

WEEKLY COAST MAIL

MARSHFIELD, OREGON

Entered in the Postoffice at Marshfield as Second Class Matter.

COOS BAY PUBLISHING CO.,

P. C. LEVAR, F. X. HOFER,
Editors and Managers.

TELEPHONE, MAIN 451.

DAILY: By mail, for advance payment only, 30 cents a month; 4 months for \$1.00. When not paid in advance the price is 50 cents per month, straight, issued every morning except Monday.

WEEKLY

Issued Every Saturday. Terms: In Advance, \$1.50 a Year, \$1.00 Six Months.

THE CHAMBERLAIN DEMOCRATIC CLUB

The Chamberlain Democratic Club seems to be conducting quite a picturesque campaign, and is using some methods hitherto untried in Coos County politics.

They started off with secret circulars to the faithful, but some wicked Republican got hold of one and went and stuck it up on the bulletin board in the post-office, which caused the Chamberlain Democratic Club much perturbation of spirit. A genuine indignation meeting was held, but the miscreant could not be apprehended. No wonder they were mad. They do hate to be laughed at, and this circular was certainly a peath as a provocation of laughter.

A second circular has been issued since, and the MAIL is pleased to note that it occupies considerable valuable space in the sheet. The News of yesterday also has a department edited by the Chamberlain Democratic Club, which no one with a sense of humor should miss reading.

It is said that the darkest cloud that has arisen on the horizon of the club is the action of Governor Chamberlain in opposing the endorsement of Willie Hearst, the idol of the horny handed sons of toil who founded the club and named it after the Governor.

REGISTER NOW

(Oregonian)

You haven't registered yet, some of you, many of you; yet you can't vote in the June election, nor in the Presidential election, in November, unless you register before May 16—without an infinite amount of trouble in securing the six necessary householders as witnesses on election day. It is easy now to register. After May 16—there will be no registration, for the books will be closed, not to be opened again. Register now! There are many thousands of electors not yet registered.

BE A BOOSTER

It requires advertising to build a town, it requires a newspaper to do the advertising and it requires news to make the newspaper. A town without a good newspaper is worth about as much as a clock without a face. Therefore every person interested in a town should be interested in its newspaper. If they are striving to build up a town, they should ever remember that the newspaper is

striving to the same end. They should also remember that strength lies in union. They should know that when they assist the newspaper they are assisting the town. Of course the newspaper is like the proverbial mare, it takes a little money to make it go; but it also takes something besides money to successfully navigate the paper. It takes news. In fact it takes all kinds of news. It therefore becomes the duty of every citizen, at all interested in the promotion of his town, to daily contribute his little mite in this respect. If you believe in boosting the town, you will believe in boosting the newspaper which believes in the same thing. You may not be able to boost either very noticeably in a financial way, but unless you have the lockjaw all the time, you are able to say a good word for the town and to telephone the latest news items to the paper once in a while. If you do this it is boosting. Every little boost is a help and it doesn't cost you anything to be a booster of this kind.

Send in your news items and be a booster.

PER CONTRA

The COAST MAIL is inclined to give the other fellow a say; hence the communication in another column from a "hopeless Democrat," who blames the Republican party for everything that goes wrong, forgetting to give the same party credit for everything that goes right. It is a poor rule that doesn't work both ways.

If the Republican party is solely responsible for the increase of trusts since McKinley's inauguration, it is also solely responsible for the prosperity that has prevailed during the same period, and which has enabled the people to not only stand trust extortions but to make more money besides than they ever did before.

However, we fear that our Democratic friend has not been reading his modern history very faithfully, or he would know that the anti-trust law, which remained a dead letter when the Democrats had full swing, is now being enforced by a Republican administration in a way that is shaking up the trusts worse than they ever dreamed of being shaken.

Further, if the stuffed prophet of Democracy, Grover Cleveland, be nominated this year, or the sphinx-like Parker, of New York, the trust contributions will go mainly into the Democratic not the Republican campaign fund.

The trusts are a part of the evolution of mankind. They are here to stay until the next forward step is taken, and that step will never be taken under the guidance of the Democratic party.

But all this is beside the question raised by our advocacy of the election of John S. Coke Jr. to the joint senatorship. A too liberal mixture of national politics is the curse of state and county affairs. As joint senator from Coos and Curry counties Mr. Coke will have no more to do with the trust question than the man in the moon. Neither will he have to deal with the tariff or money question. As a matter of fact the election of state and county tickets on national issues leaves the successful candidates entirely at sea as to what the deuce is expected of them, in their spheres of action, any further than that they are to honestly use their best individual judgment on the questions that may arise. On local questions they must act as individuals, and not as Republicans or Democrats.

