STAND BY THE UNIVERSITY.

We believe it is the duty of every voter in the state who desires to give the young women a chance to secure a higher education to cast the vote for the University appropriation.

To turn down the state university would give Oregon a black eye in the estimation of eastern states, where people are thinking this way with a view of coming here to spend their money for homes. Oregon has been under the ban of grafters and trick politicians for many years. The referendum was invited on the university appropriation out of a feeling of petty jealousies on the part of people living north of Albany, a neighboring city to Eugene. A campaign of misrepresentation was started for the purpose of misguiding the people in the matter of the referendum and to prejudice.

All kinds of false statements have been made regarding the management of the university. One of these is that the University is running with the full ballot a large sum of money. When people are holding this way against the University, the only thing they can do is to give evidence to the taxpayers for this purpose. The University is in a very strong position, with a board of regents with a great deal of money and no need to take a cent from the taxpayers for this purpose. The University is receiving a great deal more annuity than is being spent and a great many more of the professors of Oregon are better paid than the professors of other institutions. It is a big mistake for any people to use thecheap-shot method to get the people against the University, if they won't vote the state appropriation. For three months the county is running with the full ballot a great deal of money, and you can't vote against the state appropriation. The University is not running with the full ballot a large sum of money. When people are holding this way against the University, the only thing they can do is to give evidence to the taxpayers for this purpose. The University is in a very strong position, with a board of regents with a great deal of money and no need to take a cent from the taxpayers for this purpose. The University is receiving a great deal more annuity than is being spent and a great many more of the professors of Oregon are better paid than the professors of other institutions. It is a big mistake for any people to use thecheap-shot method to get the people against the University, if they won't vote the state appropriation. For three months the county is running with the full ballot a great deal of money, and you can't vote against the state appropriation. The University is not running with the full ballot a large sum of money.

In sum it was found that when people are holding this way against the University, the only thing they can do is to give evidence to the taxpayers for this purpose. The University is in a very strong position, with a board of regents with a great deal of money and no need to take a cent from the taxpayers for this purpose. The University is receiving a great deal more annuity than is being spent and a great many more of the professors of Oregon are better paid than the professors of other institutions. It is a big mistake for any people to use thecheap-shot method to get the people against the University, if they won't vote the state appropriation. For three months the county is running with the full ballot a great deal of money, and you can't vote against the state appropriation. The University is not running with the full ballot a large sum of money.