

NOT ENTIRELY PLAIN SAILING SOME NEW MOVES BY RAILROADS

IRRIGATION CODE WILL BE STRONGLY OPPOSED.

War to be Waged Unless Bill is Changed to Meet Conditions Where Lands Are Now Under Irrigation.

Unless present conditions relating to the attitude of some of the members of the legislature, who are directly interested in the matter, become changed in the near future, it is altogether probable that house bill No. 51, providing for an irrigation code for the state, will have anything but an easy sailing upon the troubled waters of legislation. Strong objections have been raised against the provisions of the bill by numerous interests in Eastern Oregon, which locality is directly affected, and they are preparing to wage a relentless war against it if the bill is not altered to meet the conditions which exist in the section of the state where the once and lands are already under irrigation.

This is the code of irrigation laws which was adopted by the state irrigation commission which is in fact a revision of the code which was drafted by Attorney Bean, of the United States reclamation service, in conformity with the irrigation laws in effect in other states, and introduced in the House by Representative Cole, of Morrow and Umatilla Counties, and is now in the hands of the committee on irrigation. The bill provides for the beneficial use of waters, regulating and controlling the same and all lands affected thereby; and declaring all unappropriated waters belonging to the public and subject to appropriation for such use; and making such use a public use; providing for a state engineer, his qualifications, appointments, duties, powers, advisers and the records, fees, salaries, and expenses connected with his office, and for a state water board, its duties and powers; for the determination and recording of all existing water rights and the future requirements of such rights and abandonment thereof; granting the power of eminent domain; defining certain misdemeanors and punishment therefor; creating certain liens; regulating the disposition of certain state lands and granting of rights of way over state lands."

The principal objection which has been raised to the bill is one which provides that the state engineer, whose term of office is fixed at six years with an annual salary of \$2400 and expenses, shall make a hydrologic survey of all streams of the state of which are to be used for irrigation, to determine the flow thereof for the purpose of distributing the supply of water to the riparian owners according to the amount of land to be irrigated, and to determine the surplus flow if any. If, according to the interpretation placed upon the bill by those who oppose it, the owners of the land at present under irrigation have no established title to the use of the water of any stream (if they have they must file the record of the same with the state engineer) they must begin friendly action to establish such right and then make a record of it. This provision, the friends of the bill claim, is circulated to provide for permanent and indisputable right to the use of certain specified quantities of the water and to prevent future expensive litigation regarding such rights.

Those who have developed an antagonistic attitude toward the bill contend that this provision will have the effect of dragging all of the owners of irrigated land in the arid districts of Eastern Oregon into court and involve their interests into litigation which will cost them more money than they can afford to spend. Another serious objection is to the proposition that the government will establish an entirely new system of ditches and equipment at the expense of irrigators, but will not purchase the ditches and canals already in use, and it is argued that the owners of the land cannot afford to lose the money which they have spent in perfecting their systems and to pay out more money to cover up the present ditches, or at least such of them that the government cannot use in the establishment of the new system — Baker City Democrat.

Order groceries from the Miller & Thompson closing out stock.

Hill May Invade the Harriman Territory and Cause a Stir.

In view of the continued postponements of various annual meetings of lines in which the Harriman syndicate predominates it will be of interest to railroad men to know that the eastern press is filled with significant stories of a strong feeling between Gould, Harriman and Hill. Not only is the Butte, Boise & San Francisco being watched by local people, but the same general condition prevails in other competitive points and is freely asserted that Hill is opening up a concerted warfare on the Harriman lines, not a war of rates, which would mean nothing save loss to each side, but a war for occupancy, a general movement to get into the territory of the Union Pacific.

As is well known, the Harriman people are strongly fixed on the Pacific coast, and any invasion means years of hard work on the part of the invader, but that Hill is ready to storm the breastworks, first building his line from Butte to San Francisco.

This is a good plan and would help the Great Northern. However the Oregon Short Line and others will not sit idle by while this invasion is taking place. In fact the work of the moment is full of interest. Surveyors are in the field at four different points in Idaho and lines in other regions will be surveyed this summer. The moment there is any sign of other than "still late" on the part of the much-advertised roads, it is extremely probable that the Short Line begin active construction on its account.

