

# TO THE EDITOR...

To The Editor:  
As I have been an ardent sport fisherman for many, many years, anything that can be done to improve our already wonderful sport fishing condition will receive my full support.

There is now a flood of propaganda being put out to the voters picturing both sides of Ballot Measure No. 4 which if passed would prohibit commercial fishing on the Columbia River by Oregon residents.

Now, we sports fishermen know in our own hearts that this is not the answer, we know this is not the solution, it is not the proper process of disposing of a difficulty or problem such as this.

Let us be honest with ourselves. We know that our State Fish Commission is doing a supremely fine job in regulating and controlling the take of salmon for the sake of conservation and in the interest of "Salmon for All." Special note should be made of the profitable commercial fishing season, the exceptional sport fishing in the river and over the bar this fall and the escapement of a million fish over the Bonneville Dam for natural propagation.

We sports fishermen know that Ballot Measure No. 4 is a product of selfishness and is in no way related to the policies of the Golden Rule. How much more sensible it would seem if this energy could be channeled toward promoting finances for doubling the hatchery capacity of both Oregon and Washington and an honest effort made to equalize the fishing rules in the Columbia by both states, then we could really have "Salmon for All," not just on a ballot title, but in the Columbia River.

I know from recent experience that many signatures were secured on a petition to place a measure before the voters through various types of pressure, personal influence and chain reaction. But when the voter is alone with his moral sense, which determines right

and wrong, in the voting booth with no selfish influence being brought to bear, there is where the straight thinking citizen exercises his God-given duty to his fellow men and casts his vote as being honest with himself.

Personally, I do not fear the outcome of this issue come November 3rd, all that is required to defeat this Measure No. 4 is that we all just be honest with ourselves.

Maurice W. Fysher, Mayor  
102 Oceanway  
Seaside, Oregon

To The Editor:  
On November 3rd, the voters of Oregon will decide the fate of many Oregon communities along the Columbia River when they go to the polls to vote on ballot Measure No. 4. This bill is backed by a certain group of people who are falsely leading the voters into believing they are voting to conserve the Columbia River Salmon resource. Conserve it for WHO? And for what purpose?

It is about time the Oregon voters realize that this is NOT a bill to conserve a resource—it is, in fact, a bill to take away the salmon resource from ALL of us Oregonians and give it to just a few. Unless we will be one of these fortunate few and can go out on the Columbia River to catch our own salmon, we will be denied the privilege of buying this salmon in markets or restaurants—fresh, frozen or canned—in the State of Oregon.

This controversial measure has been heard and tried by the Governor's Natural Resource Committee. This responsible committee strongly opposed the passage of this measure for they felt it was not with the best interests of the Oregon public. Also opposing the measure are the following agencies: Oregon Fish Commission; Oregon Legislative Interim Committee on Wildlife; and the U. S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries. In addition, the Oregon Game Commission has testified that there is no biological need for increased escapement to insure satisfactory Columbia River salmon runs for the future.

So why eliminate a multi-million dollar industry and deprive yourselves the luxury of Columbia River Salmon? Vote NO on Measure No. 4—and you will be helping to keep this salmon resource and industry alive for the benefit of ALL Oregonians.

George J. Alstadt  
Box 584 Gearhart, Oregon  
Patricia Ann Lane

To the Editor:  
A letter to the editor in your issue of October 1, 1964, from Mr. T. L. Scanlon, Oregon AFL-CIO, made some amusing statements about Ballot Measure No. 3, the Insurance Monopoly bill, which his union is sponsoring. He attempted to refute a statement in a story quoting me in your September 3 issue. The story said, "This grossly unfair measure would destroy the right of employers to shop in a competitive market for the best coverage available and increase farm labor costs by about 12.5 per cent."

Mr. Scanlon did not attempt to refute the fact that this measure would destroy the rights of employers to shop for the best coverage. All he talked about was the cost.

He stated that other states that require farmers to insure their workers against job-injuries have rates of about \$6.00 per \$100 of payroll compared to the State Industrial Accident Commission's rate, which is double that amount. What Mr. Scanlon did not tell your readers was that three states have a competitive system which keeps cost down rather than a monopoly system which his union favors.

Mr. Scanlon also uses the

theory that if all farm employees are covered, costs will decline. However, he did not say anything about the 18.2% increase in benefits to injured workers in the bill which will more than offset any advantage in spreading the risk over a greater number of workers. Furthermore, a monopoly system such as the State of Oregon would have under Ballot Measure 3 does not allow competition to lower rates. I want to urge all voters to vote No on Measure number 3 in November.

Sincerely,  
Herman Blittell

To The Editor:  
An election day draws near, a nasty little rumor is being circulated by certain democrats regarding the candidacy of County Judge Oscar Peterson; to-wit: That Don Turner might seek appointment to his office should Judge Peterson retire in 1968.

This is a deliberate fabrication and without foundation in fact. Further, there are at least a dozen experienced and highly competent men in this county, both Republicans and Democrats, who have the time and could serve very well in the office should it ever become vacant, and several of these men have expressed a sincere desire to perform such service. None of these men, it should be noted, would serve merely as lieutenants for Al Lamb and his Farmers Union clique.

Lamb has obtained far too much control over the citizens of this county, and his bid for control of the county court should be turned down by the voters who want and appreciate fairness and impartial service in government. For this reason it is imperative that Judge Peterson and Commissioner Walter Hayes be returned to office. Both will serve diligently without regard to narrow considerations of geography or politics, just as they have in the past. Their continued service is far superior to the part-time partisanship of Al Lamb and his Farmers Union clique.

Don Turner

Money receipt books in duplicate and triplicate are on sale at the Gazette-Times.

