

Rogue River Courier.

AN INDEPENDENT PAPER, DEVOTED ESPECIALLY TO THE INTERESTS OF SOUTHERN OREGON.

VOL. XV

GRANTS PASS, JOSEPHINE COUNTY, OREGON, THURSDAY, APRIL 20, 1899.

No. 26.

\$1.25

Buy the best Working Pants you can buy, warranted not to Rip. We have them made, put our own name on them with a guarantee with every Pair. We can fit the Large and Small in them. We have cheaper Pants if you want them. Extra line of Work Shirts at 50c, fine line of Dress Shirts, White and Colored, Golf Shirts and the Stanley Shirt, well made and will fit.

A new line of Neck Wear just in. The price is right.

Welch's Clothing Store.

Opera House Block, Grants Pass, Ore.

Local Happenings

G. C. Farr and wife are now located at Gardfield, Wash.
Mortimer John spent a few days in the Pass last week.
Cameras for sale or rent. Inquire of A. E. Voorhies.
Miss Mollie John of Williams made the Pass a visit one day last week.
Take your blacksmithing to Trimble & Bacher for first-class work.
For a first-class meal, go to the City Hotel; B. A. Williams, proprietor.
Bicycle hospital for all repairing at Craner Bros.
See the Quarts Improved Location notices at the Courthouse office.
Ex-postmaster W. F. Horn went to Jackson County last week on some business.
Dr. Lowe, the optician, is spending this week practicing his profession in Medford.
J. W. Grimes of Jacksonville came down to the Pass last Friday evening to visit his brother Andy.
District Attorney Watson took turn about with his bicycle one day last week, and in consequence was slightly disfigured.
Miss Lulu Conger of Wilderville went up to Gold Hill last Saturday morning where she will visit relatives and friends for a month.
Harry Lewis, who is working the Rocky Gulch mine below Grave creek, was in town last week attending the funeral of the late Pete Windom.
The public school celebrated Arbor Day, that is a part of the afternoon in vacation. A very good way, because if they had planted trees it would have been lost time.
Miss Josie Nunan, the accomplished daughter of J. Nunan, the Jacksonville merchant, was married last week to a Mr. Ross of San Francisco. The couple will probably make their home in Denver, Colo.
Beginning March 12th, the Northern Pacific Railway will inaugurate a double daily train service to all points east. These trains will be first-class and fully equipped. This gives a service that is unequalled by any transcontinental line. For rates or other information call on or write E. E. Dunbar, Agent, Grants Pass, Ore.
T. N. Supple and J. T. Miller of Portland have bought the mining property on Gravel creek from George Matthews, formerly owned by Will Crow. Mr. Supple went down with Mr. Crow last week and he and Mr. Miller will operate the mine during the remainder of the season and during the summer they will get everything in readiness for next winter's run.
When one hog gets an ear of corn every other hog will trot along behind and squeal and beg and is ready for a bite, but just let that hog get his head fast in a crack and every son of a sow will jump on and help tear him to pieces. Just so it is with men. As long as a man is prosperous and has money, he can't keep friends off with a baseball bat. The moment he is unfortunate and his wealth is gone, he is not only snubbed by his former alleged friends but they begin to do him all the harm possible. When a man starts down grade the world steps to one side and greases the track.

A Frightful Blunder
Will often cause a horrible burn, Scald, Cut or Bruise. Bucklen's Arnica Salve, the best in the world will kill the pain and promptly heal it. Cures old Sores, Fever Sores, Ulcers, Boils, Felons, Corns, all Skin Eruptions. Best Pile cure on earth. 25 cents a box. Cure guaranteed. Sold by Dr. Kremer, druggist.

State Penitentiary
The report of the superintendent for the quarter ending March 31 shows convicts, January 1, 322; convicts, April 1, 337; received, 15; discharged, 16; escaped, 2. The total earnings were \$249.37 and the receipts, \$470.25 for board of United States prisoners. The total expense was \$456.64 including \$456.94 for soldiers.

Dr. J. F. Fry, President.
J. T. TUFFS, Vice President.
R. A. Booth, Cashier.

Prosperity and an Abundant Harvest.
Are insured only to those who sow and reap. Send postal for our illustrated catalogue and save money by purchasing from us.

Pacific Seed Company.
Wholesale and Retail.
625 to 729 J St., Sacramento, Cal.
All needs sold at lowest prices. Agents wanted. Liberal discounts but no seeds sold on commission.

