

# Opinion

**The First Amendment**  
 Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

*"I never considered a difference of opinion in politics, in religion, in philosophy, as cause for withdrawing from a friend." — Thomas Jefferson (1800)*

## Presidential prerogatives

**(Editor's Note:** *Viewpoint submissions on this and other topics do not necessarily reflect editorial staff opinions, but are always welcome as part of our goal to encourage community discussion and exchange of perspectives.*)

Once upon a time, American leaders only went to war when, in accordance with the Constitution, Congress declared it. That practice has long since been abandoned.

In recent times Congress either votes to "authorize" a war already underway or is only casually consulted if at all.

Though the 1973 War Powers Resolution was meant to restrain an imperial president who had led the country to defeat in Vietnam, no president since has faithfully followed that law's requirements. Thus, whereas in authoritarian systems the great leader simply orders troops into action, in democracies like ours, going to war is sneakier, in two ways.

First, in justifications, as when the President dispatches troops on the basis of his role as commander-in-chief, invoking "national security," "national interest," "regional stability," "humanitarian intervention," "restoring order," and other wide-open categories that most Congress-members are loath to challenge.

Second, in methods, by using indirect warfare, such as drones, special forces, economic and cyber warfare, and sabotage; and unofficial (and deniable) assets such as the CIA, private company mercenaries, and third-country partners.

No one understands the game better than Joe Biden, who as a senator had a consistent record of opposing the use of force without Congressional approval.

He says he wants to end the "forever wars" in the Middle East. As Afghanistan shows, however, "ending" does not actually mean terminating. With the Taliban now intent on overthrowing the US-supported government, and many in Congress already critical of Biden's troop withdrawal, US policy will actually entail reducing US involvement, using a different mix and level of intervention—what he calls "over-the-horizon capabilities."

The "forever wars" will go on, justified by an understanding of presidential power that leaves enormous room for military action even when troops are withdrawn.

### Without Constraint

In the Middle East since the

George W. Bush administration, the legal basis for U.S. military involvement has been Congressional Authorizations for the Use of Military Force (AUMF).

### GUEST VIEWPOINT

**By Mel Gurtov**  
*Professor Emeritus of Political Science at Portland State University*

Authorizations amount to blank checks, and have been freely exploited by Democratic and Republican presidents alike to attack terrorists and unfriendly states, support allies, and sustain very large forces in the region.

The authorizations are so expansive that they could be used to go to war with Iran, attack the Syrian regime's chemical weapons depots, protect Israel, or reintroduce forces in and around Afghanistan.

Biden says he would like to revoke the 2002 AUMF, which was directed at Iraq, and he apparently has support in both houses of Congress to do that.

But that still leaves the much broader 2001 AUMF, which gives the president the power to "prevent any future acts of international terrorism against the United States." His capacity to deploy forces and use other assets in the Middle East and Africa will not be all that constrained.

Remember Ronald Reagan's war making in Central America, which culminated in the Iran-Contra affair? That secret operation, though eventually exposed, demonstrated how a president determined to defeat a particular enemy (Nicaragua) could go around Congress and, with the help of dogmatic advisers and secret channels, erect a sophisticated network of state and private entities to fund war fighting.

The Democratic opposition in Congress fought a rearguard action as it struggled for legislative language that would tie Reagan's hands. The lesson of Iran-Contra is that presidents have enormous resources at their disposal for conducting wars. A skeptical or hostile Congress is often playing catch-up.

Two scholars who have served in government have this to say about the current state of affairs with respect to restricting presidential authority to make war:

To understand the limited significance of [Biden's] approach [on AUMFs] to ending the forever wars, you need look no further than Mr. Biden's Feb. 25 airstrikes in eastern Syria against the Iran-backed militias responsible for assaults on U.S. and al-

lied personnel in Iraq.

The United States is not at war with Syria or Iran, and Congress had not authorized the strikes. The president ordered them nonetheless, based on his independent authority, under Article II of the Constitution, "to conduct United States foreign relations and as Commander in Chief and Chief Executive." Narrowing the 2001 and 2002 [AUMFs] would leave this presidential power untouched.

