

HILLSBORO INDEPENDENT

Entered in the postoffice at Hillsboro, Ore., as second-class mail matter.

Subscription, in advance, per year, \$1.00

HILLSBORO PUBLISHING CO., Props.

D. M. C. GAULT, Editor.

FRIDAY, MAY 23, 1902.



REPUBLICAN TICKET.

STATE.

For Governor, W. J. FURNISH, of Umatilla County.

For Supreme Judge, R. S. BEAM, of Lane County.

For Secretary of State, F. I. DUNBAR, of Clatsop County.

For State Treasurer, C. S. MOORE, of Klamath County.

For Attorney General, A. M. CRAWFORD, of Douglas.

For State Printer, J. R. WHITNEY, of Linn County.

For Sup't Public Instruction, J. H. ACKERMAN, of Multnomah County.

DISTRICT.

For Congress, 1st District, THOS. H. TONGUE, of Washington County.

COUNTY.

For Representatives, D. M. C. GAULT, of South Hillsboro.

B. F. PURDY, of Gaston.

DR. CHARLES HINES, of Forest Grove.

For County Judge, THURSTON BUNTON, of Buxton.

For County Clerk, E. J. GODMAN, of East Butte.

For Sheriff, SAMUEL GALBREATH, of West Cedar Creek.

For Recorder, E. L. KUBATLI, of Washington.

For Commissioner, W. J. BUTNER, of Beaverton.

For Treasurer, W. M. JACKSON, of Dilley.

For Assessor, G. H. WILCOX, of South Hillsboro.

For Surveyor, A. A. MORRILL, of North Hillsboro.

For Coroner, DR. C. L. LARGE, of Forest Grove.

Congressman Tongue has secured a favorable report by the House Committee on the senate Indian war veteran's pension bill. The democrats objected to his making a speech in favor of the bill, but he has accomplished his purpose notwithstanding.

The dream of the Cuban patriot was realized last Tuesday, May 20, when the United States withdrew its army from the island and lowered the Stars and Stripes to be replaced with the Cuban Star. All semblance of United States sovereignty is removed and the independence of the new nation is recognized.

Representative Tongue's address recently delivered at Charleston, S. C., on Oregon Day, was an admirable achievement, sound, statesmanlike, of excellent literary quality and of inestimable value to the state he so ably serves in Congress. There are few men in either house of Congress who could have prepared and delivered a speech showing such acquaintance with history, grasp of political and social questions, and a catholicity of spirit without which these interchanges of ideas between sections are vain. It seems to the Oregonian that the very least Mr. Tongue's district can do in the way of appreciation of his creditable representation of them and his statesmanlike treatment of Oregon and the Oregon country is to give him a majority at the June election which will in some degree recognize his long and faithful labors on behalf of his constituents.—Oregonian.

A BIT OF RECORD.

The Portland Evening Journal calls upon republicans to cite something from Mr. Furnish's official record that recommends him as a desirable man to be chosen governor. That paper continues:

"Certainly, if Mr. Furnish will be an efficient governor in the future, he must have done something during his official life that warrants praise, and yet in all the voluminous discussions of the campaign the Journal has not detected one word of commendation for Mr. Furnish that is based upon a citation from his official record."

Since the invitation is given the Independent will accept, though the most of Mr. Furnish's official life has been passed as a peace officer. No one ever charged him with neglecting any duty. He never let a prisoner get away. All that he has done is to take pay for his work. But since what date has it been illegal for a man to receive, take and keep his salary?

But as executive officer, Mr. Furnish served one term as mayor of Pendleton. During that term the expenses of the city government were less than the income. That had not always been. Mr. Furnish is a tax payer, not a tax eater. During this term it came to the knowledge of the mayor that one of the financial officers of the city was a defaulter. Night as it was he hunted up the delinquent and took him home where he pled him with questions till he got the whole story. In the examination it developed that he had \$800 concealed. This sum Furnish got. He suspended the defaulter and placed another man on duty, night as it was. Next day the council was called together and the matter laid before it. Since the defalcation had been made up, several of the city councilmen favored dismissing the case especially as the official was a good fellow. Furnish refused to do this but prosecuted the case and landed the delinquent in the penitentiary. The people of Oregon will applaud such an official.

A POPULIST SUPPORTS MR. EDSON.

Columbia precinct, May 17, 1902.

