

ALFRED D. BOWEN. Telephone Main 300. SUBSCRIPTION PRICE Per Week 10 Cents. Delivered anywhere in the City. By mail to any address, \$3.00 per year, \$1.00 for four months. JOURNAL PRINTING CO., PUBLISHERS. PORTLAND, OR., MAY 6, 1902.

THE FEE SYSTEM. Mr. Furnish is in favor of continuing the present unconstitutional and unreasonable system of fees, whereby the appointments of state officers are swelled beyond all reason. Mr. Chamberlain has attacked this system. As a result all the beneficiaries of the present system are for Mr. Furnish and against Mr. Chamberlain.

Supposing. . . . . Supposing this state should go Democratic and elect the entire Democratic ticket and send a Democrat to the United States Senate. What disaster would come to the state? The State of Washington elected a Populist Governor a few years ago and also sent a Democrat to the Senate. Yet, the state remains on the map. It has also outstripped Oregon in population, so that at the present time it has three Congressmen, while Oregon has only two. Again, Oregon has always voted the Republican ticket. The Republican Administration has been, for the past few years, spending about \$30,000,000 per annum for war and other supplies for the Philippines; how much of that \$30,000,000 has been expended in Oregon? Why is it not spent here? The answer is simple. It is not necessary. The state is "solid" for the Republicans and the Administration spends this money in California and Washington, where it will do the most good. California was Democratic also about the same time Washington was. If this state should also go Democratic and the Administration should think it advisable in order to get this state into line to spend \$10,000,000 per annum here, what of it? From a purely Republican standpoint, would this be an irretrievable disaster?

Why not call Mr. Furnish a "Captain of Industry"? Has he not shown his ability to Morganize every office he ever held? Did he not, by his "business methods," make \$25,000 per annum as a Democratic Sheriff of Umatilla County? How did he "farm" the office of Deputy United States Marshal under Cleveland, in his "whisky to Indians" campaign? Why can't Mr. Furnish and Jack Matthews, in their reformer role of "business methods" form a state trust and own the state? If he is not now a captain of industry, give him and Matthews a change. They are both comparatively young yet.

We really did not take the Oregonian seriously when it opposed Mr. Chamberlain on the ground that the Fair of 1905 ought not to be opened by a Democrat. But it persists in the contention with apparent sincerity. We are quite sure the people don't want politics mixed with the Fair. But since the question is up, let's examine it a little. The functions of a Governor on such an occasion call for peculiar qualifications. He must be a man of address, of ready and graceful speech, polite, sociable, amiable, not silent, awkward, embarrassed and of no presence. Now choose your man.

His friends will feel sorry for a good fellow like Mr. Furnish that he should be represented as delivering a speech. He is a good business man, an able banker, an accumulator of wealth and a worthy citizen, but it is a mockery of the poor fellow to represent him as an orator. It's not fair.

The latest is Mr. Colvig, a former Democrat, for Furnish. He is not quite up to Mr. Furnish's class. He is only a 2-year-old.

The Oregonian is full of reports that there is no defection from Furnish. There is no better proof of widespread defection.

One on Platt. Senator Platt of Connecticut was building a house. He had occasion to hire a carpenter, who was plain, unvarnished son of New England. "I know all about carpenter work," asked Senator Platt. "Yes, sir, was the reply. "You can make windows, doors and blinds." "Oh, yes, sir." "How would you make a Venetian blind?" The man thought steadily for several minutes. "I think," he remarked finally, "that I would punch him in the eye."

Pointed Remarks. This is the Very Kernel of the Question. To the Editor: On the 3d of May the Oregonian contained an editorial squib which read as follows: "You need not call the Simon clan by a Republican name unless you are fond of misnomers."

