

## Heppner Gazette Times

THE HEPPNER GAZETTE,  
Established March 30, 1888;  
THE HEPPNER TIMES,  
Established November 18, 1897;  
CONSOLIDATED FEBRUARY 15, 1916.

Published every Thursday morning by  
**VAUTER** and **SPENCER CRAWFORD**  
and entered at the Post Office at Heppner, Oregon, as second-class matter.

**ADVERTISING RATES GIVEN ON APPLICATION**

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES:**

One Year	\$2.00
Six Months	1.00
Three Months	.75
Single Copies	.05

**Official Paper for Morrow County.**

**EMERGENCY CARED FOR.**

The O.W.R. & N. company is to be congratulated for the dispatch in handling passengers and mail at the time of the wreck on the local branch last week. Though the wreck occurred at the half-way point on the line some 23 miles from Heppner, passengers were delivered at their destination here in less than an hour after scheduled time. It is to be remembered that in case of an accident of this kind the railroad company can only make use of the resources available to them, and the emergency was handled commendably from all reports. The accident was of a kind not uncommon to railroading in hot weather, though it is the first of the kind on the local branch in many years. It was unusual in that it was not more serious. A sun-kinked rail caused a spread of some 32 inches in the track, over which every wheel of the engine passed with the exception of one, a rear wheel of the tender. Only one car was derailed, while the engine wheels sank through a number of the solid heavy ties on which the 90 pound rail was laid at the point. The train was on its morning journey up the branch and repairs were completed in time to allow scheduled connections the following night.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE MONTH**

HEPPNER business men are seriously considering the formation of a merchants' credit association, the ball having been started rolling at the business institute here last week. It is a wise move and one that should be welcomed by all concerned.

Merchants should protect each other against the extension of credit to unsafe risks. When a man reaches the limit of his ability to pay one firm with whom he has been dealing, it is not right that he should be allowed to start an account with another firm which is certain to lose. It is not only injuring the other business, but it is lowering the tone of business generally within the town, and it is doing a distinct injustice to the man to whom such credit is given.

In the summer more bath talcum is used than during all the rest of the year together. It should not be, of course. Talcum powder is a useful, dainty feminine accessory all through the year. But it is especially important in the summer.

After a warm bath, or after a cool, refreshing shower, bath powder applied generously with the big soft puffs which come in the large open-mouthed boxes will add immeasurably to your sense of personal comfort and daintiness. And to your security, as well, for the most of these bath talcums have deodorizing properties that effectively neutralize the odors of perspiration.

Face powder is another important summertime consideration. A shiny nose is such an annoying feature—it can do more to upset one's self confidence than any other defect of good grooming. And shiny noses are so common in warm weather.

Before I discuss the ways of selecting a summertime face powder I am going to advise you to use a "shine" corrective during these warm, sultry months before you apply your makeup. A special lotion or a liquid astringent skin tonic which corrects "shine" will preserve your makeup longer and also normalize the function of those overactive pores.

In choosing your powder be sure to get one dark enough—it should be at least as dark as your skin, and to be fashionable, one or two shades darker. A powder with a cream base, specially created to "stay put" is much to be preferred in warm weather. Such a powder in addition to being an attractive and convenient cosmetic, actually provides a certain desirable amount of protection for your skin against detrimental weathering effects.

## Sunday School Lesson

International Sunday School Lesson for  
for August 11

DANIEL AMONG THE LIONS

Daniel 6:10, 11, 16-23

Rev. Samuel D. Price, D.D.

Stories from Daniel's life have a fascination for children and continue to give great teaching lessons also to those of older years. As a book of romance the Bible reveals the truth that is stranger than fiction.

Joseph became prime minister in Egypt because of his innate worth and the same is true of Daniel in Babylon. As he had been a marked man within the Chaldean rule, so he was preferred by Darius the Mede who became the conqueror. The governmental organization consisted of 120 satraps with three presidents. Daniel was the leading president. The fact that he was a Jew led to much jealousy by the other officials and they planned to do away with him if possible.

Religion offered the one sure ground of attack, for these evil men were certain what Daniel would always do in his relationship with God. With Daniel's dependable habits in mind it was agreed at the caucus to appeal to the king's pride and propose that a decree be promulgated forbidding anyone to pray during thirty days except as

fitted by the publicity, but no less than the entire state. More of this type of entertainment and education is needed in Oregon. Every three years is none too often, Eugene. Go to it!