To the Independent:— Since some "Straight Republicans" have written to the Argus in which communications they have attempted to exploit their disgruntled feelings and parade their indignation over the alleged condition of the Republican party in this county and it thus seeming to me that "disgruntled party testimonials" are the order of the day, I therefore beg space in your valuable paper in which to register and record the kick and air the grievances of a "Unionist" over the way in which our party was controlled in the last convention. Whatever be the faults of the republican party, no matter how much we of our political faith might differ from them on the political questions of the day, there is one thing that goes without saying and which cannot be successfully contradicted and that is that that party generally live up to their pledges and campaign promises. Two years ago we made a very strenuous campaign with reform as our battle cry and retrenchment as our watchword.

Among the many things we promised of our candidates was the promise (written by some of our candidates for the legislature, by others only oral) that if elected they would support John H. Mitchell for the U. S. senate. We solicited votes from the republicans on that promise and pretense. We thereby succeeded in having them elected. Having been elected what did they do? Carry out their promise to elect Mitchell, to vote and work for the reduction of public expenditures, for the restriction of appropriations? Oh no! Did any one ever hear of them voting against any appropriation bills? Have they ever attempted to explain their position on that scab bounty law which has cost the farmers of this county this year about \$3300, for the three or four coyotes that have been killed within its borders? Have they ever attempted to explain why they voted for the bills which appropriated \$47,500 per annum to the college at Eugene, \$33,000 for the college at Corvallis, \$50,000 and \$25,000 per annum for the school at Monmouth and other large sums for "immediate improvements" at some of these places, and other large sums for the various other schools in this state? In short, is there a single appropriation bill on the statute books passed by the last legislature that these same gentlemen did not either vote for, or not having the courage to vote against, make themselves conspicuous by their absence from roll call, and thus indirectly supported the measures? In short, that is the retrenchment they pledged themselves to give us. Now how about the "reform"? What has been accomplished in that direction and how about some of these promises? How were the pledges of the legisla-

tive candidates to support Mitchell and to oppose Corbett for the U. S. senate carried out? Was not Mitchell elected on the last ballot of the session and was not the counting of that ballot finished after the legal time for adjournment? And did Bernard, Wehrung, Sweek or Vinson vote for Mitchell? I guess not. And their action showed that they were in favor of having no election, which would incur the calling of an extra session of the legislature at great expense (and this in the name of retrenchment) to try and elect a senator. Did the gentlemen fulfill their promises and pledges in regard to this senatorial question by voting for Mitchell and fighting Corbett? I guess not. They not only refused to vote as promised for Mitchell but by their course supported Corbett and Simon whom we as a party so bitterly denounced during the last campaign. And now behold these great and august gentlemen who broke down their's and their party's promises, in control of our last convention and renominating Mr. Bernard who did nothing he promised to do and about everything he did not promise to do. And what did they do to poor Edson, who dared to do right, who had the courage to fulfill his and his party's promises? These same men "didn't do a thing to him." Why did they do this? Did they want to defeat the man because he did what he promised to do? Did the party throw him down and out because he acted honestly and sincerely? Has our party reached that stage where it is no longer an abiding place for honest men? Have we reached that position where we have got to proclaim to the world that we will not support a man for reelection who does what he is elected to do? I say here, that when our convention turned down Edson it turned down the only man in the delegation who did as he agreed to do on the senatorial question. This looks to me as if there was something rotten in our own camp. It looks as if our party machinery needs a little fixing.

What caused these men to support Corbett by their staying in the middle of the road? They themselves said during the campaign that he was trying to buy his way into office. What caused this broken faith, what caused these people to support the very people they were elected not to support? What, Oh what caused this change of front? Some years ago we were called repudiators and now have we not most beautifully justified the appellation? If you ask how, I answer we shamefully refused to endorse and disapproved turned down with a measly seven votes the only man elected on our legislative ticket who seemed to have some regard for his anti-election promises; one Edson by name, who carried out the pledges of those whose votes elected him and when he came up for renomination which should have been his endorsement for fulfilling his promises and which should have been given him to show that we appreciated his actions. If I am asked by whom he was repudiated I answer by the party through the very men who broke faith with the voters. In whose favor? In favor of those very same individuals. If you ask me why I must leave that for some one else to answer who is nearer the inside. I don't know. I can see no reason. He could not be controlled. Was that the reason? He refused to be dished up in a job lot of six other nobels to Corbett for the sum of \$2500 per head. He carried out his promise to support Mitchell. He has not been accused of any dishonesty. No dirty work has been laid at his door. There has been no accusation of his having been bought like a sheep or a hog, so I say I do not know why he was so disgracefully turned down, unless it be for the reason that the people in control of our party did not want men of such unimpeachable character to represent it.