It has in its blue print collection a very finely colored map of line from Winnemucca to a feasible connection with Oregon Short Line at Mountainhome and this would prove a mighty short cut from Boise and Butte to San Francisco, with much less new construction than a new line would require. Of course this blue print will not be needed yet awhile, but it is good things to have in case of an emergency.

Possibly the easterners who are dealing with this war are not informed as to the number of blue print in the Harriman strong box.—Salt Lake Tribune.

A farmer's wife hanged herself on a tree in his garden. He married another wife and curiously enough she hanged herself on that same tree. He married again and the third did the same. He wrote to an old married chum of his who lived at a distance, acquainting him of the mournful coincidence. Shord and sharp came the reply:

"For heaven's sake, send me a cutting of your tree."—Ontario Argus.

A WONDERFUL INVENTION.

It is interesting to note that fortunes are frequently made by the invention of articles of minor importance. Many of the more popular devices are those designed to benefit people and meet popular conditions, and one of the most interesting of these that has ever been invented is the Dr. White Electric Comb, patented Jan. 1, '99. These wonderful Combs positively cure dandruff, hair falling out, sick and nervous headaches, and when used with Dr. White's Electric Hair Brush are positively guaranteed to make straight hair curly in 25 days time. Thousands of these electric combs have been sold in the various cities of the Union, and the demand is constantly increasing. Our agents are rapidly becoming rich selling these combs. They positively sell on sight. Send for sample. Men's size 35c, ladies' 50c — (half price while we are introducing them.) The Dr. White Electric Comb Co., Decatur, Ill.

Speedy Relief.

A salve that heals without a scar is DeWitt's Witch Hazel Salve. No remedy effects such speedy relief. It draws out inflammation, soothes, cools and heals all cuts, burns and bruises. A sure cure for piles and skin diseases. DeWitt's is the only genuine Witch Hazel Salve. Beware of counterfeits, they are dangerous. Sold by Burns' Druggists.

Men's wear cleaned and repaired by piece or month at the Hill residence.—Mrs Moon.

FOR

HARDWARE

...and...

FARMING MACHINERY

Of every description, see

GEER & CUMMINS.

WE LEAD IN

PRICES

and

STOCK.

Alfalfa at Geer & Cummings.

Try for Health

222 South Peoria St., CHICAGO, ILL., Oct. 1, 1902.

Eight months ago I was so ill that I could hardly walk or lay down nearly all the time. My stomach was so weak and upset that I could keep nothing on it and I vomited frequently. I could not urinate without great pain and I coughed so much that my throat and lungs were raw and sore. The doctors prescribed Dr. Cardui's Wine and others said it was consumption. It mattered little to me what they called it and I had no desire to live. A sister visited me from St. Louis and asked me if I had ever tried Wine of Cardui. I told her I had not and she bought a bottle. I believe that it saved my life. I believe any woman could save much suffering if they but knew of its value.

Sergue Dukker.

Don't you want freedom from pain? Take Wine of Cardui and make one supreme effort to be well. You don't need to be a weak, helpless sufferer. You can have a woman's health and do a woman's work in life. Why not secure a bottle of Wine of Cardui from your druggist to-day?

WINE OF CARDUI



YES SIR
WATCHES
ARE SOLD
HERE
ALSO DIAMONDS

COME IN — TAKE A LOOK AT A BEAUTIFUL DISPLAY OF JEWELRY

ON THE COUNTER YOU WILL ALSO FIND CATALOG ILLUSTRATING THOUSANDS OF USEFUL AND PRACTICAL GEMS

BUY HERE

THAT'S ALL

H. M. HORTON,
City Drug Store

Men's wear cleaned and repaired by piece or month at the Hill residence.—Mrs Moon.

Order groceries from the Miller & Thompson closing out stock.

War to be Waged Unless Bill is Changed to Meet Conditions Where Lands Are Now Under Irrigation.