It is strange how any man venturing to differ with, or failing to follow the political gyrations of "the times" loses at once all estimable qualities. According to the Oregonian, Judge Stephen A. Lowell, of Pendleton, was at one time all that his friends and the general public still know him to be—cultured, honorable, able and just. The moment he objected to the course Mr. Furnish saw fit to pursue in carrying the Umatilla primaries, he became, in the columns of the Oregonian, a weakling, a trimmer, a scoundrel, a well, see the Encyclopaedia Investigative. In this connection the following, discovered in a police car at Ashland, may be of interest:

FACTS IN THE CASE. George Elliot always dressed with great care before sitting down at her desk. Hannah More was fond of sea-green silk, and most of her tales were written with the author's gown in a gown of this hue. . . . .

THEY DRESS LIKE HERIONES. Amelle Rives, in the first days of her fame, studied her glass carefully, and spent much thought, time and money on her gowns, which were La Tosca in design and diaphanous or empire by turns. She could wear most at her ease when clothed in a certain rose-colored gown with a Persian sash. India silk pelagioss and Worth gowns galore were seen in her wardrobe. Her instructions to her modiste were usually worded up with the admonition, "Make me look as slim as possible." Her gowns were stunning. They amazed Newport and wrought confusion to the fashion writers, when Amelle Rives was the sensation of the hour.

Hints to Women. The wise wife is the head of the household but she induces the husband to believe that she occupies this position, and that she is his important auxiliary. This invariably insures peace to the domestic realm. Man is never so happy as when he feels himself master of a situation—and the wise wife garners happiness for herself in conducting in every way possible to this end his leadership. In the flush of his supposed dominance the average husband is genial and generous; often he fairly scintillates with good nature. On the other hand, when he becomes cordially patronizing—well—that's a great deal better as an element of the home atmosphere than had temper or continuous fault-finding.

LONDON'S LATEST CENSUS. The figures given by the "Detailed Abstract of the 1901 Census Returns for the County of London" apply exclusively to the administrative county of London, and the persons actually within that sphere on the night of the census. London, as every one must be aware, is a name of peculiar elasticity. If we take it, for instance, to mean the municipal city of London—the "one square mile"—we find 26,923 souls. And if, on the other hand, we take it to imply so-called "Greater London," which embraces over 400,000 acres and includes such distant suburbs as Epsom, Farnborough, Barnet and Waltham Abbey, Londoners may then boast the mighty population of over 6,500,000 (6,581,372). Actually, however, we are concerned with London County proper, created in 1888 from parts of Middlesex, Surrey and Kent, and having an area of nearly 75,000 acres. The total population of London, in this meaning, is 4,536,541. In 1801 the population was just under 1,000,000 (929,210); it has, therefore, increased during the century nearly fivefold, but since 1851 a decline in the rate has been in steady progress. One of the most potent causes influencing this decrease in the rate of growth of population within the country area is the migration of Londoners to the suburban districts. During the last 10 years the loss by migration is calculated as exceeding 180,000. This centrifugal dispersion of town population is, however, offset by all great, old and prosperous cities. In an ecclesiastical way, London County is of four dioceses or parishes. The diocese of London has 2,750,838 parishioners; that of Rochester contained in the county, roughly, 1,000,000 less; that of St. Albans, only 300,000; while the diocese of Canterbury, represented exclusively by Lambeth palace, returned by 37 persons. The population of some of the civil parishes in the county was in some cases similarly diminutive. In the city parish of St. John the Evangelist, for instance, only two persons were enumerated. Against this ridiculous total may be set the figures of Islington, having a population of 234,981, or of Lambeth, with 202,885. The number of families in the metropolis is returned at just over 1,000,000 (1,019,516), giving an average of rather more than 4.4 persons to a family. There are 571,768 houses in London, 49,669 uninhabited houses and a rough 6000 now in process of building.—London Daily Graphic.

MOVING PICTURES. 1. Promoting trade with out Oriental possessions by devastating cities and killing all "over 10 years old." 2. Voting for the ship subsidy steal. Mitchell of Oregon in the lead. 3. Protected infant industries. Morgan counting his \$172,000,000 which the babies paid him for first milk. 4. Great comical scene in two parts: (a) the gagging of the Army (Miles and Funston in the foreground); (b) unsmiling of the Navy (devoicing Schley). 5. The Nicaragua Canal Joke, "Hello Bill!"