When Edson was called to account for his action in our last convention and when he arose to do as he was requested to do, what courtesy was shown him? Not even that of common decency to listen to him. The constitutional right of free speech was denied him and he was shamefully yelled down, by gentlemen? the convention. When he offered to account for his actions in supporting Mitchell, they refused to allow him to account according to our demand, they refused to permit him to publicly tell what he and the rest of them had promised to do because they were fearful lest some of the old stalwarts would not stand for it. And yet in the face of things like these some of our party has the temerity to comment upon what they term the rottenness of the republican bosses. Will the voters of this county have any further faith in our representation when we say we will put up good men, after we have so beastly turned down one who made a good faithful representative? And this too presumably because he obeyed the wishes of his constituency? Has it come to that pass where we must put up and support people who do not live up to their pledges?

Can we afford to support political freebooters? If we do, does it not stamp us as a party of political freebooters? And if such a condition of affairs has been reached, has not the organization called the union party outlived its usefulness; and if it has, is it not about time for good, decent and respectable people to get out of it?

Edson's reward should have been renomination, not shameful defeat. Bernard's reward should have been shameful defeat, not renomination. As for me, if our party has reached this stage of manipulation of politics, I for one shall no longer follow in the wake of the democratic jackass. Then we made quite an active campaign against trusts, monopolies, corporations and railroads, and it just calls to mind that Bernard has not as yet rose to explain his position on the 3-cent railroad fare bill and why he permits his own constituents to pay a railroad fare of 4 cents a mile when he had a chance to vote to let us ride for 3 cents per mile.

I have heard our party leaders say that railroads buy up and control legislation and surely our leaders understand by this time that such assertions are base and false.

Then too, there is the poor old Argus who tried to get Bernard and the rest of that clique to redeem their promises and support Mitchell but he could not cut the ice. Edson did what the Argus wanted him to do and the others told the Argus man to go away back and sit down, and now the Argus has turned against its friend and is supporting men that talked so frankly to him. Oh no, the Argus would not eat crow!

A Unionist.

Same of the opposition say that Mr. Furnish left the democratic party in 1896 to get office. Hardly. Note the conditions then apparently dominant. There was a large active populist party and the declarations and demands of the smaller democratic party made it manifest that the two parties would combine in support of one candidate. Together they were more numerous than the republican party. In the 1894 election the vote for Supreme Judge stood Boise, peoples, 23,492; Bennett, democratic, 20,847; combined, 44,339; Wolvorton, republican, 39,875. At the June election 1896 the vote stood Gaston, peoples, 26,131; Burnett, democratic, 17,948; together, 44,079; Bean, republican, 40,972. The politicians judged that if fusion could be effected that the Bryan people would dominate. That was done and it accomplished in Washington, Idaho, Nevada and a part of California just what was expected. Republicanism in Oregon feared our state would be carried in that column too. The Bryan democracy knew it would. Notwithstanding this, Furnish, in a known democratic county and in a very probable democratic state stood for his convictions and went to what promised to be the minority party. There were a lot more men of the same mind acted likewise so that a majority was obtained where a minority seemed probable. At that time he could not reasonably have expected to get an office, and it is assumed that he did not ally himself with the republican party for such purpose.

It has been pointed out both in the press and on the stump how prosperous is the country and with how much honor public affairs have been conducted during the administration of Pres. McKinley and thus far in the term of Pres. Roosevelt. This condition of things is very satisfactory and no one wants to change the current of prosperity. The voters have an opportunity to directly vote upon the question of a change. Let them in the first district vote for Congressman Tongue, who if elected, will by voice and vote aid to maintain present conditions. There is no fear of his defeat, but it is very desirable that his majority be a big one. A majority of 10,000 in this thinly populated district would wonderfully increase his influence.

The Independent has proof which can be produced if a denial is made that electors were approached two years ago with promises of being drawn on the jury list in consideration of their suffrage for clerk of the court. It is believed that such debauchery is impossible and it is hoped that no one this year will be deluded. The purity of our judicial system stands upon the regular methodical and untampered selection of juries.

All of Chamberlain's supporters that are quoted by democratic organs are claimed to be republicans. Now a case in point is the Salem Journal. Its utterances are always reproduced as those of a republican. The fact is the Journal has not been a republican paper for more than six years. It supported Bryan in 1896 and again in 1900. It is just so with the rest of the "Chamberlain Republican."

George Chamberlain can travel and campaign without a chaparrone. No strong arm has to be held under him to brace him up.—Corvallis Times. Good for George! Our temperance friends will be glad to learn that he has braced up. It is not so very long ago that it took several strong arms to brace him up, and on occasion a litter has been found quite a convenience.—Corvallis Gazette.

