

Correspondence.

From Bro. T. F. Campbell.

LOUISVILLE, KY.,
Dec. 1, 1882.

I have fallen a little behind with my correspondence, because I had nothing particularly interesting to write.

I closed my meeting at Eminence Sunday evening. We had a pleasant meeting, resulting in one addition only; but the congregation needed and received much strengthening. They had become "carnal" striving about preachers,

a part wanting one, and a part, another. The party whose preacher was rejected claimed that unfair methods had been employed in the selection; while those who secured their man protested that the utmost fairness had been observed. It seems to me, in such cases, both preachers ought promptly to withdraw, and not suffer their names to become instruments in the hands

of Satan to divide the church and injure the cause of Christ. The man who, in such a case, shields himself by becoming a stickler for principle, is only using a flimsy excuse for a questionable position. The brethren, over whom this confusion arose, have both, with commendable prudence, withdrawn. The congregation desired me to tarry with them through the winter; but I thought it not well to do so. After the close of the meeting, I spent a few days with friends in the country, and on Wednesday came to the city. Bro. Yancey was to meet me at the depot, but missing the time of the train, he missed me. I went to the Galt House, where I remained until after dinner, when Bro. Broadhurst came for me, and I spent the night with him. I am now stopping with Bro. Yancey, at whose hospitable hearth I am entertained like a prince.

There are in Louisville seven Christian churches, presided over by the following preachers: A. I. Hobbs, W. A. Broadhurst, B. B. Tyler, G. W. Yancey, R. B. Neal and Lewis Hume. One without a preacher, besides two churches of colored people, presided over respectively by Robinson and Lipscomb—both colored. Cincinnati will have to look to her laurels or Louisville will become the banner city of the West in the number of her churches. Here, as at every point I have visited, there is a great strain for money. Each church is

emulating the others in missionary work at various points in the city. New church buildings are going up, for which aid is asked and expected from each congregation. The fund

for the poor must be replenished, and many demands besides are made for money which we in the country know nothing of. I am ashamed to talk to this people about money to aid us in our far-off enterprises, when I see what burdens they are bearing, and bearing cheerfully, at home. Christian love to all.

Yours very truly,

T. F. CAMPBELL.

Letter from Bro. Moss.

CENTERVILLE, OR.,

Dec. 11, 1882.

Bro. D. T. Stanley:

Four things in your article headed "Bro. Moss' Criticism," call for a reply.

1. The points of the criticism. I may not have made myself understood, though I can hardly see why or how I failed, but what I meant to criticise was, the continued appearance of that kind of teaching, and such personal attack. Had you locked the door before the goods were stolen, or made any effort to recover them, I should never have made complaint. I made repeated efforts, as you know, privately, to get an apology for the attack, and to stop the publication of any more of the teaching, all without success, and much against my desire. With deep regret I was compelled to write what I did in my last article. I concede the right of Mr. Adams to write and publish the series of articles on his own responsibility. Had they and the personal attack been placed by you at his door, I would not have complained. Had you seen, as you say you were bound to, that the discussion was conducted in a kind and courteous manner, I could never have written as I have been compelled to.

2. That I have felt much hurt by the course pursued by Mr. Adams is true, but that I have had "unpleasant feelings" in any other respect I deny, as also the implication that I have indulged in unkind words or hard personal references.

3. You do me a great injustice in what you say about excluding matter on both sides. I desire that you say to the readers of the HERALD how much of what I wrote you excluded, and the proportion it bears to what was excluded on the

other side. As it stands in your article, it leaves the impression that I have been equally at fault with Mr. Adams in this respect, and you know very well how great an injustice to me such an impression would be. I appeal to your sense of justice to correct this in the next issue of the HERALD.

4. I am very sorry that I seem to you to be ungrateful, for I assure you that it was because of my high respect and love for you, and for the cause of Christ, and an earnest desire for the success of the HERALD, that I wrote as I did. Neither have I an unkind feeling towards Mr. Adams, although compelled by proper self-respect, yet very regretfully to call him publicly Mr. instead of Bro. until he shall apologize. Had it been only a personal matter, the readers of the HERALD would never have heard of it, from me. If I have done any wrong in this matter I will humbly confess my faults, when convinced of them.

Fraternally,

R. H. MOSS.

Further Explanation.

The letter from Bro. Moss, found in this paper, demands a few more words of explanation, which I cheerfully give.

1. I regret the language employed here. There has been no theft committed by any one of the readers of the HERALD that I am aware of. True, some articles were sent in and published of which I did not know the import till afterwards; but so far as the false teaching is concerned, if any one has published false doctrine through the paper, anyone else has had an equal chance to correct the same, and there should be no complaint. Bro. Moss has had a full and free opportunity to reply to Bro. Adams, and if he is not satisfied with his replies, ought to find no fault with the discussion. Everything that Bro. Adams has published has been placed at his door because published over his signature (with one unimportant exception), and I presume Bro. Adams is not ashamed to have it placed where it belongs.

2. No explanation is necessary here.

3. I am only at liberty to answer this question in part. So far as Bro. Moss' articles are concerned, I will say, by his request, that I did not omit any article entire, but only struck out a portion of one that I thought too personal for publication. If Bro. Adams re-

quests me to say how much of his I declined, I can give it, but not otherwise.

4. To this I think it unnecessary to make any answer.

In closing this matter I continue to hope for only the fruits of Christian love between these brethren and myself. I feel sure that such will be the case. They are both brethren who are doing a good work in their respective fields and brethren who are striving after truth. Both are honest in their convictions, and with either I shall continue to most heartily coöperate in any work, for the good of the cause of Christ. I hope that both will give to Bro. Floyd that encouragement and support they have so generously accorded me. Let us all read the Eph. 4:31-32 and pray God to help us. In the one hope.

D. T. STANLEY.

Missionary Collections.

Where shall I send the money?" This question has already been asked by several who have collected missionary funds. I will say, again, that all collections are to be sent to I. G. Davidson, Treasurer, Portland, Oregon. All pledges and subscriptions made for the year will be sent to me at Corvallis. I trust that all to whom I have sent blank pledges will see to having them filed out before the first of January, and mail them to me by that time. If any cannot have an opportunity before that time, will they please be so kind as to notify me by card. We desire to know, as nearly as possible, the amount to depend upon for the year, and in our employment of evangelists be governed thereby. I may also state that so far the Board has not secured the services of a State Evangelist, but hope to do so before the first of January. Different preachers, however, will be sent into the field during the next three months, to labor where requests for meetings have been and may be made. In the meantime, let the churches be making arrangements for meetings in their own churches, or neighborhood, if they so desire, and name the most suitable time, that all may be accommodated.

BRUCE WOLVERTON,

Cor. Secretary.

Corvallis, Or., Dec. 18, 1882.

Missionary Board.

Church of Christ in Oregon.

W. H. Adams, Portland.....President.
Henry Shadle, Portland.....Vice President.
I. G. Davidson, Portland.....Treasurer.
S. M. Hubbard, Amity.....Rec. Secretary.
B. Wolverton, Corvallis.....Cor. Secretary.