Crescent City and Grants Pass Stage Line Passenger Rates.
From Grants Pass
To Wilderville.....\$1.75
To Anderson.....\$1.25
To Selma.....\$1.50
To Kersh.....\$2.50
To Shady Creek.....\$2.50
To Grants Pass.....\$1.00
Above rates subject to change without notice. Round trip tickets and excursion parties at special rates.

County Court Proceedings.
Warrants ordered paid as follows:
Calhoun G. Co pauper supplies \$ 5 10
A. C. C. R. R. ticket on ch 6 6
M. Clemens mds pauper..... 10 60
V. Colvig grand jury wit Sept 98 2 50
Smith & Benson blacksmithing 5 50
R. E. Courrier justice state v Wyt 7 65
A. K. Russ mds pauper..... 15 50
J. Benson care " " " " 230 50
R. L. Bartlett exp and postage 13 95
Chas Ladd just state v Wyt 65 65
J. H. Colby court fees state v Wyt 15 10
W. Helling wit " " " " 1 50
J. C. Caskey " " " " 1 50
Karl Girard " " " " 1 50
J. Davis " " " " 1 50
J. Hart " " " " 2 10
H. Hart " " " " 1 50
J. Carter " " " " 2 10
Chas Overton " " " " 1 90
W. R. Nipper draw jury list Wil 2 2
W. P. Daily ass jury list Wil pre 2 2
H. M. Hammond " " " " 2 2
J. Holman ass jury list Lempe 10 70
J. Colby court fees state v Wyt 65 65
M. Kilen wit " " " " 1 50
A. Lempe " " " " 1 50
Mrs A " " " " 1 50
C. Campbell, H. L. Trux, J. P. Tuffs, J. Moss, J. G. Schmidt, H. C. Kinney, jury \$1 each 6 6
Mrs H. Warner wit fees v Norris 1 70
Wm Gearheart " " " " 1 70
J. W. Gotscher " " " " 1 70
A. M. McKinney " " " " 1 85
J. H. Miller wit fees v Norris 1 60
W. R. Nipper jury fees 6 45
Wm Hammond const " " " " 10 20
J. E. Farmer lumber rd. dist no. 11 7 20
J. Holman ass jury list G & P 2 2
W. H. Fallon ass " " " " 2 2
T. P. Judson " " " " 2 2
J. D. Hart const " " " " 4 35
Geo Cronk viewer J O J and mill 20 80
W. H. Fallon " " " " 20 80
N. B. Meade " " " " 20 80
J. P. Main chairman J O J road 8 8
Bert Dyser " " " " 8 8
Lehman marker Wolf creek road 2 2
Wm Dors chairman " " " " 2 2
Speaker, marker " " " " 2 2
S. Alderson viewer " " " " 6 80
T. Martin jr chairman " " " " 4 4
W. E. Baker " " " " 6 80
W. F. Kremer med serand med 19 19
J. P. Summers care pauper 8 8
Ed Lister board prisoners 74 32
G. W. Truitt dist pt cards 9 10
T. Smith " " " " 304 304
" " assessor's salary 12 12
J. D. Hayes teacher's exams 12 12
Mrs. J. D. Hayes teacher's exams 12 12
J. D. Hayes stamps and supplies 6 50
Chas Ladd Just, fees state vs Bradigan 4 35
D. H. Hart constable 12 22
A. H. Jones guarding prisoners 2 90
G. E. Greene Wit, state vs Brighlian 1 50
Wm. Helling " " " " 1 50
J. A. Campbell " " " " 1 50
A. H. Jones " " " " 1 90
Smith & Holman coffins for the county charges 58 50
D. H. Hart const. mds, pauper 14 95
J. H. Howard " " " " 2 50
Mrs B. A. Platt washing for pris. 5 35
C. E. Harmon Map for school sup. 5 35
J. M. Kinsley const. mds, pauper 2 50
Chas Ladd draw jury list Merlin G Crockett assistant 2 2
Glas & Prudhomme mds, blanks 3 68
The Irwin-Hodson Co " pens 1 50
A. A. Porter viewing Leland road 2 2
Geo Porter chairman 2 2
J. T. Mackin marker 2 2
C. D. Burnett viewing Leland road 2 2
G. W. Boynton " " " " 3 40
Geo Porter work on county road 20 20
Alonso Jones wood " " " " 10 10
M. McArthur mds, const house 30 30
J. M. Kinsley const. mds, const ex 30 30
J. Hartman drayage 75 75
Glas & Prudhomme stationery 14 75
B. O. McCulloch surveying 64 20
J. F. Truitt ass jury list 3 3
Althous precat 2 2
J. M. Payne assistant 2 2
A. H. Morey const 2 2
G. W. Chapin const Leland road 2 2
Watson printing 4 25
C. B. Watson blanks 1 1
G. W. Cronk const Atty. 5 5
G. P. W. L. & Co light and water 18 18
Observer printing 7 75
Geo Simmons lumber dist 6 19 60
R. P. George 1 days time 3 3
" " 4 " " " " 48 80
Nek Thos " " " " 14 40