Rambler and Ideal Bicycles. \$25, \$30, \$35, \$40 and \$50. F. R. DAILEY. Next to Wehrung's on Main St.

Guardian Sale of Real Property.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the undersigned, guardian of the estate of Flora Wakeman, Elmer Wakeman and Mabel Wakeman, minors, by virtue of an order made and entered on the 24th day of April, 1902, in the County Court of the State of Oregon, for Washington County, in the matter of the guardianship of the estate of the said Mabel Wakeman, Elmer Wakeman and Flora Wakeman, minors, authorizing, directing and licensing the undersigned to sell at public auction for cash in hand all the right, title and interest of the aforesaid minors, consisting of an undivided one sixth interest in and to the following described real property, to-wit: The southeast one quarter of section 20, T. 5 N. R. 5 W. W. 1 mer, in Washington County, Oregon. Now, therefore, pursuant to said order I will on Saturday the 31st day of May, 1902, at the South door of the Court House in Hillsboro, Oregon, at the hour of 10 o'clock in the forenoon of said day, sell for cash in hand, to the highest bidder, an undivided one sixth interest in and to the above described real property. Said sale will be made as if said property be sold subject to confirmation by the said County Court of the State of Oregon for Washington County. Whence my hand and seal this 24th day of April, 1902.

Wm. M. WATROUS, Guardian of the estate of Mabel Wakeman, Elmer Wakeman and Flora Wakeman, Minors. E. E. TONGUE, Attorney for Guardian.

Notice of Intention to Withdraw Insurance by the United States Fire Insurance Company.

To whom it may concern: In accordance with the requirements of the laws of the State of Oregon, relative to insurance companies, notice is hereby given that the United States Fire Insurance Company, of New York, New York, desiring to cease doing business within the State of Oregon, intends to withdraw its deposit with the Treasurer of said State and will, if no claim against said Company shall be filed with the Insurance Commissioner within six months from the 21st day of February, 1902, the same being the date of the first publication of this notice, withdraw its deposit from the State Treasurer.

Kodol Dyspepsia Cure. Digests what you eat. This preparation contains all of the digestants and digests all kinds of food. It gives instant relief and never fails to cure. It allows you to eat all the food you want. The most sensitive stomachs can take it. By its use many thousands of dyspepsias have been cured after everything else failed. It prevents formation of gas on the stomach, relieving all distress after eating. Dieting unnecessary. Pleasant to take. It can't help but do you good.

Prepared only by E. C. DeWitt & Co., Chemists, 50 Cent. Bottle contains the biggest value.

Notice of Final Settlement.

The undersigned administrators of the estate of Peter Ryser, deceased, has filed her final account as such administrator in the County Court of Washington County, Oregon, and said Court has appointed Monday the 26th day of May, 1902, at the hour of ten o'clock of said day for hearing of said account.

PIMPLES. My wife had pimples on her face, but she has been taking CASCARETS and they have all disappeared. I had been troubled with constipation for some time, but after taking the first CASCARETS I have had no trouble since that time. We cannot speak too highly of CASCARETS. FRED W. WELLS, 516 Germania Ave., Philadelphia, Pa.

CANDY CATHARTIC Cascarets. REGULATE THE LIVER. Pleasant, Palatable, Potent, Taste Good, No Gripe, No Laxative. Sold in 10c and 25c Packages. GURE CONSTIPATION. NO-TO-BAC.

Holds up a Congressman. "At the end of the campaign" writes Champ Clark, Missouri's brilliant congressman, "from overwork, nervous tension, loss of sleep and constant speaking, I had about utterly collapsed. It seemed that all the organs in my body were out of order, but three bottles of Electric Bitters made me all right. It's the best all-around medicine ever sold over a druggist's counter." Overworked men gain splendid health and vitality from Electric Bitters. Try them. Only 50c. Guaranteed by Bailey's Pharmacy.

Just a Little Cough. But if you were to probe the common cause of most lung troubles, including consumption, you'd find that they originated in "Just a Little Cough." Do you know it's just as easy to get rid of that little cough, or a bigger one, as to keep on coughing? Our "Cough Syrup" does it every day in the week. Which would you rather keep, your cough or the 50 cents that our Cough Syrup costs? The Hillsboro Pharmacy.

DEADLY NARCOTICS. Any honest, intelligent druggist or physician will tell you that narcotic poisons such as opium, henbane, deadly nightshade or cocaine and morony are and have been since the Dark Ages used in all the Medicines, and that such medication really perpetuates Piles, Venereal cure is not a relic of the Dark Ages, hence contains no narcotics or mercury. \$500 Reward if a trace of any narcotic or mercury can be found in Verne's. All others contain narcotics or mercury. Beware if you dare misslacks. \$50 Reward if Verne fails to cure any case of Piles. Worst cases cured with one box of Verne's. Over 10,000 permanent cures in five years. Sold in Hillsboro by the Twentieth Century Store.