Unless present conditions relating to the attitude of some of the members of the legislature, who are directly interested in the matter, become changed in the near future, it is altogether probable that house bill No. 51, providing for an irrigation code for the state, will have anything but an easy sailing upon the troubled waters of legislation. Strong objections have been raised against the provisions of the bill by numerous interests in Eastern Oregon, which locality is directly affected, and they are preparing to wage a relentless war against it if the bill is not altered to meet the conditions which exist in the section of the state where the once and lands are already under irrigation.

This is the code of irrigation laws which was adopted by the state irrigation commission which is in fact a revision of the code which was drafted by Attorney Bean, of the United States reclamation service, in conformity with the irrigation laws in effect in other states, and introduced in the House by Representative Cole, of Morrow and Umatilla Counties, and is now in the hands of the committee on irrigation. The bill provides for the beneficial use of waters, regulating and controlling the same and all lands affected thereby; and declaring all unappropriated waters belonging to the public and subject to appropriation for such use; and making such use a public use; providing for a state engineer, his qualifications, appointments, duties, powers, advisers and the records, fees, salaries, and expenses connected with his office, and for a state water board, its duties and powers; for the determination and recording of all existing water rights and the future requirements of such rights and abandonment thereof; granting the power of eminent domain; defining certain misdemeanors and punishment therefor; creating certain liens; regulating the disposition of certain state lands and granting of rights of way over state lands."

The principal objection which has been raised to the bill is one which provides that the state engineer, whose term of office is fixed at six years with an annual salary of \$2400 and expenses, shall make a hydrologic survey of all streams of the state of which are to be used for irrigation, to determine the flow thereof for the purpose of distributing the supply of water to the riparian owners according to the amount of land to be irrigated, and to determine the surplus flow if any. If, according to the interpretation placed upon the bill by those who oppose it, the owners of the land at present under irrigation have no established title to the use of the water of any stream (if they have they must file the record of the same with the state engineer) they must begin friendly action to establish such right and then make a record of it. This provision, the friends of the bill claim, is circulated to provide for permanent and indisputable right to the use of certain specified quantities of the water and to prevent future expensive litigation regarding such rights.

Those who have developed an antagonistic attitude toward the bill contend that this provision will have the effect of dragging all of the owners of irrigated land in the arid districts of Eastern Oregon into court and involve their interests into litigation which will cost them more money than they can afford to spend. Another serious objection is to the proposition that the government will establish an entirely new system of ditches and equipment at the expense of irrigators, but will not purchase the ditches and canals already in use, and it is argued that the owners of the land cannot afford to lose the money which they have spent in perfecting their systems and to pay out more money to cover up the present ditches, or at least such of them that the government cannot use in the establishment of the new system — Baker City Democrat.

Order groceries from the Miller & Thompson closing out stock.

War to be Waged Unless Bill is Changed to Meet Conditions Where Lands Are Now Under Irrigation.

Unless present conditions relating to the attitude of some of the members of the legislature, who are directly interested in the matter, become changed in the near future, it is altogether probable that house bill No. 51, providing for an irrigation code for the state, will have anything but an easy sailing upon the troubled waters of legislation. Strong objections have been raised against the provisions of the bill by numerous interests in Eastern Oregon, which locality is directly affected, and they are preparing to wage a relentless war against it if the bill is not altered to meet the conditions which exist in the section of the state where the once and lands are already under irrigation.

This is the code of irrigation laws which was adopted by the state irrigation commission which is in fact a revision of the code which was drafted by Attorney Bean, of the United States reclamation service, in conformity with the irrigation laws in effect in other states, and introduced in the House by Representative Cole, of Morrow and Umatilla Counties, and is now in the hands of the committee on irrigation. The bill provides for the beneficial use of waters, regulating and controlling the same and all lands affected thereby; and declaring all unappropriated waters belonging to the public and subject to appropriation for such use; and making such use a public use; providing for a state engineer, his qualifications, appointments, duties, powers, advisers and the records, fees, salaries, and expenses connected with his office, and for a state water board, its duties and powers; for the determination and recording of all existing water rights and the future requirements of such rights and abandonment thereof; granting the power of eminent domain; defining certain misdemeanors and punishment therefor; creating certain liens; regulating the disposition of certain state lands and granting of rights of way over state lands."