### The Need for Fundamental Change

But the problem goes much deeper than presidential hubris. Congress may often be behind the curve when it comes to national security, but it is still part of the problem rather than the solution. Bipartisanship has much to do with the expansion of presidential power in national security matters.

A majority almost always rejects efforts to limit the commander-in-chief's authority, as shown, for example, during the Bill Clinton intervention in the Balkan wars of the 1990s and the post-9/11 start of endless wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Republicans and Democrats then rejected efforts to restrain a president by recourse to the War Powers Bill.

In fact, if that bill were up for a vote today — and two senators plan on doing just that — it probably wouldn't pass, whereas if continued direct US involvement in Afghanistan — or sending troops to Haiti in order to "help restore order" — were put to a vote, those actions probably would pass.

The reality, of course, is that a president wouldn't put any of those decisions to a vote by Congress.

I conclude that no significant inroads in presidential power in foreign affairs are possible without redefining US national security. Foreign policy needs to be humanized and demilitarized; Congress must resist authorizing poorly defined military action in advance; the bloated military budget, three times the size of China's and 10 times Russia's, must be deeply cut; the use of force must be proportional and seldom used; and diplomacy must be reenergized, with an accent on engaging adversaries as well as friends.

Pres. Biden is correct to say that foreign policy begins at home. But he must avoid the trap presidents typically fall into — the temptation of undemocratic warmaking.

that day.

They flashed white supremacist hand signs and tore down and burned a Black Lives Matter sign that had been raised by the church. [from the wiki: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Proud\_Boys]

White supremacy and anti-liberalism may be *your* opinion but it is *not* an opinion that belongs on banners seen at the Bohemia Mining Days parade.

—Leo Rivers  
 Cottage Grove

## LETTERS

### AN OPINION ON BOHEMIA MINING DAYS

I was told that the Proud Boys want to be in the Bohemia Mining Days parade and then my friend added: "We want to say 'No,' but we are afraid they will say 'You are excluding us!'"

Finally, my friend asked me "Should we exclude them?"

My answer is a firm "Yes! You should exclude the Proud Boys from the Bohemia Mining Days Parade."

My thinking goes this way:

1. Cottage Grove is a conservative town with a high value on family values.
  2. The Bohemia Mining Days parade is a celebration bringing the folks of Cottage Grove together.
  3. That is a purpose with *inclusiveness* at its center.
  4. The Proud Boys is an organization with *exclusion* at its center.
- One example: On December 12, 2020, members of the Proud Boys targeted Asbury United Methodist Church, the oldest historically black church in Washington, D.C., after pro-Trump protests earlier

USPS#133880 Copyright 2021 © COTTAGE GROVE SENTINEL

### Letters to the Editor Policy

The *Sentinel* welcomes letters to the editor as part of a community discussion of issues on the local, state and national level.

Emailed letters are preferred. Handwritten or typed letters must be signed. All letters need to include full name, address and phone number; only name and city will be printed. Letters should be limited to about 300 words. Letters are subject to editing for length, grammar and clarity. Publication of any letter is not guaranteed and depends on space available and the volume of letters received.

Letters that are anonymous, libelous, argumentative, sarcastic or contain accusations that are unsourced or without documentation will not be published.

Letters containing poetry or from outside The *Sentinel* readership area will only be published at the discretion of the editor.

### Political/Election Letters:

Election-related letters must address pertinent or timely issues of interest to our readers at-large.

Letters must: 1) Not be a part of letter-writing campaigns on behalf of (or by) candidates; 2) Ensure any information about a candidate is accurate, fair and not from second-hand knowledge or hearsay; and 3) explain the reasons to support candidates based on personal experience and perspective rather than partisanship and campaign-style rhetoric.

Candidates themselves may not use the letters to the editor column to outline their views and platforms or to ask for votes; this constitutes paid political advertising.

As with all letters and advertising content, the newspaper, at the sole discretion of the publisher, general manager and editor, reserves the right to reject any letter that doesn't follow the above criteria.

