

Sherman County Journal
 Published Every Friday at
 Moro, Oregon

Giles L. French Editor

Entered as second class matter at Postoffice at Moro, Oregon, under # of Congress of March 3, 1959.

OREGON NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

NATIONAL EDITORIAL ASSOCIATION

OFFICIAL COUNTY PAPER

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
 ONE YEAR \$3.00

MARCH 11, 1960

SUBSIDIES

One of a newspaper's most delightful relationships is "constant reader" and one of ours has given us a copy of the Oregon Farmer containing an article about city folks' lack of knowledge of farmers. The main burden of the piece is that farmers are not the only ones getting a subsidy.

This is true and to the eternal credit of farmers let it be said they are apparently the only ones who are sensitive about it. Further, if this nation is going to subsidize anything let it be their stomachs. Our contention is that subsidies do much more harm to the subsidized than to the subsidizer.

Let us talk about the subsidy for newspapers as an example. All rural newspapers mail copies within their counties free of charge and pay for copies going outside the county at a growing rate that is now about seven times what it was. Big newspapers are delivered without benefit of postage locally and are most often dispatched by truck at a distance. Magazines use air freight for long distance and distribution is by postoffice. Purpose was not to help newspapers, but to encourage reading and it is our opinion that newspapers would have as many readers at a price large enough to pay postage.

We do not think that talk about subsidies helps farmers. This pointing of fingers and saying "You, too" is no argument of value. It has always been a public policy in these United States to subsidize agriculture from the day of squatters rights and the homestead law to the present. It has been a bad policy it has at least been honored in history.

But we think farmers would be better off without it although to drop it suddenly would be in proper.

We would have a better adjustment of agriculture to the country's needs if no crop was subsidized; farmers could grow what they wanted and acquire the knowledge of selling in a free market; the generosity of the government would then be charged to the state department instead of to agriculture; farmers would be encouraged to make the best use of their farms and utilize their resources than at present.

We are convinced that a lot of farmers agree with the above but hesitate to break loose from a succulent federal teat and that, itself, is indication of the spiritual weakness subsidies engender.

People's Column

To the People of Grass Valley School District No. 23

Three years before our boys were of school age we built 2.3 miles of all-weather road from our buildings to the county road at a cost of \$6000. This was at the start of the 6-mill special road levy and our county road was not in the plans so I asked the court to let me build the two miles of county road from our gate to the highway. The agreement was that I was to build the road and the court was to pay what it was worth or I would pay the bill. The county was to build the bridge across the Grass Valley ditch. The court paid the machinery rental and I donated my time and noon meal for five men. Cost, \$2000. That same year a neighbor built across another neighbor's land. It is not a county road but the county has to use it because the county road is not passable in winter. In other words we built all the roads in our area, all weather roads, before our boys were in school.

When our boys started to school the district had a county road rule that said we would have to get our boys out to the county road for bus service. I went to the school board and they said "You have a problem. We will get around it by charging you mileage." I said it wasn't right but I would pay rather than have a school squabble. Whether they thought I was right or through neglect I was billed but once or twice and I paid the charge.

Then after five or six years of not being billed they started billing again. Because we have a neighbor who is in exactly the same fix we were before our boys started to school (this county road is just a dirt road and his three-quarter mile of private road is unfit for winter travel) we decided to have this delayed school squabble because we believe the county road rule was never intended for a sparsely settled county like Sherman. All the other districts have gotten rid of it. Kent is the only one to bring it to a vote.

At the start of the 1959-60 year I was notified by mail to bring our boys to the county road. I went to the school board meeting and was told I had been favored with cheap transportation for our boys for years and the board was laying it on the line, etc. I came back with a petition that said briefly that persons off the county road should have door-to-door service if they built a rocky road. It was signed by everybody that could be served by this route with but one exception.

Some of our friends asked why we didn't make our road a county road and that is another story.

I am on the budget board for Grass Valley school district for 1960-61 and here are some of facts about our school. They are not all bad.

1. Grass Valley gets more from the rural school board on equalization than any other school in the county.

2. Grass Valley has had more administrators in the last eight years than any of the other schools.

3. Grass Valley's teacher pupil load is far higher than in any of the other schools.

4. Grass Valley is the only school that interprets the county road rule as it does.

No. 1 means that at this time Grass Valley has more pupils for its valuation therefore gets more help from other districts in the county with higher valuation. I was on the Rural School Board for a number of years. We tried to get the schools in line without

success. The new formula will work if the school board will cooperate with their able superintendent. He comes from places where they HAD school problems and Sherman county really has none, believe me.

No. 2. This is an undesirable situation and the school would be improved if corrected.