The principal objection which has been raised to the bill is one which provides that the state engineer, whose term of office is fixed at six years with an annual salary of \$2400 and expenses, shall make a hydrologic survey of all streams of the state of which are to be used for irrigation, to determine the flow thereof for the purpose of distributing the supply of water to the riparian owners according to the amount of land to be irrigated, and to determine the surplus flow if any. If, according to the interpretation placed upon the bill by those who oppose it, the owners of the land at present under irrigation have no established title to the use of the water of any stream (if they have they must file the record of the same with the state engineer) they must begin friendly action to establish such right and then make a record of it. This provision, the friends of the bill claim, is circulated to provide for permanent and indisputable right to the use of certain specified quantities of the water and to prevent future expensive litigation regarding such rights.

Those who have developed an antagonistic attitude toward the bill contend that this provision will have the effect of dragging all of the owners of irrigated land in the arid districts of Eastern Oregon into court and involve their interests into litigation which will cost them more money than they can afford to spend. Another serious objection is to the proposition that the government will establish an entirely new system of ditches and equipment at the expense of irrigators, but will not purchase the ditches and canals already in use, and it is argued that the owners of the land cannot afford to lose the money which they have spent in perfecting their systems and to pay out more money to cover up the present ditches, or at least such of them that the government cannot use in the establishment of the new system — Baker City Democrat.

Order groceries from the Miller & Thompson closing out stock.

War to be Waged Unless Bill is Changed to Meet Conditions Where Lands Are Now Under Irrigation.

Unless present conditions relating to the attitude of some of the members of the legislature, who are directly interested in the matter, become changed in the near future, it is altogether probable that house bill No. 51, providing for an irrigation code for the state, will have anything but an easy sailing upon the troubled waters of legislation. Strong objections have been raised against the provisions of the bill by numerous interests in Eastern Oregon, which locality is directly affected, and they are preparing to wage a relentless war against it if the bill is not altered to meet the conditions which exist in the section of the state where the once and lands are already under irrigation.

This is the code of irrigation laws which was adopted by the state irrigation commission which is in fact a revision of the code which was drafted by Attorney Bean, of the United States reclamation service, in conformity with the irrigation laws in effect in other states, and introduced in the House by Representative Cole, of Morrow and Umatilla Counties, and is now in the hands of the committee on irrigation. The bill provides for the beneficial use of waters, regulating and controlling the same and all lands affected thereby; and declaring all unappropriated waters belonging to the public and subject to appropriation for such use; and making such use a public use; providing for a state engineer, his qualifications, appointments, duties, powers, advisers and the records, fees, salaries, and expenses connected with his office, and for a state water board, its duties and powers; for the determination and recording of all existing water rights and the future requirements of such rights and abandonment thereof; granting the power of eminent domain; defining certain misdemeanors and punishment therefor; creating certain liens; regulating the disposition of certain state lands and granting of rights of way over state lands."

The principal objection which has been raised to the bill is one which provides that the state engineer, whose term of office is fixed at six years with an annual salary of \$2400 and expenses, shall make a hydrologic survey of all streams of the state of which are to be used for irrigation, to determine the flow thereof for the purpose of distributing the supply of water to the riparian owners according to the amount of land to be irrigated, and to determine the surplus flow if any. If, according to the interpretation placed upon the bill by those who oppose it, the owners of the land at present under irrigation have no established title to the use of the water of any stream (if they have they must file the record of the same with the state engineer) they must begin friendly action to establish such right and then make a record of it. This provision, the friends of the bill claim, is circulated to provide for permanent and indisputable right to the use of certain specified quantities of the water and to prevent future expensive litigation regarding such rights.