### Send letters to:

[dsherwood@cgsentinel.com](mailto:dsherwood@cgsentinel.com)

## HOW TO CONTACT YOUR REPS

### Oregon state representatives

- **Sen. Floyd Prozanski**  
 District 4 State Senator  
 PO Box 11511  
 Eugene, Ore. 97440  
 Phone: 541-342-2447  
 Email: [sen.floydprozanski@state.or.us](mailto:sen.floydprozanski@state.or.us)
- **Rep. Cedric Hayden**  
 Republican District 7 State Representative  
 900 Court St. NE  
 Salem, Ore. 97301  
 Phone: 503-986-1407  
 Email: [rep.cedrichayden@state.or.us](mailto:rep.cedrichayden@state.or.us)
- **Rep. Peter DeFazio**  
 (House of Representatives)  
 405 East 8th Ave.  
 #2030  
 Eugene, Ore. 97401

### Oregon federal representatives

- Email: [defazio.house.gov/contact/email-peter](mailto:defazio.house.gov/contact/email-peter)  
 Phone: 541-465-6732
- **Sen. Ron Wyden**  
 405 East 8th Ave., Suite 2020  
 Eugene, Ore. 97401  
 Email: [wyden.senate.gov](mailto:wyden.senate.gov)  
 Phone: (541) 431-0229
- **Sen. Jeff Merkley**  
 Email: [merkley.senate.gov](mailto:merkley.senate.gov)  
 Phone: 541-465-6750
- **Heather Buch**  
 Lane County Commissioner - District 5  
 Email: [Heather.Buch@lane-countyorg.gov](mailto:Heather.Buch@lane-countyorg.gov)  
 125 E. Eighth Ave.  
 Eugene, OR 97401  
 Or call 541-682-4203

# Cottage Grove Sentinel

541-942-3325

### Administration

Jenna Bartlett, Group Publisher

Gary Manly, General Manager... Ext. 1207  
[gmanly@cgsentinel.com](mailto:gmanly@cgsentinel.com)

### Advertising

Gerald Santana, Multi-Media Sales Consultant... Ext. 1216  
[gsantana@cgsentinel.com](mailto:gsantana@cgsentinel.com)  
 Carla Skeel, Inside Multi-Media Sales Consultant... Ext. 1203  
[csummers@cgsentinel.com](mailto:csummers@cgsentinel.com)

### Editorial

Damien Sherwood, Editor... Ext. 1212  
[dsherwood@cgsentinel.com](mailto:dsherwood@cgsentinel.com)  
 Sophia Edelblute, Features Intern  
[sedelblute@cgsentinel.com](mailto:sedelblute@cgsentinel.com)  
 Daniel Friis, Sports Intern

### Customer Service

Office Manager, Legals, Classifieds... Ext. 1200  
[mfringer@cgsentinel.com](mailto:mfringer@cgsentinel.com)  
 Kurt Krueger, Circulation... Ext. 1213  
[kkruieger@cgsentinel.com](mailto:kkruieger@cgsentinel.com)

### Production

Ron Annis, Production Supervisor... Ext.1215  
[graphics@cgsentinel.com](mailto:graphics@cgsentinel.com)

**Subscription Mail Rates in Lane and Portions of Douglas Counties:**

10 Weeks .....	\$11.50
One year .....	\$43.50
Senior 62+ .....	\$33.00
e-Edition year .....	\$35.00

Rates in all other areas of United States: 10 weeks, \$16; 1 year, \$56.50; e-Edition \$35. In foreign countries, postage extra. No subscription for less than 10 weeks. Subscription rates are subject to change upon 30 days' notice. All subscriptions must be paid prior to beginning the subscription and are non-refundable.

Periodicals postage paid at Cottage Grove, Oregon.  
 Postmaster: Send address changes to P.O. Box 35, Cottage Grove, OR 97424.

### Local Mail Service:

If you don't receive your Cottage Grove Sentinel on the THURSDAY of publication, please let us know.  
 Call 541-942-3325 between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m.

### Advertising Ownership:

All advertising copy and illustrations prepared by the Cottage Grove Sentinel become the property of the Cottage Grove Sentinel and may not be reproduced for any other use without explicit written prior approval.