No. 3. Here is something you can really get your teeth into because it costs money. I think there have been times in the past eight years when it has cost us money instead of saving it. If you send a class of eighth grade graduates to high school not as well prepared as they should have been if you had spent \$10,000 more on a \$60,000 budget you are not saving money. It doesn't just apply to the eighth graders. I have talked to Mr. Meves and he said a lot depends on the individual's ability to handle an overload and I agree with him. He has more pupils this year than is projected for 1960-61 and has asked for another teacher. It is my belief that if he had been here longer he would have had that teacher this year when he needed one.

No. 4. If Grass Valley would interpret the county road rule as this: Any person who builds a graded rock road is entitled to the same service as a person who is lucky enough to have the taxpayers build to his door. I believe this would encourage land owners and long time tenants to build good roads instead of discourage them as the old way has done. I believe that the two old school board members should resign in favor of men with younger families coming on, at least one from the town itself.

These are my personal suggestions only. I will say that I will work until 1970 at least to get Grass Valley in line with other schools of the county on this service. I believe Mr. Meves and his teachers have done lots to straighten out things in the short time they have been here. The budget is good but not so good as Mr. French had it in his article last fall because of the \$17,000 carry-over from the changeover in the

rural school formula.

I have carried this matter alone except for those who signed petitions and now feel that the community may want to help in this struggle.

NOTICE OF FINAL ACCOUNT

NOTICE is hereby given that the undersigned has filed in the County Court of the State of Oregon for Sherman County, his Final Account as Administrator, with the Will Annexed, of the Estate of Everett H. Nichols, deceased, and that Wednesday, the 6th day of April, 1960, at ten o'clock A. M. of said day in the Court Room of the County Court in Moro, Sherman County, Oregon, have been fixed by the Court as the time and place for hearing objections to said Final Account and for the settlement of said estate.

Wesley E. Nichols
 Administrator,
 with Will Annexed, of the
 Estate of Everett H. Nichols,
 deceased.

T. Lester Johnson
 Attorney for Administrator 18-21c

NOTICE OF FINAL SETTLEMENT

Notice is hereby given that Frank von Borstel, co-administrator of the estate of Margaretha von Borstel, deceased, has filed his final accounting and petition to close said estate and that Friday, the 15th day of April, 1960, at the hour of 2:00 o'clock P. M. on said date, in the County Court Room in the County Court House in the City of Moro, Sherman County, Oregon, has been fixed as the time and place for the hearing of objections, if any there be, to said final accounting and petition.

FRANK von BORSTEL,
 co-administrator of the estate
 of Margaretha von Borstel
 deceased

BROWN & VAN VACTOR
 The Dalles, Oregon
 Attorneys for Estate 18-21c

Dr. Otis G. Perkins

Optometrist
 40 E. 2nd St.
 Tel. CY6-5362 The Dalles, Ore.

NOTICE OF SCHOOL MEETING

Notice is hereby given to the legal voters of Moro school district No. 17 of Sherman County, State of Oregon, that a SCHOOL MEETING of the said district will be held at the School House on the 31st day of March, 1960, at 8 o'clock p. m., for the purpose of discussing the budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1960 and ending June 30, 1961, hereinafter set forth.

Schedule I BUDGET—FISCAL YEAR 1960—1961				
Summary of Estimated Expenditures, Receipts and Available Cash Balances, and Tax Levies				
	Total All Funds	General Fund	School Lunch Fund	Teacherage Fund
1. a. Total Estimated Expenditures	\$ 104,164.67	\$ 99,211.00	\$ 4,653.67	\$ 300.00
b. Total Reserved for Expenditure in Future Years	520.00		200.00	320.00
c. Total Estimated Expenditures and Reserve - DEDUCT:	104,684.67	99,211.00	4,853.67	620.00
2. Total Estimated Receipts and Available Cash Balances	28,868.91	23,395.24	4,853.67	620.00
3. Amount Necessary to Balance the Budget	75,815.76	75,815.76		
4. Estimated Amount of Taxes That Will Not Be Collected During the Fiscal Year For Which This Budget is Made, Including Estimated Rebate on Taxes	2,274.47	2,274.47		
5. Total Estimated Tax Levies for Ensuing Fiscal Year	78,090.23	78,090.23		
6. Analysis of Estimated Tax Levies:				
(a) Amount Inside 6% Limitation	78,090.23	78,090.23		

Schedule II DEBTEDNESS				
Amount of bonded indebtedness (include all negotiable interest-bearing warrants issued under ORS 328.205)				
None				
Amount of warrant indebtedness on warrants issued and endorsed "not paid for want of funds"				
None				
Amount of other indebtedness				
None				
Total indebtedness (sum of items 1, 2, 3) None				

Adopted by District School Board Dated February 29, 1960 Signed: Clara E. Houston, District Clerk Dean Pinkerton, Dist. Sch. Board Chm.