Juvenile Heppner may be found at the swimming tank any of these hot days—along with many folks not so young. It is a great source of relief from the heat to plunge into the cool water, and a large number of the city's denizens are taking advantage of it. Who knows how many Eddies are in the making right here in Heppner.

Jasper Crawford of the G.T. force returned Friday afternoon from a week's vacation trip. Going first to Eugene he took in the "Sunset Trail" celebration on Saturday. From there he went south over the Pacific highway to Drain, taking the route along the Umpqua by way of Scottsburg and Reedsport to Coquille and Bandon, then south over the Roosevelt highway to Crescent City, back over the Redwood highway to Grants Pass, on to Medford and Ashland and across to Klamath Falls, taking in Crater lake on the way to Bend, and home via Prineville, Mitchell, Service creek and the Heppner-Spray road. The trip covered a distance of some 1200 miles, and he reports enjoying the great variety of scenery offered on the route.

Mr. and Mrs. James McDonald of Redlands, Calif., and Mrs. Frank Evans of Walla Walla, sister of Mrs. McDonald were visitors in Heppner and vicinity on Friday and Saturday. Both Mrs. Evans and Mrs. McDonald were formerly residents of this community, being the Misses Minnie and Clara Brown of Blackhorse.

Frank Elmendorf, hardware and implement dealer of Lone, was attending to business here on Monday afternoon.

## Beauty Secrets

By HELENA RUBINSTEIN.

He that still may see your cheeks,  
Where all ruddness still reposes,  
Is a fool if e'er he seeks  
Other liles, other roses.

—Wm. Browne.

To the winter your powder may be a cosmetic, nothing more. But in the summer it is the comfort of warm days the freshness of humid ones, the one soothing, cooling touch all through the season that nothing else can furnish.

Probably in the first month of summer more bath talcum is used than during all the rest of the year together. It should not be, of course. Talcum powder is a useful, dainty feminine accessory all through the year. But it is especially important in the summer.

After a warm bath, or after a cool, refreshing shower, bath powder applied generously with the big soft puffs which come in the large open-mouthed boxes will add immeasurably to your sense of personal comfort and daintiness. And to your security, as well, for the most of these bath talcums have deodorizing properties that effectively neutralize the odors of perspiration.

Face powder is another important summertime consideration. A shiny nose is such an annoying feature—it can do more to upset one's self confidence than any other defect of good grooming. And shiny noses are so common in warm weather.

Before I discuss the ways of selecting a summertime face powder I am going to advise you to use a "shine" corrective during these warm, sultry months before you apply your makeup. A special lotion or a liquid astringent skin tonic which corrects "shine" will preserve your makeup longer and also normalize the function of those overactive pores.

In choosing your powder be sure to get one dark enough—it should be at least as dark as your skin, and to be fashionable, one or two shades darker. A powder with a cream base, specially created to "stay put" is much to be preferred in warm weather. Such a powder in addition to being an attractive and convenient cosmetic, actually provides a certain desirable amount of protection for your skin against detrimental weathering effects.

Darius was the object of their approach. The penalty for disobedience was to be cast into the den of lions.

Spies were watching Daniel who had the known habit of praying to Jehovah at least three times a day. He would open the windows in the direction of Jerusalem, 500 miles away as the airplane now flies, and kneel devoutly in his approach to the Throne of Jehovah. The decree did not change his heart attitude in any way, and when the next prayer time came, he just prayed as he did "aforetime." God was continuously gracious to him and he naturally "Gave thanks before his God." Prayer was an essential part of his life and not a spasm when in fear because of some special need. Then the plotters forced Daniel to order Daniel to the den of lions.

Daniel was the coolest in the crowd. He feared to dishonor God far more than to face the lions. Here was but another place for prayer. He entrusted himself to his Father, then said, "Amen," and was ready for sleep. He may have used a lion's shaggy skin for soft pillow. Meanwhile the angel watched through the night between these creatures of the Most High. Read chapter 6 in full for all the familiar details.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

The United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff,

M. D. Clark, vs. Intervenor.

Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation. Defendant.

NOTICE

No. L-10688

To the creditors of L. B. Construction Company, a corporation:

You and each of you are hereby notified that M. D. Clark, as intervenor in the above entitled action, and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M. D. Clark and for labor and materials furnished to the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, by the assignee of the said M. D. Clark, which materials were ordered furnished and used in the performance by the said L. B. Construction Company, a corporation, of certain contracts made and entered into between Albert L. Smith as principal contractor and the United States of America, on the relation of Feeney's Machinery Co., a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, for a sum of money alleged to be due and owing to the said M