The Morning Summons. When the mist is on the river, and the haze is on the hills, and the promise of the springtime all the ample heaven fills. . . . .

MENU FOR A DAY. Breakfast. Cereal. Poached Eggs on Toast. Cream. Hashed Potatoes. Muffins. Coffee. Lunch. Broiled Chop. Baked Potatoes. Sliced Pineapples. Cookies. Tea. Dinner. Vegetable Soup. Cream Sauce. Veal Cutlets Breaded. Cream Sauce. Rice Croquettes. Peas. Tomato Mayonnaise. Cheese. Wafers. Peace Meringue. Coffee.

POINTED REMARKS. This is the Very Kernel of the Question. To the Editor: On the 3d of May the Oregonian contained an editorial squib which read as follows: "You need not call the Simon clan by a Republican name unless you are fond of misnomers."

FACTS IN THE CASE. George Elliot always dressed with great care before sitting down at her desk. Hannah More was fond of sea-green silk, and most of her tales were written with the author's gown in a gown of this hue. . . . .

THEY DRESS LIKE HERIONES. Amelle Rives, in the first days of her fame, studied her glass carefully, and spent much thought, time and money on her gowns, which were La Tosca in design and diaphanous or empire by turns. She could wear most at her ease when clothed in a certain rose-colored gown with a Persian sash. India silk pelagioss and Worth gowns galore were seen in her wardrobe. Her instructions to her modiste were usually worded up with the admonition, "Make me look as slim as possible." Her gowns were stunning. They amazed Newport and wrought confusion to the fashion writers, when Amelle Rives was the sensation of the hour.

Hints to Women. The wise wife is the head of the household but she induces the husband to believe that she occupies this position, and that she is his important auxiliary. This invariably insures peace to the domestic realm. Man is never so happy as when he feels himself master of a situation—and the wise wife garners happiness for herself in conducting in every way possible to this end his leadership. In the flush of his supposed dominance the average husband is genial and generous; often he fairly scintillates with good nature. On the other hand, when he becomes cordially patronizing—well—that's a great deal better as an element of the home atmosphere than had temper or continuous fault-finding.

LONDON'S LATEST CENSUS. The figures given by the "Detailed Abstract of the 1901 Census Returns for the County of London" apply exclusively to the administrative county of London, and the persons actually within that sphere on the night of the census. London, as every one must be aware, is a name of peculiar elasticity. If we take it, for instance, to mean the municipal city of London—the "one square mile"—we find 26,923 souls. And if, on the other hand, we take it to imply so-called "Greater London," which embraces over 400,000 acres and includes such distant suburbs as Epsom, Farnborough, Barnet and Waltham Abbey, Londoners may then boast the mighty population of over 6,500,000 (6,581,372). Actually, however, we are concerned with London County proper, created in 1888 from parts of Middlesex, Surrey and Kent, and having an area of nearly 75,000 acres. The total population of London, in this meaning, is 4,536,541. In 1801 the population was just under 1,000,000 (929,210); it has, therefore, increased during the century nearly fivefold, but since 1851 a decline in the rate has been in steady progress. One of the most potent causes influencing this decrease in the rate of growth of population within the country area is the migration of Londoners to the suburban districts. During the last 10 years the loss by migration is calculated as exceeding 180,000. This centrifugal dispersion of town population is, however, offset by all great, old and prosperous cities. In an ecclesiastical way, London County is of four dioceses or parishes. The diocese of London has 2,750,838 parishioners; that of Rochester contained in the county, roughly, 1,000,000 less; that of St. Albans, only 300,000; while the diocese of Canterbury, represented exclusively by Lambeth palace, returned by 37 persons. The population of some of the civil parishes in the county was in some cases similarly diminutive. In the city parish of St. John the Evangelist, for instance, only two persons were enumerated. Against this ridiculous total may be set the figures of Islington, having a population of 234,981, or of Lambeth, with 202,885. The number of families in the metropolis is returned at just over 1,000,000 (1,019,516), giving an average of rather more than 4.4 persons to a family. There are 571,768 houses in London, 49,669 uninhabited houses and a rough 6000 now in process of building.—London Daily Graphic.