Approved by Budget Committee Approved February 29, 1960 Signed: J. A. Cushman, Secretary Budget Com. Dean Pinkerton, Chm. Budget Com.

Schedule III GENERAL FUND ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND BEGINNING CASH BALANCE July 1, 1960 to June 30, 1961				
	Actual Receipts Next Preceding Current School Year	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1958	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1959	Budget Allowance Current Fiscal Year
10. Revenue from Local Sources				
11.1 District Tax rec'd in year levied	1.39	31,120.76	40,455.29	
11.2 District Tax—Prior Years' Levies	10.27	2.16	708.03	
15.0 Other	1,822.54	1,935.82	2,470.00	
20. Revenue from Intermediate Sources	54,280.06	30,269.03	34,360.72	
21. County School Fund	848.61	2,277.76		
22.1 Apportionment				
22.2 Prior Years' Levies (Rural)				
30. Revenue from or Through State Sources	12,679.71	13,142.59	12,622.77	
31.0 Basic School Support Fund		992.75	183.99	
31.1 Off-set Against Tax Levy				
32.0 Common (Irreducible) School Fund	170.43	156.62	177.94	
39.0 Other (Transfer to School Lunch)			850.00	
50. Receipts From Other School Districts	2,500.00	4,536.32	4,500.00	
52.0 Transportation		2,418.18		
70. Transfers From Other Funds	\$ 73,313.01	\$ 87,559.02	\$ 95,620.71	\$ 95,620.71
TOTAL RECEIPTS	840.27	2,818.93		
GENERAL FUND	\$ 73,133.28	\$ 90,378.95	\$ 95,620.71	\$ 95,620.71

Schedule IV GENERAL FUND ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES July 1, 1960 to June 30, 1961				
	Actual Receipts Next Preceding Current School Year	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1958	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1959	Budget Allowance Current Fiscal Year
100 Series				
114 Clerk				\$ 600.00
115 Principal				1,220.00
120 Supplies				110.00
141 Elections and Publicity				125.00
143 Legal Service				125.00
144 Audit				250.00
145 Principals Expense				333.75
146 P. O. Box & Postage				336.76
199 Other Expenses of Administration				50.00
Total Administration Expenses				\$ 2,635.00

Schedule V SCHOOL LUNCH ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND BEGINNING CASH BALANCE				
	Actual Receipts Next Preceding Current School Year	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1958	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1959	Budget Allowance Current Fiscal Year
10. Revenue from Local Sources				
11.1 District Tax rec'd in year levied	395.60	600.97	315.00	
11.2 District Tax—Prior Years' Levies	244.61	424.90	100.00	
15.0 Other	640.21	1,023.87	415.00	
20. Revenue from Intermediate Sources				
21. County School Fund				\$ 2,180.00
22.1 Apportionment				
22.2 Prior Years' Levies (Rural)				
30. Revenue from or Through State Sources	735.16	924.90	850.00	
31.0 Basic School Support Fund	3,235.48	3,747.20	3,987.50	
31.1 Off-set Against Tax Levy		42.00		
32.0 Common (Irreducible) School Fund	4,069.42	4,781.31	4,837.50	
39.0 Other (Transfer to School Lunch)	399.80	204.33	204.33	
50. Receipts From Other School Districts	\$ 4,469.22	\$ 4,781.31	\$ 5,041.83	\$ 4,781.31
52.0 Transportation				3,500.00
70. Transfers From Other Funds				
TOTAL RECEIPTS	3,761.54	4,371.91	4,841.83	\$ 18,384.13
Beginning Net Cash Balance (or Deficit) Less Cash Working Fund	261.46	211.98	5,011.11	
TOTAL BUDGET RESOURCES	4,264.89	4,818.97	4,841.83	\$ 23,395.24
GENERAL FUND	204.33	166.67	200.00	
District No. Seventeen (17)	\$ 4,469.22	\$ 4,985.64	\$ 5,041.83	\$ 4,985.64

Schedule VI TEACHERAGE FUND ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND BEGINNING CASH BALANCE				
	Actual Receipts Next Preceding Current School Year	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1958	Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1959	Budget Allowance Current Fiscal Year
36 Federal Money Received Through Through State				815.00
66 Sale of Lunches				3,750.00
71 Student Help				42.00
72 Other				75.00
Total Receipts				\$ 4,682.00
Beginning Net Cash Balance (or Deficit)				171.67
Total Budget Resources				\$ 4,853.67

Mr. and Mrs. Leo Watkins were Saturday evening guests of their daughter and son in law, Mr. and Mrs. John Buck in Moro.

