

Coquille Valley Sentinel

COQUILLE, OREGON

JANUARY 1, 1948.

Report of Southwestern Oregon Sportsmen Fourth Installment—By President Scott

(Continued from last week)

DUCKS

In reviewing the history of duck hunting in this area we found that the coastal flight of ducks started to decline as soon as the feeding of the private lakes ceased. We also found that at the beginning of each season the few ducks that were here were driven out of the country by over shooting and lack of protected rest areas.

The chapters of North Bend and Coos Bay in joint meeting set up a rest area in the bay. This rest area is now listed in the game regulations. We hope that by some feeding of this area we will be able to hold some of the early ducks. It became immediately obvious, however, that to attract any considerable flight of ducks down the coast that there must be feed and your association started looking for marshes that could be made into feeding areas. We asked A. V. Myer, chief game biologist, to come to Coos Bay to inspect the Henderson marsh. He came accompanied by Robert Boone, field representative for the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and we made a careful inspection of this area.

Orville Wood and Bert Gould accompanied us around the Coquille valley and were very helpful as to possible areas and land values. One area was found that might possibly have been considered but the land values were so high, even for alder swamp, that this area was not acceptable. Henderson marsh was visited and this was found to have possibilities for limited area, about 600 acres. In this, however, there was another catch. The U. S. Wildlife Service has never looked with favor on small marshes. Fortunately that service was holding a regional meeting in Portland, May 22nd. Dr. Cottum, vice president, was interviewed and the reasons for the marshes on the coast advanced. He agreed that if the State Game Commission would pass these marshes that the Wildlife Service would pass them for Pittman Robinson funds.

At the June meeting of the Game Commission the machinery was set in motion to make the investigation. Mr. Myers, Wesley Batterson and Leslie Zumwalt have made water and soil inspections and the next step seems to be the engineers' report on costs. At the October meeting we were accompanied by Verne Tinnerst who will be the new manager of the Oregon Coast Highway association, and John Epping, president of the Coast Sports Council, and the matter of these feeding marshes was recalled to the notice of the commission. Attention is now focused on four possible marshes—Netarts Bay, Sand Lake, Henderson and New Lake and a number of smaller lakes and marshes where duck food may be introduced. It's a long road but in the end we hope that we will again have a flight of ducks using the coast as a flyway.

HATCHERIES AND TROUT PLANTINGS

At the March meeting of the Commission, attended by all of the directors, we asked that the hatchery at Illahe on Foster creek be reopened to assist the short run fish in the Rogue. E. E. Wilson, chairman of the commission, and Charles Lockwood, supervisor, visited this site and it was decided to reopen the hatchery. I am sorry to report that the opening is held up by price negotiations with the owner.

The January bulletin of the Game Commission shows that the total liberation of cutthroat trout in the state for 1946 was 468,714. We do not have the list of liberations in the state for 1947 but in our area, they have liberated about 90,000 legal size trout, 400,000 four-inch trout and are raising another 200,000 trout to legal length for spring release. The low planting for 1946 was the result of the war and the lack of hatcheries. Only three were in partial operation, Cedar creek, Alsea and Bandon. This spring they opened the Brush creek hatchery and now have two new hatchery sites in view. One on Miami creek and the other on the Siuslaw river near Mapleton. This addition will give them six hatcheries on the coast which should improve materially, the trout plantings of cutthroat. Your association, knowing that they were looking for hatchery sites have offered several, and though they now have five sites, we think that if another really good site could be found somewhere in the immediate vicinity of Coos Bay, the department would be delighted.

The Bandon hatchery is too small, we understand, and those of you have visited the hatchery will remember that the rearing ponds are built in a line and that the water runs through one and into the next pond. Disease in one of the upper ponds would contaminate the lower ponds and there is not room enough nor water enough to correct the evil. In considering a hatchery site, the water flow, at low water, should be at least ten foot seconds and there must be flat land enough to construct rearing ponds and brood stock holding ponds side by side and not to use the water flow for any two ponds. One of the immediate and pressing needs of the department at present is holding ponds for brood stock. We are inclined to believe that this lack of holding ponds influenced the department in not taking a brood stock of Harvest trout from the Umpqua. If anyone has a site in mind we are very sure that the Game Department will welcome the suggestion. Daphne Grove, above Powers has the water and the land. The necessary dam would be rather expensive but the drawback was the location which makes for a long haul to plant the various coastal streams would all must be serviced from the various hatcheries.