MOVING PICTURES. 1. Promoting trade with out Oriental possessions by devastating cities and killing all "over 10 years old." 2. Voting for the ship subsidy steal. Mitchell of Oregon in the lead. 3. Protected infant industries. Morgan counting his \$172,000,000 which the babies paid him for first milk. 4. Great comical scene in two parts: (a) the gagging of the Army (Miles and Funston in the foreground); (b) unsmiling of the Navy (devoicing Schley). 5. The Nicaragua Canal Joke, "Hello Bill!"

The Morning Summons. When the mist is on the river, and the haze is on the hills, and the promise of the springtime all the ample heaven fills. . . . .

MENU FOR A DAY. Breakfast. Cereal. Poached Eggs on Toast. Cream. Hashed Potatoes. Muffins. Coffee. Lunch. Broiled Chop. Baked Potatoes. Sliced Pineapples. Cookies. Tea. Dinner. Vegetable Soup. Cream Sauce. Veal Cutlets Breaded. Cream Sauce. Rice Croquettes. Peas. Tomato Mayonnaise. Cheese. Wafers. Peace Meringue. Coffee.

DR. B. E. WRIGHT DENTIST. And His Associates are Now Located at 342 1/2 Washington Street, cor. Seventh. Hours: 8 a. m. to 5 p. and 7 p. m. to 8 p. m. TELEPHONE NORTH 2191.

POINTED REMARKS. This is the Very Kernel of the Question. To the Editor: On the 3d of May the Oregonian contained an editorial squib which read as follows: "You need not call the Simon clan by a Republican name unless you are fond of misnomers."

FACTS IN THE CASE. George Elliot always dressed with great care before sitting down at her desk. Hannah More was fond of sea-green silk, and most of her tales were written with the author's gown in a gown of this hue. . . . .

THEY DRESS LIKE HERIONES. Amelle Rives, in the first days of her fame, studied her glass carefully, and spent much thought, time and money on her gowns, which were La Tosca in design and diaphanous or empire by turns. She could wear most at her ease when clothed in a certain rose-colored gown with a Persian sash. India silk pelagioss and Worth gowns galore were seen in her wardrobe. Her instructions to her modiste were usually worded up with the admonition, "Make me look as slim as possible." Her gowns were stunning. They amazed Newport and wrought confusion to the fashion writers, when Amelle Rives was the sensation of the hour.

Hints to Women. The wise wife is the head of the household but she induces the husband to believe that she occupies this position, and that she is his important auxiliary. This invariably insures peace to the domestic realm. Man is never so happy as when he feels himself master of a situation—and the wise wife garners happiness for herself in conducting in every way possible to this end his leadership. In the flush of his supposed dominance the average husband is genial and generous; often he fairly scintillates with good nature. On the other hand, when he becomes cordially patronizing—well—that's a great deal better as an element of the home atmosphere than had temper or continuous fault-finding.