WANT ADS

FOR SALE: Seed barley. Call Henry Jaeger, Condon, DUN-kirk 4-2586. 19c

FOR SALE: Complete set of Encyclopaedia Britannica with year books. Excellent condition. Phone JO 5-3513. 19c

WANTED: Year around job by family man. George Lane 407 9th St. Hood River, Evergreen 6-3236. 18-19p

FOR SALE: 3 large lots on corner in Grass Valley with new 3 room home, furnished with bath, water and lights. Paved Streets. Price \$1250. Moro JO 5-3515 or T. L. Huggins, Grass Valley.

FOR SALE: Piano, fine spinet, like new. Assume low monthly payments. Write Credit Mgr. Box 923, Idaho Falls, Idaho. 17-19c

LIVESTOCK AUCTION

Every Saturday at The Dalles Livestock Commission-Auction Yard, South on New Dalles-Calif Highway. Miscellaneous -- 10:00 A. M. LIVESTOCK -- 12:30 P. M. Lunch at the yards Grade

"A" Restaurant. Phone CY 6-4672 or CY 6-4513. The Dalles, Oregon. 14c-15m

STATE WIDE PAINT CO. complete painting and decorating service, spray or brush. Phone CY 6-3977 or CY 6-5293, 1205 E. 12th St. Vern Campbell and Jack Null, The Dalles, Or. 381m

FOR Agricultural loans see The Dalles NFLA and the Mid-Columbia PCA, 4th & Court Street-CYpress 6-2468. 21-25

Custom Slaughtering by appointment only. Meat cutting, wrapping, sharp freezing. Kenny's Market, Grass Valley, Oregon Call ED 3-2345 for appointments

HERMITAGE
 Straight Bourbon from Kentucky



Nature's finest bourbon \$2.95 pt
 There is none better! \$4.50 fifth

THE OLD HERMITAGE CO., LOUISVILLE, KY. DISTRIBUTED BY NATIONAL DISTILLERS PRODUCTS COMPANY, N.Y. 36 PROOF

INSTRUCTION 200 Series				
	210 Salaries	211 Principals	213 Teachers	213 Secretarial and Clerical Assistants
	5,500.00	6,360.00	6,960.00	6,100.00
	22,829.13	30,138.70	37,025.00	36,435.00
	141.60	506.25	350.00	1,700.00
	16.42	17.43	50.00	300.00
	1,106.20	1,449.42	1,200.00	75.00
	700.83	850.20	860.00	1,000.00
	123.45	96.31	90.00	600.00
	463.49	\$ 1.00		90.00
	51.48	67.52	75.00	150.00
	\$ 30,962.60	\$ 39,866.83	\$ 46,610.00	\$ 46,525.00
	27.02	5.13	25.00	25.00
	\$ 27.02	\$ 5.13	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
	11,038.85	11,423.01	11,710.00	10,000.00
	2,864.88	3,696.25	3,500.00	3,140.00
	483.74	5,614.00	5,500.00	575.00
	341.75	538.75	600.00	75.00
	\$ 14,729.22	\$ 21,369.21	\$ 21,310.00	\$ 13,890.00
	4,158.50	4,276.00	4,400.00	2,850.00
	1,151.74	805.17	750.00	400.00
	660.76	1,225.68	1,500.00	1,900.00
	1,144.78	1,438.82	1,050.00	1,525.00
	276.52	250.00	250.00	350.00
	\$ 7,392.30	\$ 7,995.67	\$ 7,950.00	\$ 7,025.00
	5,962.31	4,680.14	2,300.00	3,695.00
	2,167.59	967.63	1,000.00	550.00
	51.00	249.36	200.00	480.00
	\$ 8,180.90	\$ 5,897.13	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 200.00
	2,696.64	3,159.68	5,230.00	4,925.00
	1,048.70	399.90	360.00	5,000.00
	100.19	185.10	200.00	520.00
	\$ 3,845.53	\$ 4,284.90	\$ 6,344.00	200.00
	2,335.00	2,261.76	3,195.00	700.00
	50.00	150.00	150.00	6,420.00
			850.00	
	\$ 2,385.00	\$ 2,411.76	\$ 4,195.00	
	395.60	600.97	315.00	5,000.00
	244.61	424.90	100.00	520.00
	\$ 640.21	\$ 1,023.87	\$ 415.00	200.00
	70,334.35	85,367.84	94,449.00	700.00