

To the Editor:
Every frustrated taxpayer who worked so hard getting those thousands of names on the petitions they tried to throw out, should wake up and now work just as hard to get ballot-signers for Al Mobley for Governor.

What has become of simple, honest integrity in government? It's time to elect a new governor who isn't part of the old crowd.
Sincerely,
Joe Spenner
Stayton



by Mark Baggett

Elk Hunting Prospects Promising

Elk hunters in eastern and central Oregon this year can look forward to success potentials at least equal to those enjoyed during the 1989 season, area biologists say, due to stable or increasing populations over much of the region and to good availability of bulls.

According to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife biologist Greg Concannon of Prineville, hunters who have drawn permits to hunt the Ochoco unit in either the first or second season should stand a good chance of finding a bull.

"It (hunting success) should be on par with the past couple of years where we've been experiencing hunter success that ranges pretty close to what we see in some of the other better units in eastern Oregon," Concannon said.

One reason the Ochoco unit is popular among elk hunters, the biologist said, is up to 50 percent of the harvest is typically comprised of mature, branch-antlered bulls.

"Our census information from last spring indicates continued growth in the elk population. We're meeting or exceeding our bull ratios, it appears, and so with those factors combined and under the right kind of conditions, we could have even a better harvest than last year."

Concannon said elk numbers are increasing and are well distributed in the limited-entry Grizzly and Maury units as well, where good success is also expected.

Eastward, elk population are at or above management objectives in the Murderers Creek, Northside and Beulah units, also open only to hunters who have drawn the appropriate tags.

District Wildlife biologist Greg Hattan of John Day said while bull ratios in the Murderers Creek and Beulah units are meeting prescribed management objectives, they are only slightly below in some areas of Northside. These objectives call for the survival of at least 15 bulls per 100 cows after each elk season. As for this year's pre-season estimates, he said, "I'm pretty sure it would be close to thirty (bulls per 100 cows) when you add last year's recruitment in."

These figures suggest that about half the bulls will be branch-antlered animals while spikes will make up the remainder.

"That shows up in the kill, too," Hattan concurred, "because we get (a harvest of) about 50 percent branch bulls."

Hattan said that unfortunately, most units which show favorable elk numbers and bull ratios along with good hunter success do so because hunter numbers in these areas are controlled by the limited-entry tag drawing process.

"We could allow more hunters if we could have fewer roads and more cover," he said. "The habitat (accessibility) is what's limiting hunter numbers—that's true for both deer and elk."

"What we want is for the land-management agencies to manage the road system so we don't have to have cooperative road closures. They should build that right into their timber sales, to close roads after their use."

Many hunters who did not apply for or failed to draw limited-entry elk tags can purchase a general-season elk tag to hunt the Beulah unit, where populations and bull ratios are also at management objectives. Due to heavy hunting pressure, however, bulls (many of which are spikes) can be managed at only seven per 100 cows.

Other general-season areas include the Heppner and Fossil units, though lack of escapement habitat in most areas open to public hunting is expected to reduce bull numbers at the onset.

"We've got some pretty good elk populations," said Heppner biologist Bob Krein. "The problem is, hunter density is going to be so high that our season can probably be expected to last about a day and a half or two and the cream of the crop is going to be gone. It's been that way for several years."

PUBLIC NOTICE

PUBLIC NOTICE hereby is given, that the two-year period for the redemption of real properties included in the 1988 delinquent tax lien foreclosure proceedings instituted by Morrow County, Oregon, on November 15, 1988, in the circuit court of the State of Oregon for Morrow County, Case No. 88-CV-73, and included in the judgement and decree entered therein on November 15, 1988 will expire on November 15, 1990.

All properties ordered sold under the judgement and decree, unless redeemed on or before November 15, 1990, will be deeded to Morrow County, Oregon, immediately on expiration of the period of redemption, and every right and interest of any person in such properties will be forfeited forever to Morrow County, Oregon.

Margo Sherer
Tax Collector
Morrow County
Published: October 24 and 31, 1990

PUBLIC NOTICE

An emergency meeting of the Special Transportation Committee for Morrow County will be held on November 2, 1990 at 1:30 p.m. at the Heppner Senior Center in Heppner, OR. This meeting is being held to discuss distribution of funding from Special Transportation Grant funds for Morrow County. This is a public meeting and any person may attend. Senior Citizens and the handicapped are urged to attend.
Published: October 31, 1990

Minimum Price: \$2,000.00.
Market Value: \$3,750.00.
Appraised Value: \$2,000.00.
Terms: Cash in full at time of sale.
Said sale is made under an Order issued out of the County Court of the State of Oregon for Morrow County to me directed: In the Matter of

Real Property owned by Morrow County and Directing Sheriff to Conduct Sale dated October 24, 1990. The minimum price which may be accepted for the property is fixed by Order of the County Court and stated with each parcel described above. "Market Value" means the market value of the property as determined by the tax roll at the last equalized value on the assessment roll.

ROY L DRAGO, Sheriff
Morrow County, Oregon
By: Pauline Winter
Chief Civil Deputy
Published: October 31; November 7, 14 and 21, 1990

CHECK IT OUT!

1991 Chevrolet & Oldsmobile

- 1-\$10 Extended Cab 4x4-Loaded, 4.3 V/6 5 speed, air conditioning & much more. \$1,000 Factory Rebate!
- 3-1991 Chevrolet 3/4 ton 4x4's, 4speed, air conditioning.
- 1-1991 Chevrolet Lumina 4 door, auto, air conditioning. \$500 Factory Rebate!
- 1-1991 Oldsmobile Cutless Supreme SL 4 door-Loaded, \$750 Factory Rebate!
- 1-1991 Oldsmobile Calais 4 door: \$750 Factory Rebate!
- 1-1991 Beretta GT-Loaded, 5 speed. \$500 Factory Rebate!

Wright Chevrolet, Inc.

Chevrolet & Oldsmobile
763-4175 -- Fossil
Herb Wright - Bill MacInnes - Bill MacInnes, Jr.

VOTE

REPUBLICAN

ON

NOVEMBER 6TH

United States Senator

MARK HATFIELD

United States Congressman

BOB SMITH

Governor of Oregon

DAVE FROHNMAYER

Morrow County Commissioner

RAY FRENCH

PAID FOR BY THE MORROW COUNTY REPUBLICAN CENTRAL COMMITTEE