LONDON'S LATEST CENSUS. The figures given by the "Detailed Abstract of the 1901 Census Returns for the County of London" apply exclusively to the administrative county of London, and the persons actually within that sphere on the night of the census. London, as every one must be aware, is a name of peculiar elasticity. If we take it, for instance, to mean the municipal city of London—the "one square mile"—we find 26,923 souls. And if, on the other hand, we take it to imply so-called "Greater London," which embraces over 400,000 acres and includes such distant suburbs as Epsom, Farnborough, Barnet and Waltham Abbey, Londoners may then boast the mighty population of over 6,500,000 (6,581,372). Actually, however, we are concerned with London County proper, created in 1888 from parts of Middlesex, Surrey and Kent, and having an area of nearly 75,000 acres. The total population of London, in this meaning, is 4,536,541. In 1801 the population was just under 1,000,000 (929,210); it has, therefore, increased during the century nearly fivefold, but since 1851 a decline in the rate has been in steady progress. One of the most potent causes influencing this decrease in the rate of growth of population within the country area is the migration of Londoners to the suburban districts. During the last 10 years the loss by migration is calculated as exceeding 180,000. This centrifugal dispersion of town population is, however, offset by all great, old and prosperous cities. In an ecclesiastical way, London County is of four dioceses or parishes. The diocese of London has 2,750,838 parishioners; that of Rochester contained in the county, roughly, 1,000,000 less; that of St. Albans, only 300,000; while the diocese of Canterbury, represented exclusively by Lambeth palace, returned by 37 persons. The population of some of the civil parishes in the county was in some cases similarly diminutive. In the city parish of St. John the Evangelist, for instance, only two persons were enumerated. Against this ridiculous total may be set the figures of Islington, having a population of 234,981, or of Lambeth, with 202,885. The number of families in the metropolis is returned at just over 1,000,000 (1,019,516), giving an average of rather more than 4.4 persons to a family. There are 571,768 houses in London, 49,669 uninhabited houses and a rough 6000 now in process of building.—London Daily Graphic.

MOVING PICTURES. 1. Promoting trade with out Oriental possessions by devastating cities and killing all "over 10 years old." 2. Voting for the ship subsidy steal. Mitchell of Oregon in the lead. 3. Protected infant industries. Morgan counting his \$172,000,000 which the babies paid him for first milk. 4. Great comical scene in two parts: (a) the gagging of the Army (Miles and Funston in the foreground); (b) unsmiling of the Navy (devoicing Schley). 5. The Nicaragua Canal Joke, "Hello Bill!"

The Morning Summons. When the mist is on the river, and the haze is on the hills, and the promise of the springtime all the ample heaven fills. . . . .

MENU FOR A DAY. Breakfast. Cereal. Poached Eggs on Toast. Cream. Hashed Potatoes. Muffins. Coffee. Lunch. Broiled Chop. Baked Potatoes. Sliced Pineapples. Cookies. Tea. Dinner. Vegetable Soup. Cream Sauce. Veal Cutlets Breaded. Cream Sauce. Rice Croquettes. Peas. Tomato Mayonnaise. Cheese. Wafers. Peace Meringue. Coffee.

DR. B. E. WRIGHT DENTIST. And His Associates are Now Located at 342 1/2 Washington Street, cor. Seventh. Hours: 8 a. m. to 5 p. and 7 p. m. to 8 p. m. TELEPHONE NORTH 2191.

POINTED REMARKS. This is the Very Kernel of the Question. To the Editor: On the 3d of May the Oregonian contained an editorial squib which read as follows: "You need not call the Simon clan by a Republican name unless you are fond of misnomers."

FACTS IN THE CASE. George Elliot always dressed with great care before sitting down at her desk. Hannah More was fond of sea-green silk, and most of her tales were written with the author's gown in a gown of this hue. . . . .

THEY DRESS LIKE HERIONES. Amelle Rives, in the first days of her fame, studied her glass carefully, and spent much thought, time and money on her gowns, which were La Tosca in design and diaphanous or empire by turns. She could wear most at her ease when clothed in a certain rose-colored gown with a Persian sash. India silk pelagioss and Worth gowns galore were seen in her wardrobe. Her instructions to her modiste were usually worded up with the admonition, "Make me look as slim as possible." Her gowns were stunning. They amazed Newport and wrought confusion to the fashion writers, when Amelle Rives was the sensation of the hour.

Hints to Women. The wise wife is the head of the household but she induces the husband to believe that she occupies this position, and that she is his important auxiliary. This invariably insures peace to the domestic realm. Man is never so happy as when he feels himself master of a situation—and the wise wife garners happiness for herself in conducting in every way possible to this end his leadership. In the flush of his supposed dominance the average husband is genial and generous; often he fairly scintillates with good nature. On the other hand, when he becomes cordially patronizing—well—that's a great deal better as an element of the home atmosphere than had temper or continuous fault-finding.