## MONUMENT

(Held over from last week)  
Willard Croker, Mr. and Mrs. Jim Croker and Ronny drove to Seattle, Wn., Friday night for the week-end to visit relatives there and some from the east.

Virgil Reynolds and his mother, Mrs. Stella McCarty drove to Heppner last Tuesday on business. There Monday on business were Maynard Hamilton, Mrs. Harold Lippert and son, DeWayne.

Bill Chance drove to Pendleton last Wednesday to bring Leo Flower home from the hospital where he had been for surgery on his leg.

Mrs. Cloe Page came Saturday from Nelscott to take care of her business interests here.

Mrs. Clifford Howell came home Friday from Pendleton where she had been with her husband in the hospital, went to Spray for her son, Paddy who was with Marvin Howells, and returned to her home on Wall Creek. Mr. Howell is slowly recovering from recent surgery.

D. L. Matteson of Milton Free-water and H. L. Matteson of Pendleton visited Sunday at the Elmer Matteson home.

Mrs. Ann Cowden of Portland came last Thursday to visit a few days with Francis Noland and children.

The Chester Foss family of The Dalles was here for the week-end at the home of his brother-in-law and sister, Mr. and Mrs. Mead Gilman.

George Flower, son of Mr. and Mrs. Leo Flower is now working with the Bishop Players in Los Angeles, Calif.

Mrs. Francis Noland and sons Tommy and Jimmy, and Mrs. Ann Cowden drove to John Day Saturday to have the cast removed from Tommy's leg that he broke two months ago.

## Vote For A Candidate Who Is Familiar With Problems of Morrow County Residents



### William J. Devine

For  
**JUSTICE OF THE PEACE**  
Sixth District  
(Heppner)

**Elect A Justice of the Peace with Experience In All Phases of Life Common to Morrow County Drivers, Property Owners and Sportsmen.**

**Elect A Justice of the Peace Who Will Protect Your Rights.**


(Pd. Ad by William J. Devine, Heppner, Ore.)



### DON'T GET CAUGHT SHORT

RIGHT NOW is FLEX-O-GLASS time! So fix yourself some low-cost, draft-stopping storm windows with Warp's FLEX-O-GLASS. It's easy! Just cut with shears—tack over screens or frames—and you're fixed for the whole winter. Saves up to 40% on fuel. Warp's crystal-clear FLEX-O-GLASS lasts for years at a fraction the cost of glass. Only 90¢ a square yard at your local hardware or lumber dealer.

**PETTYJOHN'S**  
FARM & BUILDING SUPPLY  
122 Linden Way Ph. 676-9157



### DON'T WAIT TIL IT'S TOO LATE

Before the little woman gets on your neck about the house being cold and drafty...before you're up to your neck in snow...fix yourself some low-cost storm windows out of Warp's FLEX-O-GLASS and get 'em up. It's easy! Just cut with shears and tack over screens or frames. Only 90¢ a sq. yd. at

**TUM-A-LUM  
LUMBER CO.**  
Heppner Ph. 676-9212



## The Compulsory Insurance Monopoly Bill (State Measure 3) Will NOT Do What Its Promotors Claim!

# #3 X NO

### VOTE 3 X NO

**Compulsory Monopoly Job-Injury Insurance  
Would HURT Employees-Consumers-Employers-YOU!**



## IT'S FLEX-O-GLASS TIME

Only **90¢** SQ. YD.  
26", 36" & 48" Widths

**TIME to Make Your Home  
Winter-Tight, Draft-Free**

**TIME to Save Up To 40%  
on Your Fuel Bills**

JUST CUT  
AND TACK ON  
OVER YOUR  
WINDOWS  
AND DOORS

FLEX-O-GLASS is the original and only window material that carries a 2 YEAR GUARANTEE. Look for the name FLEX-O-GLASS on the edge.

Get Genuine FLEX-O-GLASS At Your Local Hdwr. or Lmbr. Dealer

Vicious measure No. 3 would COMPEL ALL EMPLOYERS to buy job injury insurance from the state and only from the state. Injured employees would be COMPELLED to look only to the state for help and medical care payments. Competition and Freedom of Choice will be destroyed! HERE'S WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF VOTERS LET IT PASS!

1. THOUSANDS OF WORKERS WOULD LOSE BENEFITS THEY NOW HAVE. Many employers furnish better insurance programs than the state offers. These would be destroyed.
2. THOUSANDS WOULD PAY FOR INSURANCE THEY NOW GET FREE. Many employers pay for job-injury insurance for their workers. Under the monopoly, workers would be forced to pay part of the premium cost.
3. PERSONAL AND SPECIALIZED MEDICAL ATTENTION WOULD BE CUT DOWN. If employers are forced to insure with the state, 600,000 workers will become impersonal numbers in a state bureaucracy. State workers are already over-burdened. It will be impossible for the state to give insured workers personal or specialized attention under the huge monopoly.
4. THERE WILL BE A SLOW-DOWN IN CLAIM PAYMENTS. Competitive insurance pays claims in an average of two weeks from date of an accident. In Washington, where a partial monopoly exists, it takes 86 days to pay a claim! Imagine an injured worker's family being forced to wait almost 3 months for a check! WOULD YOU WANT THIS TO HAPPEN TO YOUR FAMILY?

STATE MEASURE NO. 3 IS A PIG-IN-A-POKE THAT WILL FAIL TO LIVE UP TO ITS PROMISES!

The Compulsory Insurance Monopoly Bill Would

- Increase Costs to Consumers and Taxpayers
- Eliminate Freedom of Choice in Job-Injury Insurance
- Hurt Free Enterprise

## VOTE X NO on 3 on NOVEMBER 3

This information provided as a service to voters by The Committee For Fair Workmen's Compensation—Peter Schnell, Chairman, 4619 S. E. River Drive, Portland