The Edwards Trial.
The trial of Lou Edwards for the killing of his mining partner, Chas. I. Evans, at their Wagner gap cabin, commenced in the circuit court Tuesday, the motion to continue the case until the next term of court having been overruled. The regular jury panel was exhausted and only four jurors were secured. An order was made for a special venire and court adjourned until yesterday when the work of jury selecting was finished and trial commenced.

District Attorney Watson and Ex Judge J. R. Neil represent the state and Colvig & Reames and E. P. Briggitts the defendant. Circuit Judge Hanna is presiding. When once started the case will need to be very lengthy as the question is whether the shooting was in self defense or a premeditated murder. It will consist principally in the examination into the wounds in the body of the deceased and position in which body fell. The prosecution will introduce witnesses and ask to show that Edwards "had it in" for Evans and that his business troubles and personal encounter the day before was the basis for the motive of the assassination. The defense expect to show that the killing occurred in self defense in accordance with the statements heretofore made by Edwards and will introduce testimony to show that Edwards was perfectly calm, cool and self possessed after the personal encounter the day before the killing and that he was not a man who would let such troubles as were between them unbalance his reasoning to the extent of assassinating a fellow man.

It is impossible to surmise the result and a verdict of guilty may possibly be secured, acquittal is not improbable and there are some who think that the nature of the case is so peculiar that it will result in some of the jurors looking at it one way and some radically opposite, agreeing to disagree.—Valley Record.

Sick Headaches.
The cure of overworked womanhood, are quickly and surely cured by Karl's Clover Root Tea, the great blood purifier and tissue builder. Money refunded if not satisfactory. Price 25 cts., and 50 cts.—W. F. Kremer.

General Mining Notes.

Water may be removed from tanks or mines or other excavations by means of a syphon to a depth where the atmospheric pressure equals the weight of a column of water. Theoretically this is 33 or 34 feet at sea level, but this diminishes with increasing altitude.
Italian miners are increasing in numbers in Colorado. Colorado mine owners who employ them say about 60 per cent of their earnings is sent back to Italy. They are all union men and get the union scale of \$3 a day, of which they save \$2. Few are married, and fewer naturalized.
The superintendent of the mint at San Francisco may purchase mutilated and uncirculated silver coins in sums in excess of \$3 at the price fixed by the director of the mint for silver contained in gold deposits. Such coins should be sent to the mint by registered mail or express, prepaid.
To find the total pressure of quiet water on a dam, tank or lock gate or other surface, multiply together the area in square feet of the surface sustaining the pressure, the vertical depth in feet of its center of gravity below the surface of the water, and the constant number 62.5 and the product will give the pressure in pounds.
With ordinary precaution there need be no danger of accidents from the use of a gas or gasoline engine in or around a mine. Of course, where explosive gas is generated a gasoline engine lighting from an external flame is not advisable; but, ordinarily, no greater degree of precaution is necessary than in the use of any other form of applied power.
The 30 forest reservations of the United States embrace an area of 80,000,000 acres in 13 states and territories. Seven are in the state of California, the largest of which—the Sierra forest reserve—includes 4,000,000 acres. Within the past 35 years it is estimated that 11,000,000,000 feet B. M. of timber on public land have been destroyed by forest fires.—Mining and Scientific Press.

How is Your Wife?
Has she lost her beauty? If so, Contipation, Indigestion, Sick Headache are the principle causes. Karl's Clover Root Tea has cured these ills for ever half a century. Price 25 cts. and 50 cts. Money refunded if results are not satisfactory.—W. F. Kremer.

Willful or Inadvertent Trespass.
A decision just handed down from the United States circuit court of appeals at St. Louis, which is of interest to miners, is that of the Perry Consolidated Mining company vs. the Durant Mining company, operated at Aspen, in Colorado. This was a case tried before Judge Haller in the federal court at Denver, where the plaintiff alleged willful trespass, and claimed the value of the ore on the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed in substance, that it was the duty of owners and agents of mining camps to remove trespassers from the dump, free of cost of mining and underground transportation. The defendant's manager, Mr. Freeland, testified that the trespass was inadvertent, against orders, and due to discrepancies in surveys made through long, steep and tortuous passages. The lower court changed