LONDON'S LATEST CENSUS. The figures given by the "Detailed Abstract of the 1901 Census Returns for the County of London" apply exclusively to the administrative county of London, and the persons actually within that sphere on the night of the census. London, as every one must be aware, is a name of peculiar elasticity. If we take it, for instance, to mean the municipal city of London—the "one square mile"—we find 26,923 souls. And if, on the other hand, we take it to imply so-called "Greater London," which embraces over 400,000 acres and includes such distant suburbs as Epsom, Farnborough, Barnet and Waltham Abbey, Londoners may then boast the mighty population of over 6,500,000 (6,581,372). Actually, however, we are concerned with London County proper, created in 1888 from parts of Middlesex, Surrey and Kent, and having an area of nearly 75,000 acres. The total population of London, in this meaning, is 4,536,541. In 1801 the population was just under 1,000,000 (929,210); it has, therefore, increased during the century nearly fivefold, but since 1851 a decline in the rate has been in steady progress. One of the most potent causes influencing this decrease in the rate of growth of population within the country area is the migration of Londoners to the suburban districts. During the last 10 years the loss by migration is calculated as exceeding 180,000. This centrifugal dispersion of town population is, however, offset by all great, old and prosperous cities. In an ecclesiastical way, London County is of four dioceses or parishes. The diocese of London has 2,750,838 parishioners; that of Rochester contained in the county, roughly, 1,000,000 less; that of St. Albans, only 300,000; while the diocese of Canterbury, represented exclusively by Lambeth palace, returned by 37 persons. The population of some of the civil parishes in the county was in some cases similarly diminutive. In the city parish of St. John the Evangelist, for instance, only two persons were enumerated. Against this ridiculous total may be set the figures of Islington, having a population of 234,981, or of Lambeth, with 202,885. The number of families in the metropolis is returned at just over 1,000,000 (1,019,516), giving an average of rather more than 4.4 persons to a family. There are 571,768 houses in London, 49,669 uninhabited houses and a rough 6000 now in process of building.—London Daily Graphic.

MOVING PICTURES. 1. Promoting trade with out Oriental possessions by devastating cities and killing all "over 10 years old." 2. Voting for the ship subsidy steal. Mitchell of Oregon in the lead. 3. Protected infant industries. Morgan counting his \$172,000,000 which the babies paid him for first milk. 4. Great comical scene in two parts: (a) the gagging of the Army (Miles and Funston in the foreground); (b) unsmiling of the Navy (devoicing Schley). 5. The Nicaragua Canal Joke, "Hello Bill!"

The Morning Summons. When the mist is on the river, and the haze is on the hills, and the promise of the springtime all the ample heaven fills. . . . .

MENU FOR A DAY. Breakfast. Cereal. Poached Eggs on Toast. Cream. Hashed Potatoes. Muffins. Coffee. Lunch. Broiled Chop. Baked Potatoes. Sliced Pineapples. Cookies. Tea. Dinner. Vegetable Soup. Cream Sauce. Veal Cutlets Breaded. Cream Sauce. Rice Croquettes. Peas. Tomato Mayonnaise. Cheese. Wafers. Peace Meringue. Coffee.

DR. B. E. WRIGHT DENTIST. And His Associates are Now Located at 342 1/2 Washington Street, cor. Seventh. Hours: 8 a. m. to 5 p. and 7 p. m. to 8 p. m. TELEPHONE NORTH 2191.

Straw and Panama Hats. Splendidly Ready With the Cool and Airy HATS that Summer Comfort Demands. Never did even the middle of June see such a broad and charming array as we present to our public at present. SEE VESTIBULE WINDOW.

A. B. Steinbach & Co. POPULAR ONE PRICE HATTERS & CLOTHIERS. Largest Clothiers in the Northwest. Fourth and Morrison Streets. A Beautiful Face. Is what all women want. Proper care of the skin makes you beautiful. We remove all blemishes, superfluous hair, moles, etc. No scars. It is well worth your while to call. No charge to talk it over. Gray hair restored. Manicuring. New York Electro-Therapeutic Co. 702 MARQUAN BUILDING.