The Hillsboro Pharmacy. LOVELACE 32741 PRINCE. 2-year-old record 2:29. 3-year-old record 2:20. 3-year-old trial, 2:12 1/2.

Will make the season of 1902 at the Fairgrounds, Hillsboro, Washington county Oregon, upon the following terms:

Season, with privilege of return \$25.00. Insurance..... \$35.00.

Lovelace is a dark bay, with one white hind foot, over 16 hands high, weighs 1300 pounds.

Sire—Egogist, 2:22 1/2 and sire of Egogzen 2:06 1/4, the Conqueror, 2:12 1/2 and 35 others in the 2:30. Full brother to Siphinx, 2:20 1/2, sire of S.S. in 2:30 and Electric 2:28 1/2, sire of 48 in 2:30.

First dam—Crepent, dam of Lovelace 2:20, Dorsey L., 2:20 1/2; Betsey Britton 2:20 1/2; Goldac 2:18 1/2; Duchess, 2:27 1/2; Brillantine 2:29 1/2; Chantilly trial, 2:29 1/2; Klondike, trial 2:18; Grand dam of Chanty 2:13 1/2 and Cascade 2:14 1/2 by Princes.

Second dam—Crape Lisse, dam of Bruid 2:10 1/2, King Rene Jr., 2:17 and Balzac 2:17 by Geo Wilkes.

Third dam—The Lass of Tarlton, 2:31, trial 2:21.

Lovelace, as a 2-year-old trotted in two races, obtaining a 2-year-old record of 2:59 1/2. As a three year old he was campaigned in several states, trotted in 23 races, obtained 1st, 2nd or 3d money in 17 races, took a record of 2:20 in a third heat in December; worked publicly a full mile at Cleveland in 2:12 1/2.

His breeding is unsurpassed. He combines the blood of Electioneer and Geo. Wilkes the two greatest speed producing strains in the world. His dam, his grand dam, the dam and grand dam of his sire, and the dam of his grand sire, are all in the great brood mare list. Sprite, the dam of his sire, had five colts by Electioneer, and all are in the list. Three of them having at different periods headed the list of winning sires at their age. Waterwitch, grand dam of his sire, has six in the 2:30 list has over 500 descendants in the 2:30 list.

Mares from a distance will receive good care and pasturage at \$1.50 per month.

For further particulars see or address, E. B. TONGUE, Hillsboro, Oregon.

BRIGHT'S DISEASE. The largest sum ever paid for a prescription, changed hands in San Francisco, Aug. 30, 1901. The transfer involved in coin and stock \$11,500.00 and was paid by a party of business men for a specific for Bright's Disease an Diabetes, hitherto incurable diseases.

They commenced the serious investigation of the specific Nov. 15, 1900. They interviewed scores of the cured and tried it out on their cases by putting over three dozen cases on the treatment and watching them. They also got physicians to name chronic, incurable cases, and administered it with the physicians for judges. Up to Aug. 5, 1901, seven per cent of the test cases were either well or progressing favorably.

There being but thirteen per cent of failures, the parties were satisfied and closed the transaction. The proceedings of the investigating committee and the clinical reports of the test cases were published and will be mailed free on application. Address JOHN J. FELLOWS COMPANY, 40 Montgomery St., San Francisco, Cal.

SHERIFF'S SALE. BY VIRTUE OF AN EXECUTION, of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Washington County, in favor of Ella Houston, and against H. B. Hollenbeck and Christian Nelson, for the sum of \$27.50 and the further sum of \$100 with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent per annum since August 25, 1898, and the sum of \$25.00 attorney's fees and of costs and disbursements of said sale and of said notice to the undersigned, I will on Tuesday the 24th day of June 1902, at the Court House in Hillsboro, Washington County, Oregon, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., of said day, sell at public auction to the highest bidder for cash, the following described real property, to-wit: The W. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 of section 22, T. 2 S., R. 4 W. of the W.M. Mer. all situate in Washington County, Oregon, to satisfy the above-named claim, and for the costs and disbursements of said sale.

Said property will be sold subject to redemption as per statute of Oregon. Whence my hand this 1st day of May, 1902.

J. W. SEWELL, Sheriff of Washington County, Oregon. S. E. HUSTON, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Independent and Oregonian, one year for only \$2. Tell your friends about it, have them send for a sample copy. Now is the time, One Year for \$1.