

humiliation. Russia has nothing to boast of in the military operations that led to the peace of Tilsit. Paris was

by a confederacy, of which Russia was terfered on the side of Austria in he quarrel with Hungary in 1848. How unwise the step was Austria's pusillanimous attitude in the Crimean was demonstrated. In 1870 Russia could have saved France by moving a single army corps to the Vistula; she held her hand, and Eismarck characte, istically requited her by robbing her of the fruits of the campaign of 1578 at the congress at Berlin. Whether the has taken up arms or refused to take them up, the result has been the same story of discomfiture and disappointment. The expulsion of the

French from Russia after the burning of Moscow is the only page in the his tory of the ninetcenth century to which a patriotic Russian can refer with complacency

with Russia is a mere ebullition of . craze which will probably be transi tory. There is nothing in common be tween Russians and Frenchmen. Their characteristics differ as widely as their ever. All through history it has been th misfortune of the French to cherish led to unjustifiable projects of con OUGST: the defeat of the would-be conqueror and the French, instead of acquiescing gracefully to the defeat, nursed puerile schemes of revenge. For forty years it was the hope of every Frenchman to avenge the defeat of Waterloo, but when France did take up arms she did During those forty years France loved Russia and hated England; when she did draw the sword it was against Russia and by the side of England that the

weapon was drawn.

milled out of the silver trouble a water logged, rat-infested scow, and, as the