Looking at the matter in this light, where on any ticket can be found a man better fitted for the place to which he aspires than John S. Coke?

REGISTER NOW

Remember you cannot vote this Fall in the presidential election unless you register before the 15th of this month. Register now and vote in your coming state county election to show the unity and strength of the Republican party. In our state and county election we have an opportunity to express what our election will be in the presidential election let us do it nobly and show the opposition that we are not lukewarm in our confidence of success. Let our majority be large and uniform. Support every candidate on the ticket so there will be no uncertainty about your devotion and fealty to your party. Every sign of weakness is encouragement for the enemy and at this critical time, in the financial world, it is absolutely necessary for the welfare of the nation that the Republican party shall remain in power to give wise administration of public affairs.

OREGON WILL LEAD OFF

(Oregonian)

Oregon will lead off in June with the most splendid Republican majority ever thrown in the history of the state. It will be, as it should be, big enough to electrify the country. Such majority is due to President Roosevelt, as the first voice of the campaign of 1904—to President Roosevelt, the man whose knowledge of the West, whose sympathy with the West, and whose service to the West, are unequalled. To Oregon it is a special appeal; for to him, more than to all others, is due the recognition accorded by the United States to the Oregon Exposition, upon which all eyes here are now concentrated. Approve the President in June by twenty thousand! It is his due.

THE DEMOCRATIC WAY

The Democrats seem to be concentrating a great part of their efforts on the head of the ticket, and following the usual Democratic program, instead of boosting their own candidate direct, they spend their time knocking the Republican candidate.

Now, the MAIL will suggest to the Chamberlain Democratic club that there is a nobler way of winning a race than by hanging onto the other fellow's coattails and trying to yank him back behind you.

To Republicans, the MAIL will say that they have every reason to congratulate themselves on their candidate for joint senator. There isn't a cleaner young man in the two counties than John S. Coke, and those who know him best hold him in the highest esteem. His absolute integrity has never been questioned, and his ability fits him to fill a seat in the upper house of the Oregon legislature with honor to his constituents, as well as himself.

Any attempt on the part of his opponents to belittle Mr. Coke in any way ought to react on the heads of the knockers, for there are men all over the county who know him and can vouch for him, and these men are in a position to refute the innuendos and misrepresentations of the misguided opposition.

DON'T NEGLECT IT

The Democrats have an stu-

thorized officer scouring the county with a notarial seal under his arm, to register Democratic voters and leave Republicans out. This is good politics, but it is also a hunch to Republicans that they should bear the same matter in mind. Only 10 days remains in which to register, and every Republican voter should take enough interest in his duties, as such, to take whatever trouble is necessary to fit himself for voting at the June and November elections. Don't neglect it.

A COMPARISON

There is a noticeable difference between the conduct of a great man and one of small caliber; the foreman is characterized by a definite and consistent line of work while the latter flounders around and kicks about what some other person is doing, and never has anything worth speaking about to offer from his own brain. The former is a builder, and he works along the side of others in a peaceful and honorable manner, desiring to see the best piece of work honored with the wreath, the latter seldom has anything original but is always bringing on the fruits of honest industry and aims to foment discord and elevate himself by destructive methods.

There are seventeen clauses in the Democratic national platform of 1900, and ten of those clauses were protest about what the Republican party had done or was about to do. Here we see the small man standing out beeding about what the Republican party is doing, in place of presenting the public with a manly platform of his own original conception.

There were also seventeen clauses in the Republican national platform of 1900. The first three clauses are the only ones which make any mention of the Democratic party, and those remarks are on historical lines and are free from bitter denunciations.

Notice the great difference between the two political parties. The Republican party conscious of the sanity of its own doctrines and patiently relying on the intelligence of the American people for support, presents its platform in a manly manner.

The Democratic party wants to see what the Republican party is going to do so they can have the opportunity to denounce, condemn etc.

One of the achievements of the late legislature of Maryland was cutting down the bill of a washerwoman more than one-half before paying it. Cleanliness is two near to godliness for a Democratic legislature to have much sympathy with it.