The Game department expects to hatch, from the present brood stocks, 3,000,000 cutthroat next spring and when the six proposed hatcheries are all in operation and each has its

brood stock, this figure should be more than trebled.

Conservation

Your state game laws and legal limits are made in order that more people will be able to catch a few fish or shoot some game over a longer period of time. The limits are imposed to discourage the game hog. The season is closed, and hunting and fishing is prohibited in certain areas to give the fish and game a chance to reproduce for if they do not reproduce there will be no fishing nor hunting.

All of the directors have been told of numerous fish and game violations. These reports are made daily and in almost every instance the complainant did not report it to the State Police for fear of becoming unpopular with the lawless element who have no regard for the necessary reproduction. If your directors felt that these people, who will not consider your rights were more than a small minority, we would not try to continue this work, for it would be useless. Let us not, by our inaction make this element popular—rather let us make it very unpopular.

The State Game Commission

We surmise that when sportsmen gather to discuss their fish and game problems, they are prone to blame this commission and in this particular, we, in January, did not fail to run true to form.

After we have had time to check into the matter, however, we are forced into the conclusion that our troubles stem, largely, from our own past lack of interest. We have found the Game Commission to be very anxious to assist with our problems and entirely co-operative with every phase of our effort and we are convinced that every member of the commission is trying to do a good job in a darn hard job.

The Workings Of Your Association

This association has been in operation almost a year and it is right for us to review to see if the association is functioning as it was planned. Let us for a moment review the plans. We are banded together under one association, nine cities and towns. Each town has a director in the association. Also each town has a steering committee whose duties are to call the local meetings and from the conversations at these local meetings the director of the town gathers together the wishes and wishes of the local sportsmen, their wishes on any matter are brought to the attention of the board of directors of the association and from there to the commission charged with those particular duties. To build back this area, larger than a number of eastern states, will take the combined thinking of all of us. Each particular area has its problem and the resident sportsmen know better what should be done for improvement, and without the interest of the local sportsmen it would be impossible to draw up any sort of a plan that would benefit each district.

It is immediately obvious that we are set up as a work organization to get the problems of the whole area before the correct department. Largely we are running as planned. There are, however, some areas where there have not been enough local meetings and in those areas the wishes of the local sportsmen have not been brought to the attention of the board. This is bound to be the case when any step in any plan is slighted; the lack of local meetings is the only weakness in our plan that has developed, thus far.

Your directors have attended the directors' meetings regularly and without exception shown a lively interest in the problems of their areas as far as they have known them. So far as we know, no request of any sportsman has been overlooked, nor will there be, for these ideas make up the combined thinking of all of us so necessary for the success of the rebuilding.

Our Place In The State Picture

Thus far your association has made no alliances with other sportsmen's clubs or councils in the state. This does not come from any desire on our part to shirk our duties, rather it is our desire that we be sure that an alliance will be of benefit. In reading this report you will note several problems which extend outside the area of our association, others which may have to be taken to the legislature and will take the combined efforts of a large number of sportsmen if they are to be corrected. The state picture is very confused. There are three large councils, the Tri-county, the Deschutes and the Columbia River councils and a small council, the Coast Sports Council. There are also quite a number of independent clubs. Some of them are banded together in the Oregon Wildlife Federation. There is the Isaac Walton league with its state association which includes its various clubs. Almost without exception the independent clubs are too small to have enough funds to properly survey their area for its needs. This coupled with the fact that each member is busy with his business, leaving no time for club work, leaves this so important step undone. Some of these clubs evidently attempting to overcome this lack have banded together into councils. Under this plan a club pays a small yearly fee into the council and as far as we can judge, the council does not have sufficient funds either.

