## THE COQUILLE HERALD

 P. C. LEVAR, LESSEE AND EDITOR ROY M. AYERY, BUSINESS MANAGER Devoted to the material and social upbuilding of the Coquille Valle particularly and of Coos County generally
$\qquad$ The Sentinel professes inability to understand what the Herald was talking about when it criticised that pa per for the way in which it gave what purported to. Why news report of the testimony in the Cameron cass. Why
all the fuss, it asks, if the Herald agreed with the verdict of the jury. We wonder if it is possible to write English so plain that the Sentinel man can understand it. We so plain that the Sentine man wan it plain enough in the first place, and we rather suspect that the Sentinel understood perfectly. It really pleaded guilty to the only charge made, and attempted to defend itself by setting up its own infallible tempted to defend itself by setting up its own infalible
wisdom, its unerring judgment of what is good for its readers to know, and the commission it, in effect, claims to hold from some power, high or low, to suppress any item of a news report that it may think bad for the interests of the office holder. Still, it asks that foolish question, and in different articles it places before its readers the suggesSentinel was on the side of the prosecution or against Cameron. Now any one who read the Herald article and undertands English as she is wrote could not possibly man does not understand good every-day English, per haps on account $o_{\perp}$ having such a fluent command of Latin. Therefore begging the indulgence of our readers for the repetition, we wiil endeavor to make the meaning plain even to the reluctant conscild criticised the Sentinel for publishing as a news article what purported to be some account of the testimony in the Cameron libel case and giving only the testimony favorable to the one side; suppressing all the tes imony offered by the other side. At the same time we called testimony favorable to Cameron but not that for Mceeod. We classed them together, where they belonged, and
lumped the criticism. We called that "Journalism As It Shouldn't Be," as it was. The article made no reference, near or remote, to the merits of the Camern case
itself. That had nothing to do with the matter. The of fense we criticised was the transgression of the fundamental rules of journalism, which require unbiased news reforts. Any one who has been in the or more ought to understand this rule. To affect ignorance of the existence thereof, or, as we say in Athens, "wake nika cumtux," is not creditable to any socalled journalist. We think it kinder to suppose that the Sentinel man is trying to mislead his readers as to the Herald's position-and in that case, of course, it is usejess to prolong the discussion.

Gosh, but it takes lots of explanation to get anything through the Sentinel's head. The editor of the Herald, makes no pretensions to being unbiased himself; but he does try to write his news items from an unbiased standpoint. He reserves the privilege of being as cranky and
as biased and as illogical in his editorial column as best as biased and as illogical in his editorial column as best pleases him, although he can not pretend to keep up with the Sentinel in that way; but he does endeavor to give news report that shall not be colored and distorted by his personal prejudices. As we now understand it, the Sen tinel has no such aspiration.

Will our sainted contemporary please point out one word or collection of words in the Herald of any issue in the last three months that can be construed as favoring
Cameron. Come on, now, Mr. Sentinel. Our files are Cameron. Come on, now, Mr. Sentinel. Our files are
open, if you have none. Reprint in your divinely censored open, if you have none. Reprint in your divinely censored columns the word, phrase, sentence or paragraph that ayourself worthy of the confidence that some people prob ably have in you by showing cause for the inginuations by ably have in you by showing cause for the insinuations by unfavorable to the Herald. Do this, we beg of you. Do unfavorable to the Herald. Do this, we beg of you. Do
it in your next issue. Do it now-or acknowledge that you have been misled by your own imagination, or are trying to mislead your readers.
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## Keeping Up With

The March of Progress
We have consolidated the Model Grocery and the Busy Corner Grocery at the old BUSY CORNER
STORE ENLARGED! BIGGER, BETTER

When our improvements are completed we expect to give you a grocery store second to none in South ern Oregon. This consolidation enables us to carry a more complete line than ever before and to give our customers the best of service at prices that are RIGHT.

Watch this space! We will save you money
Busy Corner Grocery

## MAXIMUM

Household Rubber Goods Are Guaranteed for TWO YEARS I They are the N Maximum wanship-the highest degree of techrical and mechanical still in every process of manucture (I Maximum util ty $\quad$ reinforcements where needed extra thickness where needed, extra sizes where needed. I Maximum good looks-mperfection of outine and finish th

FUHRMAN'S PHARMACY