Circuit Court Docket

The following cases were continued:

- 1479—W J S Hyde vs J P Maxton, partition, D L Watson for pt'l.
- 1523—Katherine West to A D Morse, assignment, J M Upton for pt'l.
- 1750—J B Hunt to Geo P Topping, assignment.
- 1758—T J Stillwell to A D Morse, assignment J M Upton for pt'l.
- 2032—Margaret Kardell vs E W Kardell, partition, Hall & Hall for pt'l.
- 2041—Julien Ringue vs Oregon Coal & Navigation Co, M Henri Labbe, E B Watson and A J Sherwood for pt'l.
- 2123—Wm Ward vs Pacific Furniture & Lumber Co, action, Sperry & Chase for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2129—E C Atkin Co, vs Pacific, Furniture Company, action, A J Sherwood for pt'l, W Sinclair for pt'l.
- 2148—W T Chiberton vs Prosper Mill Co, action on appeal S D Palford for pt'l.
- 2150—Emerson Ferry vs Hillie Short et al, suit J W Bennett and J M Upton for pt'l.
- 2048—H Lockhart vs H Sangstacken, suit in equity, J S Coke and J W Bennett for pt'l, E B Seabrook for pt'l.—Continued

The following cases against the Coos Bay Mill and Lumber Co. were stayed by bankruptcy of defendant:

- 2106—John B Anderson vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, Hall & Hall for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2107—Flanagan & Bennett Bank vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, Bennett Swanton for pt'l.
- 2108—Flanagan & Bennett Bank vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, Bennett Swanton for pt'l.
- 2109—Frieda Hagelstein vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, Hall & Hall for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2110—Roy Rozelle vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, Hall & Hall for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2111—Coos Bay Ice & Cold Storage Co, vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, J S Coke for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2112—J P Farley vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, J S Coke for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2113—J S Coke vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, Coke & Farrin for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2114—Leon Wand vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, A J Sherwood & French for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2115—John S Coke vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, J S Coke for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.
- 2116—F S Dow vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, action, J S Coke for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.

In the cases below the entries are as indicated:

- 1982—John Snyder vs Lucien Gignac, action A E Seaman for pt'l, J F Hall for pt'l.—Continued for service.
- 2009—H T Schweers vs Sarah Watson, partition, Sperry & Chase for pt'l, A J Sherwood and J W Bennett for pt'l.—Taken under advisement.
- 2078—Dora Hermann vs Geo U Holcomb et al, suit in equity—for confirmation, Hall & Hall for pt'l.—Sale confirmed.
- 2082—A W Neal vs Grace Neal, divorce, J S Coke for pt'l, W U Douglas for pt'l.—Settled and dismissed.
- 2083—A A Werley vs Coos Bay Land Co, action at law—for confirmation, W U Douglas for pt'l.—Sale confirmed.
- 2090—J Danley Budd vs Stephen Gallier and W B Andrews, suit in equity, John T Long for pt'l, F W Benson and J W Bennett for pt'l.—Under advisement.
- 2091—F W Wegner, treas Pool Co vs Great Central Land Co, action at law—for confirmation, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l.—Sale confirmed.
- 2102—D W Small vs Empire Construction Co, action at law—for confirmation, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l.—Sale confirmed.
- 2097—C H Banning vs C G A Romander, action, Sperry & Chase for pt'l, A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Judgment for plaintiff for \$112 and costs.
- 2098—C J Brusche vs M Rosenberg, action, J S Coke for pt'l, E B Seabrook for pt'l.—Judgment for plaintiff \$50 and costs.
- 2099—A J Sherwood, admr, vs E Dyer, action, A J Sherwood for pt'l, G P Topping for pt'l.—Settled and dismissed.
- 2103—F A Laise vs Pacific Furniture Co, action, J S Coke for pt'l.—Settled and dismissed.
- 2104—Maud Masters vs Elijah J Masters, divorce, J W Bennett for pt'l, C F McKnight for pt'l.—Referred to referee.
- 2105—Aasen Bros vs Pacific Furniture & Lumber Co, action, A J Sherwood for pt'l, J W Bennett and J S Coke for pt'l.—Settled and dismissed.
- 2117—T J Razor vs H Sangstacken, suit, J A Buchanan for pt'l.—Decree for Plaintiff.
- 2118—H I Reese vs Coos Bay Mill Co, suit, J S Coke & E L C Farrin for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.—Decree for plaintiff for \$1078.32 and costs.
- 2119—M R Lee vs S J & Annie Huff, action, L A Roberts for pt'l.—Settled and dismissed.
- 2120—Geo Beale vs Coos Bay Mill & Lumber Co, Hall & Hall for pt'l.—Continued for service.
- 2121—Matt Lassila vs Maria Lassila, divorce, Hall & Hall for pt'l.—Referred to referee.
- 2122—M L Martin vs Clara P Martin, divorce, A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Decree for plaintiff.
- 2124—Flora Waltermier vs John Waltermier, suit, J A Buchanan for pt'l, A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Under advisement.
- 2125—Simpson Lumber Co, vs Barge No 2, action, W U Douglas.—Settled and dismissed.
- 2126—Kendall vs J L Kronenberg, action, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l, A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l.
- 2127—Pacific Metal Works vs H S Kribs, action, Sperry & Chase for pt'l.—Dismissed.