Wes Seaman, and the writer, attended a meeting of the Oregon Wildlife Federation at Taft and at their request we outlined our method of operation and made the suggestion that if the state was divided into 10 or 12 districts and each district formed an association with funds enough collected in one place, then each district could make an accurate survey of the needs and from the combined needs of all the areas a state plan for fish and game management could be

made. The Federation went on record as being entirely in favor of our plan. On August 21st the writer spoke to the directors of the Columbia River Council and on September 21st they reorganized on our plan. The first step and if the reorganization can be completed we are certain that the Oregon Sportsmen organization will be outstanding.

In Conclusion

We have listened with attention to the discussions of Wisconsin's report on hatcheries versus natural spawning and while we are convinced that hatcheries are a necessary aid to rebuilding and assisting streams to support the heavy fishing pressure, we are also convinced that improvement in habitat will go a long way in the rebuilding of both fish and game resources.

Some improvement has been accomplished and there is a lot to do yet, each winter's rains will make conditions that need attention, this we must all recognize and be on the watch for chances to help improve the condition of our streams.

It has been said that "the who does nothing commits no errors." We sincerely hope that in our attempts to do, we have committed the fewest possible errors. Necessarily a number of the plans and projects mentioned in this report are not completed but they are on the way and we hope that in due time their completion will bring some of the desired results.

See "Spike" Lense for insurance of all kinds, phone 5 or 95-L. 2ft

NOTICE OF FINAL ACCOUNT No. 4686

In The County Court Of The State Of Oregon For The County Of Coos In The Matter of the Estate of Alois Joseph Peter, also known as Joseph L. Peter and Joseph Louis Peter, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned, as Executor of the estate of Alois Joseph Peter, also known as Joseph L. Peter and Joseph Louis Peter, Deceased, has filed his final account in the County Court of the State of Oregon for Coos County, and that Tuesday, the 6th day of January, 1948, at the hour of 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of said day and the court room of said court has been appointed by said court as the time and place for the hearing of objections thereto and the settlement thereof.

Dated and first published December 4, 1947.

Dated of last publication January 1, 1947.

J. A. Robbins, Executor. 4715*

John F. Conway, Attorney.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR COOS COUNTY C. W. MARTIN, also known as CLIFFORD MARTIN, and MABEL M. MARTIN, also known as MABEL MARTIN, husband and wife, PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

The unknown heirs of MARTHA J. NOSLER, deceased; QUINCY NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs, if they are deceased; IRVIN URQUHART and JANE DOE URQUHART, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; ABE NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; JULIA HASSEN MILLER and JOHN DOE MILLER, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; FLORENCE URQUHART and JOHN DOE URQUHART, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; ADA B. ROBERTS and JOHN DOE ROBERTS, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; CHAS. NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; FRANK NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; GRANT McCORMACK, also known as GRANT McCORMICK, also known as JANE DOE McCORMICK, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; SUSIE A. McCORMACK, also known as SUSIE A. McCORMICK and JOE DOE McCORMACK, also known as JOHN DOE McCORMACK, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; also all other persons or parties unknown claiming any right, title, estate, lien or interest in the real estate described in the complaint herein.

DEFENDANTS.

Summons

TO: The unknown heirs of MARTHA J. NOSLER, deceased; QUINCY NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs, if they are deceased; IRVIN URQUHART and JANE DOE URQUHART, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; ABE NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; JULIA HASSEN MILLER and JOHN DOE MILLER, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; FLORENCE URQUHART and JOHN DOE URQUHART, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; ADA B. ROBERTS and JOHN DOE ROBERTS, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; CHAS. NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; FRANK NOSLER and JANE DOE NOSLER, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; GRANT McCORMACK, also known as GRANT McCORMICK, also known as JANE DOE McCORMICK, his wife if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; SUSIE A. McCORMACK, also known as SUSIE A. McCORMICK and JOHN DOE McCORMACK, also known as JOHN DOE McCORMICK, her husband if married and living, and their unknown heirs if they are deceased; also all other persons or parties unknown claim-

ing any right, title, estate, lien or interest in the real estate described in this complaint herein.