Those who have developed an antagonistic attitude toward the bill contend that this provision will have the effect of dragging all of the owners of irrigated land in the arid districts of Eastern Oregon into court and involve their interests into litigation which will cost them more money than they can afford to spend. Another serious objection is to the proposition that the government will establish an entirely new system of ditches and equipment at the expense of irrigators, but will not purchase the ditches and canals already in use, and it is argued that the owners of the land cannot afford to lose the money which they have spent in perfecting their systems and to pay out more money to cover up the present ditches, or at least such of them that the government cannot use in the establishment of the new system — Baker City Democrat.

Order groceries from the Miller & Thompson closing out stock.

War to be Waged Unless Bill is Changed to Meet Conditions Where Lands Are Now Under Irrigation.

Unless present conditions relating to the attitude of some of the members of the legislature, who are directly interested in the matter, become changed in the near future, it is altogether probable that house bill No. 51, providing for an irrigation code for the state, will have anything but an easy sailing upon the troubled waters of legislation. Strong objections have been raised against the provisions of the bill by numerous interests in Eastern Oregon, which locality is directly affected, and they are preparing to wage a relentless war against it if the bill is not altered to meet the conditions which exist in the section of the state where the once and lands are already under irrigation.

This is the code of irrigation laws which was adopted by the state irrigation commission which is in fact a revision of the code which was drafted by Attorney Bean, of the United States reclamation service, in conformity with the irrigation laws in effect in other states, and introduced in the House by Representative Cole, of Morrow and Umatilla Counties, and is now in the hands of the committee on irrigation. The bill provides for the beneficial use of waters, regulating and controlling the same and all lands affected thereby; and declaring all unappropriated waters belonging to the public and subject to appropriation for such use; and making such use a public use; providing for a state engineer, his qualifications, appointments, duties, powers, advisers and the records, fees, salaries, and expenses connected with his office, and for a state water board, its duties and powers; for the determination and recording of all existing water rights and the future requirements of such rights and abandonment thereof; granting the power of eminent domain; defining certain misdemeanors and punishment therefor; creating certain liens; regulating the disposition of certain state lands and granting of rights of way over state lands."

The principal objection which has been raised to the bill is one which provides that the state engineer, whose term of office is fixed at six years with an annual salary of \$2400 and expenses, shall make a hydrologic survey of all streams of the state of which are to be used for irrigation, to determine the flow thereof for the purpose of distributing the supply of water to the riparian owners according to the amount of land to be irrigated, and to determine the surplus flow if any. If, according to the interpretation placed upon the bill by those who oppose it, the owners of the land at present under irrigation have no established title to the use of the water of any stream (if they have they must file the record of the same with the state engineer) they must begin friendly action to establish such right and then make a record of it. This provision, the friends of the bill claim, is circulated to provide for permanent and indisputable right to the use of certain specified quantities of the water and to prevent future expensive litigation regarding such rights.

Those who have developed an antagonistic attitude toward the bill contend that this provision will have the effect of dragging all of the owners of irrigated land in the arid districts of Eastern Oregon into court and involve their interests into litigation which will cost them more money than they can afford to spend. Another serious objection is to the proposition that the government will establish an entirely new system of ditches and equipment at the expense of irrigators, but will not purchase the ditches and canals already in use, and it is argued that the owners of the land cannot afford to lose the money which they have spent in perfecting their systems and to pay out more money to cover up the present ditches, or at least such of them that the government cannot use in the establishment of the new system — Baker City Democrat.

Order groceries from the Miller & Thompson closing out stock.

War to be Waged Unless Bill is Changed to Meet Conditions Where Lands Are Now Under Irrigation.

Unless present conditions relating to the attitude of some of the members of the legislature, who are directly interested in the matter, become changed in the near future, it is altogether probable that house bill No. 51, providing for an irrigation code for the state, will have anything but an easy sailing upon the troubled waters of legislation. Strong objections have been raised against the provisions of the bill by numerous interests in Eastern Oregon, which locality is directly affected, and they are preparing to wage a relentless war against it if the bill is not altered to meet the conditions which exist in the section of the state where the once and lands are already under irrigation.

This is the code of irrigation laws which was adopted by the state irrigation commission which is in fact a revision of the code which was drafted by