2128—W E Baines vs Marshfield City, injunction, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l, E L C Farrin for pt'l.—Demurrer to complaint argued and taken under advisement.

- 2130—Geo M Hite vs J H Schroeder, admr, suit, W Sinclair for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l.
- 2131—J L White vs Coos Bay Marble & Stone Co, action, J S Coke and E L C Farrin for pt'l.—Dismissed.
- 2132—Etta Andrus vs Guy Andrus, divorce, J W Bennett for pt'l.—Referred to referee.
- 2133—R J Coke vs Pacific Furniture & Lumber Co, action, Sperry & Chase for pt'l.—Dismissed.
- 2134—Alice Kruse vs W S Chandler, rec, action, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l, J S Coke and A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Non-suit granted.
- 2135—Anna Wolff vs G W Canning, foreclosure, J W Bennett for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l.
- 2136—Edw Shainwald vs Hebrly Kyle, action, L A Roberts for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$1250 and costs.
- 2137—Guelie Lyon vs Chas Lyon, divorce, Hall & Hall for pt'l.—Decree for divorce.
- 2138—J R Benson vs Pacific Furniture & Lumber Co, action, L A Roberts for pt'l, W Sinclair for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$5000 and costs.
- 2139—Mary McNamara vs W S Chandler, rec, action, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l, A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$250 and costs.
- 2140—L J Simpson vs Great Central Land Co, et al, suit, W U Douglas for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2141—Great Central Land Co vs John K Kollock, suit J M Upton for pt'l, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l.—Referred to referee.
- 2142—Aitie A Gardiner vs Chas Seadden, action McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l, Hall & Hall for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$55 00 and costs.
- 2143—Frank Boutin Jr vs Sael Krotky, et al, suit J W Bennett for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2144—Isaac Livingston vs M Rosenberg et al, suit A J Sherwood for pt'l, J W Bennett for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2145—T H Mehl & Co vs H S Kribs, action Sperry & Chase for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l.
- 2146—Nancy A Gee vs J H Taylor, action J H Upton for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$493 35 and costs.
- 2147—R E Shine vs W O Williams, suit A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2148—Lizzie H Minot vs T S Minot, divorce W U Douglas for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2150—Emerson Ferry vs Hillie Short et al, suit, J W Bennett and J M Upton for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2151—Thos McGinnis vs Coos Bay Packing Co, foreclosure, J W Bennett for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2152—Wm Cox vs W H Short, action at law, Hall & Hall for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l \$350 and costs.
- 2153—Anna Cornelius vs Thomas Cornelius, suit for divorce, W Sinclair for pt'l.—Dismissed.
- 2154—C Ledgerwood vs John Langlois, action at law, Hall & Hall for pt'l, A J Sherwood, for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l.
- 2155—C H Coddling vs Belt Line Railway Co, action, J S Coke for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$1292.55 and costs.
- 2156—R C Lyons vs G O Gilkey, action, W Sinclair for pt'l.—Judgment for pt'l, \$150.
- 2157—John S Coke Jr vs W M White, et al, suit, J S Coke, McKnight & Seabrook for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.
- 2158—Emma M Lyons, et al, vs W T Kerr, et al, foreclosure, J W Bennett for pt'l, L A Roberts for pt'l.—Continued for service.
- 2159—N P Peterson vs Coos County, writ of review, S D Palford for pt'l.—Under advisement.
- 2160—Mary Flanagan vs Mary Florence Mullen, suit in equity, A J Sherwood for pt'l.—Referred to referee.
- 2161—R H Roas vs Eliza Boice and J G Boice, foreclosure, J M Upton for pt'l.—Decree for pt'l.

A. D. Williams, representing the Smith Premier Typewriter Company is in Marshfield looking after business for his house today.

The Mystery Explained

Our esteemed contemporary down the creek says: "On the last Alliance, for instance, there was received for all the points on Coos bay, 330 of which 151 tons were for North Bend and 176 tons for all other points. There was 86 tons of this for Coquille, and taking this from the 170 tons will leave 72 tons more here than the other points on Coos bay combined." We have long wondered how the editor of that paper ever happened to get a nomination for school superintendent at his former home. The mystery is cleared up now, it was his strength in mathematics.