DEFENDANTS.

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON you are hereby notified that you are required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above-entitled court and cause on or before the 15th day of January, 1948, the same being the last date for answering or appearing prescribed in the order of publication, and if you fail so to appear or answer on or before said date the plaintiffs will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in their complaint herein, a succinct statement of which is as follows: That said defendants may be required to set forth the nature of their several claims and that all adverse claims of the said defendants, or either of them may be determined by a decree of this court; and that by said decree it be declared and adjudged that said plaintiffs are the owners of the following described premises, to-wit:

In Township 28 South of Range 13, West of the Willamette Meridian;

Section 8: Beginning at a point on the East line of Coast Highway No. 101, where the said East line is intersected by the South line of Lot 3, Section 8, Township 28 South, of Range 13 West of the Willamette Meridian, in the Town of Riverton, Coos County, Oregon, and running thence in a Northeasterly direction along the said East line of said Highway to the South line of Main Street in said Town of Riverton; thence Southeasterly 165 feet, more or less, along the South line of Main Street to a point where the East line of Block 2 in said Town of Riverton extended in a direct line Southeasterly intersects said South line of Main Street; thence South 20° West 190 feet, more or less to the South line of said Lot 3 in Section 8; thence West along said South line of Lot 3 to the place of beginning and the East line of said Coast Highway;

Saving and excepting therefrom parcel conveyed to Charles

McCormack by deed dated October 31, 1902, in Book 36, page 507, Deed Records of Coos County, Oregon.

Saving and excepting that parcel described in deed from Wesley A. Bean and Mabel Bean, his wife, and Clifford W. Martin and Mabel Martin, his wife to Union High School District No. 3 and School District No. 4, by deed recorded October 20, 1938, in Book 132, page 449, Deed Records of Coos County, Oregon;

and that the defendants, or either of them, has not estate or interest in or to said land and premises; and also that the said defendants, and each and every one of them, be forever debarred from asserting any

claim whatever in or to said land and premises adverse to the plaintiffs, and for such other and further relief as to equity shall seem meet.

Service of this summons is made by publication pursuant to an order of the Honorable Dal M. King, Judge of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Coos County and which order was signed on December 16th, 1947, directing publication thereof to be made in the Coquille Valley Sentinel, once a week for four (4) consecutive weeks.

Dated, and first published December 18th, 1947.

HARRY A. SLACK
Attorney for Plaintiffs
First Nat'l Bank Bldg.
Coquille, Oregon

49t5c

READY-MIX CEMENT Delivered On The Job

SCREENED AND WASHED SAND AND GRAVEL

ELMER BENHAM

Phone 5 — Coquille, Oregon

COAL

ORDER NOW!
RAIN OR SHINE

GIBBS COAL CO.

P. O. Box 463, Coquille, Oregon

Or Call V. Verner Johnson, Phone 604L, Coquille

Farmers — Dairymen: Did You Know—

THE OREGON MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY WRITES MORE FIRE INSURANCE ON FARM PROPERTY IN OREGON THAN SEVERAL OF ITS NEAREST COMPETITORS COMBINED? NON-ASSESSABLE POLICIES WITH A 20 PER CENT SAVING.

Billingsley - Huggins Insurance

Myrtle Point

Write or Phone
Phone 100

Oregon

We Are Happy To

Announce

The Delivery of

A New Sanitary Disposal Truck

THE GAR-WOOD LOAD PACKER

• MODERN

• CLEAN

• CONVENIENT

ONE PICKUP
PER WEEK
For Each Residence
(one can)

ONLY \$1.25 Per Month

PHONE 5

TODAY, AND HAVE US START
NEXT WEEK GIVING YOU
THIS LOW-COST, EFFICIENT
SERVICE

ELMER BENHAM

COQUILLE, OREGON

PHONE 5