FLY TIME IS COMING. House Crawling is upon you. We can help you in this with our well-selected Wall Paper in all grades. PAINTING, PAPER HANGING AND TINTING. E. H. MOORHOUSE & CO. Art Store, 307 Washington St.

CARPETS. Exclusive Carpet House. I. G. MACK & CO. 86-88 THIRD ST. Op. Chamber of Com.

LONDON'S LATEST CENSUS. The figures given by the "Detailed Abstract of the 1901 Census Returns for the County of London" apply exclusively to the administrative county of London, and the persons actually within that sphere on the night of the census. London, as every one must be aware, is a name of peculiar elasticity. If we take it, for instance, to mean the municipal city of London—the "one square mile"—we find 26,923 souls. And if, on the other hand, we take it to imply so-called "Greater London," which embraces over 400,000 acres and includes such distant suburbs as Epsom, Farnborough, Barnet and Waltham Abbey, Londoners may then boast the mighty population of over 6,500,000 (6,581,372). Actually, however, we are concerned with London County proper, created in 1888 from parts of Middlesex, Surrey and Kent, and having an area of nearly 75,000 acres. The total population of London, in this meaning, is 4,536,541. In 1801 the population was just under 1,000,000 (929,210); it has, therefore, increased during the century nearly fivefold, but since 1851 a decline in the rate has been in steady progress. One of the most potent causes influencing this decrease in the rate of growth of population within the country area is the migration of Londoners to the suburban districts. During the last 10 years the loss by migration is calculated as exceeding 180,000. This centrifugal dispersion of town population is, however, offset by all great, old and prosperous cities. In an ecclesiastical way, London County is of four dioceses or parishes. The diocese of London has 2,750,838 parishioners; that of Rochester contained in the county, roughly, 1,000,000 less; that of St. Albans, only 300,000; while the diocese of Canterbury, represented exclusively by Lambeth palace, returned by 37 persons. The population of some of the civil parishes in the county was in some cases similarly diminutive. In the city parish of St. John the Evangelist, for instance, only two persons were enumerated. Against this ridiculous total may be set the figures of Islington, having a population of 234,981, or of Lambeth, with 202,885. The number of families in the metropolis is returned at just over 1,000,000 (1,019,516), giving an average of rather more than 4.4 persons to a family. There are 571,768 houses in London, 49,669 uninhabited houses and a rough 6000 now in process of building.—London Daily Graphic.

MOVING PICTURES. 1. Promoting trade with out Oriental possessions by devastating cities and killing all "over 10 years old." 2. Voting for the ship subsidy steal. Mitchell of Oregon in the lead. 3. Protected infant industries. Morgan counting his \$172,000,000 which the babies paid him for first milk. 4. Great comical scene in two parts: (a) the gagging of the Army (Miles and Funston in the foreground); (b) unsmiling of the Navy (devoicing Schley). 5. The Nicaragua Canal Joke, "Hello Bill!"

The Morning Summons. When the mist is on the river, and the haze is on the hills, and the promise of the springtime all the ample heaven fills. . . . .

MENU FOR A DAY. Breakfast. Cereal. Poached Eggs on Toast. Cream. Hashed Potatoes. Muffins. Coffee. Lunch. Broiled Chop. Baked Potatoes. Sliced Pineapples. Cookies. Tea. Dinner. Vegetable Soup. Cream Sauce. Veal Cutlets Breaded. Cream Sauce. Rice Croquettes. Peas. Tomato Mayonnaise. Cheese. Wafers. Peace Meringue. Coffee.

DR. B. E. WRIGHT DENTIST. And His Associates are Now Located at 342 1/2 Washington Street, cor. Seventh. Hours: 8 a. m. to 5 p. and 7 p. m. to 8 p. m. TELEPHONE NORTH 2191.